

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON GROSS DIFFERENTIATION ON BANACH SPACES

HUI-HSIUNG KUO

ON GROSS DIFFERENTIATION ON BANACH SPACES

HUI-HSIUNG KUO

Let $p_t(x, \cdot)$ denote the Wiener measure in an abstract Wiener space (H, B) with variance parameter $t > 0$ and mean x in B . It is shown that if $f \in L^2 p_t(x, \cdot)$, $t > 0$ and x are fixed, then the function $p_t f$ defined by $p_t f(x+h) = \int_B f(y) p_t(x+h, dy)$ for h in H is infinitely Gross differentiable at x . The first two derivatives are given by $(D p_t f(x), h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(y)(h, y-x) p_t(x, dy)$ and $(D^2 p_t f(x)k, h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{t^{-1}(h, y-x)(k, y-x) - (h, k)\} p_t(x, dy)$, where h and k are in H . Moreover, $D^2 p_t f(x)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and $\|D^2 p_t f(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} t^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}$. An application to Uhlenbeck-Ornstein process is also given.

1. Introduction. It is well-known that in a general Banach space B the Frechet differentiable functions on B do not form a very large class of functions. The works [1; 7], among others, show that for many separable Banach spaces the bounded continuously Frechet differentiable functions are not dense in the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions. However, by regarding a real separable Banach space B as an abstract Wiener space [3], Goodman [2] is able to show that the set of bounded continuously quasi-differentiable functions on B is dense in the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions on B . Regarding B as an abstract Wiener space has a more important advantage, namely, we can talk about whether the second derivative is a Hilbert-Schmidt or trace class operator.

Let (H, B) be an abstract Wiener space. In [4], a real valued function u defined in an open subset V of B is said to be H -differentiable at $x \in V$ if there is an element y in H such that $|u(x+h) - u(x) - (y, h)| = o(|h|)$ for h in H , where $|\cdot|$ and (\cdot) are the norm and the inner product of H , respectively. y is easily seen to be unique and is denoted by $Du(x)$. Higher derivatives are defined similarly. Let $p_t(x, \cdot)$ denote the Wiener measure in (H, B) with variance parameter $t > 0$ and mean $x \in B$. $p_t(0, \cdot)$ will be written as $p_t(\cdot)$. If f is a bounded measurable complex valued function on B , we define $p_t f(x) = \int_B f(x+y) p_t(dy)$.

THEOREM (Gross [4]). *Let f be a bounded measurable function on B . Then $p_t f$ is infinitely H -differentiable on B with the first*

and second derivatives given by

$$(Dp_t f(x), h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(x+y)(h, y)p_t(dy),$$

$$(D^2 p_t f(x)k, h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(x+y)\{t^{-1}(h, y)(k, y) - (h, k)\}p_t(dy),$$

where h and k are in H . If T is a test operator then

$$\text{trace } [TD^2 p_t f(x)] = t^{-1} \int_B f(x+y)\{t^{-1}\langle Ty, y \rangle - \text{trace } T\}p_t(dy),$$

where \langle, \rangle is the natural pairing between B^* and B . Moreover, $D^2 p_t f(x)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and

$$\|D^2 p_t f(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} t^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |f(x+y)|^2 p_t(dy) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

The assumption that f is bounded makes the above theorem uneasy to apply. For instance, it is desirable to differentiate the function $r_t f$ defined by $r_t f(x) = \int_B f(y)r_t(x, dy)$, where $f \in L^2(p_t)$ and $r_t(x, \cdot) = p_{t-e^{-2t}}(e^{-t}x, \cdot)$ are the transition probabilities of Uhlenbeck-Ornstein process. Since f may not be bounded, the above theorem is not applicable. To overcome this difficulty Piech considers an orthonormal basis of $L^2(p_t)$ consisting of Hermite cylinder functions and proves the following theorem.

THEOREM (Piech [6]). *Let $g \in L^2(p_t)$. Then for p_t -a.e. x and for $t > 0$, $r_t g$ is twice H -differentiable at x with derivatives given by*

$$(Dr_t g(x), h) = -[e^t(1 - e^{-2t})]^{-1} \int_B g(y)(e^{-t}x - y, h)r_t(x, dy),$$

$$(D^2 r_t g(x)h, k) = (e^{2t} - 1)^{-1} \int_B g(y)\{(1 - e^{-2t})^{-1}(e^{-t}x - y, h)(e^{-t}x - y, k) - (h, k)\}r_t(x, dy).$$

Moreover, $|Dr_t g|$ and $\|D^2 r_t g\|_2$ are in $L^2(p_t)$.

In this paper, we will reexamine how the H -derivatives are defined by Gross in [4] and prove his formulas in a rather general situation which we believe will be quite useful in $L^2(p_t)$ -theory associated with Uhlenbeck-Ornstein process as well as other processes in B . We will see that our theorem yields Piech's in a beautiful way. The novelties in our approach are Lemma 1, Theorem 2 and the way we rewrite the expressions in Gross' theorem. For instance, the first derivative will be rewritten as $t^{-1} \int_B f(y)(h, y - x)p_t(x, dy)$, which exist when $f \in L^2(p_t(x, \cdot))$ since $(h, \cdot - x) \in L^2(p_t(x, \cdot))$.

DEFINITION 1. Let V be a subset of B and x in V . V is called *H-coset open at x* if there exists $\delta = \delta(x) > 0$ such that $x + h \in V$ for all $|h| < \delta$ in H . V is called *H-coset open* if V is *H-coset open* at every point of V .

Obviously, if G is an open subset of H then $z + G$ is *H-coset open* for any z in B . An open subset of B is also *H-coset open* because B -norm is weaker than H -norm. But there are other subsets which are *H-coset open*. For instance, let (H, B_0) be another abstract Wiener space with $B_0 \subset B$ then any open subset of B_0 is also *H-coset open*. Perhaps this is the reason why our theorem is easier to apply because whenever a function is defined on an *H-coset open* set then we can talk about Gross differentiation of f defined below.

DEFINITION 2. Let f be a function defined on an *H-coset open* subset V of B . Let $x \in V$. If the function $v(h) = f(x + h)$ defined on some H -neighborhood of 0 is k th ($k \geq 1$) Frechet differentiable at 0, then we say that f is k th *Gross differentiable* at x . The j th *Gross derivative* $D^j f(x)$ of f at x is defined to be the j th Frechet derivative of v at 0. (Hence $D^j f(x)$ is a j -linear form on H , $1 \leq j \leq k$).

From now on, $t > 0$ and $x \in B$ will be fixed through §4. Suppose $f \in L^2(p_t(x, \cdot))$, it will follow from Lemma 1 that $f \in L^1(p_t(x + h, \cdot))$ for all h in H . Therefore, $p_t f(x + h) = \int_B f(y) p_t(x + h, dy)$ is a function defined on the *H-coset open* set $x + H$.

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in L^2(p_t(x, \cdot))$. Then the function $p_t f$ defined on the *H-coset open* set $x + H$ is infinitely *Gross differentiable* at x . The first and second *Gross derivatives* are given by

$$(Dp_t f(x), h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(y) (h, y - x) p_t(x, dy),$$

$$(D^2 p_t f(x)k, h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{t^{-1}(h, y - x)(k, y - x) - (h, k)\} p_t(x, dy).$$

If T is a test operator then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } TD^2 p_t f(x) &= t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{t^{-1} \langle T(y - x), y - x \rangle \\ &\quad - \text{trace } T\} p_t(x, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $D^2 p_t f(x)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* of H and

$$\|D^2 p_t f(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} t^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

The proof of this theorem will be given in §4. In §2 and §3 we will state some integral formulas and prove some lemmas necessary for the proof. We remark that the idea of the proof comes essentially from [5, Proposition 1]. In §5 we will apply our theorem to Uhlenbeck-Ornstein process and derive Piech's theorem.

2. Some integral formulas. In the following h and k are in H and $J_i(h, z) = \exp \{[-|h|^2 + 2(h, z)]/2t\}$, $h \in H$ and $z \in B$.

$$\text{F 1).} \quad \int_B (h, z)^2 p_t(dz) = t |h|^2 .$$

$$\text{F 2).} \quad \int_B (h, z)^4 p_t(dz) = 3t^2 |h|^4 .$$

$$\text{F 3).} \quad \int_B (h, z)^8 p_t(dz) = 105 t^4 |h|^8 .$$

$$\text{F 4).} \quad \int_B J_i(h, z)^2 p_t(dz) = e^{1/2 |h|^2/t}$$

$$\text{F 5).} \quad \int_B J_i(h, z)^4 p_t(dz) = e^{6/16 |h|^2/t}$$

$$\text{F 6).} \quad \text{Let } \theta_i(r) = e^{8r^2/t} - 4e^{3r^2/t} + 6e^{r^2/t} - 3, r \geq 0 .$$

$$\text{Then } \int_B [J_i(h, z) - 1]^4 p_t(dz) = \theta_i(|h|) .$$

3. Some lemmas. Recall that $t > 0$ and $x \in B$ are fixed. Note that for h in H the distribution function of $(h, y - x)$ with respect to $p_t(x, dy)$ is the same as that of (h, z) with respect to $p_t(dz)$. Hence if ϕ is any complex valued Borel measurable function on R then $\int_B \phi((h, y - x)) p_t(x, dy) = \int_B \phi((h, z)) p_t(dz)$. This remark will play an important role in the following computations.

LEMMA 1. *If $f \in L^2(p_t(x, \cdot))$ then $f \in L^1(p_t(x + h, \cdot))$ for all h in H . In fact,*

$$\int_B |f(y)| p_t(x + h, dy) \leq e^{1/2 |h|^2/2t} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} .$$

Proof. By the translation formula for the Wiener measures,

$$p_t(x + h, dy) = J_i(h, y - x) p_t(x, dy) .$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_B |f(y)| p_t(x+h, dy) \\
& \leq \left\{ \int_B J_t(h, y-x)^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& = \left\{ \int_B J_t(h, z)^2 p_t(dz) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& = e^{|h|^2/2t} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the integral formula F4).

LEMMA 2. If $f \in L^2(p_t(x, \cdot))$ then for all h and k in H , $f(\cdot)(h, \cdot-x)$ and $f(\cdot)\{t^{-1}(h, \cdot-x)(k, \cdot-x) - (h, k)\}$ are in $L^1(p_t(x, \cdot))$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_B |f(y)(h, y-x)| p_t(x, dy) \leq \sqrt{t} |h| \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \int_B |f(y)\{t^{-1}(h, y-x)(k, y-x) - (h, k)\}| p_t(x, dy) \\
& \leq (1 + \sqrt{3}) |h| |k| \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use F1), F2) and the Schwarz inequality.

LEMMA 3. If $f \in L^2(p_t(x, \cdot))$ then $f(\cdot)(h, \cdot-x) \in L^1(p_t(x+k, \cdot))$ for all h and k in H . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_B |f(y)(h, y-x)| p_t(x+k, dy) \\
& \leq \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{t} |h| e^{3|k|^2/2t} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_B |f(y)(h, y-x)| p_t(x+k, dy) \\
& = \int_B |f(y)| |(h, y-x)| J_t(k, y-x) p_t(x, dy) \\
& \leq \left\{ \int_B (h, y-x)^4 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/4} \left\{ \int_B J_t(k, y-x)^4 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/4} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& = \left\{ \int_B (h, z)^4 p_t(dz) \right\}^{1/4} \left\{ \int_B J_t(k, z)^4 p_t(dz) \right\}^{1/4} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\
& = \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{t} |h| e^{3|k|^2/2t} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used integral formulas F2) and F5).

4. Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1 the function $p_t f(x+h)$ is defined on the H -coset open subset $x+H$ of B . We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. To show that $p_t f$ is Gross differentiable and $(Dp_t f(x), h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(y)(h, y-x)p_t(x, dy)$. (This integral exists by Lemma 2).

Define $\phi(h) = p_t f(x+h) - p_t f(x) - t^{-1} \int_B f(y)(h, y-x)p_t(x, dy)$. We need to show that $|\phi(h)| = o(|h|)$ for small $|h|$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(h) &= t^{-1} \int f(y)(h, y-x) \int_0^1 [J_t(sh, y-x) - 1] ds p_t(x, dy), \\ \phi_2(h) &= t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \int_0^1 s |h|^2 J_t(sh, y-x) ds p_t(x, dy).\end{aligned}$$

Then by [4, p. 153] we have $\phi(h) = \phi_1(h) - \phi_2(h)$. But now we have to make better estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}t|\phi_1(h)| &\leq \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_B (h, z)^4 p_t(dz) \right\}^{1/4} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_B [J_t(sh, z) - 1]^4 p_t(dz) ds \right\}^{1/4} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \{3t^2 |h|^4\}^{1/4} \left\{ \int_0^1 \theta_t(s|h|) ds \right\}^{1/4} \\ &= \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt[4]{t} |h| \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_0^1 \theta_t(s|h|) ds \right\}^{1/4}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the integral formulas F2) and F6). It is easy to see that $\int_0^1 \theta_t(s|h|) ds \rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. Hence $|\phi_1(h)| = o(|h|)$ for small $|h|$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}t|\phi_2(h)| &\leq |h|^2 \int_0^1 s \left| \int_B f(y) J_t(sh, y-x) p_t(x, dy) \right| ds \\ &= |h|^2 \int_0^1 s \left| \int_B f(y) p_t(x+sh, dy) \right| ds \\ &\leq |h|^2 \int_0^1 s e^{s^2|h|^2/2t} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} ds \\ &= t(e^{h^2/2t} - 1) \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\phi_2(h)| = o(|h|)$. Therefore, we have $|\phi(h)| = o(|h|)$.

Step 2. To show that $p_t f$ is twice Gross differentiable and

$$(D^2 p_t f(x)k, h) = t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{t^{-1}(h, y - x)(k, y - x) - (h, k)\} p_t(x, dy) .$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (Dp_t f(x + k), h) &= t^{-1} \int_B f(y)(h, y - x)J_t(k, y - x)p_t(x, dy) \\ &\quad - t^{-1} \int_B f(y)(h, k)J_t(k, y - x)p_t(x, dy) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Dp_t f(x + k), h) - (Dp_t f(x), h) &= t^{-1} \int_B f(y)(h, y - x) \\ &\quad \times [J_t(k, y - x) - 1]p_t(x, dy) - t^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \int_B f(y)(h, k)J_t(k, y - x)p_t(x, dy) . \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$J_t(k, y - x) - 1 = t^{-1} \int_0^1 [(k, y - x) - s|k|^2]J_t(sk, y - x)ds .$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (Dp_t f(x + k), h) - (Dp_t f(x), h) &= t^{-2} \int_B f(y)(h, y - x)(k, y - x) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 J_t(sk, y - x)ds p_t(x, dy) - t^{-2} \int_B f(y)(h, y - x) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 s|k|^2 J_t(sk, y - x)ds p_t(x, dy) - t^{-1}(h, k) \\ &\quad \times \int_B f(y)J_t(k, y - x)p_t(x, dy) . \end{aligned}$$

Now, define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(h, k) &= (Dp_t f(x + k), h) - (Dp_t f(x), h) \\ &\quad - t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{t^{-1}(h, y - x)(k, y - x) - (h, k)\} p_t(x, dy) , \\ \psi_1(h, k) &= t^{-2} \int_B f(y)(h, y - x)(k, y - x) \int_0^1 [J_t(sk, y - x) - 1] ds p_t(x, dy) , \\ \psi_2(h, k) &= t^{-2} \int_B f(y)(h, y - x) \int_0^1 s|k|^2 J_t(sk, y - x) ds p_t(x, dy) , \\ \psi_3(h, k) &= t^{-1}(h, k) \int_B f(y)[J_t(k, y - x) - 1] p_t(x, dy) . \end{aligned}$$

Then by the above computation, we have $\psi(h, k) = \psi_1(h, k) + \psi_2(h, k) + \psi_3(h, k)$. We will show that $\psi_j(h, k) = 0(|h|)o(|k|)$ and our assertion

in this step follows immediately.

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^2 |\psi_1(h, k)| &\leq \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_B (h, y-x)^8 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/8} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_B (k, y-x)^8 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/8} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_B [J_t(sk, y-x) - 1]^4 p_t(x, dy) ds \right\}^{1/4} \\
 &= \sqrt[4]{105} t |h| |k| \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_0^1 \theta_t(s|k|) ds \right\}^{1/4} \\
 &= o(|h|) o(|k|).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^2 |\psi_2(h, k)| &= |k|^2 \left| \int_0^1 s \int_B f(y)(h, y-x) J_t(sk, y-x) p_t(x, dy) ds \right| \\
 &= |k|^2 \left| \int_0^1 s \int_B f(y)(h, y-x) p_t(x+sk, dy) ds \right| \\
 &\leq |k|^2 \int_0^1 s \int_B |f(y)(h, y-x)| p_t(x+sk, dy) ds \\
 &\leq \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{t} |h| |k|^2 \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_0^1 s e^{3s^2|k|^2/2t} ds \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}} t^{3/2} |h| [e^{3|k|^2/2t} - 1] \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\
 &= O(|h|) o(|k|).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the third step of estimating $\psi_2(h, k)$ we have used Lemma 3. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
 t |\psi_3(h, k)| &\leq |h| |k| \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_B [J_t(k, y-x) - 1]^4 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/4} \\
 &= |h| |k| \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \{\theta_t(|k|)\}^{1/4} \\
 &= O(|h|) o(|k|).
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Let T be a test operator whose restriction to H is symmetric. Then T can be expressed as follows:

$$Tx = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \langle e_j, x \rangle e_j,$$

where \langle, \rangle is the natural pairing between B^* and B , and $e_j \in B^*$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are orthonormal. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{trace } TD^2 p_t f(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (TD^2 p_t f(x) e_j, e_j) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (D^2 p_t f(x) e_j, e_j) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{t^{-1} \lambda_j \langle e_j, y-x \rangle^2 - \lambda_j\} p_t(x, dy) \\
 &= t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{t^{-1} \langle T(y-x), y-x \rangle - \text{trace } T\} p_t(x, dy).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any symmetric test operator T ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{trace } TD^2 p_t f(x) \\ &= t^{-1} \int_B f(y) \{ t^{-1} \langle T(y-x), y-x \rangle - \text{trace } T \} p_t(x, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $D^2 p_t f(x)$ is symmetric and that both sides of the above equality depend only on the symmetric part of T . Hence the above equality holds for all test operators. Moreover, this equality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & | \text{trace } TD^2 p_t f(x) | \\ & \leq t^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_B [t^{-1} \langle T(y-x), y-x \rangle - \text{trace } T]^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\ & = t^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_B [t^{-1} \langle Tz, z \rangle - \text{trace } T]^2 p_t(dz) \right\}^{1/2} \\ & = t^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \sqrt{2} \|T\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the same argument as in [4, pp. 155-156], $D^2 p_t f(x)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and

$$\|D^2 p_t f(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} t^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Step 4. To see the existence of the higher Gross derivatives of $p_t f$, observe that we need only the integrability with respect to $p_t(dz)$ of all polynomials in (h, z) and in $J_t(h, z)$ for h in H . It is easy to see that the method used in the previous computation yields the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |D^n p_t f(x+k)(h_1, \dots, h_n) - D^n p_t f(x)(h_1, \dots, h_n) \\ & - D^{n+1} p_t f(x)(h_1, \dots, h_n, k)| = O(|h_1| \cdot |h_2| \cdots |h_n|) o(|k|), \end{aligned}$$

where $D^n p_t f(x)$ is the n th Gross derivative of $p_t f$ at x , $n \geq 3$. Of course, we have to note that $D^n p_t f(x+k)$ is also a continuous n -linear form on H for all k in H .

5. **An application to Uhlenbeck-Ornstein process.** Let $r_t(x, dy) = p_{1-e^{-2t}}(e^{-t}x, dy)$, $t > 0$ and $x \in B$. $\{r_t(x, \cdot); t > 0, x \in B\}$ generate a Markov process with continuous sample paths known as Uhlenbeck-Ornstein process. It is easy to check that p_1 is an invariant measure for $r_t(x, \cdot)$ for all $t > 0$. That is, for all Borel subsets A of B ,

$$\int_B r_t(x, A) p_t(dx) = p_t(A), t > 0.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $g \in L^2(p_1)$. Then for each $t > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B_t of B such that $p_t(B_t) = 1$ and $g \in L^2(r_t(x, \cdot))$ for all x in B_t .*

REMARK. In a less precise way the above theorem says that if $g \in L^2(p_1)$ then $g \in L^2(r_t(x, \cdot))$ for a.e. $x[p_1]$.

Proof. By Fubini's theorem and the invariance of p_1

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B \left[\int_B |g(y)|^2 r_t(x, dy) \right] p_1(dx) \\ &= \int_B |g(y)|^2 \int_B r_t(x, dy) p_1(dx) \\ &= \int_B |g(y)|^2 p_1(dy) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g \in L^2(r_t(x, \cdot))$ a.e. $x[p_1]$.

Let $g \in L^2(p_1)$. Then by the above theorem, we have $g \in L^2(r_t(x, \cdot))$ for all $x \in B_t$. But

$$\int_B |g(y)|^2 r_t(x, dy) = \int_B |g(y)|^2 p_{1-e^{-2t}}(e^{-t}x, dy).$$

Hence $g \in L^2(p_{1-e^{-2t}}(e^{-t}x, \cdot))$ for all $x \in B_t$. Observe that $p_{1-e^{-2t}}(e^{-t}x) = r_t g(x)$. Hence the formulas for first and second derivatives in Piech's theorem follow from Theorem 1. Moreover, by Theorem 1, for $x \in B_t$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^2 r_t g(x)\|_2 &= e^{-2t} \|D^2 p_{1-e^{-2t}} g(e^{-t}x)\|_2 \\ &\leq e^{-2t} \sqrt{2} (1 - e^{-2t})^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |g(y)|^2 p_{1-e^{-2t}}(e^{-t}x, dy) \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{2} (e^{2t} - 1)^{-1} \left\{ \int_B |g(y)|^2 r_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \|D^2 r_t g(x)\|_2^2 p_1(dx) &= \int_{B_t} \|D^2 r_t g(x)\|_2^2 p_1(dx) \\ &\leq 2(e^{2t} - 1)^{-2} \int_{B_t} \int_B |g(y)|^2 r_t(x, dy) p_1(dx) \\ &= 2(e^{2t} - 1)^{-2} \int_B \int_B |g(y)|^2 r_t(x, dy) p_1(dx) \\ &= 2(e^{2t} - 1)^{-2} \int_B |g(y)|^2 p_1(dy). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that for f in Theorem 1 we have

$$|Dp_t f(x)| \leq t^{-1/2} \left\{ \int_B |f(y)|^2 p_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Hence by the same argument above

$$|Dr_t g(x)| \leq (e^{2t} - 1)^{-1/2} \left\{ \int_B |g(y)|^2 r_t(x, dy) \right\}^{1/2}, \quad x \in B_t,$$

and

$$\int_B |Dr_t g(x)|^2 p_t(dx) \leq (e^{2t} - 1)^{-1} \int_B |g(y)|^2 p_t(dy).$$

The author is grateful to Professor M. Ann Piech for correcting a mistake, i.e., the constant factor $\sqrt{2}$ in the estimate $\|D^2 p_t f(x)\|_2$ is missing in the original manuscripts.

REFERENCES

1. R. Bonic and J. Frampton, *Differentiable functions on certain Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1965), 393-395.
2. V. Goodman, *Quasi-differentiable functions on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **30** (1971), 367-370.
3. L. Gross, *Abstract Wiener spaces*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability, vol. II, part 1 (1965), 31-42.
4. ———, *Potential theory on Hilbert space*, J. Functional Analysis, **1** (1967), 123-181.
5. H.-H. Kuo, *Integration by parts for abstract Wiener measures*, Duke Math. J., **41** (1974), 373-379.
6. M. Ann Piech, *The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in an infinite dimensional L^2 setting*, J. Functional Analysis, **18** (1975), 271-285.
7. J. H. M. Whitfield, *Differentiable functions with bounded nonempty support on Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1966), 145-146.

Received March 7, 1975. Research supported in part by NSF Grant GP-38010.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Shashi Prabha Arya and M. K. Singal, <i>More sum theorems for topological spaces</i>	1
Goro Azumaya, F. Mbuntum and Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>On M-projective and M-injective modules</i>	9
Kong Ming Chong, <i>Spectral inequalities involving the infima and suprema of functions</i>	17
Alan Hetherington Durfee, <i>The characteristic polynomial of the monodromy</i>	21
Emilio Gagliardo and Clifford Alfons Kottman, <i>Fixed points for orientation preserving homeomorphisms of the plane which interchange two points</i>	27
Raymond F. Gittings, <i>Finite-to-one open maps of generalized metric spaces</i>	33
Andrew M. W. Glass, W. Charles (Wilbur) Holland Jr. and Stephen H. McCleary, <i>a^*-closures of completely distributive lattice-ordered groups</i>	43
Matthew Gould, <i>Endomorphism and automorphism structure of direct squares of universal algebras</i>	69
R. E. Harrell and Les Andrew Karlovitz, <i>On tree structures in Banach spaces</i>	85
Julien O. Hennefeld, <i>Finding a maximal subalgebra on which the two Arens products agree</i>	93
William Francis Keigher, <i>Adjunctions and comonads in differential algebra</i>	99
Robert Bernard Kelman, <i>A Dirichlet-Jordan theorem for dual trigonometric series</i>	113
Allan Morton Krall, <i>Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. III. Multivalued operators—linear relations</i>	125
Hui-Hsiung Kuo, <i>On Gross differentiation on Banach spaces</i>	135
Tom Louton, <i>A theorem on simultaneous observability</i>	147
Kenneth Mandelberg, <i>Amitsur cohomology for certain extensions of rings of algebraic integers</i>	161
Coy Lewis May, <i>Automorphisms of compact Klein surfaces with boundary</i>	199
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Generalized axisymmetric elliptic functions</i>	211
Muril Lynn Robertson, <i>Concerning Siu's method for solving $y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t)))$</i>	223
Richard Lewis Roth, <i>On restricting irreducible characters to normal subgroups</i>	229
Albert Oscar Shar, <i>P-primary decomposition of maps into an H-space</i>	237
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>The sum of the distances to certain pointsets on the unit circle</i>	241
Bert Alan Taylor, <i>Components of zero sets of analytic functions in C^2 in the unit ball or polydisc</i>	253
Michel Valadier, <i>Convex integrands on Souslin locally convex spaces</i>	267
Januario Varela, <i>Fields of automorphisms and derivations of C^*-algebras</i>	277
Arnold Lewis Villone, <i>A class of symmetric differential operators with deficiency indices $(1, 1)$</i>	295
Manfred Wollenberg, <i>The invariance principle for wave operators</i>	303