Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A SHEAF THEORETIC REPRESENTATION OF RINGS WITH BOOLEAN ORTHOGONALITIES

PATRICK NOBLE STEWART

Vol. 58, No. 1 March 1975

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 58, No. 1, 1975

A SHEAF THEORETIC REPRESENTATION OF RINGS WITH BOOLEAN ORTHOGONALITIES

PATRICK N. STEWART

It is shown that certain associative rings with Boolean orthogonalities are isomorphic to rings of global sections.

Let A be a ring and $\perp$ a relation on A. For each subset S of A define

$$S^{\perp} = \{x \in A \mid x \perp s \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in S\} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{\perp\perp} = (S^{\perp})^{\perp}.$$

When $S = \{s\}$ we write $s^{\perp}$ and $s^{\perp\perp}$ instead of $\{s\}^{\perp}$ and $\{s\}^{\perp\perp}$. Subsets of A of the form $S^{\perp}$ are *polars*. The relation $\perp$ is a *Boolean orthogonality* if all polars are two-sided ideals and if, for all $x, y \in A$,

1. $x \perp y \rightarrow y \perp x$, 2. $x \perp x \rightarrow x = 0$, and
3. $x^{\perp\perp} \cap y^{\perp\perp} = (0) \rightarrow x \perp y$.

The set of polars is a Boolean algebra (see [3]) with meet and join defined by

$$B \wedge C = B \cap C \quad \text{and} \quad B \vee C = (B^{\perp} \wedge C^{\perp})^{\perp}.$$

Boolean orthogonalities have been studied by Davis [3], Cornish [1] and by Cornish and Stewart [2].

Throughout this paper we shall assume that A is an associative ring with an identity and with a Boolean orthogonality $\perp$. We shall also assume that the following *finiteness condition* is satisfied:

for any two elements $x, y \in A$ there is a finite set $F \subseteq A$ such that $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge y^{\perp\perp} = F^{\perp\perp}$.

Notice that if $F = \{f_1, \cdots, f_n\}$, then $F^{\perp} = f_1^{\perp} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n^{\perp}$ and $F^{\perp\perp} = f_1^{\perp\perp} \vee \cdots \vee f_n^{\perp\perp}$.

An ideal I of A is a $\perp$-*ideal* if $F^{\perp\perp} \subseteq I$ for every finite set $F \subseteq I$, and I is $\perp$-*prime* if $I \neq A$ and whenever the intersection of two polars B and C is contained in I, either $B \subseteq I$ or $C \subseteq I$.

LEMMA. *Assume that P is either a $\perp$-prime ideal or $P = A$, that I is a $\perp$-ideal and that $x \in A \backslash I$ is such that $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge a^{\perp\perp} \subseteq I$ implies that $a \in P$. Then there is a $\perp$-prime $\perp$-ideal Q such that $I \subseteq Q \subseteq P$ and $x \notin Q$.*

Proof. Using Zorn's Lemma select a $\perp$-ideal $Q \supseteq I$ maximal with respect to the property "$x \notin Q$ and $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge a^{\perp\perp} \subseteq Q$ implies that $a \in P$". Clearly $I \subseteq Q \subseteq P$.

Suppose that B and C are polars neither of which is contained in Q. Choose $b \in B \backslash Q$ and $c \in C \backslash Q$. Then

$$B' = \cup \{F^{\perp\perp} \mid F \text{ is a finite subset of } \{b\} \cup Q\}$$

and

$$C' = \cup \{G^{\perp\perp} \mid G \text{ is a finite subset of } \{c\} \cup Q\}$$

are $\perp$-ideals which properly contain Q. By the maximality of Q either $x^{\perp\perp} \subseteq B'$ or $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge b_1^{\perp\perp} \subseteq B'$ for some $b_1 \in A \backslash P$, and $x^{\perp\perp} \subseteq C'$ or $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge c_1^{\perp\perp} \subseteq C'$ for some $c_1 \in A \backslash P$. Thus we obtain finite sets $\{b, f_1, \cdots, f_n\} \subseteq \{b\} \cup Q$ and $\{c, g_1, \cdots, g_m\} \subseteq \{c\} \cup Q$ such that one of $x^{\perp\perp}$, $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge b_1^{\perp\perp}$, $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge c_1^{\perp\perp}$ or $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge b_1^{\perp\perp} \wedge c_1^{\perp\perp}$ is contained in

$$\begin{aligned} &\{b, f_1, \cdots, f_n\}^{\perp\perp} \wedge \{c, g_1, \cdots, g_m\}^{\perp\perp} \\ &= (b^{\perp\perp} \vee f_1^{\perp\perp} \vee \cdots \vee f_n^{\perp\perp}) \wedge (c^{\perp\perp} \vee g_1^{\perp\perp} \vee \cdots \vee g_m^{\perp\perp}) \\ &= (b^{\perp\perp} \wedge c^{\perp\perp}) \vee H^{\perp\perp} \end{aligned}$$

where H is a finite subset of Q (we have used the distributivity of the Boolean algebra of polars and also the finiteness condition). If $b^{\perp\perp} \wedge c^{\perp\perp} \subseteq Q$, then $x^{\perp\perp} \subseteq Q$ or $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge d^{\perp\perp} \subseteq Q$ for some $d \in A \backslash P$ both of which contradict the choice of Q. Thus $B \cap C \nsubseteq Q$ and we conclude that Q is $\perp$-prime.

For the remainder of this paper $\bar{X}$ will be fixed set of $\perp$-prime ideals which contains all $\perp$-prime $\perp$-ideals and which is *full* (that is, if I is a sum of polars and $I \neq A$, then $I \subseteq P$ for some $P \in \bar{X}$).

PROPOSITION 2. (Cornish **[1]**). *For each* $P \in \bar{X}$,

$$\{x \in A \mid x^{\perp} \nsubseteq P\} = \cap \{R \in \bar{X} \mid R \subseteq P\} = \cap \{Q \in \bar{X} \mid Q \subseteq P$$

and Q *is a* $\perp$*-prime* $\perp$*-ideal*$\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $x^{\perp} \nsubseteq P$ and R is a $\perp$-prime ideal contained in P. Then $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge x^{\perp} = (0) \subseteq R$ and so $x \in R$.

If $x^{\perp} \subseteq P$, then by Lemma 1 (take $I = x^{\perp}$) there is a $\perp$-prime $\perp$-ideal $Q \subseteq P$ such that $x \notin Q$. This establishes the result.

The set described in the proposition will be denoted by O_P. We note that $O_P = P$ if and only if P is minimal in $\bar{X}$.

Let $P \in \bar{X}$. The set O_P, being an intersection of $\perp$-ideals, is itself a $\perp$-ideal. Define a relation (also denoted by $\perp$) on A/O_P by

$$(x + O_P) \perp (y + O_P) \leftrightarrow x^{\perp\perp} \wedge y^{\perp\perp} \subseteq O_P.$$

This relation is well-defined because if $x_1 = x + a$ and $y_1 = y + b$ where $a, b \in O_P$, then

$$x_1^{\perp\perp} \wedge y_1^{\perp\perp} = (x + a)^{\perp\perp} \wedge (y + b)^{\perp\perp} \subseteq (x^{\perp\perp} \vee a^{\perp\perp}) \wedge (y^{\perp\perp} \vee b^{\perp\perp})$$

and so $x_1^{\perp\perp} \wedge y_1^{\perp\perp} \subseteq (x^{\perp\perp} \wedge y^{\perp\perp}) \vee F^{\perp\perp}$ where F is a finite subset of O_P. It is routine to check that

$$x^{\perp} + O_P \subseteq (x + O_P)^{\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{\perp\perp} + O_P \subseteq (x + O_P)^{\perp\perp}$$

for each $x \in A$, and that the relation $\perp$ is a Boolean orthogonality on A/O_P.

PROPOSITION 3. *For each $P \in \bar{X}$, $\bar{P} = P/O_P$ is a $\perp$-prime ideal of A/O_P which contains all proper polars of A/O_P.*

Proof. Let $\bar{B}$ and $\bar{C}$ be polars in A/O_P such that $\bar{B} \cap \bar{C} \subseteq \bar{P}$. Suppose that $\bar{B} \not\subseteq \bar{P}$. Then there is an element $b \in A$ such that $b + O_P \in \bar{B} \backslash \bar{P}$. Let $c + O_P \in \bar{C}$. Then

$$(b^{\perp\perp} + O_P) \cap (c^{\perp\perp} + O_P) \subseteq (b + O_P)^{\perp\perp} \cap (c + O_P)^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \bar{B} \cap \bar{C} \subseteq \bar{P}$$

and so $b^{\perp\perp} \cap c^{\perp\perp} \subseteq P$. Since $b \notin P$ we conclude that $c \in P$ and so $\bar{C} \subseteq \bar{P}$. Thus $\bar{P}$ is $\perp$-prime.

Suppose that $a^{\perp\perp} \wedge b^{\perp\perp} \subseteq O_P$. Then there is a finite set $\{f_1, \cdots, f_n\} \subseteq O_P$ such that

$$a^{\perp\perp} \wedge b^{\perp\perp} = \{f_1, \cdots, f_n\}^{\perp\perp} = f_1^{\perp\perp} \vee \cdots \vee f_n^{\perp\perp}.$$

For each $i = 1, \cdots, n$, $f_i \in O_P$ and so $f_i^{\perp} \not\subseteq P$. Thus $f_1^{\perp} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n^{\perp} \not\subseteq P$. Also, $b^{\perp\perp} \wedge f_1^{\perp} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n^{\perp} \subseteq a^{\perp}$ because $a^{\perp\perp} \wedge b^{\perp\perp} \wedge f_1^{\perp} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n^{\perp} = (0)$. If $a \notin O_p$, then $a^{\perp} \subseteq P$ and so, since $f_1^{\perp} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n^{\perp} \not\subseteq P$, $b^{\perp\perp} \subseteq P$. Thus $\bar{P}$ contains $(a + O_P)^{\perp}$ for all $a \notin O_P$. It follows that $\bar{P}$ contains all proper polars of A/O_P.

Let S be the disjoint union of the factor rings A/O_P. The relation (also denoted by $\perp$) on the product

$$\Pi\{A/O_P \mid P \in \bar{X}\}$$
$$= \{f\colon \bar{X} \to S \mid f(P) \in A/O_P \quad \text{for all} \quad P \in \bar{X}\}$$

defined by

$$f \perp g \leftrightarrow f(P) \perp g(P) \quad \text{in} \quad A/O_P \quad \text{for all} \quad P \in \bar{X}$$

is a Boolean orthogonality. Each $a \in A$ determines a function $\hat{a} \in \Pi\{A/O_P \mid P \in \bar{X}\}$ defined by $\hat{a}(P) = a + O_P$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\cap\{P \mid P$ is a $\perp$-prime $\perp$-ideal$\} = (0)$ and so $\cap\{O_P \mid P \in \bar{X}\} = (0)$. Thus we obtain the usual embedding

$$A \hookrightarrow \hat{A} \subseteq \Pi\{A/O_P \mid P \in \bar{X}\}.$$

This embedding respects orthogonalities; that is, $a \perp b$ in A if and only if $\hat{a} \perp \hat{b}$ in the product.

We define a topology on $\bar{X}$ by declaring the basic open sets to be the subsets of the form

$$\bar{X}(a) = \{P \in \bar{X} \mid a^{\perp\perp} \not\subseteq P\}.$$

Notice that $\bar{X}(a) \cap \bar{X}(b) \supseteq \bar{X}(c)$ for all $c \in a^{\perp\perp} \wedge b^{\perp\perp}$ and so these sets do qualify as a topological base.

Suppose that $\{\bar{X}(a) \mid a \in C\}$ is a cover of $\bar{X}$ consisting of basic open sets. Then $\Sigma\{a^{\perp\perp} \mid a \in C\} = A$ because $\bar{X}$ is full. Since A has an identity there is a finite set $F \subseteq C$ such that $\Sigma\{a^{\perp\perp} \mid a \in F\} = A$. Thus $\{\bar{X}(a) \mid a \in F\}$ covers $\bar{X}$ and so $\bar{X}$ is quasi-compact.

Give S the topology generated by sets of the form $\hat{a}[U] = \{a + O_P \mid P \in U\}$ where U is open in $\bar{X}$ and $a \in A$. We obtain a sheaf of rings $(S, \pi, \bar{X})$ where $\pi\colon S \to \bar{X}$ is the projection onto $\bar{X}$.

Let $\Gamma = \{f \mid f \in \Pi\{A/O_P \mid P \in \bar{X}\}$ is continuous$\}$ be the ring of global sections. The following observation shows that $\hat{A} \subseteq \Gamma$: for all $x, y \in A$, $\{P \in \bar{X} \mid x - y \in O_P\}$ is open in $\bar{X}$. To see this notice that if $x - y \in O_Q$, then $Q \in \bar{X}(u) \subseteq \{P \in \bar{X} \mid x - y \in O_P\}$ where u is any element in $(x - y)^{\perp} \setminus Q$.

THEOREM 4. $\hat{A} = \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $f \in \Gamma$. Since $\bar{X}$ is quasi-compact there are finite sets $\{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$ and $\{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$ such that $\bar{X} = \bar{X}(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \bar{X}(a_n)$ and $f(P) = v_i + O_P$ for all $P \in \bar{X}(a_i)$.

Notice that $v_i - v_j \in \cap\{O_P \mid P \in \bar{X}(a_i) \cap \bar{X}(a_j)\}$, so $(v_i - v_j)^{\perp} \subseteq$

$Q \in \bar{X}$ implies that $a_i^{\perp\perp} \wedge z_j^{\perp\perp} \subseteq Q$. It follows from Lemma 1 (take $P = A$ and $I = (v_i - v_j)^\perp$ for each $x \notin (v_i - v_j)^\perp$) that

$$(v_i - v_j)^\perp = \cap\{Q \mid (v_i - v_j)^\perp \subseteq Q \in \bar{X}\}$$

and so $a_i^{\perp\perp} \wedge z_j^{\perp\perp} \subseteq (v_i - v_j)^\perp$. Thus $(v_i - v_j)^{\perp\perp} \wedge z_i^{\perp\perp} \subseteq a_j^\perp$.

Since $\bar{X} = \bar{X}(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \bar{X}(a_n)$ and $\bar{X}$ is full, $a_1^{\perp\perp} + \cdots + a_n^{\perp\perp} = A$. Choose $u_i \in a_i^{\perp\perp}$ such that $1 = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$ and let $v = u_1 v_1 + \cdots + u_n v_n$. Then

$$v - v_j = u_1(v_1 - v_j) + \cdots + u_n(v_n - v_j) \in a_j^\perp \subseteq O_P$$

for all $P \in \bar{X}(a_j)$. Thus $f(P) = v_j + O_P = v + O_P$ for all $P \in \bar{X}(a_j)$ and so $f = \hat{v} \in \hat{A}$.

f-rings (Keimal **[4]**). Let A be an f-ring with identity. The relation defined by $x \perp y \leftrightarrow |x| \wedge |y| = 0$ is a Boolean orthogonality and $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge y^{\perp\perp} = (|x| \wedge |y|)^{\perp\perp}$. Let $\bar{X}$ be the set of irreducible ℓ-ideals. Then $\bar{X}$ is full because polars are ℓ-ideals and sums of ℓ-ideals are again ℓ-ideals. Also, all $\perp$-prime $\perp$-ideals are irreducible ℓ-ideals and so A is isomorphic to the f-ring of all global sections of the sheaf $(S, \pi, \bar{X})$.

Reduced rings (Koh **[5]**). Let A be a ring with identity and no nonzero nilpotent elements. The relation defined by $x \perp y \leftrightarrow xy = 0$ is a Boolean orthogonality and $x^{\perp\perp} \wedge y^{\perp\perp} = (xy)^{\perp\perp}$. Let $\bar{X}$ be the set of all prime ideals of A. Clearly $\bar{X}$ is full. Also, all $\perp$-prime $\perp$-ideals are completely prime and so A is isomorphic to the ring of global sections of the sheaf $(S, \pi, \bar{X})$. Each stalk A/O_P is reduced (Proposition 2) and the prime ideal P/O_P contains all zero divisors (Proposition 3).

Semiprime rings. Let A be a semiprime ring with identity. The relation defined by $x \perp y \leftrightarrow (x)(y) = (0)$ is a Boolean orthogonality. However, the finiteness condition may not be satisfied as the following example shows.

Let R be a semiprime ring with identity, R' the ring of 3×3 matrices with entries from R,

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ in $P = \Pi\{R_n \mid R_n = R' \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ by

$$\bar{x}(n) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if} \quad n \equiv 1 \pmod 2 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n \not\equiv 1 \pmod 2 \end{cases}$$
$$\bar{y}(n) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if} \quad n \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n \not\equiv 1 \pmod 3. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{y}\bar{x} = \bar{x}^2 = \bar{y}^2 = 0$. Let E be the subring of P which is generated by the identity of P, $\bar{x}$, $\bar{y}$ and

$$\Sigma\{R_n \mid R_n = R' \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots\}. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\bar{x}^{\perp\perp} = \{f \in E \mid f(n) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \not\equiv 1 \quad (\text{mod } 2)\},$$

$$\bar{y}^{\perp\perp} = \{f \in E \mid f(n) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \not\equiv 1 \quad (\text{mod } 3)\},$$

and so

$$\bar{x}^{\perp\perp} \wedge \bar{y}^{\perp\perp} = \{f \in E \mid f(n) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \not\equiv 1 \quad (\text{mod } 6)\}.$$

If $\bar{x}^{\perp\perp} \wedge \bar{y}^{\perp\perp} = \{f_1, \cdots, f_n\}^{\perp\perp}$, then at least one of the f_i must satisfy $f_i(n) \neq 0$ for infinitely many positive integers n. But then there are integers α, β and γ such that $f_i(n) = (\alpha + \beta\bar{x} + \gamma\bar{y})(n)$ for all but a finite number of positive integers n. This is incompatible with the requirement that $f_i(n) = 0$ for $n \not\equiv 1$ (mod 6).

When the finiteness condition is satisfied (for instance, when A satisfies the maximum condition on annihilators), A is isomorphic to the ring of all global sections of the sheaf $(S, \pi, \bar{X})$ where $\bar{X}$ is the set of prime ideals of A. Each stalk A/O_P is semiprime (Proposition 1) and the prime ideal P/O_P contains all two-sided annihilator ideals of A/O_P (Proposition 2).

References

1. W. H. Cornish, *Boolean orthogonalities and minimal primes*, preprint.

2. W. H. Cornish and P. N. Stewart, *Direct and subdirect decompositions of universal algebras with a Boolean orthogonality*, preprint.

3. G. Davis, *Rings with orthogonality relations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **4** (1971), 163–178.

4. K. Keimal, *The representation of lattice ordered groups and rings by sections in sheaves*, Lecture Notes in Math. 248, 1–98, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.

5. K. Koh, *On functional representations of a ring without nilpotent elements*, Canad. Math. Bull., **14** (1971), 349–352.

Received April 30, 1974. Part of the research for this paper was done at the 1973 Canadian Mathematical Congress Summer Research Institute in Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY

CONTENTS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 58, No. 1 March, 1975