

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**LOWER BOUNDS ON THE STABLE RANGE OF POLYNOMIAL
RINGS**

MICHAEL RANDY GABEL

LOWER BOUNDS ON THE STABLE RANGE OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

MICHAEL R. GABEL

**Using the existence of certain nonfree projective modules,
lower bounds on the stable range of commutative polynomial
rings are established.**

1. **Introduction.** The stable range of a commutative ring R is the largest integer n such that there exists a unimodular sequence r_1, \dots, r_n in R which is not stable. (See definitions 2.1 and 2.2.) Using the existence of certain nonfree projectives, we prove the following theorem concerning lower bounds for the stable range of polynomial rings:

THEOREM A. *Let K be any commutative ring. For every integer $n \geq 1$ let $K(n) = K[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ be the polynomial ring over K in indeterminates Z_1, \dots, Z_n . Then*

- (1) *The stable range of $K(1)$ is at least 2*
- (2) *The stable range of $K(n)$ is at least $[n/2] + 1$ if $n \geq 2$.*
- (3) *(Vasershtein, [6, Theorem 8]). If there is a ring homomorphism from K to a subfield of real numbers, then the stable range of $K(n)$ is at least $n + 1$.*

The techniques of this paper are essentially the same as those in [2]. That paper contains a weaker form of Theorem A(2) ($[n/2]$ appears in place of $[n/2] + 1$, and there are certain restrictions on the number of indeterminates.)

2. **Basic definitions and propositions.** Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity and ring homomorphisms preserve the identity element.

DEFINITION 2.1. A sequence r_1, \dots, r_n of elements in a ring R is called *unimodular* if the ideal (r_1, \dots, r_n) is all of R .

DEFINITION 2.2. A unimodular sequence r_1, \dots, r_n of elements in a ring R is called *stable* if $n \geq 2$ and if there exist $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in R$ such that the sequence $r_1 + b_1 r_n, r_2 + b_2 r_n, \dots, r_{n-1} + b_{n-1} r_n$ is again unimodular.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let R be a ring and $I \subset R$ a proper ideal of R . Let r_1, \dots, r_t be a sequence of elements in R such that their

residues $\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_t$ form a *unimodular* sequence in R/I which is not stable. Choose any $i \in I$ and $s_1, \dots, s_t \in R$ such that $r_1 s_1 + \dots + r_t s_t + i = 1$. Then the sequence $r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}, r_t s_t + i$ is unimodular but not stable in R . Consequently, the stable range of R bounds that of R/I .

Proof. The sequence $r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}, r_t s_t + i$ is clearly unimodular. If it were stable, then there would exist $b_1, \dots, b_{t-1} \in R$ such that

$$r_1 + b_1(r_t s_t + i), \dots, r_{t-1} + b_{t-1}(r_t s_t + i)$$

is unimodular. Going mod I , this contradicts our assumption that $\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_t$ is not stable in R/I .

DEFINITION 2.4. If $r_1, \dots, r_t \in R$, $\ker [r_1 \dots r_t]$ will denote the kernel of the map $R^t \rightarrow R$ whose matrix is $[r_1 \dots r_t]$.

The following proposition appears in [2].

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let R be a ring and let r_1, \dots, r_t be a unimodular sequence in R . Let $P = \ker [r_1 \dots r_t]$. If r_1, \dots, r_t is stable, then P is a free R -module.

Proof. Since r_1, \dots, r_t is stable, it's easy to see that the matrix $[r_1 \dots r_t]$ can be transformed to $\beta = [1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]$ via elementary transformations. Consequently $\ker [r_1 \dots r_t] \cong \ker \beta$. But $\ker \beta$ is clearly free.

PROPOSITION 2.6. (1) (Estes-Ohm, [1].) If

$$Z_1, \dots, Z_n, \ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$$

is stable in $K[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$, then $Z_1, \dots, Z_{n+1}, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Z_i^2$ is stable in $K[Z_1, \dots, Z_{n+1}]$.

(2) If $X_1, \dots, X_s, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^s X_i Y_i$ is stable in $K[X_1, \dots, X_s, Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$, then $X_1, \dots, X_{s+1}, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} X_i Y_i$ is stable in $K[X_1, \dots, X_{s+1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{s+1}]$.

Proof. The proof we give here of (2) is essentially the same as the proof of (1) given in [1]. For any integer $t \geq 1$, let $Q_t = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^t X_i Y_i$. Now observe that if $a_1, \dots, a_s \in K[X_1, \dots, X_s, Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$, we have the following inclusion of ideals in $K[X_1, \dots, X_{s+1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{s+1}]$:

$$(X_1 + a_1 Q_s, \dots, X_s + a_s Q_s) \subseteq (X_1 + a_1 Q_{s+1}, \dots, X_s + a_s Q_{s+1}, X_{s+1}) .$$

3. **Proof of Theorem A.** The proof of part (1) is easy, as $Z_1, 1 - Z_1^2$ is never stable in $K(1)$. (Just mod out K by a prime ideal to reduce to the domain case, where it is trivial.)

We now prove part (2). By Proposition 2.3, we can assume n is even, say $n = 2s$. Now write $K(n) = K[X_1, \dots, X_s, Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$. We shall show that the unimodular sequence $X_1, \dots, X_s, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^s X_i Y_i$ is not stable. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of K . If $X_1, \dots, X_s, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^s X_i Y_i$ were stable in $K(n)$, then the image of this sequence under the canonical map $K(n) \rightarrow K/\mathfrak{m}(n)$ would remain stable. Thus we may assume K is a field. By Proposition 2.6(2), we may also assume $s \geq 8$. Let $R = K(n)[U, V]$, where U and V are indeterminates and let $f = X_1 Y_1 + \dots + X_s Y_s + UV - 1$. Let $T = R/(f)$. Note that the sequence of residues $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_s, \bar{U}$ is unimodular in T . Since $s \geq 8$, the T -module $\ker[\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_s, \bar{U}]$ is not free ([4], Cor. 6.3). So by Proposition 2.5, $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_s, \bar{U}$ is not stable in T . Since $X_1 Y_1 + \dots + X_s Y_s + UV + (-f) = 1$, Proposition 2.3 shows that $X_1, \dots, X_s, UV - f$ is not stable in $K(n)[U, V]$. But $UV - f = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^s X_i Y_i$ is an element of $K(n)$. That is, we have the unimodular sequence $X_1, \dots, X_s, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^s X_i Y_i$ in $K(n)$ which is not stable in $K(n)[U, V]$. So certainly it is not stable in $K(n)$. This proves Theorem A(2).

The proof of Theorem A(3) is similar. We will show that the stable range of $K[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ is at least $n + 1$ by showing that the unimodular sequence $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$ is not stable. Since K maps to the reals, it suffices to show this when K equals the reals. By Proposition 2.6(1) we may also assume $n \geq 8$. Now, let $R = K(n)[U]$, where U is an indeterminate, let $f = Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_n^2 + U^2 - 1$, and set $T = R/(f)$. Since $n \geq 8$, The T -module $\ker[\bar{Z}_1, \dots, \bar{Z}_n, \bar{U}]$ is not free [5]. Propositions 2.3 and 2.5 imply that $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, U^2 - f$ is not stable in $K(n)[U]$. But the U^2 drops out so we get that $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$ is not stable in $K(n)$. This proves Theorem A(3).

4. **Remarks.** (1) For any ring K , let $P_{1,n}^0(K)$ be the module over $K_{1,n}^0 \equiv K[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]/(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 - 1)$ defined by

$$P_{1,n}^0(K) = \ker [\bar{Z}_1, \dots, \bar{Z}_n].$$

The proof of Theorem A(3) yields that if $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$ is stable in $K(n)$, then $P_{1,n+1}^0(K)$ is a free $K_{1,n+1}^0$ -module. Assume now that K is a field. Then it is well-known [1] that the stable range of $K(n)$ is less than or equal to $n + 1$. So when $P_{1,n+1}^0(K)$ is not free, the stable range of $K(n)$ equals $n + 1$. Note that there are cases when $P_{1,n+1}^0(K)$ is free ($K = \text{reals}, n = 7$) and the stable range

of $K(n)$ is still $n + 1$ [6]. Moreover, if K is a finite field $P_{1, n+1}^0(K)$ is free [3] and the stable range of $K(n)$ is less than $n + 1$ (Vasershtein).

(2) The nonstable unimodular sequence $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$ that we obtained in the proof of Theorem A(3) is the same one Vasershtein discovered in [6].

REFERENCES

1. Dennis Estes and Jack Ohm, *Stable range in commutative rings*, J. Algebra, **7**, No. 3 (1967), 343-362.
2. M. R. Gabel and A. V. Geramita, *Stable range for matrices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **5** (1974), 97-112.
3. A. V. Geramita, *Projective modules and homogeneous forms* (unpublished).
4. M. Raynaud, *Modules projectifs universels*, Invent. Math., **6** (1968), 1-26.
5. R. G. Swan, *Vector bundles and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **105** (1962), 264-277.
6. L. N. Vasershtein, *Stable rank of rings and dimensionality of topological spaces*, Functional Analysis and its Applications, **5** (1971), 102-110.

Received December 13, 1974.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 61, No. 1

November, 1975

Jiří Adámek, V. Koubek and Věra Trnková, <i>Sums of Boolean spaces represent every group</i>	1
Richard Neal Ball, <i>Full convex l-subgroups and the existence of a^*-closures of lattice ordered groups</i>	7
Joseph Becker, <i>Normal hypersurfaces</i>	17
Gerald A. Beer, <i>Starshaped sets and the Hausdorff metric</i>	21
Dennis Dale Berkey and Alan Cecil Lazer, <i>Linear differential systems with measurable coefficients</i>	29
Harald Boehme, <i>Glättungen von Abbildungen 3-dimensionaler Mannigfaltigkeiten</i>	45
Stephen LaVern Campbell, <i>Linear operators for which T^*T and $T + T^*$ commute</i>	53
H. P. Dikshit and Arun Kumar, <i>Absolute summability of Fourier series with factors</i>	59
Andrew George Earnest and John Sollion Hsia, <i>Spinor norms of local integral rotations. II</i>	71
Erik Maurice Ellentuck, <i>Semigroups, Horn sentences and isolic structures</i>	87
Ingrid Fotino, <i>Generalized convolution ring of arithmetic functions</i>	103
Michael Randy Gabel, <i>Lower bounds on the stable range of polynomial rings</i>	117
Fergus John Gaines, <i>Kato-Taussky-Wielandt commutator relations and characteristic curves</i>	121
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>The polynomial hulls of certain subsets of C^2</i>	129
R. J. Gazik and Darrell Conley Kent, <i>Coarse uniform convergence spaces</i>	143
Paul R. Goodey, <i>A note on starshaped sets</i>	151
Eloise A. Hamann, <i>On power-invariance</i>	153
M. Jayachandran and M. Rajagopalan, <i>Scattered compactification for $N \cup \{P\}$</i>	161
V. Karunakaran, <i>Certain classes of regular univalent functions</i>	173
John Cronan Kieffer, <i>A ratio limit theorem for a strongly subadditive set function in a locally compact amenable group</i>	183
Siu Kwong Lo and Harald G. Niederreiter, <i>Banach-Buck measure, density, and uniform distribution in rings of algebraic integers</i>	191
Harold W. Martin, <i>Contractibility of topological spaces onto metric spaces</i>	209
Harold W. Martin, <i>Local connectedness in developable spaces</i>	219
A. Meir and John W. Moon, <i>Relations between packing and covering numbers of a tree</i>	225
Hiroshi Mori, <i>Notes on stable currents</i>	235
Donald J. Newman and I. J. Schoenberg, <i>Splines and the logarithmic function</i>	241
M. Ann Piech, <i>Locality of the number of particles operator</i>	259
Fred Richman, <i>The constructive theory of KT-modules</i>	263
Gerard Sierksma, <i>Carathéodory and Helly-numbers of convex-product-structures</i>	275
Raymond Earl Smithson, <i>Subcontinuity for multifunctions</i>	283
Gary Roy Spoor, <i>Differentiability conditions and bounds on singular points</i>	289
Rosario Strano, <i>Azumaya algebras over Hensel rings</i>	295