Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ISOMETRIES OF THE DISK ALGEBRA

JOHN MCDONALD

Vol. 58, No. 1 March 1975

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 58, No 1, 1975

ISOMETRIES OF THE DISK ALGEBRA

J. N. McDONALD

In this paper we are concerned with the problem, posed by R. R. Phelps, of describing the into isometries of the disk algebra. We show that, in a certain sense, every isometry can be approximated by convex combinations of isometries of the form $f \to k(f \circ \phi)$. We also give some sufficient conditions for an isometry to be of the form $f \to k(f \circ \phi)$.

Let D and Γ denote, respectively, the open unit disk and the unit circle. The disk algebra, i.e., the algebra of all complex valued functions which are continuous on $D \cup \Gamma$ and analytic on D, will be denoted by A. It will be assumed that A is equipped with the sup-norm.

Operators of the form

$$Tf = k(f \circ \phi) \tag{1}$$

are isometries of A: if $k \in A$, if $\|k\| = 1$, and if $\phi: D \cup \Gamma \to D \cup \Gamma$ is analytic on D, continuous on $D \cup \Gamma \sim k^{-1}(0)$, and satisfies $\phi(k^{-1}(\Gamma)) \supset \Gamma$. In fact, if T is a surjective linear isometry of A, then it must be of the form (1) with k being a constant, and ϕ being a Mobius transformation. (See [3, pp. 142–148].) Rochberg [8] has shown that if T is an isometry such that $T1 = 1$, and $T(A)$ is a sub-algebra of A, then T is of the form (1) with $k \equiv 1$.

Note that any bounded linear operator $T: A \to A$ which satisfies (1) also satisfies.

$$T1T(fg) = TfTg \tag{2}$$

for all f and g in A. Moreover, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *A bounded linear operator $T: A \to A$ satisfies (2) for all $f, g \in A$ iff it is of the form (1).*

Proof. It is only necessary to show that, if T satisfies (2) for all $f, g \in A$, then it satisfies (1).

Suppose that w is a point of D where $T1$ is not 0. Consider the linear functional defined on A by

$$L_w(f) = (T1(w))^{-1}Tf(w).$$

By (2), L_w is a multiplicative. Hence, there is a v in $D \cup \Gamma$ such that

$L_w(f) = f(v)$. Since $v = (T1(w))^{-1}TZ(w)$, where Z is the identity function on $D \cup \Gamma$, it follows that the function $\phi = (T1)^{-1}TZ$ is bounded on D. Thus, the singularities of ϕ in D are removable. Let S be the operator defined on A by

$$Sf = T1(f \circ \phi).$$

It follows easily from (2) that $SZ^n = TZ^n$ for $n = 0, 1, \cdots$. Since the polynomials in Z are dense in A, the operators T and S are the same. If $T1 \equiv 0$, then, by (2), $(Tf)^2 = T1Tf^2 \equiv 0$. It follows that T is of the form (1) with $k \equiv 0$.

For an example of an isometry which fixes 1 but is not multiplicative, see **[8]**.

For the remainder of this section, T will denote an arbitrary isometry of A. Consider the closed set $\Gamma(T) = \{z \in \Gamma \mid |T1(z)| = 1$ and there is a point $\hat{T}(z)$ in Γ such that $Tf(z) = T1(z)f(\hat{T}(z))$ for all $f \in A\}$. Since A separates the points of Γ, it follows that the mapping $z \to \hat{T}(z)$, denoted by $\hat{T}$, is well defined and continuous on $\Gamma(T)$. In **[5]**, we showed that $\hat{T}$ maps $\Gamma(T)$ onto Γ. The following proposition gives a simple description of $\Gamma(T)$.

PROPOSITION 1.2.

$$\Gamma(T) = \{w \in \Gamma \mid |T1(w)| = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad |TZ(w)| = 1\}.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that if $|T1(z_1)| = |TZ(z_1)| = 1$, then $z_1 \in \Gamma(T)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is a measure μ on Γ having total variation $\leqq 1$ such that $Tf(z_1) = \int f d\mu$ for all $f \in A$. Let $a = \int 1 d\mu$ and $b = \int Z d\mu$, where Z is the identity on $D \cup \Gamma$. Since $\bar{a}\mu$ has total variation $\leqq 1$ and $\int \bar{a} d\mu = 1$, it follows that $\bar{a} d\mu$ is nonnegative. Note that $\int \mathrm{Re}(1 - a\bar{b}Z)\bar{a} d\mu = 0$. Thus, $\mathrm{Re}(1 - a\bar{b}Z)$ is 0 on the support of μ. Hence the support of μ consists of a single point, i.e., $\hat{T}(z_1)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose $m(\Gamma(T)) > 0$, where m denotes Lesbegue measure on Γ. Then T is of the form* (1).

Proof. For $f, g \in A$, we have

$$T1(z)\, T(fg)(z) = Tf(z)\, Tg(z)$$

for every $z \in \Gamma(T)$. Any two functions in A which agree on a subset of Γ having positive Lesbeque measure are equal. (See [3, p. 52].) Thus $T1\,T(fg) = TfTg$. It follows by Proposition 1.1 that T is of the form (1).

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume that $T1$ is an inner function. Suppose that $T(A)$ contains a function G having the following properties: $\|G\| = 1$, $m(G^{-1})(\Gamma)) > 0$, the set of connected components of $G^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is countab e, and G is not a constant multiple of $T1$. Then T is of the form* (1).

Proof. Let $H = \overline{T1}G$. Note that $H^{-1}(\Gamma) = G^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Let $\{J_1, J_2, \cdots\}$ denote the collection of connected components of $H^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Suppose it can be shown that, for some q, $m(H(J_q \cap \Gamma(T))) > 0$. Then J_q is necessarily a nontrivial sub-arc of Γ. By a form of the Schwartz reflection principle (See, e.g. [2, p. 187].), G can be continued analytically across the interior of J_q. It follows that the restriction of H to the interior of J_q is continuously differentiable. If H were constant on J_q, then we would have $G = cT1$ where c is a constant. Thus, H is not constant and, hence, $m(J_q \cap \Gamma(T)) > 0$. It now follows by Theorem 1.1 that T is of the form (1).

It remains to be shown that $m(H(J_q \cap \Gamma(T))) > 0$ for some q. It is claimed that

$$H(H^{-1}(\Gamma)) = H(H^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma(T)).$$

For each $z \in \Gamma$, there exists a measure μ_z, having total variation $\leqq 1$, such that $\int f d\mu_z = Tf(z)$ for each $f \in A$. In particular, we have $1 = \int \overline{T1(z)} d\mu_z$. It follows that the measure $\overline{T1(z)}\mu_z$ is nonnegative. Suppose that z is choosen so that $|G(z)| = |H(z)| = 1$. Let F be the unique function in A such that $G = TF$. Then

$$\int \mathrm{Re}(1 - \overline{H(z)}F)\overline{T1(z)} d\mu = 0.$$

It follows that $H(z) = F(w)$ for each w in the support of μ_z. Since the mapping $\hat{T}$ is onto, there exists a $z_1 \in \Gamma(T)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= F(\hat{T}(z_1)) \\ &= \overline{T1(z_1)}T1(z_1)F(\hat{T}(z_1)) \\ &= H(z_1). \end{aligned}$$

Next it is claimed that $m(H(H^{-1}(\Gamma))) > 0$. If $m(H(H^{-1}(\Gamma))) = 0$, then H is constant on all of the J_n's. Since at least one of the J_n's is a nontrivial sub-arc of Γ, it follows that $G = cT1$ for some constant $c - a$ contradiction to the hypothesis that G not be a scalar multiple of $T1$. Finally, we have

$$0 < m(H(H^{-1}(\Gamma))) \leqq \Sigma m(H(J_n \cap \Gamma(T))).$$

It follows that $m(H(J_q \cap \Gamma(T))) > 0$ for some q.

COROLLARY. *Suppose that $T1$ is an inner function. If TA contains an inner function which is not a scalar multiple of Tl then T is of the form* (1).

REMARK. Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the sub-algebra of A consisting of functions which are analytic in a neighborhood of $D \cup \Gamma$. By arguments similar to those used to prove Theorem 1.2, one can show that every isometry of $\mathscr{A}$ must be of the form (1).

2. Approximation of arbitrary isometries. As in the previous section, T will denote an arbitrary isometry of A. Let B denote the space of bounded linear operators: $A \to A$ and let B_1 denote the set of members of B having norm $\leqq 1$. As in **[5]**, we define $E(T) = \{U \in B_1 \mid Uf(z) = Tf(z)$ for every $z \in \Gamma(T)$ and every $f \in A\}$. In **[5]** we showed that $E(T)$ is a face of B_1, that $E(T)$ is closed in the weak operator topology, and that each member of $E(T)$ is an isometry. Thus, the set of isometries of A is the union of weak operator-closed faces of B_1. It follows from Proposition 1.2, that

$$E(T) = \{U \in B_1 \mid UZ \mid \Gamma(T) = TZ \mid \Gamma(T) \quad \text{and} \quad U1 \mid \Gamma(T) = T1 \mid \Gamma(T)\},$$

where Z denotes the identity function on $D \cup \Gamma$. If $m(\Gamma(T)) > 0$, it follows that $E(T) = \{T\}$. Suppose that $m(\Gamma(T)) = 0$. Let A_1 denote the unit ball in A, let $S_1 = \{f \in A_1 \mid f \mid \Gamma(T) = \hat{T}\}$, and let $S_2 = \{g \in A_1 \mid g \mid \Gamma(T) = T1 \mid \Gamma(T)\}$. By a result due to Rudin **[9]**, both S_1 and S_2 have infinitely many members. Let $h \in S_1$ and $k \in S_2$. The operator U defined by $Uf = k(f \circ h)$ is in $E(T)$. Thus, $E(T)$ contains infinitely many elements iff $m(\Gamma(T)) = 0$. For the remainder of the paper, we will consider only isometries T for which $m(\Gamma(T)) = 0$.

Let $F(T) = \{U \in E(T) \mid U$ is of the form (1)$\}$. In view of **[5, Th. 3]**, it is natural to ask whether $E(T)$ is the closed convex hull of $F(T)$, where the closure is taken in the weak operator topology? Although we are unable to answer this question, we will show that there is a family $\mathfrak{S}$

of locally convex Hausdorff topologies on B with the following properties: for each $\mathcal{T} \in \mathfrak{S}$, $E(T)$ is the $\mathcal{T}$-closed convex hull of $F(T)$, and the weakest topology containing all the members of $\mathfrak{S}$ is the weak operator topology.

The weak operator topology on B is the weakest topology in which all linear functionals of the form $H \to \int Hf\,d\mu$, where f is in A and μ is a Baire measure on Γ, are continuous. It follows that the space B^* of weak operator continuous linear functionals on B is the direct sum of sub-spaces $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the sub-space of B^* spanned by linear functionals of the form $H \to \int (Hf)g\,dm$ with $g \in L_1(m)$, and where $\mathcal{S}$ is the sub-space of B^* spanned by functionals of the form $H \to \int Hf\,d\nu$ with ν being singular with respect to m. (See [1, p. 421]). Let $L_1^+(m)$ denote $\{g \in L_1(m) \mid g \geqq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$. For each $g \in L_1^+(m)$ we define the *$\mathcal{S}g$-topology* on B to be the weakest topology in which the linear functionals of the form $H \to \int (Hf)g\,dm$ with f in A, and the linear functionals in $\mathcal{S}$, are continuous. Set $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathcal{S}g \mid g \in L_1^+(m)\}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the weak operator topology on B. Note that $\mathcal{S}g \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ for each $g \in L_1^+(m)$. By [1, p. 421], the $\mathcal{S}g$-continuous linear functionals on B are those of the form $l(H) = \int Hfg\,dm + \Sigma_{i=1}^n \int Hf_i\,d\mu_i$, where the measures μ_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, are singular with respect to m and $f, f_1, f_2 \cdots f_n \in A$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the smallest locally convex topology on B which contains all members of $\mathfrak{S}$. Any functional of the form $L(H) = \int Hf\,d\nu$, where $f \in A$, and ν is a regular Baire measure, can be written in the form

$$L(H) = \int Hf\,d\mu + \sum_{n=1}^{4} \int H(i^n f)g_n\,dm,$$

where μ is singular with respect to m, and $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in L_1^+(m)$. It follows that L is $\mathcal{U}$-continuous. Hence, by the definition of $\mathcal{W}$, we have $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

THEOREM 2.1. *For each $g \in L_1^+(m)$, $E(T)$ is the $\mathcal{S}g$-closed convex hull of $F(T)$.*

REMARK. It is not possible to prove Theorem 2.1 by using arguments based on the Krein-Milman theorem. For in order for the Krein-Milman theorem to apply to $E(T)$ it would be necessary for

$E(T)$ to be compact in the $\mathcal{S}g$-topology, but the following argument shows that $E(T)$ is not $\mathcal{S}g$-compact for any $g \in L_1^+(m)$: Let K be a "Cantor" subset of Γ which is disjoint from $\Gamma(T)$. Let $C_1(K)$ denote the set of continuous complex valued functions on K having absolute value $\leqq 1$. Define $j: E(T) \to C_1(K)$ by $j(U) = UZ|K$. If $C_1(K)$ is equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence, then j is $\mathcal{S}g$-continuous for each $g \in L_1^+(m)$. By [9], the map j is onto. Since $C_1(K)$ is not compact in the topology of pointwise convergence, it follows that $E(T)$ is not compact in the $\mathcal{S}g$-topology.

Our proof of Theorem 3 will depend on the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 2.1. *For z in D, and $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ let*

$$P_z(e^{it}) = \mathrm{Re}((z + e^{it})\ (z - e^{it})^{-1}),$$

i.e., $P_z(e^{it})$ *is the Poisson kernel. Consider the set* $V = \{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i P_{z_i} \mid c_i \geqq 0$ *and* $z_i \in D$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. *Then the L_1-closure of V is $L_1^+(m)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $g_1 \in L_1^+(m)$, but g_1 is not in the closure of V. Then there exists an h in $L_\infty(m)$, such that $\int g_1 h dm > 0$ and $\int v h dm \leqq 0$ for every $v \in V$. In particular

$$\int P_z(e^{it}) h(e^{it}) dm(e^{it}) \leqq 0.$$

for all z in D. Fatou's Theorem [3, p. 34] implies that $h \leqq 0$ almost everywhere with respect to m. Hence, $\int g h dm \leqq 0$. Thus, we have reached a contradiction.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let E be a closed subset of Γ such that $m(E) = 0$. Let $\phi_0: E \to D \cup \Gamma$ be continuous. Consider $z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n$, $w \in D$. There is a function ϕ in the unit ball A_1 of A which extends ϕ_0 and satisfies $\phi(z_i) = w$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $w = 0$. Let

$$B(Z) = \Pi_{i=1}^n [(z - \bar{z}_i)\ (1 - \bar{z}_i z)^{-1}].$$

For each u in Γ, we have $|B(u)| = 1$. Define β_0 on E by $\beta_0(u) = \overline{B(u)}\phi_0(u)$. The function β_0 has an extension β in A_1. It follows that

$B\beta$ satisfies the assertion of the lemma in the case where $w = 0$. If $w \neq 0$, we choose a Mobius transformation τ of D such that $\tau(0) = w$, and apply the preceeding argument to obtain a function ϕ_1 in A_1 which extends $\tau^{-1} \circ \phi_0$ and maps $z_1, \cdots, z_n$ into 0. Thus, the function $\phi = \tau \circ \phi_1$, extends ϕ_0, lies in A_1, and statisfies $\phi(z_i) = w$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots n$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (The argument used here is an adaptation of one due to Morris and Phelps [6, Th. 2.1].)

Suppose $U \in E(T)$ but it is not in the $\mathcal{S}g$-closed convex hull of $F(T)$. By [1, Th. 9, p. 421], there are functions $f, f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_n \in A$, measures $\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_n$ on Γ which are singular with respect to m, and a real number $r > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\int (Uf)\, g dm + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int Uf_i d\mu_i\right) \geqq \operatorname{Re}\left(\int (Ff)\, g dm + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int Ff_i d\mu_i\right) + r,$$

for every F in $E(T)$.

By Lemma 2.1, there are points $z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_p \in D$ and nonnegative real numbers $c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_j Uf(z_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int Uf_i d\mu_i\right) \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_j Ff(z_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int Ff_i d\mu \hat{i}\right) + \frac{r}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for every F in $F(T)$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\mu_i \geqq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, , \cdots, n$. Since $Uf_i = Ff_i$ on $\Gamma(T)$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $F \in F(T)$, we can also assume that $\mu_i(\Gamma(T)) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Let $\nu = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a closed subset Y of $\Gamma \sim \Gamma(T)$ such that $m(Y) = 0$ and $\nu(\Gamma \sim Y) < \epsilon$. Let h_i denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ_i with respect to ν for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Choose continuous functions h_i' on Γ such that $0 \leqq h_i' \leqq 1$ and $\int |h_i - h_i'| d\nu < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Let $g = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} h_i' Uf_i$. For each $y \in \Gamma$, define $k_y = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} h_i'(y) f_i$. Then $g(y) = Uk_y(y)$. $g(y)$ is also equal to $(U^* e_y)(k_y)$, where U^* is the adjoint of U and e_y represents the "evaluation at y" functional on A. Let S denote the unit ball in the dual space of A. Since U^* maps S into S, it follows that $U^* e_y \in S$. The function $W(\rho) = \operatorname{Re} \rho(k_y)$ is weak* continuous on S and $\sup W(S) \geqq \operatorname{Re} U^* e_y(k_y) = \operatorname{Re} g(y)$. The extreme points of S are exactly the functionals ce_y, where $c, y \in \Gamma$. It

follows from the Krein-Milman theorem that, for each $y \in \Gamma$, there exist $\phi(y)$, $c(y) \in \Gamma$, such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c(y)h_i'(y)f_i(\phi(y))\right) > \operatorname{Re} g(y) - \epsilon.$$

For each $y \in Y$ choose an open neighborhood V_y of y such that $V_y \cap \Gamma(T) = \phi$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c(y)h_i'(w)f_i(\phi(y))\right) > \operatorname{Re} g(w) - 2\epsilon$$

for every $w \in V_y$. Let $\{V_{y_1}, \cdots, V_{y_p}\}$ be a finite collection of V_y's which covers y. We can easily find another open cover $\{U_1, \cdots, U_p\}$ of Y such that $U_i \subset V_{y_i}$ and $\nu(\{y \mid y \text{ is in more than one } U_j\}) < \epsilon$. Consider the sets

$$H_j = (Y \cap U_j) \sim \cup\{U_i \mid i \neq j\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, p.$$

Then H_j's are closed and disjoint and $\nu(Y \sim \cup_{j=1}^{p} H_j) < \epsilon$.

Define mappings $\theta_0, k_0 \colon \Gamma(T) \cup [\cup_{i=1}^{p} H_i] \to \Gamma$ by

$$\theta_0(y) = \begin{cases} \phi(y_j) & \text{if} \quad y \in H_j \\ \hat{T}(y) & \text{if} \quad y \in \Gamma(T). \end{cases}$$

$$k_0(y) = \begin{cases} c(u_j) & \text{if} \quad y \in H_j \\ T1(y) & \text{if} \quad y \in \Gamma(T). \end{cases}$$

Note that $m(\Gamma(T) \cup [\cup_{i=1}^{p} H_j]) = 0$. Since U is an isometry, there are points w_0 and w_1 in D such that $\operatorname{Re} w_0 f(w_1) \geqq \operatorname{Re} Uf(z_i) - \epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, p$. By Lemma 2.2, there are extensions θ and k, of θ_0 and k_0 respectively, which lie in A_1 and satisfy $\theta(z_j) = w_1$ and $k(z_j) = w_0$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Define the linear operator $F_1 \colon A \to A$ by $F_1 h = k(h \circ \theta)$. Clearly, we have $F_1 \in F(T)$. By a straightforward argument, we can find a constant $M > 0$ independent of ϵ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_j F_1 f(z_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int F_1 f_i d\mu_i\right)$$

$$> \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_j Uf(z_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int Uf_i d\mu_i\right) - M\epsilon.$$

We can obtain a contradiction to (3) by taking ϵ to be sufficient small.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Suppose* $T1 \equiv 1$. *Let* $E_1(T) = \{U \mid U \in E(T)$ *and* $U1 = 1\}$, *and let* $F_1(T) = E_1(T) \cap F(T)$. *Then for each* $g \in L^+_1(m)$, *the set* $E_1(T)$ *is the closed convex hull of* $F_1(T)$ *where the closure is taken in the* $\mathcal{S}g$*-topology.*

Proof. Let $S_1 = \{L \in S \mid L(1) = 1\}$. The adjoint T^* of T maps S_1 to S_1. The extreme points of S_1 are the functionals of the form e_y with $y \in \Gamma$. Thus, in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we may take $c(y) = 1$. Also, it is clear that, in this case, we may take $w_0 = 1$. Consequently, it can be asumed that the function k is identically 1.

3. The case $T1 = 1$. In this section it will be assumed that $T1 = 1$. We will investigate the closure in the weak operator topology of the set $\operatorname{cov} F_1(T)$.

Let H_∞ denote the space of bounded analytic functions on D and let $B(H_\infty)$ denote the space of bounded linear operators on H_∞. Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the weakest topology on $B(H_\infty)$ such that all linear functionals of the form $M \to Mg(z)$, where $g \in H_\infty$ and $z \in D$, are continuous. The following property of $B(H_\infty)$ will be very useful in this section: *The unit ball of* $B(H_\infty)$ *is* $\mathcal{P}$*-compact.* To verify this property it sufficies to use a result due to Kadison [4] together with the fact that the unit ball H^1_∞ of H_∞ is compact in the topology of pointwise convergence.

Let $A_T = \{\phi \in A_1 \mid \phi \mid \Gamma(T) = \hat{T}\}$. Let H_T denote the closure of A_T in the topology of pointwise convergence on D. Since $H_T \subseteq H^1_\infty$, it follows that H_T is compact in the topology of pointwise convergence on D. Each $F \in F_1(T)$ is of the form $Ff = f \circ \phi$ for all $f \in A$, where $\phi \in A_T$. Thus, F has an extension to H_∞ denoted F^* which is defined by $F^*g = g \circ \phi$ for every $g \in H_\infty$. Similarly, each $V \in \operatorname{cov} F_1(T)$ has an extension V^* lying in $\operatorname{cov} F^*_1(T)$, where $F^*_1(T) = \{F^* \mid F \in F_1(T)\}$. Since $F^*_1(T)$ is contained in the unit ball of $B(H_\infty)$, it follows that the $\mathcal{P}$-closed convex hull of $F^*_1(T)$, denoted by R, is compact in the $\mathcal{P}$-topology. Let Q denote the $\mathcal{P}$-closure of $F^*_1(T)$. Suppose that $W \in R$. By the integral form of the Krein-Milman Theorem [7, p. 6], there is a probability measure μ_W supported by Q such that

$$Wg(z) = \int_Q W'g(z)d\mu_W(W')$$

for every $g \in H_\infty$ and every $z \in D$. Note that $Q = \{W \mid Wg = g \circ \phi$, where $\phi \in H_T\}$. Thus, Q may be identified with H_T. Consequently, we can write

$$Wg(z) = \int_{H_T} g \circ \phi(z)d\mu_W(\phi)$$

for all $g \in H_\infty$ and all $z \in D$. Suppose now that U is in the weak operator closed convex hull of $F_1(T)$. Then there exists a net $\{V_\alpha\}$ in cov $F_1(T)$ which converges in the weak operator topology to U. In particular, $Uf(z) = \lim V_\alpha f(z)$ for each $f \in A$ and each $z \in D$. The net V_α^* has a subnet V_β^* which converges to some $U^* \in R$. By the definition of the $\mathscr{P}$-topology, we have $U^* f(z) = Uf(z)$ for $f \in A$ and $z \in D$. Thus, we have proved the following:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let U be in the closure of* cov $F_1(T)$ *in the weak operator topology. Then there exists a probability measure μ on H_T such that*

$$Uf(z) = \int_{H_T} f \circ \phi(z) d\mu(\phi)$$

for each $f \in A$ and each $z \in D$.

We will now use Theorem 3.1 to derive another sufficient condition for an isometry to be of the form (1).

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose U is in the weak operator closure of* cov $F_1(T)$. *If there is a nonconstant inner function G such that UG is an extreme point of A_1, then U is of the form* (1).

Our proof of Theorem 3.2 depends upon the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let G be a nonconstant inner function in A.* (a) *Suppose that $k \in A$ is of the form $k = G \circ h$ on D, where $h \in H_\infty^1$. Then h has an extension to $D \cup \Gamma$ which is continuous.* (b) *Let $h_1, h_2 \in A_1$. Consider the set*

$$S = \{z \in D \cup \Gamma \mid h_1(z) = h_2(z)\}.$$

Suppose that $h_1(S)$ is infinite. Suppose also that $G \circ h_1 = G \circ h_2$. Then $h_1 = h_2$.

Proof. Since G is an inner function and is a member of A, it follows that G is of the form

$$G(z) = e^{ix} \prod_{n-1}^{N} (z - z_n)/(1 - \bar{z}_n z),$$

where the z_n's are (not necessarily distinct) points of D. It follows that, given any point $u_0 \in \Gamma \cup D$, there exists a disk D_0 about u_0 and analytic functions $g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_n$ defined on D_0, such that if $G(w) = u \in D_0$ then $w = g_j(u)$ for some j. Suppose that $u_0 = k(z_0)$, where $z_0 \in \Gamma$. Choose a set W containing z_0 which is open relative to $\Gamma \cup D$ and satisfies $k(W) \subseteq D_0$. On $W \cap D$, we have $k = G \circ h$. It follows that for some j, $h(z) = g_j \circ k(z)$ for all $z \in W$. Thus, h can be extended continuously to $W \cap \Gamma$. A simple compactness argument now shows that h can be extended continuously to all of Γ.

Consider the set $Y = \{z \in D \cup \Gamma \mid G'(h_1(z)) \neq 0$ and $h_1(z) = h_2(z)\}$. We will show that Y is open relative to $D \cup \Gamma$. Since Y is nonempty, it will follow that $h_1 = h_2$. Let $z_0 \in Y$. Since $G'(h_1(z_0)) \neq 0$, there exists an open disk D_0 about $h_1(z_0)$ such that G is one-to-one on D_0. Choose a set N, which is open relative to $D \cup \Gamma$, such that $h_1(N) \subseteq D_0$ and $h_2(N) \subseteq D_0$. Then, for $z \in N$, $G(h_1(z)) = G(h_2(z))$. It follows that $h_1 = h_2$ on N.

Proof of Theorem 3.2: By Theorem 3.1, we may write

$$Uf(z) = \int_{H_T} f \circ \phi(z) d\mu(\phi)$$

for all $f \in A$ and all $z \in D$. For each $z \in D$, let

$$J_z = \{\phi \in H_T \mid \operatorname{Re} UG(z) < \operatorname{Re} G \circ \phi(z)\}.$$

Suppose that for some $u \in D$, we have $c = \mu(J_u) > 0$. Define measures μ_1 and μ_2 on H_T by

$$\mu_1(K) = c^{-1}\mu(K \cap J_u)$$
$$\mu_2(K) = (1-c)^{-1}\mu(K \cap (H_T \sim J_u)).$$

By [7, Prop. 1.1], there are operators U_1 and U_2 in R such that

$$U_i f(z) = \int_{H_T} f \circ \phi(z) d\mu_i \qquad i = 1,2,$$

for each $f \in A$ and each $z \in D$. (Note that for $f \in A$, $U_i f$ is not necessarily in A.) It follows that

$$Uf(z) = cU_1 f(z) + (1-c)U_2 f(z)$$

for $f \in A$ and $z \in D$. Since UG is an extreme point of A_1, it is also extreme point of H^1_∞. (See [3, p. 139].) Thus, we have $UG = U_1 G = U_2 G$ on D, but

$$\operatorname{Re} UG(u) = \int_{H_T} \operatorname{Re} UG(u)\, d\mu_1(\phi)$$

$$< \int_{H_T} \operatorname{Re} G \circ \phi(u)\, d\mu_1(\phi) = \operatorname{Re} U_1 G(u),$$

a contradiction. It follows that for each $z \in D$ we have $\mu\{\phi \mid \operatorname{Re} UG(z) < \operatorname{Re} G \circ \phi(z)\}) = 0$. Similarly, we can show that

$$\mu(\{\phi \mid \operatorname{Re} UG(z) > \operatorname{Re} G \circ \phi(z)\}) = \mu(\{\phi \mid \operatorname{Im} UG(z) \neq \operatorname{Im} G \circ \phi(z)\}) = 0.$$

Thus, $UG(z) = G \circ \phi(z)$ for all ϕ in the support of μ. It follows that the support of μ consists of finitely many functions $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_m \in H_T$, where each ϕ_i satisfies $G \circ \phi_i = UG$ on D. By Lemma 3.1, each ϕ_i is continuous on $D \cup \Gamma$. Thus, there exist positive numbers $c_1, \cdots, c_m$ such that $\Sigma c_i = 1$ and

$$Uf(z) = \sum c_i f \circ \phi_i(z)$$

for each $f \in A$ and each $z \in D \cup \Gamma$. For $z \in \Gamma(T)$, we have $\phi_i(z) = \hat{T}(z)$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. It follows by Lemma 3.1, that $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \cdots = \phi_m$. Hence $U \in F_1(T)$.

REMARK. Theorem 3.2 provides a possible approach to the problem of finding an isometry T such that $T1 = 1$ and $E_1(T)$ is not the weak operator closure of $\operatorname{cov} F_1(T)$. If an isometry T can be found such that: $T1 = 1$, T is not of the form (1), and TG is an extreme point of A_1 for some nonconstant inner function $G \in A$, then it will follow from Theorem 3.2 that $T \notin$ weak operator closure of $\operatorname{cov} F_1(T)$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
2. E. Hille, *Analytic Function Theory*, Vol. I, Blaisdell, New York, 1959.
3. K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.
4. R. V. Kadison, *The trace in finite operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961).
5. J. N. McDonald, *Isometries of function algebras*, Illinois J. Math., **17**, No. 3, pp. 579–583.
6. P. D. Morris and R. R. Phelps, *Theorems of Krein-Milman type for certain convex sets of operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1970), 183–200.
7. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, Van Nostrand, 1966.
8. R. Rochberg, *Which linear maps of the disk algebra are multiplicative*, Pacific J. Math., **38** (1971).
9. W. Rudin, *Boundary values of continuous analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **7** (1956).

Received February 10, 1972 and in revised form February 2, 1974. Part of the work presented here was done while the author was receiving an Arizona State University faculty-grant-in-aide.

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

CONTENTS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 58, No. 1 March, 1975