

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**COMMUTANTS OF MULTIPLIERS AND TRANSLATION  
OPERATORS**

MARTIN BARTELT

## COMMUTANTS OF MULTIPLIERS AND TRANSLATION OPERATORS

MARTIN BARTELT

**This note discusses a method for the determination of the commutant of a set of translation operators on the ring of bounded functions in  $C(X)$ ,  $X$  locally compact.**

**1. Introduction.** If  $X$  is a locally compact group, then  $X$  can be represented and studied as a class of operators on  $C_b(X)$ . Indeed, if  $x \in X$  and  $f \in C_b(X)$ , then one defines an operator  $U_x$  on  $C_b(X)$  by  $U_x f(y) = f(y + x)$ ,  $\forall f \in C_b(X)$ . The commutant of  $\{U_x : x \in X\}$  was studied in [3] and [4].

The general method of §3 for the determination of the commutant of a set of translation operators is applied to the study of the commutant of a class of operators on bounded analytic functions. In §4 some directly obtainable results are given on commutants of multiplier operators on bounded analytic functions.

**2. Definitions.** Let  $X$  denote a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let  $C(X)$  denote the algebra of continuous complex valued functions on  $X$  and let  $C_b(X)$  denote the subalgebra of  $C(X)$  consisting of bounded continuous functions. One can study  $C_b(X)$  in the topologies  $\kappa$ ,  $\beta$ , or  $\sigma$ ; respectively, uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $X$ , the strict topology or uniform convergence on  $X$ . The topology  $\sigma$  is a norm topology with  $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ . The strict topology was introduced in [6] and its properties may be found in [5], [6], [8] and [9]. Particularly relevant here are that the  $\sigma$  and  $\beta$  bounded subsets of  $C_b(X)$  coincide; on  $\beta$  bounded subsets of  $C_b(X)$ , the strict topology coincides with  $\kappa$ ; and a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges  $\beta$  to zero if and only if it is  $\sigma$  bounded and  $\kappa$  convergent [6].

Denote by  $(C(X), \text{c.o.})$  the space  $C(X)$  endowed with the compact open topology or equivalently with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. The space  $(C(X \rightarrow X), \text{c.o.})$  is similarly defined.

Let  $B$  denote the bounded analytic functions on the open unit disc  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  in the complex plane. Since  $B$  is a  $\kappa$  closed subspace of  $C_b(D)$ , one may consider  $B$  endowed with any one of the topologies  $\kappa$ ,  $\beta$  or  $\sigma$ , denoted respectively by  $(B, \kappa)$ ,  $(B, \beta)$  and  $(B, \sigma)$ . The algebra  $[\beta : \beta]$  of all continuous linear operators from  $(B, \beta)$  into  $(B, \beta)$  has been studied in [1] and [2], and it is closely related to an algebra of operators studied in [4]. In particular  $[\beta : \beta]$  is a norm closed subalgebra of  $[\sigma : \sigma]$

the algebra of all continuous linear operators from  $(B, \sigma)$  into  $(B, \sigma)$  and  $[\beta: \beta]$  seems more tractable than the larger algebra  $[\sigma: \sigma]$ .

We will be concerned with translation operators and the commutant in various spaces of operators of a given set of operators.

DEFINITION. For  $\phi$  a continuous map of  $X$  into  $X$ , the translation operator  $U_\phi$  is defined on  $C_b(X)$  by

$$U_\phi f(x) = f(\phi(x)).$$

DEFINITION. Let  $G$  be a set of operators in  $[\beta: \beta]$ . Then the commutant of  $G$  in  $[\beta: \beta]$  is

$$\text{Comm}(G) = \{V \in [\beta: \beta]: TV = VT, \forall T \in G\}.$$

**3. Commutants of operators on  $C_b(X)$  or on  $B$ .** The first result in this section is a general method for determining the commutant of a set of translation operators on  $C_b(X)$ . We associate with each operator in the commutant a linear functional on  $C_b(X)$ .

Now assume that to each  $x \in X$  is associated one and only one continuous map  $\phi_x$  from  $X$  into  $X$ . In the special case when  $X$  is a group, then  $\phi_x$  might be defined by  $\phi_x(a) = a + x$  and  $X$  is then represented as a group of operators on  $C_b(X)$ . In general we define the operator  $U_{\phi(x)}$  on  $C_b(X)$  by

$$U_{\phi(x)} f(y) = f(\phi_x(y)) \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi(x) = \phi_x.$$

The topology  $\sigma$  is not appropriate for our purposes because the map  $x \rightarrow U_x f$  will not in general be continuous (see [5]). This difficulty is overcome by using the strict topology on  $C_b(X)$  (see Lemma 2). The following three lemmas follow from the definitions and known properties of the compact open and strict topologies and also from the characterization of continuity via nets.

LEMMA 1. Let  $S \subseteq X$ . The map  $\Phi: S \rightarrow (C(X \rightarrow X), \text{c.o.})$  is continuous if and only if the map  $\psi$  is continuous where

$$\psi: S \times X \rightarrow X, \quad \text{with} \quad \psi(x, y) = \phi_x(y).$$

LEMMA 2. Assume that the map  $\Phi: X \rightarrow (C(X \rightarrow X), \text{c.o.})$  is continuous. Then the map  $\psi: X \rightarrow (C_b(X), \beta)$  is continuous where  $f$  is fixed in  $C_b(X)$  and  $\psi(x) = U_{\phi(x)} f$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $F = \{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  where  $f_\alpha \in C(X \rightarrow X)$ . Assume that

*F is compact in  $(C(X \rightarrow X), \text{c.o.})$  and let  $K$  be a compact set in  $X$ . Then  $F(K) = U_\alpha f_\alpha(K)$  is compact in  $X$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the continuous linear functionals on  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the continuous linear operators from  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  into  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ . Let  $G$  be a collection of continuous maps from  $X$  into  $X$  and for  $x_0 \in X$ , let  $G(x_0) = \{g(x_0): g \in G\}$ .

DEFINITION. The commutant in  $\mathcal{B}$  of the operators  $\{U_g: g \in G\}$  is  $\{T \in \mathcal{B}: TU_g = U_g T, \forall g \in G\}$ . Denote this commutant by  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$ . The following Theorem generalizes results in [4] and [5].

THEOREM 1. *Let  $G$  be a semi-group with identity of continuous maps of  $X$  into  $X$ . Assume that  $\exists x_0 \in X$  such that  $G(x_0) = X$  and specify one map  $\phi_x$  with  $\phi_x(x_0) = x$ . Assume that the map  $\Phi: x \rightarrow \phi_x$  is continuous from  $X$  into  $(C(X \rightarrow X), \text{c.o.})$ . Let*

$$\mathcal{N} = \{L \in \mathcal{M}: L(U_\phi f) = L(U_\psi f), \forall \phi, \psi \in G \ni \phi(x_0) = \psi(x_0), \forall f \in C_b(X)\}.$$

Then there exists a one-to-one norm preserving correspondence between  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  given by

$$(1) \quad Tf(x) = L(U_{\phi_x} f), \text{ for some } \phi_x \in G \text{ with } \phi_x(x_0) = x.$$

*Proof.* Given  $L \in \mathcal{N}$ , define  $T$  by  $Tf(x) = L(U_{\phi_x} f)$ . Certainly  $T$  is well defined since if  $\phi(x_0) = \psi(x_0)$ , then  $L(U_\phi f) = L(U_\psi f)$  because  $L$  is in  $\mathcal{N}$ . The function  $Tf(x)$  is continuous since the maps  $x \rightarrow U_{\phi(x)} f \rightarrow L(U_{\phi(x)} f) = Tf(x)$  are continuous as maps  $X \rightarrow (C_b(X), \beta) \rightarrow$  the complex numbers. Also  $Tf$  is bounded and  $\|T\| \leq \|L\|$  since  $|Tf(x)| \leq \|L\| \|U_{\phi(x)} f\| = \|L\| \|f\|$ . Now let  $\phi \in G$ . Then  $T$  commutes with  $U_\phi$  since

$$(TU_\phi)f(x) = T(U_\phi f)(x) = L(U_{\phi(x)}(U_\phi f))$$

and, letting  $(\phi \circ \phi_x)(y) = \phi(\phi_x(y))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (U_\phi T)f(x) &= Tf(\phi(x)) = Tf(\phi(\phi_x(x_0))) \\ &= L(U_{\phi \circ \phi_x} f) = L(U_{\phi(x)} U_\phi f). \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $T$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$  it suffices to prove that  $T$  is  $\beta$  continuous on  $\beta$  bounded sets [7]. Let  $S$  be a bounded set of functions in  $C_b(X)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and assume  $K$  is a compact set in  $X$ . Choose  $K_1$  and  $\delta$  such that  $\|g\|_{K_1} < \delta$  implies  $|L(g)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $K_2 = \{\phi_x(y): x \in K, y \in K_1\}$ . Then the

map  $\Phi: x \rightarrow \phi_x$  being continuous implies  $\{\phi_x: x \in K\}$  is compact in  $C(X \rightarrow X, \text{c.o.})$ . Hence by Lemma 3,  $K_2$  is compact.

Therefore if  $\|f\|_{K_2} < \delta$ , then we have

$$\|U_{\Phi(x)}f\|_{K_1} = \sup_{g \in K_1} |f(\phi_x(g))| < \delta, \forall x \in K$$

and

$$\|Tf\|_K = \sup_{x \in K} |Tf(x)| = \sup\{|L(U_{\Phi(x)}f)|: x \in K, \phi(x_0) = x\} < \epsilon.$$

Now assume  $T \in \text{Comm}(U_G)$  and define  $L$  by

$$(2) \quad Lf = Tf(x_0).$$

To see that  $L$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $S$  be a bounded set in  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ . Let  $K$  be compact in  $X$ ,  $x_0 \in K$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $K_1$  compact in  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $\|f\|_{K_1} < \delta$  and  $f \in S$ , then  $\|Tf\|_K < \epsilon$ . Then for  $f$  in  $S$  and  $\|f\|_{K_1} < \delta$ , we have  $|L(f)| = |Tf(x_0)| \leq \|Tf\|_K < \epsilon$ . Thus  $L$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Now assume that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are in  $G$  with  $\phi(x_0) = \psi(x_0)$ . Let  $f$  be in  $C_b(X)$ . Then

$$L(U_\phi f) = T(U_\phi f)(x_0) = U_\phi Tf(x_0) = Tf(\phi(x_0))$$

and similarly  $L(U_\psi f) = Tf(\psi(x_0))$ . Thus  $L(U_\phi f) = L(U_\psi f)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{N}$ .

To show that the maps are inverse let  $L$  be in  $\mathcal{N}$  and apply (1) to obtain  $T \in \text{Comm}(U_G)$  and then apply (2) to obtain  $L' \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then

$$L'(f) = Tf(x_0) = L(U_{\Phi(x_0)}f) = Lf.$$

Now given  $T \in \text{Comm}(U_G)$  apply (2) to obtain  $L \in \mathcal{N}$  and apply (1) to  $L$  to obtain  $T' \in \text{Comm}(U_G)$ . Then

$$T'f(x) = L(U_{\Phi(x)}f) = T(U_{\Phi(x)}f)(x_0) = U_{\Phi(x)}Tf(x_0) = Tf(x).$$

Thus the maps (1) and (2) are inverse. Notice also that under (1) we have  $\|T\| \leq \|L\|$  and under (2) we have  $\|L\| \leq \|T\|$ .

We obtain as a corollary the following result in [5] where  $X$  had a group structure which was not necessarily abelian. For ease of exposition we assume  $X$  is abelian. Then  $X$  has a representation as linear operators (translation operators) on  $C_b(X)$ . For  $a$  in  $X$ , and  $f$  in  $C_b(X)$  we define

$$U_a f(x) = f(a + x).$$

Let  $G = \{\phi_a: a \in X\}$  where  $\phi_a(x) = x + a$ . Notice that  $\phi_a(0) = a$  and we are thus associating the map  $\phi_a$  with  $a \in X$  as in Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 1 [4].** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the continuous linear operators from  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  into itself where  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff abelian group.*

*Then there exists a one-to-one norm preserving correspondence between  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  given by*

$$Tf(x) = L(U_x f), \quad x \in X, \quad f \in C_b(X).$$

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 1 with  $x_0 = 0$  the identity in  $X$ . Then  $G(0) = X$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$ . It only remains to be verified that the map  $X \times X$  into  $X$  given by  $(x, y)$  into  $\phi_x(y)$  is continuous. But if  $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha): \alpha \in A\}$  is a net in  $X \times X$  converging to  $(x, y)$ , then since  $\phi$  is continuous  $\{\phi_{x_\alpha}(y_\alpha) = x_\alpha + y_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  converges to  $\phi_x(y) = x + y$ .

We now apply Theorem 1 to the case when  $G$  consists of analytic maps. A uniqueness set for  $b$  is a subset  $S$  of  $D$  such that if  $f, g \in B$  and  $f = g$  on  $S$ , then  $f = g$  on  $D$ . In order to apply Theorem 1 it is sufficient if  $G(z_0)$  determines the functions in  $B$  uniquely. Let  $B^*$  denote the continuous linear functionals on  $(B, \beta)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G$  be a collection of analytic maps of  $D$  into  $D$ . Assume that  $G(z_0)$  is a uniqueness set for  $B$ .*

*Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$  and a subset of  $B^*$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $T$  in  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$ , define  $L$  by  $Lf = Tf(z_0)$ . Then  $L$  is well defined and  $L$  is in  $B^*$ . Indeed, if the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $B$  converges  $\beta$  to zero, then  $\{Tf_n\}$  converges  $\beta$  to zero and so  $\{Tf_n(z_0)\}$  converges to zero. It suffices to consider sequences since a subset of  $B$  is  $\beta$  closed if and only if it is  $\beta$  sequentially closed ([1], [8]).

If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are in  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$  and they both map to  $L$ , then for any  $f$  in  $B$ ,  $T_1 f(z_0) = T_2 f(z_0)$ . For any  $\phi_x$  in  $G$  with  $\phi_x(z_0) = x$  we have, letting  $g = \phi_x$ ,

$$L(U_g f) = T_1(U_g f)(z_0) = U_g T_1 f(z_0) = T_1 f(x)$$

and

$$L(U_g f) = T_2(U_g f)(z_0) = U_g T_2 f(z_0) = T_2 f(x).$$

Thus  $T_1 f(x) = T_2 f(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $G(z_0)$ . Thus  $T_1 f = T_2 f$  and  $T_1 = T_2$ .

One can recover  $T$  from  $L$  by defining for  $x \in G(z_0)$

$$(3) \quad Tf(x) = L(U_g f)$$

where  $g = \phi_x$  and  $\phi_x(z_0) = x$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $G$  be a collection of holomorphic maps of  $D$  into  $D$  such that  $G(z_0)$  is a uniqueness set for  $B$ . Denote by  $\phi_x$  a distinguished element of  $G$  mapping  $z_0$  to  $x$ ,  $x \in G(z_0)$ . Assume that  $\phi_x(z)$  is analytic in  $x$ , for each  $x \in G(z_0)$ .*

Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$  and

$$\mathcal{N} = \{L \in \mathcal{M} : L(U_g f) = L(U_h f) \forall g, h \in G \ni g(z_0) = h(z_0), \forall f \in B\},$$

given by

$$Tf(x) = L(U_{\phi(x)} f), \quad f \in B.$$

*Proof.* The map from  $T$  to  $L$  maps  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$  into  $\mathcal{N}$ . We have to verify that any  $L$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  maps into  $\text{Comm}(U_G)$  and this only requires verification that  $Tf(x)$  is analytic in  $x$ . This follows by using Morera's Theorem, the characterization of  $\mathcal{M}$  as the Radon measures on  $D$  and the analyticity of  $\phi_x(z)$  in  $x$ .

We consider the maps  $\phi_x$  from  $D$  into  $D$  given by  $\phi_x(z) = g(x)z$  where  $g$  is a fixed analytic nonconstant function in  $B$ . A special case is  $g(x) = x$ . Denote the map  $z \rightarrow g(x)z$  by  $\phi_{g(x)}$ . Thus  $\phi_{g(x)}(z) = g(x)z$ . Observe that  $\phi_{g(x)}(z)$  is analytic in  $x$  and  $G(z_0) = \{g(x)z_0 : x \in D\}$  is a set of uniqueness for  $B$  for any  $z_0 \neq 0$  in  $D$  because  $g(D)$  is an open set.

We say that a linear operator  $T$  from  $B$  to  $B$  is a multiplier on  $B$  if there exists a sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of complex numbers such that if  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \in B$ , then  $Tf(z) = \sum a_n c_n z^n$ . Let  $\Delta$  denote the class of all such multipliers. It is known that  $\Delta \subseteq [\beta : \beta]$ , and the sequences  $\{c_n\}$  associated with operators in  $\Delta$  have been characterized [1]. In particular if  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a sequence of complex numbers such that  $\limsup |c_n|^{1/n} < 1$ , then an operator  $T$  defined on  $B$  by  $T(\sum a_n z^n) = \sum a_n c_n z^n$  for  $\sum a_n z^n \in B$  is a multiplier in  $[\beta : \beta]$ .

**4. Commutants of multipliers.** Clearly  $\Delta$  is a commutative algebra. Furthermore if  $T \in [\beta : \beta]$  commutes with every operator in  $\Delta$ , then  $T \in \Delta$ . In fact a stronger result (Proposition 1) holds. First recall that an eigenvalue for a linear operator is called simple if the corresponding eigenspace has dimension one. One can easily verify that if  $T \in \Delta$  has associated sequence  $\{c_n\}$ , then the eigenvalues of  $T$  are simple if and only if the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  has no repeated terms. Notice

that  $\Delta$  does actually contain operators with only simple eigenvalues. For example, let  $c_n = (1/2)^n$ . Proposition 1 follows readily.

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $T \in [\beta: \beta]$  commutes with an operator  $V \in \Delta$  whose eigenvalues are all simple, then  $T \in \Delta$ .*

COROLLARY 4. *Let  $g(x)$  be a fixed nonconstant analytic function mapping  $D$  into  $D$ . Let  $G = \{\phi_{g(x)}; x \in D\}$ . Then  $\text{Comm}(U_G) = \Delta$ .*

This follows because for any such function  $g(x)$ ,  $|g(x)| < 1$  for  $x \in D$  and hence  $U_{\phi_{g(x)}} \in \Delta$  and has simple eigenvalues.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $T \in [\beta: \beta]$ . Then  $\text{Comm}(T) = \Delta$  if and only if  $T \in \Delta$  and the eigenvalues of  $T$  are all simple.*

DEFINITION. For  $|a| \leq 1$ , define the translation operator  $U_a$  by  $U_a f(z) = f(az)$ .

The operator  $U_a$  is in  $\Delta$  for  $|a| \leq 1$ , and it has associated sequence  $\{a^n\}$ . Thus if  $a^n \neq 1, \forall n$ , then  $\text{Comm}(U_a) = \Delta$ . For example, this holds for an operator  $U_a$  with  $|a| < 1$ . If  $|a| = 1$  and  $a^{n_0} = 1$ , then  $\Delta$  is a proper subset of  $\text{Comm}(U_a)$ , moreover:

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $T \in [\beta: \beta]$  and  $a^{n_0} = 1$ . Then  $T \in \text{Comm}(U_a)$  if and only if  $u_n^{(m)} = 0$  whenever  $n - m \neq n_0 s$  for  $s$  an integer, where  $T(z^n) = u_n(z)$ .*

It is not known which operators with these sorts of gaps in the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  are in  $[\beta: \beta]$ . However, there certainly are some in  $[\beta: \beta]$  which are not in  $\Delta$ . For example, for a fixed  $n_0$ , define the operator  $T$  by

$$T(z^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq 1 \\ z + z^{1+n_0} & n = 1. \end{cases}$$

One expects in a given situation, that if  $G$  is “large” enough then  $\text{Comm}(G)$  will consist only of constant multiples of the identity operator  $I$ .

PROPOSITION 4. *Let  $G \subseteq [\beta: \beta]$  and assume*

- (i)  *$G$  contains at least one multiplier all of whose eigenvalues are simple and*
- (ii)  *$G$  contains one linear fractional transformation  $U_\phi$  where  $\phi(z) = (z - a)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ , with  $0 < |a| < 1$ .*



Then  $\text{Comm } G = \{cI: c \text{ complex}\}$ .

Finally we observe that for a given operator  $V \in [\beta: \beta]$ ,  $\text{Comm}(V)$  will contain all power series in  $V$  which converge to an element in  $[\beta: \beta]$ . But, in particular, for the operator  $U_a$ , for  $|a| < 1$ , it can be shown that not all operators in  $\text{Comm}(U_a)$  can be obtained by power series.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. W. Bartelt, *Multipliers and operator algebras on bounded analytic functions*, Pacific J. Math., **37** (1971), 575–584.
2. ———, *Approximation in operator algebras on bounded analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **170** (1972), 71–83.
3. R. C. Buck, *Operator algebras and dual spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **3** (1952), 681–687.
4. ———, *Algebras of linear transformations*, Technical Report #4 under OOR contract TB2-001 (1406), 1956.
5. ———, *Algebraic properties of classes of analytic functions*, seminars on Analytic Functions, Vol. II, Princeton, 1957, 175–188.
6. ———, *Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space*, Michigan Math. J., **5** (1958), 95–104.
7. J. R. Dorroh, *The localization of the strict topology via bounded sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **20** (1969), 413–414.
8. L. A. Rubel and J. V. Ryff, *The bounded weak-star topology and the bounded analytic functions*, J. Functional Analysis, **5** (1970), 167–183.
9. L. A. Rubel and A. L. Shields, *The space of bounded analytic functions on a region*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16** (1966), fasc. 1, 235–277.
10. A. L. Shields and L. J. Wallen, *The commutants of certain Hilbert space operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **20** (1971), 777–788.

Received September 25, 1974.

RENSSELAER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE  
AND  
CHRISTOPHER NEWPORT COLLEGE

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

\* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$ 72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$ 36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION  
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1976 Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
All Rights Reserved

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 62, No. 2

February, 1976

Allan Russell Adler and Catarina Isabel Kiefe, <i>Pseudofinite fields, procyclic fields and model-completion</i> .....	305
Christopher Allday, <i>The stratification of compact connected Lie group actions by subtori</i> .....	311
Martin Bartelt, <i>Commutants of multipliers and translation operators</i> .....	329
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>Ordered Gleason parts</i> .....	337
James Robert Boone, <i>On irreducible spaces. II</i> .....	351
James Robert Boone, <i>On the cardinality relationships between discrete collections and open covers</i> .....	359
L. S. Dube, <i>On finite Hankel transformation of generalized functions</i> .....	365
Michael Freedman, <i>Uniqueness theorems for taut submanifolds</i> .....	379
Shmuel Friedland and Raphael Loewy, <i>Subspaces of symmetric matrices containing matrices with a multiple first eigenvalue</i> .....	389
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Uniform algebras spanned by Hartogs series</i> .....	401
James Guyker, <i>On partial isometries with no isometric part</i> .....	419
Shigeru Hasegawa and Ryōtarō Satō, <i>A general ratio ergodic theorem for semigroups</i> .....	435
Nigel Kalton and G. V. Wood, <i>Homomorphisms of group algebras with norm less than <math>\sqrt{2}</math></i> .....	439
Thomas Laffey, <i>On the structure of algebraic algebras</i> .....	461
Will Y. K. Lee, <i>On a correctness class of the Bessel type differential operator <math>S_\mu</math></i> .....	473
Robert D. Little, <i>Complex vector fields and divisible Chern classes</i> .....	483
Kenneth Louden, <i>Maximal quotient rings of ring extensions</i> .....	489
Dieter Lutz, <i>Scalar spectral operators, ordered <math>l^p</math>-direct sums, and the counterexample of Kakutani-McCarthy</i> .....	497
Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, <i>Stochastic convex programming: singular multipliers and extended duality singular multipliers and duality</i> .....	507
Edward Barry Saff and Richard Steven Varga, <i>Geometric overconvergence of rational functions in unbounded domains</i> .....	523
Joel Linn Schiff, <i>Isomorphisms between harmonic and <math>P</math>-harmonic Hardy spaces on Riemann surfaces</i> .....	551
Virinda Mohan Sehgal and S. P. Singh, <i>On a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii for locally convex spaces</i> .....	561
Lewis Shilane, <i>Filtered spaces admitting spectral sequence operations</i> .....	569
Michel Smith, <i>Generating large indecomposable continua</i> .....	587
John Yuan, <i>On the convolution algebras of <math>H</math>-invariant measures</i> .....	595