

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONCERNING σ -HOMOMORPHISMS OF RIESZ SPACES

CHARLES THOMAS TUCKER, II

CONCERNING σ -HOMOMORPHISMS OF RIESZ SPACES

C. T. TUCKER

If L is a Riesz space (lattice ordered vector space), a Riesz homomorphism of L is an order preserving linear map which preserves the finite operations " \vee " and " \wedge ". It was shown in our previous paper ["Homomorphisms of Riesz spaces," Pacific J. Math.] that there is a large class α of spaces such that if L belongs to α and φ is a Riesz homomorphism from L onto an Archimedean Riesz space, then φ preserves the order limit of sequences. In this paper the list of members of α is extended. It is further shown that there is a large class β of spaces with the property that if L belongs to α and φ is a Riesz homomorphism of L into an Archimedean Riesz space then φ preserves the order limit of sequences.

This paper is a continuation and extension of Tucker [4]. The notation and terminology of Tucker [4] will be used.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose L is a Riesz space with the principal projection property, K is an Archimedean Riesz space, and φ is a Riesz homomorphism of L into K with the property that if $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ is a countable orthogonal subset of L^+ such that $b = \bigvee b_i$, then $\varphi(b - \sum_{i=1}^j b_i) \rightarrow \theta$, then φ preserves the order limits of sequences.*

Proof. Suppose f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots is a sequence of points of L such that $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq f_3 \geq \dots \geq \theta$ and $\bigwedge f_i = \theta$. Suppose, further, that n is a positive integer. For each i let $g_i = f_i - (1/2^n)f_1$, $h_i = \bigvee_p (pg_i^+ \wedge g_1)$, and $b_i = h_i - h_{i+1}$. Consider b_i and b_j where $j > i$. Now $b_j \leq h_{i+1}$ and $b_i \leq g_1 - h_{i+1}$, so that $\theta = h_{i+1} \wedge (g_1 - h_{i+1}) \geq b_j \wedge b_i$. Thus $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ is a countable orthogonal set.

Since $g_1 \geq b_i$ for each i , g_1 is an upper bound of $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$. Suppose α is a point such that $g_1 - \alpha \geq b_i$ for each i and $\alpha \geq \theta$. Let i be a positive integer and let β be the projection of α on b_i . Then $g_1 - \beta \geq b_i$. Now $b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_j + h_{i+1} = h_1 = g_1$ and $b_i \wedge (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_j + h_{i+1}) = \theta$ so that $\beta \wedge (g_1 - b_i) = \theta$. Since $g_1 - \beta \geq b_i$, $g_1 - b_i \geq \beta$ which implies $(g_1 - b_i) \wedge \beta = \beta$, so that $\beta = \theta$. Thus for each i , $\alpha \wedge b_i = \theta$. Now $g_1 \geq b_i$ so that $g_1 \geq \bigvee_{j=1}^i b_j \vee \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^i b_j + \alpha = h_1 - h_{i+1} + \alpha = g_1 - h_{i+1} + \alpha$ which implies $h_{i+1} \geq \alpha$.

Now $g_i^- \wedge g_i^+ = \theta$ which implies $g_i^- \varepsilon (g_i^+)^d$. As h_i is the projection of g_1 on g_i^+ , $h_i \wedge g_i^- = \theta$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $(1/2^n)f_1 \geq \alpha$. Then

$h_i \wedge g_i^- = \theta$ implies $\alpha \wedge g_i^- = \theta$ for each i . The relationships $(1/2^n)f_1 \geq \alpha$ and $(1/2^n)f_1 \geq g_i^-$ imply that $(1/2^n)f_1 \geq \alpha \vee g_i^- = \alpha + g_i^-$. So that, $(1/2^n)f_1 - \alpha \geq g_i^-$. It follows that $-(1/2^n)f_1 + \alpha \leq -g_i^-$, $-(1/2^n)f_1 + \alpha \leq -g_i^- + g_i^+ = g_i$, and $\alpha \leq g_i + (1/2^n)f_1 = f_i$. But $\bigwedge f_i = \theta$ so that $\alpha = \theta$. Thus $g_1 = \bigvee b_i$. By hypothesis

$$\varphi\left(g_1 - \sum_{i=1}^j b_i\right) \longrightarrow \theta, \quad \varphi(g_1 - (g_1 - h_{j+1})) \longrightarrow \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(h_{j+1}) \longrightarrow \theta.$$

Since

$$h_{j+1} \geq g_{j+1}^+ \geq \theta, \quad \varphi(g_i^+) \longrightarrow \theta.$$

Thus if there is a point γ such that $\varphi(f_i) \geq \gamma \geq \theta$, then $\gamma \leq \varphi((1/2^n)f_1) = (1/2^n)\varphi(f_1)$ which implies $\gamma = \theta$ since K is Archimedean.

We can replace Theorem 8 of [4] with a slightly stronger statement:

THEOREM 8'. *Suppose L is a Riesz space with the principal projection property, M is a uniformly closed ideal of L with the property that if $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots\}$ is a countable orthogonal subset of M^+ and there is a point h of L such that $\bigvee f_i = h$ there is an unbounded nondecreasing positive number sequence c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots such that $\{c_1f_1, c_2f_2, c_3f_3, \dots\}$ is bounded, and π is the natural map of L onto L/M . Then L/M has the principal projection property and $\pi P_f = P_{\pi f}$ for each point f of L .*

The argument given for Theorem 8 in [4] proves this statement also.

This leads to the following definition:

The statement that the Riesz space L has *Property A* means that if $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots\}$ is a countable orthogonal subset of L^+ and $\bigvee f_i$ exists, then there exists a point g of L and a nondecreasing unbounded positive number sequence c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots such that $g \geq c_i f_i$ for each i .

Note that if order convergence is stable in L , then L has Property A. On the other hand Property A is more general than stability of order convergence since R^X has Property A but, as pointed out in [4], order convergence is not in general stable in R^X .

THEOREM 2. *Suppose L is a Riesz space with the principal projection property and with Property A and φ is a Riesz homomorphism of L into an Archimedean Riesz space K . Then φ preserves the order limits of sequences.*

Proof. Suppose $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ is a countable orthogonal subset of L^+ such that $b = \bigvee b_i$. There exists a nondecreasing unbounded positive number sequence c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots and a point g such that $c_i b_i \leq g$. Then $\varphi(b - \sum_{i=1}^j b_i) = \varphi(\bigvee_{i=j+1}^{\infty} b_i) \leq \varphi(1/c_{j+1}g) = 1/c_{j+1} \varphi(g)$. Since K is Archimedean $\varphi(b - \sum_{i=1}^j b_i) \rightarrow \theta$. By Lemma 1, φ preserves the order limits of sequences.

DEFINITION. The statement that L has *Property B* means that if $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots\}$ is a countable orthogonal subset of L^+ such that $\bigvee f_i$ exists, then there is a nondecreasing unbounded positive number sequence c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots such that $\bigvee (1/c_i)f_i$ exists.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose L is a Riesz space with the principal projection property, with Property B, and with the property that if φ is a Riesz homomorphism of L onto an Archimedean Riesz space and M is the kernel of φ then $P_m L$ is a subset of M for each point m of M . Then each Riesz homomorphism of L into an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limit of sequences.*

Proof. Suppose K is an Archimedean Riesz space and φ is a Riesz homomorphism of L into K . Now K may be embedded in a complete Riesz space in a manner which preserves the order limits of sequence (see Nakano [3], Judin [1], or Luxemburg, and Zaanen [2], p. 191) and φ may be extended to a homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ from L into the completion of K . Since φ preserves the order limits of sequences if $\tilde{\varphi}$ does, K may be taken to be complete without loss of generality. For this argument it will be sufficient to assume that K has the principal projection property.

Suppose $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ is a countable orthogonal subset of L^+ such that $b = \bigvee b_i$. As L has Property B there is an unbounded nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots such that $\bigvee (1/c_i)b_i$ exists. Let g denote $\bigvee (1/c_i)b_i$. Then $P_g b = b$.

Suppose α is such that $\theta \leq \alpha \leq \varphi(b - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i)$ for each n . Let $\beta = P_\alpha \varphi g$. Thus $\beta \wedge \varphi((g - (1/n)b)^+) = \theta$, $\beta \leq (1/n)\varphi(b)$, and $\beta = \theta$ as K is Archimedean. So that $\alpha \in \{\varphi g\}^d$.

Let H be the image of φ in $\{\varphi g\}^d$ and let φ_1 be the map of L onto H . Since H is Archimedean, the kernel M of φ_1 has the property that $P_g L \subset M$. Now $P_g b = b$ so $b \in M$, i.e., $\varphi(b) \in \{\varphi g\}^{dd}$ which implies $\alpha = \theta$.

By Lemma 1, φ preserves the order limits of sequences.

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose L is a σ -complete Riesz space with the property that every Riesz homomorphism of L onto an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limits of sequences. Then every Riesz*

homomorphism of L into an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limits of sequences.

Proof. Since L is σ -complete it has Property B. If every Riesz homomorphism of L onto an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limits of sequences then by Theorems A and B of [4], every uniformly closed ideal of L is a σ -ideal. By Theorem 6 of [4] every uniformly closed ideal M of L has the property that if m belongs to M then $P_m L$ is a subset of M . The result follows from Theorem 3.

Even if L were assumed to be complete and the mappings assumed to be one-to-one, Corollary 4 would not remain true if the requirement that every Riesz homomorphism of L onto an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limits of sequences were dropped. For instance let L be the space of bounded sequences and M be the uniformly closed ideal consisting of all sequences converging to zero. Let π be the natural map from L onto L/M . Let K be $L \times L/M$. Then L is complete and K is Archimedean. The map φ of L into K defined by $\varphi(x) = (x, \pi(x))$ is an injection which does not preserve the order limits of sequences.

If L is any Riesz space such that there exists a uniformly closed ideal M of L such that the natural map of L onto L/M does not preserve the order limits of sequences, then an Archimedean Riesz space K can be constructed so that L can be injected into K without preserving the order limits of sequences.

Clearly if L is a Riesz space with the property that if φ is a Riesz homomorphism of L into an Archimedean Riesz space then φ preserves the order limits of sequences then any Archimedean Riesz space K which is the image of L under a Riesz homomorphism has the property also. For example, let \hat{M} be the space of all measurable functions defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ without identifying functions which differ only on a set of measure zero and let M be the space of all measurable functions defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ identifying functions which differ on a set of measure zero. Clearly \hat{M} has a point with Property c and M is an Archimedean quotient of \hat{M} . Thus every Riesz homomorphism of M into an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limits of sequences.

So far several examples have been given of spaces with the property that every Riesz homomorphism into an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limits of sequences: R^x , $B[0, 1]$, the space Q of Example 2 of [4], the space of all measurable functions, L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, l_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, c_0 , the space of all functions defined on the x -axis with compact support, and the space of Example 11 of [4]. All these spaces have the principal projection property. In the

following it will be shown that $B_\alpha[0, 1]$, the α th Baire class of functions defined on the interval $[0, 1]$, $\alpha < \omega_1$, is uniformly complete, does not have the principal projection property, but has the property that every Riesz homomorphism into an Archimedean Riesz space preserves the order limits of sequences.

THEOREM 5. $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ is uniformly complete.

Proof. Suppose f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots is a sequence of functions in $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ converging relatively uniformly to f . If f is bounded, then it is in $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ as $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ is closed with respect to uniform convergence (relative uniform convergence with regulator the constant function 1). Suppose f is unbounded. Suppose further that f is in $B_\alpha^+[0, 1]$. For each positive integer n , $n \wedge f$ is in $B_\alpha[0, 1]$. Let $T(g) = g/(1 + g)$ for each g in $B_\alpha^+[0, 1]$. As T preserves continuity and pointwise convergence $T(n \wedge f) = n/(n + 1) \wedge T(f)$ is in B_α . The sequence $\{n/(n + 1) \wedge T(f)\}$ converges uniformly to $T(f)$ which is thus in $B_\alpha[0, 1]$. Therefore there exists a sequence g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots of functions in $B_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ converging pointwise to $T(f)$. (If α is a limit ordinal then $B_{\alpha-1}[0, 1] = \bigcup_{\gamma > \alpha} B_\gamma[0, 1]$.) These functions may be chosen so that $0 \leq g_i \leq 1$. Then the sequence $\{n/(n + 1) g_n\}$ is a sequence of functions in $B_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ converging pointwise to $T(f)$. Since $0 \leq n/(n + 1) g_n < 1$, then $T^{-1}(n/(n + 1) g_n)$ is a sequence of functions in $B_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ converging pointwise to f .

Since $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ is uniformly complete it can not have the principal projection property unless it is σ -complete and $B_\alpha[0, 1]$, $\alpha < \omega_1$, is known not to be σ -complete. (If $\alpha = \omega_1$ then $B_\alpha[0, 1] = B[0, 1]$, the space of all Baire functions on $[0, 1]$, which is σ -complete.)

THEOREM 6. If φ is a Riesz homomorphism of $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ ($\alpha > 0$) into an Archimedean Riesz space then φ preserves the order limits of sequences.

Proof. It will be shown that $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ has a point with Property c and the desired result will follow from Theorem 3 of [4].

Suppose f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots is a sequence of points of $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ such that $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq f_3 \leq \dots$ and $\bigvee f_i$ is the constant function 1. Denote by $USB_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ the set of all functions which are the greatest lower bounds of countable subsets of $B_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$. For each f_p there is a sequence l_{ip} of functions in $USB_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ such that $l_{1p} \leq l_{2p} \leq l_{3p} \leq \dots \leq f_p$ and $\bigvee l_{ip} = f_p$. Let $l_i = \bigvee_{p \leq i} l_{ip}$.

Then l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots is a sequence of functions in $USB_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ such

that $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq \dots, l_i \leq f_i$, and $\bigvee l_i$ is the constant function 1. Now $\theta \wedge l_i$ is in $USB_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta \wedge l_i$ is in $USB_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$. For each point x there is a positive integer N such that $\theta \wedge l_n(x) = 0$ for each positive integer $n \geq N$. (Order convergence for a bounded non-decreasing sequence in $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ is pointwise convergence.)

Thus for each x , $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \theta \wedge l_i(x)$ exists. For each positive integer n there exists a sequence $g_{1n}, g_{2n}, g_{3n}, \dots$ of functions in $B_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ such that $g_{1n} \geq g_{2n} \geq g_{3n} \geq \dots$ and $\bigwedge g_{in} = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta \wedge l_i$. Then let $g_n = \bigwedge_{i \leq n} g_{ni}$. So that g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots is a sequence of functions in $B_{\alpha-1}[0, 1]$ converging pointwise to $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \theta \wedge l_i$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \theta \wedge l_i$ is in $B_\alpha[0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \theta \wedge l_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ for each positive integer n .

REFERENCES

1. A. I. Judin, *On the extension of partially ordered linear spaces*, Uch. Zap. Leningrad State Univ., **13** (1941), 57-61.
2. W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces I*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971).
3. H. Nakano, *Eine Spektraltheorie*, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, **23** (1941), 485-511.
4. C. T. Tucker, *Homomorphisms of Riesz spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **55** (1974), 289-300.

Received October 29, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 57, No. 2

February, 1975

Norman Larrabee Alling, <i>On Cauchy's theorem for real algebraic curves with boundary</i>	315
Daniel D. Anderson, <i>A remark on the lattice of ideals of a Prüfer domain</i>	323
Dennis Neal Barr and Peter D. Miletta, <i>A necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness of solutions to two point boundary value problems</i>	325
Ladislav Beran, <i>On solvability of generalized orthomodular lattices</i>	331
L. Carlitz, <i>A three-term relation for some sums related to Dedekind sums</i>	339
Arthur Herbert Copeland, Jr. and Albert Oscar Shar, <i>Images and pre-images of localization maps</i>	349
G. G. Dandapat, John L. Hunsucker and Carl Pomerance, <i>Some new results on odd perfect numbers</i>	359
M. Edelstein and L. Keener, <i>Characterizations of infinite-dimensional and nonreflexive spaces</i>	365
Francis James Flanigan, <i>On Levi factors of derivation algebras and the radical embedding problem</i>	371
Harvey Friedman, <i>Provable equality in primitive recursive arithmetic with and without induction</i>	379
Joseph Braucher Fugate and Lee K. Mohler, <i>The fixed point property for tree-like continua with finitely many arc components</i>	393
John Norman Ginsburg and Victor Harold Saks, <i>Some applications of ultrafilters in topology</i>	403
Arjun K. Gupta, <i>Generalisation of a "square" functional equation</i>	419
Thomas Lee Hayden and Frank Jones Massey, <i>Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups</i>	423
V. Kannan and Thekkedath Thrivikraman, <i>Lattices of Hausdorff compactifications of a locally compact space</i>	441
J. E. Kerlin and Wilfred Dennis Pepe, <i>Norm decreasing homomorphisms between group algebras</i>	445
Young K. Kwon, <i>Behavior of Φ-bounded harmonic functions at the Wiener boundary</i>	453
Richard Arthur Levaro, <i>Projective quasi-coherent sheaves of modules</i>	457
Chung Lin, <i>Rearranging Fourier transforms on groups</i>	463
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>An asymptotic analysis of an odd order linear differential equation</i>	475
Jerry Malzan, <i>On groups with a single involution</i>	481
J. F. McClendon, <i>Metric families</i>	491
Carl Pomerance, <i>On multiply perfect numbers with a special property</i>	511
Mohan S. Putcha and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Polynomial constraints for finiteness of semisimple rings</i>	519
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Hyponormal contractions and strong power convergence</i>	531
Douglas Conner Ravenel, <i>Multiplicative operations in BP^*BP</i>	539
Judith Roitman, <i>Attaining the spread at cardinals which are not strong limits</i>	545
Kazuyuki Saitô, <i>Groups of $*$-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras</i>	553
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds</i>	559
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere</i>	563
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property</i>	575
J. Pelham Thomas, <i>Maximal connected Hausdorff spaces</i>	581
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Concerning σ-homomorphisms of Riesz spaces</i>	585
Rangachari Venkataraman, <i>Compactness in abelian topological groups</i>	591
William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Basically bounded functors and flat sheaves</i>	597
David Westreich, <i>Bifurcation of operator equations with unbounded linearized part</i>	611
William Robin Zame, <i>Extendibility, boundedness and sequential convergence in spaces of holomorphic functions</i>	619