

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

STRONGLY SUPERFICIAL ELEMENTS

V. MERRILINE SMITH

STRONGLY SUPERFICIAL ELEMENTS

V. MERRILINE SMITH

The concepts of a strongly superficial element and a very strongly superficial element are introduced. A number of their properties are established and three applications are given.

1. Introduction. Superficial elements have proved to be a useful and important concept in a number of problems in commutative algebra, for example, the study of characteristic functions and multiplicities. This paper is concerned with two special kinds of such elements: a very strongly superficial (v.s.s.) element of degree k for an ideal A in a ring R ; and, a strongly superficial (s.s.) element for A^k . After listing a number of properties of s.s. and v.s.s. elements, we present in Theorem (2.5) and (2.6) a number of characterizations of such elements. In §3 we give three applications of the theorems. Namely, we first show that a known result about s.s. elements for an ideal generated by an R -sequence in a locally Macaulay ring holds in every Noetherian ring (3.2). Next we show that if A is an ideal in a Noetherian ring R , then the zero ideal in the A -form ring of R has no irrelevant prime divisor if and only if there exists a v.s.s. element of some positive degree for A (3.5). The final application is concerned with certain ideals in Rees rings of R ((3.8) and (3.9)).

2. s.s. and v.s.s. elements. All rings in this paper are assumed to be commutative with a unit element.

DEFINITION. 2.1. Let A be an ideal in a ring R , and let k be a positive integer. A *superficial element of degree k for A* is an element $x \in A^k$ for which there exists a nonnegative integer c such that $(A^{n+k} : xR) \cap A^c = A^n$, for all integers $n \geq c$. If $c = 0$ (where $A^0 = R$), then x is said to be a *very strongly superficial (v.s.s.) element of degree k for A* . If $A^{nk} : xR = A^{nk-k}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$, then x is said to be a *strongly superficial (s.s.) element for A^k* .

It is easily seen that, if $A^n \neq A^{n+1}$ (for each integer $n \geq 0$) and x is a superficial element of degree k for A , then $x \notin A^{k+1}$. (In particular, a v.s.s. element of degree k for A is not in A^{k+1} .) It is also clear that a v.s.s. element of degree k for A is a s.s. element for A^k . Some further properties of such elements are given in the following remark.

REMARK 2.2. Let A be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R , let k be a positive integer, and assume x is a s.s. element for A^k .

(2.2.1) If $k = 1$, then x is a v.s.s. element of degree 1 for A , so the concepts of a s.s. element for A and of a v.s.s. element of degree 1 for A are the same.

(2.2.2) If y is a v.s.s. element of degree n for A , where $n = mk$, for some positive integer m , then it is readily seen that xy is a s.s. element for A^{n+k} . Also, x^n is a s.s. element for A^{nk} , for each integer $n \geq 1$.

(2.2.3) If $xy = 0$, for some $y \in R$, then $y \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (A^{nk} : xR) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A^{nk-k}$. Therefore, if $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A^n = (0)$, then a s.s. element is not a zero-divisor.

(2.2.4) If x is a v.s.s. element of degree k for A , then statements analogous to (2.2.2)–(2.2.3) hold.

Theorems (2.5) and (2.6) below give several necessary and sufficient conditions for x to be a v.s.s. element of degree k for A (respectively, a s.s. element for A^k). To prove these results, the following lemma and definitions are needed.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let A be an ideal in a ring R , let $x \in A^k$, and assume x is a nonzero-divisor in R . Then $A^{nk} : xR = A^{nk-k}$, for all $n \geq 1$, if and only if $x^n R[A^k/x] \cap R = A^{nk}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $R' = R[A^k/x]$. To show the “only if” part, fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Since $x^n R' \cap R \supseteq A^{kn}$, let $r \in x^n R' \cap R$. Then $r = x^n r'$, for some $r' = a/x^j$, where $a \in A^{kj}$, so $rx^j = x^n a \in A^{(n+j)k}$. Therefore, $r \in A^{(n+j)k} : x^j R = A^{nk}$. To see the “if” part, let $r \in A^{nk} : xR$.

Then $rx = a \in A^{nk}$. Hence $r = x^{n-1}(a/x^n) \in x^{n-1} R' \cap R = A^{(n-1)k}$. The opposite containment is always true, because $x \in A^k$.

DEFINITION. 2.4. Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be an ideal in a Noetherian ring \mathcal{R} , let u be an indeterminate, and let $t = u^{-1}$.

(2.4.1) The graded Noetherian ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A) = R[ta_1, \dots, ta_n, u]$ is the *Rees ring of R with respect to A* . The elements of R in $t^r A^r$ are said to be *homogeneous elements of degree r* ($-\infty < r < \infty$, where $A^r = R$ if $r \leq 0$) and a *homogeneous ideal* is an ideal which can be generated by homogeneous elements. A homogeneous ideal H in \mathcal{R} is said to be *irrelevant* in case it contains every homogeneous element of sufficiently large degree. Otherwise, H is said to be *relevant*.

(2.4.2) The graded subring $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(R, A) = R[ta_1, \dots, ta_n]$ of \mathcal{R} is the *restricted Rees ring of R with respect to A* .

(2.4.3) The form ring of R with respect to A (or, A -form ring of R), $\mathcal{F}(R, A)$, is the graded ring $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A^i/A^{i+1}$. It is known [3, Theorem 2.1] that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(R, A) \cong \mathcal{R}/u\mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$, and in this isomorphism the A -form of an element x in R corresponds to the coset $xt^k + u\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{R}/u\mathcal{R}$. (The assumption in [3] that R be local is not essential.)

THEOREM. 2.5. *Let A be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R , let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$, and let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(R, A)$. Fix a positive integer k , fix $x \in A^k$, and consider the following statements:*

- (i) x is a v.s.s. element of degree k for A .
- (ii) x is a v.s.s. element of degree k for $A\mathcal{S}$ in \mathcal{S} .
- (iii) x is a v.s.s. element of degree k for $u\mathcal{R}$ in \mathcal{R} .
- (iv) xt^k is not in any prime divisor of $A^i\mathcal{S}$, for all $i \geq 1$.
- (v) u, xt^k is an \mathcal{R} -sequence.
- (vi) $A^{n+k} \cap xR = xA^n$, for every integer $n \geq 1$.
- (vii) $A^{n+k}\mathcal{S} \cap x\mathcal{S} = xA^n\mathcal{S}$, for every integer $n \geq 1$.
- (viii) $u^{n+k}\mathcal{R} \cap x\mathcal{R} = (xu^n)\mathcal{R}$, for every integer $n \geq 1$.
- (ix) x is a nonzero-divisor and $x^n R' \cap R = A^{nk}$, for every integer $n \geq 1$, where $R' = R[A^k/x]$.

Then the following hold:

(2.5.1) (i)-(v) are equivalent and each implies (vi)-(viii).

(2.5.2) (vi)-(viii) are equivalent and, if x is a nonzero-divisor, then each implies (i)-(v) and (ix).

(2.5.3) If $k = 1$, then (ix) implies (i)-(viii).

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (iii). $x = u^k(xt^k) \in u^k\mathcal{R}$, and $u^{n+k}\mathcal{R}: x\mathcal{R} = u^n\mathcal{R}: xt^k\mathcal{R} \supseteq u^n\mathcal{R}$. For the opposite inclusion, let $yt^r \in u^{n+k}\mathcal{R}: x\mathcal{R}$. Then, with $m = n + k + r$, there exists $a \in A^m$ such that $xyt^r = u^{n+k}at^m$. Therefore, $xy = a \in A^m$; hence $y \in A^{n+r+k}: xR = A^{n+r}$, by (i). Therefore, $yt^{n+r} \in \mathcal{R}$, so $yt^r \in u^n\mathcal{R}$. Hence, since $u^n\mathcal{R}: x\mathcal{R}$ is homogeneous, (iii) holds.

(iii) implies $u\mathcal{R} = u^{k+1}\mathcal{R}: x\mathcal{R} = u^{k+1}\mathcal{R}: u^k(xt^k)\mathcal{R} = (u^{k+1}\mathcal{R}: u^k\mathcal{R}): xt^k\mathcal{R} = u\mathcal{R}: xt^k\mathcal{R}$. Hence (iii) implies (v), since u is not a zero-divisor in \mathcal{R} .

(v) \rightarrow (iv). Let $i \geq 1$ and let $at^n \in A^i\mathcal{S}: xt^k\mathcal{S}$. Then $at^n xt^k \in A^i\mathcal{S} = u^i\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S}$ (this can be seen much as in the remainder of this paragraph). Hence $at^n \in u^i\mathcal{R}: xt^k\mathcal{R} =$ (by (v)) $u^i\mathcal{R}$, and so $at^{n+i} \in \mathcal{R}$, thus $a \in A^{n+i}$. Therefore $a = \sum b_g c_g$, where $b_g \in A^i$ and $c_g \in A^n$, hence $at^n = \sum b_g (c_g t^n) \in A^i\mathcal{S}$, and so (iv) holds.

(iv) \rightarrow (ii). Since $A^i\mathcal{S}: x\mathcal{S} \supseteq A^{i-k}\mathcal{S}$, for all $i \geq k$, and both ideals are homogeneous, let yt^r be an arbitrary homogeneous element in $A^i\mathcal{S}: x\mathcal{S}$. Then $xyt^r \in A^{i-k}A^k\mathcal{S}$, say $xyt^r = \sum_{g,j} a_{g,j} b_{g,j} (c_{g,j} t^r)$, where

each $a_{g,j} \in A^{i-k}$, $b_{g,j} \in A^k$, and $c_{g,j}t^r \in \mathcal{S}$, so $xt^k yt^r = \sum_{g,j} a_{g,j} (b_{g,j} t^k) (c_{g,j} t^r)$, where each $a_{g,j} \in A^{i-k}$ and $b_{g,j} c_{g,j} t^{r+k} \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence $xt^k yt^r \in A^{i-k} \mathcal{S}$, so that $yt^r \in A^{i-k} \mathcal{S}$, by (iv), and so (ii) holds.

Since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq R[t]$, (ii) implies, for all $i \geq k$, $A^{i-k} = A^{i-k} \mathcal{S} \cap R = (A^i \mathcal{S} : x \mathcal{S}) \cap R = (A^i \mathcal{S} \cap R) : (x \mathcal{S} \cap R) = A^i R : xR$, hence (ii) implies (i). Therefore, (i)-(v) are equivalent, and if (i) holds, then

(*) $A^{n+k} \cap xR = x(A^{n+k} : xR) = xA^n$, for all $n \geq 1$, hence (i) implies (vi). Similarly (ii) implies (vii) and (iii) implies (viii). Therefore, (2.5.1) holds.

(2.5.2) (viii) \rightarrow (vii) much as in the proof that (v) \rightarrow (iv); and (vii) \rightarrow (vi), since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq R[t]$. For (vi) \rightarrow (viii), let $at^i \in u^{n+k} \mathcal{R} \cap x \mathcal{R} = x(u^{n+k} \mathcal{R} : x \mathcal{R})$, so $at^i = xbt^i \in u^{n+k} \mathcal{R}$, for some $bt^i \in u^{n+k} \mathcal{R} : x \mathcal{R}$. Therefore, with $g = n + i + k$, $a = bx \in A^g$, so $b \in A^g : xR$, hence $xb \in x(A^g : xR) = A^g \cap xR =$ (by (vi)) xA^{n+i} . Therefore $xb = \sum x c_f d_f$, where $x c_f \in xA^n$ and $d_f \in A^i$, hence $at^i = xbt^i \in xA^n \mathcal{R} \subseteq xu^n \mathcal{R}$, and so $u^{n+k} \mathcal{R} \cap x \mathcal{R} = x(u^{n+k} \mathcal{R} : x \mathcal{R}) \subseteq xu^n \mathcal{R}$, since $u^{n+k} \mathcal{R} \cap x \mathcal{R}$ is homogeneous. Hence (viii) holds, since $xu^n \mathcal{R} \subseteq u^n \mathcal{R}$ and since $x \in A^k$ implies $u^{n+k} \mathcal{R} : x \mathcal{R} \supseteq u^n \mathcal{R}$. Further, if x is a nonzero-divisor, then (vi) implies (i), by (*), and so (ix) holds, by (2.3).

Finally, for (2.5.3), if $k = 1$, then (ix) implies (i), by (2.3).

THEOREM 2.6. *Let R, A, x and k be as in (2.5), let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A^k)$, let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(R, A^k)$, and consider the following statements:*

- (i) x is a s.s. element for A^k .
- (ii) x is a s.s. element for $A^k \mathcal{S}$.
- (iii) x is a s.s. element for $u \mathcal{R}$.
- (iv) xt is not in any prime divisor of $A^{ki} \mathcal{S}$, for each $i \geq 1$.
- (v) u, xt is an \mathcal{R} -sequence.
- (vi) $A^{nk} \cap xR = xA^{nk-k}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$.
- (vii) $A^{nk} \mathcal{S} \cap x \mathcal{S} = xA^{nk-k} \mathcal{S}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$.
- (viii) $u^n \mathcal{R} \cap x \mathcal{R} = xu^{n-1} \mathcal{R}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$.
- (ix) x is a nonzero divisor and $x^n R' \cap R = A^{nk}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$, where $R' = R[A^k/x]$.

Then the following statements hold:

(2.6.1) (i)-(v) are equivalent and each implies (vi)-(viii).

(2.6.2) (vi)-(viii) are equivalent and, if x is not a zero divisor, then each implies (i)-(v) and (ix).

(2.6.3) (ix) implies (i)-(viii).

Proof. This follows from (2.2.1) and (2.5).

3. Applications. In this section we give three applications of Theorems (2.5) and (2.6).

REMARK. 3.1. Let a_1, \dots, a_m be an R -sequence in a Noetherian ring R , and let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)R$.

(3.1.1) [2, Corollary 3.7]. If R is locally Macaulay, then a_i is a s.s. element for A .

(3.1.2) If R is a Macaulay local ring, then each of the following statements hold:

(i) ([5, Lemma 6, p. 402] and [1, Theorem 119].) Each a_i is a s.s. element for A .

(ii) [5, Lemma 5, p. 401]. The prime divisors of A^n ($n \geq 1$) are the prime divisors of A and each has height m .

We note that it follows from (3.1.2) that parts (i) and (ii) of (3.1.2) also hold for an ideal generated by an R -sequence in a locally Macaulay ring. However, it follows from (3.2) below that (3.1.2) (i) holds even if R is not locally Macaulay.

PROPOSITION¹ 3.2. Let R be a Noetherian ring, let a_1, \dots, a_m be an R -sequence, and let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)R$. Then $A^n : a_i R = A^{n-1}$, for every integer $n \geq 1$ and for every $i = 1, \dots, m$.

¹ I am grateful to the referee for mentioning that this result was proved in D. Taylor, "Ideals generated by monomials in an R -sequence," Thesis, University of Chicago, 1966. Since her thesis isn't readily available, the referee kindly provided the following proof of a generalization of (3.2): Let R be a commutative ring with identity, M an R -module, a_1, \dots, a_m an M -sequence in R , and $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)R$. Then, for all positive integers n and for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $A^n M : a_i R = A^{n-1} M$.

Proof. Let $S = Z[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, $I = (x_1, \dots, x_m)S$, $\phi: S \rightarrow R$ by $\phi(x_i) = a_i$ (so that R, M become S -modules) and consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S & \xrightarrow{x_i} & S & & S/(I^n + x_i S) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 \rightarrow S/I^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S/I^n & \rightarrow & E \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

In order that $A^n M : a_i R = A^{n-1} M$ it suffices that the bottom row remain exact upon applying $\otimes_R M$. Hence, it suffices that $\text{Tor}_1^S(E, M) = 0$. But E is easily seen to have a filtration all of whose factors are $\cong F = S/(x_1, \dots, x_m)S$ ($F \cong Z$, of course). Thus, a sufficient condition for $A^n M : a_i R = A^{n-1} M$, all n , is that $\text{Tor}_1^S(F, M) = 0$, which is immediate if a_1, \dots, a_m is a regular sequence on M .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$. By [4, Theorem 3.5.1], $a_i t$ is not in any prime divisor of $u\mathcal{R}$, for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence, we are done by (2.5) (i) and (v).

Clearly, (3.2) and (2.5) show that, with R, a_1, \dots, a_m and A as in (3.2), each a_i is a s.s. element for $A\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(R, A)$ and for $u\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let A be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R . For all integers s , the s -component H_s of a homogeneous ideal H in $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$ is the ideal in R , $H_s = \{b \in R \mid t^s b \in H\}$.

It is easy to see that a homogeneous ideal H in \mathcal{R} is irrelevant if and only if $H_s = A^s$, for all (or, for some) sufficiently large s . Equivalently, H is irrelevant if and only if $H \supseteq (A^*)^s = (A^s)^*$, for all (or, for some) sufficiently large s , where $B^* = BR[u, t] \cap \mathcal{R}$, for each ideal B in R . (2.5) (v) shows that a sufficient condition for $u\mathcal{R}$ to have no irrelevant prime divisors is the existence of a v.s.s. element x of some degree k for A . That is, if xt^k is not in any prime divisor P of $u\mathcal{R}$, then clearly no power of xt^k can belong to P . (3.4) below shows that the converse also holds.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let A be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R , and let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $u\mathcal{R}$ to have no irrelevant prime divisor is that there exists a v.s.s. element of some positive degree for A .*

Proof. By the preceding discussion, it suffices to prove the "necessary part." Let $A^* = AR[u, t] \cap \mathcal{R}$, let P_1, \dots, P_h be the prime divisors of $u\mathcal{R}$, and let $N_g = \{c, t^r; c, t^r \in P_g \text{ and } r \geq 1\}$ be the set of all homogeneous elements of positive degree contained in P_g , for each $g = 1, \dots, h$. If we can find a homogeneous element of positive degree in \mathcal{R} and not in any of the N_g , then we are done by (2.5) (i) and (v).

Since P_h is relevant by hypothesis, $P_h \not\subseteq A^*$; therefore, there exists some $a \in A$ such that $at \notin N_h$. If $at \notin G = \bigcup_{g=1}^h N_g$, we are done. If $at \in G$, then, say, $at \in I = \bigcap_{i=1}^m N_i$ and $at \notin J = \bigcup_{j=m+1}^h N_j$. We can assume there are no containment relations among the N_g ; thus $J' \not\subseteq I'$, where $I' = \bigcup_{i=1}^m N_i$ and $J' = \bigcap_{j=m+1}^h N_j$. To see this, note that each homogeneous element in $N_{m+1} \cdots N_h$ is in J' , because the N_j are subsets of ideals. Therefore, if $J' \subseteq I'$, then $(N_{m+1} \cdots N_h)\mathcal{R} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$; hence there exists an i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and a j ($m+1 \leq j \leq h$) such that $N_j \subseteq N_i$ which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, let bt^e be a homogeneous element of positive degree such that $bt^e \in J'$ and $bt^e \notin I'$. Then $xt^e = (at)^e + bt^e$ satisfies (2.5) (v).

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let A be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R , and let \mathcal{F} be the form ring of R with respect to A . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for there to exist a v.s.s. element of some positive ddegree for A is that the zero ideal in \mathcal{F} has no irrelevant prime divisor.*

(An irrelevant (homogeneous) ideal in \mathcal{F} is defined in an analogous manner to (2.4.1).)

Proof. This follows immediately from (3.4) and the fact that $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{R}/u\mathcal{R}$ [3, Theorem 2.1], where $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let A be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R , and assume there exists an element x in A such that $A^m : xR = A^{m-1}$, for all integers $m \geq r$, where r is some fixed positive integer. Then the following statements hold, for each integer $i \geq r$:*

(3.6.1) x^i is a v.s.s. element of degree one for A^i .

(3.6.2) *If M is a maximal ideal in R such that $A \subseteq M$, then $u\mathcal{R}^{(i)} : \mathcal{M}_i = u\mathcal{R}^{(i)}$, where $\mathcal{R}^{(i)} = \mathcal{R}(R, A^i)$, and $\mathcal{M}_i = (MR[u, t] \cap \mathcal{R}^{(i)}, u)\mathcal{R}^{(i)}$.*

Proof. (3.6.1) is clear, because $(A^i)^{n+1} : x^i R = (A^{i+n+1} : xR) : x^{i-1} R = (A^i)^n$, for all integers $n \geq 1$. (3.6.2) follows from (3.6.1) and (3.4).

We conclude this paper with the following three observations.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let A be an ideal in a ring R , and assume x is an element in R such that $A^n : xR = A^n$, for every integer $n \geq 1$. If $(A, x)R \neq R$, then x is a v.s.s. element of degree one for $(A, x)R$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (A, x)^n R : xR &= (A^n, x(A, x)^{n-1})R : xR \\ &= A^n : xR + (A, x)^{n-1} R = A^n + (A, x)^{n-1} = (A, x)^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for every integer $n \geq 1$.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Assume A is an ideal in a Noetherian ring R containing a v.s.s. element x of degree k . Then xt^k is a v.s.s. element of degree one for both $(u, xt^k)\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$ and $(A, xt^k)\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(R, A)$.*

Proof. Clear by (3.7) and the equivalence of (2.5) (i), (iv), and (v).

Let A be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R , and let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$. It is easily shown (cf. [3]) that for every ideal B in R , $B^* = BR[u, t] \cap \mathcal{R}$ is such that $B^*: u\mathcal{R} = B^*$, and $B^{*n}R[u, t] = B^nR[u, t]$, but it is not in general true that $B^{*n} = (B^n)^*$. However, it follows from considering homogeneous elements that $A^{*n} = (A^n)^*$, for each $n \geq 1$.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let A and B be ideals in a Noetherian ring R such that $A + B \neq R$, and let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(R, A)$. If $(B^*)^n = (B^n)^*$, for each $n \geq 1$, then u is a v.s.s. element of degree one for $(B^*, u)\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. $(B^*, u)\mathcal{R} \neq \mathcal{R}$, since $A + B \neq R$. Therefore (3.9) follows from (3.7), because $(B^*)^n: u\mathcal{R} = (B^n)^*: u\mathcal{R} = (B^n)^* = (B^*)^n$, for each $n \geq 1$.

It is also clear, by the preceding discussion, that (3.9) holds, in particular, whenever $B = A$.

REFERENCES

1. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1970.
2. L. J. Ratliff, Jr., *Two notes on locally Macaulay rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. soc., **119** (1965), 399–406.
3. D. Rees, *A note on form rings and ideals*, Matematika **4** (1957), 51–60.
4. V. M. Smith, *On Monadic Transformations of Macaulay Rings*, Thesis (Riverside, California), 1972.
5. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. II, New Jersey: D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., 1960.

Received February 12, 1974. The results in this paper constitute part of the author's Ph.D. dissertation [4] at the University of California, Riverside, under Professor Louis J. Ratliff, Jr., to whom the author wishes to express her gratitude for his encouragement and many helpful suggestions.

CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1975 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 58, No. 2

April, 1975

Zvi Artstein and John Allen Burns, <i>Integration of compact set-valued functions</i>	297
Mark Benard, <i>Characters and Schur indices of the unitary reflection group [321]³</i>	309
Simeon M. Berman, <i>A new characterization of characteristic functions of absolutely continuous distributions</i>	323
Monte Boisen and Philip B. Sheldon, <i>Pre-Prüfer rings</i>	331
Hans-Heinrich Brungs, <i>Three questions on duo rings</i>	345
Iracema M. Bund, <i>Birnbaum-Orlicz spaces of functions on groups</i>	351
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions between 2-manifolds of higher topological type</i>	361
Eric Friedlander, <i>Extension functions for rank 2, torsion free abelian groups</i>	371
Jon Froemke and Robert Willis Quackenbush, <i>The spectrum of an equational class of groupoids</i>	381
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Radicals of supplementary semilattice sums of associative rings</i>	387
Shmuel Glasner, <i>Relatively invariant measures</i>	393
George Rudolph Gordh, Jr. and Sibe Mardesic, <i>Characterizing local connectedness in inverse limits</i>	411
Siegfried Graf, <i>On the existence of strong liftings in second countable topological spaces</i>	419
Stanley P. Gudder and D. Strawther, <i>Orthogonally additive and orthogonally increasing functions on vector spaces</i>	427
Darald Joe Hartfiel and Carlton James Maxson, <i>A characterization of the maximal monoids and maximal groups in β_X</i>	437
Robert E. Hartwig and S. Brent Morris, <i>The universal flip matrix and the generalized faro-shuffle</i>	445
William Emery Haver, <i>Mappings between ANRs that are fine homotopy equivalences</i>	457
J. Bockett Hunter, <i>Moment sequences in l^p</i>	463
Barbara Jeffcott and William Thomas Spears, <i>Semimodularity in the completion of a poset</i>	467
Jerry Alan Johnson, <i>A note on Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions</i>	475
David W. Jonah and Bertram Manuel Schreiber, <i>Transitive affine transformations on groups</i>	483
Karsten Juul, <i>Some three-point subset properties connected with Menger's characterization of boundaries of plane convex sets</i>	511
Ronald Brian Kirk, <i>The Haar integral via non-standard analysis</i>	517
Justin Thomas Lloyd and William Smiley, <i>On the group of permutations with countable support</i>	529
Erwin Lutwak, <i>Dual mixed volumes</i>	531
Mark Mahowald, <i>The index of a tangent 2-field</i>	539
Keith Miller, <i>Logarithmic convexity results for holomorphic semigroups</i>	549
Paul Milnes, <i>Extension of continuous functions on topological semigroups</i>	553
Kenneth Clayton Pietz, <i>Cauchy transforms and characteristic functions</i>	563
James Ted Rogers Jr., <i>Whitney continua in the hyperspace $C(X)$</i>	569
Jean-Marie G. Rolin, <i>The inverse of a continuous additive functional</i>	585
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces</i>	605
Rolf Schneider, <i>A measure of convexity for compact sets</i>	617
Alan Henry Schoenfeld, <i>Continuous measure-preserving maps onto Peano spaces</i>	627
V. Merriline Smith, <i>Strongly superficial elements</i>	643
Roger P. Ware, <i>A note on quadratic forms over Pythagorean fields</i>	651
Roger Allen Wiegand and Sylvia Wiegand, <i>Finitely generated modules over Bezout rings</i>	655
Martin Ziegler, <i>A counterexample in the theory of definable automorphisms</i>	665