

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**COMPLEX VECTOR FIELDS AND DIVISIBLE CHERN
CLASSES**

ROBERT D. LITTLE

COMPLEX VECTOR FIELDS AND DIVISIBLE CHERN CLASSES

ROBERT D. LITTLE

This paper contains two theorems which relate the maximal number of independent sections of a complex bundle over a manifold to the Chern classes of the bundle and certain functional cohomology operations. The main theoretical result of the paper is a formula which relates the obstruction to a lifting in a fibration and a functional cohomology operation.

1. Introduction. Let M be a connected, closed, orientable, smooth manifold of dimension $2n$. If ω is a complex n -plane bundle over M , the complex span of ω is the maximal number of cross-sections of ω which are linearly independent over the complex numbers. In this paper, we consider the following question: when is complex span $\omega \cong q$? Hopf's theorem says that complex span $\omega > 0$ if and only if ω has vanishing Euler class and the theorems of Thomas ([10] and [11]) give an effective answer in the case $q = 2$. We study this problem in the cases $q = 3, 4$ and establish theorems which give necessary and sufficient conditions for complex span $\omega \cong q$ in terms of the Chern classes of ω and certain functional cohomology operations. The Chern class of ω in $H^{2i}(M; \mathbf{Z})$ is denoted by $c_i(\omega)$. If $\delta_p P^1$ denotes the Steenrod p -power P^1 followed by the Bockstein associated with reduction mod p , $\delta_p P^1_\omega(c(i - p + 1))$ denotes a subset of a functional operation defined on the universal Chern class $c(i - p + 1)$ and contained in $H^{2i}(M; \mathbf{Z})$. This subset will be described in the second section of this paper. If p is a prime, M is j -connected mod p if $H_i(M; \mathbf{Z}_p) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq j$. In both theorems below, M is 1-connected mod 2 and 3.

THEOREM 1. *If n is even, $n \geq 6$, then complex span $\omega \cong 3$ if and only if $c_i(\omega) = 0, n - 2 \leq i \leq n$, and $0 \in \delta Sq^2_\omega(c(n - 2))$.*

THEOREM 2. *If n is odd, $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $n \geq 9$, and M is 3-connected mod 2, then complex span $\omega \cong 4$ if and only if $c_i(\omega) = 0, n - 3 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \in \delta Sq^2_\omega(c(n - 3))$, and $0 \in \delta_3 P^1_\omega(c(n - 3))$.*

In Theorem 1, if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the connectedness hypothesis can be dropped. Thomas and Gilmore [11] show that if M is 3-connected, then for every n , complex span $\omega \cong 3$ if and only if $c_{n-2}(\omega) = 0$ and $c_n(\omega) = 0$. Gilmore [2] proves theorems similar to Theorems 1 and 2 in which he assumes that $H_2(M; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H_4(M; \mathbf{Z})$,

respectively, have no elements of order 2. For the specified values of n , our theorems contain the results of Thomas and Gilmore, because the operation $\delta_p P_\omega^1(c(i - p + 1))$ is a set of elements of order p and hence must vanish if $H^{2i}(M; \mathbf{Z})$ has no p -torsion. If M is an almost-complex manifold with almost-complex structure ω , our theorems relate the complex span of M to the Chern classes of M and the functional operations.

2. Obstruction formulas. Let the map $f: M \rightarrow BU(n)$ classify ω . It is clear that complex span $\omega \cong q$ if and only if f lifts to the total space of the fibration $W_{n,q} \rightarrow BU(n - q) \rightarrow BU(n)$ where $W_{n,q}$ is the Stiefel variety of complex q -frames in complex n -space. We will apply two obstruction formulas to this lifting problem. The first formula is due to Olum [8]. If $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration with fiber F , c in $H^{n-1}(F; G)$ a class transgressing to d in $H^n(B; G)$, X a CW complex and $f: X \rightarrow B$ a map such that the lifting obstruction $O^n(f)$ is nonvoid, then

$$(2.1) \quad -c_* O^n(f) = f^* d,$$

where $c_*: H^n(X; \pi_{n-1}(F)) \rightarrow H^n(X; G)$ is induced by $c_\#: \pi_{n-1}(F) \rightarrow G$, the composite of the Hurewicz homomorphism and evaluation.

The second formula is the main theoretical result of this paper. We assume that there is a class a in $H^{n-2p+1}(F; \mathbf{Z})$ such that $\delta P^1 a = 0$ and a is the only class transgressing to b , where $f^* b = 0$ and $P^1 b \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{integral classes in kernel } \pi^* \cap \text{kernel } f^*}$. Under these hypotheses, there are liftings $f_a: F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 1; \mathbf{Z}, n - 1, \delta P^1)$ and $f_b: B \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 2; \mathbf{Z}, n, \delta P^1)$ of a and b , where the range spaces are two-stage Postnikov systems induced by δP^1 . In [3], we show that the set $\{f_{a\#}: \pi_{n-1}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}\}$ is a congruence class modulo the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism and for every $[g]$ in $\pi_{n-1}(F)$, $f_{a\#}[g] \in \delta P_g^1(a)$, where δP_g^1 is the standard functional operation. (See [6], p. 157.) Therefore the induced homomorphism $f_{a*}: H^n(X; \pi_{n-1}(F)) \rightarrow H^n(X; \mathbf{Z})$ can be effectively computed in some cases. In the proposition below, ι denotes the fundamental class of $K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 2; \mathbf{Z}, n, \delta P^1)$ and we assume that $H^n(E; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^n(B; \mathbf{Z})$ are torsion free.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration satisfying the above hypotheses and p is a prime such that $n \geq 2(2p - 1)$, then we have containments*

$$(2.3) \quad f_a \cdot O^n(f) \equiv \delta P_{f_b}^1(\iota) \equiv \delta P_i^1(b).$$

Note that (2.3) shows that the obstruction is contained in the

operation $\delta P_f^1(b)$. A general result of this kind was obtained by Meyer. (See [5], §13.) It will be clear in the proof of (2.2) that the indeterminacy of $\delta P_{f,f}^1$ is image δP^1 , and so (2.3) expresses the obstruction as an operation with smaller indeterminacy than the indeterminacy of δP_f^1 , image $(\delta P^1 + f^*)$. Proposition 2.2 will follow from the next lemma. In the proof of the lemma, the reduction mod p of an integral class θ will be denoted by $\bar{\theta}$.

LEMMA 2.4. *The composite $f_b\pi$ is homotopically trivial.*

Proof. Let $K = K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 2; \mathbf{Z}, n, \delta P^1)$. If $n \geq 2(2p - 1)$, $H^n(K; \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} modulo finite groups with a free generator θ such that $\theta_{\#}: \pi_n(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is multiplication by p and $\bar{\theta} = P^1\iota$. These facts follow immediately from the long exact homotopy and Serre cohomology sequences for the fibration $K \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 2)$ and the Hurewicz theorem modulo finite groups. Since $(f_b\pi)^*\iota = \pi^*b = 0$, because b is in the image of the transgression, $O^n(f_b\pi, *)$ is nonvoid. It follows from the hypotheses that $f_b^*\bar{\theta} \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{integral classes in kernel } \pi^* \cap \text{kernel } f^*}$ and from the properties of θ and (4.4) in [8] that $f_b^*\theta - f_b'^*\theta = pO^n(f_b, f_b')$ for any two liftings of b . Therefore, after alteration by an n -cocycle, we may assume $f_b^*\theta \in \text{kernel } \pi^* \cap \text{kernel } f^*$ and so $\pi^*f_b^*\theta = 0 = pO^n(f_b\pi, *)$, and this implies $f_b\pi$ is homotopically trivial since $H^n(E; \mathbf{Z})$ has no torsion.

Lemma 2.4 implies the existence of a map of fibrations from the fibration π into the path space fibration over K with fiber $\Omega K = K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 1; \mathbf{Z}, n - 1, \delta P^1)$. The map of fibers is a lifting of $a, f_a: F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 1; \mathbf{Z}, n - 1, \delta P^1)$, because we are assuming that a is the only class transgressing to b . The map $f_b f$ lifts to the path space if and only if it is homotopic to the constant map. We have taken care that $f_b^*\theta \in \text{ker } f^*$ and so $(f_b f)^*$ has image zero in dimension n . Therefore the obstruction to a homotopy of $f_b f$ to point is precisely $\delta P_{f_b f}^1(\iota)$ (10.8 [7]) and the indeterminacy of $\delta P_{f_b f}^1$ is image δP^1 . Formula (2.3) now follows immediately from standard naturality properties of obstructions and functional operations ([6], p. 157).

3. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We begin with some general remarks. The group $H^*(W_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z})$ is an exterior algebra with generators a_k in $H^{2k-1}(W_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z})$, $n - q + 1 \leq k \leq n$ ([1], p. 444). The space $W_{n,q}$ is $2(n - q)$ -connected and $\pi_i(W_{n,q})$ is \mathbf{Z} if i is odd and a finite group if i is even as long as n is large, $n - q$ is odd, $2 \leq q \leq 4$, and $2(n - q) + 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$. The necessary size of n is indicated in the theorems. The group $\pi_{2(n-q)+2}(W_{n,q})$ is zero and the other finite groups are 2 or 3 torsion groups in this range of dimensions, [2]. Since the

Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_i(W_{n,q}) \rightarrow H_i(W_{n,q}; \mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism modulo finite abelian groups if $i \leq 4(n - q)$, it sends a generator in $\pi_{2k-1}(W_{n,q})$ into an integer $m_k \neq 0$, $n - q + 1 \leq k \leq n$. These integers can be computed using an inductive argument based on the fibration $W_{n-1,q-1} \rightarrow W_{n,q} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$. In particular, $m_{n-q+1} = 1$, $m_{n-q+2} = 2$ and the prime divisors of the others are either 2 or 3, [4]. Since a_k transgresses to $c(k)$, it follows from (2.1) that $-m_k O^{2k}(f) = c_k(\omega)$. Thomas' theorem [10] follows from this formula and the first two values of m_k : if M is arbitrary and n is odd, complex span $\omega \cong 2$ if and only if $c_i(\omega) = 0$, $n - 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Consider the lifting obstruction $O^{2k}(f)$, where $n - q + p \leq k \leq n$. In this range of dimensions, $c(k - p + 1)$ is the image of a unique class a_{k-p+1} under transgression and if $c_k(\omega) = 0$, $P^1 c(k - p + 1) \equiv 0$ (mod integral classes in kernel $\pi^* \cap \text{kernel } f^*$), ([1], p. 429). Let $f': BU(n) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 2(k - p + 1); \mathbf{Z}, 2k, \delta P^1)$ be a lifting of $c(k - p + 1)$, $f_{k-p+1}: W_{n,q} \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 2k - 2p + 1; \mathbf{Z}, 2k - 1, \delta P^1)$ a lifting of a_{k-p+1} , and set $\delta P^1_\omega(c(k - p + 1)) = \delta P^1_{f'}(\iota)$. If $[g]$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(W_{n,q})$ is a generator, then $f_{k-p+1\#}[g] \in \delta P^1_g(a_{k-p+1})$, [3]. Since $P^1 a_{k-p+1} = \mu_p(k) a_k$, where $\mu_p(k) \equiv k \pmod{p}$, ([1], p. 429), a direct computation of the operation $\delta P^1_g(a_{k-p+1})$ yields the equation $f_{k-p+1\#}[g] \equiv \mu_p(k) p^{-1} m_k \pmod{m_k}$. If $c_k(\omega) = 0$, then $m_k O^{2k}(f) = 0$ and the action of the homomorphisms $f_{k-p+1\#}$ on the obstruction is independent of the lifting. The next proposition follows immediately from the above remarks and Proposition 2.2. The assumption $p \leq q$ forces the inequality of the proposition because we have $2q \leq n$ in our theorems.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let p be a prime such that $p \leq q$. If $c_k(\omega) = 0$ and $m_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then*

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_p(k) p^{-1} m_k O^{2k}(f) \equiv \delta P^1_\omega(c(k - p + 1)) \equiv \delta P^1_f(c(k - p + 1)).$$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 will be discussed later. In addition to the properties of $W_{n,4}$ mentioned above, we will need the fact that the 3-component of $\pi_{2n-4}(W_{n,4})$ is zero if $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ [2] and some more precise information on the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_{2n-3}(W_{n,4}) \rightarrow H_{2n-3}(W_{n,4}; \mathbf{Z})$, n odd and $n \geq 9$: $m_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $m_{n-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ if $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, [4].

The conditions in Theorem 2 are clearly necessary. The hypothesis $c_{n-3}(\omega) = 0$ implies that $O^{2n-4}(f)$ is nonvoid and because n is odd and $m_{n-2} = 2$, Proposition 3.1 implies that $O^{2n-4}(f) = \delta S q^2_\omega(c(n - 3))$. This equation is an actual equality because the indeterminacy of $O^{2n-4}(f)$ is image $\delta S q^2$ ([10], p. 191) which is the indeterminacy of $\delta S q^2_\omega(c(n - 3))$. Therefore the hypothesis $0 \in \delta S q^2_\omega(c(n - 3))$ is enough to imply that $O^{2n-2}(f)$ is nonvoid since $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ means that $\pi_{2n-4}(W_{n,4})$ has no

3-component and M is 3-connected mod 2. Since $c_{n-1}(\omega) = 0$ and $m_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, we have $\mu_3(n-1)3^{-1}m_{n-1}O^{2n-2}(f) \equiv \delta P^1_\omega(c(n-3))$, where $\mu_3(n-1) \neq 0$ because $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. The proof of Theorem 2 will be complete when we have shown that this equation is an actual equality, because the other obstructions vanish by connectivity, Poincaré duality, and $c_n(\omega) = 0$.

To establish equality, we work with the equation in the form $f_{n-3*}O^{2n-2}(f) \equiv O^{2n-2}(f'f)$, where $f_{n-3}: W_{n,4} \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 2n-7; \mathbf{Z}, 2n-3, \delta P^1)$ and $f': BU(n) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 2n-6; \mathbf{Z}, 2n-2, \delta P^1)$ are liftings of a_{n-3} and $c(n-3)$, respectively. Let h and \bar{h} be $2n-3$ -liftings of $f'f$ and f , respectively, and let $\{c^{2n-2}(h)\}$ and $\{c^{2n-2}(\bar{h})\}$ be the obstruction cohomology classes determined by these liftings. We assert that h and \bar{h} can be altered in such a way that the obstruction class of h is unchanged and $f_{n-3*}\{c^{2n-2}(\bar{h})\} = \{c^{2n-2}(h)\}$. The argument begins by altering h by a $2n-7$ -cocycle ν such that $\{\nu\} = -3O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h)$. The altered map h_ν extends to a $2n-3$ lifting of $f'f$ because of the homotopy of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 2n-7; \mathbf{Z}, 2n-3, \delta P^1)$ and $\{c^{2n-2}(h)\} - \{c^{2n-2}(h_\nu)\} = \delta P^1 O^{2n-7}(h, h_\nu) = \delta P^1\{\nu\}$, [9], so $\{c^{2n-2}(h)\} = \{c^{2n-2}(h_\nu)\}$ by our choice of ν . Note that

$$O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h_\nu) = O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h) + O^{2n-7}(h, h_\nu) = -2O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h).$$

The homomorphism $f_{n-3*}: \pi_{2n-7}(W_{n,4}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the identity and so we may alter \bar{h} by a $2n-7$ -cocycle α such that $f_{n-3*}\{\alpha\} = \{\alpha\} = O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h_\nu)$. If \bar{h}_α is the altered map, $O^{2n-7}(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_\alpha) = -2O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h)$, and so $\{c^{2n-4}(\bar{h})\} - \{c^{2n-4}(\bar{h}_\alpha)\} = \delta Sq^2 O^{2n-7}(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_\alpha) = 0$. Therefore, \bar{h}_α extends to a $2n-3$ lifting of f because $\{c^{2n-4}(\bar{h})\} = 0$ and M is 3-connected mod 2. We have

$$O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}_\alpha, h_\nu) = O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}_\alpha, f'\bar{h}) + O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h_\nu) = 0$$

because $O^{2n-7}(\bar{h}_\alpha, \bar{h}) = -\{\alpha\}$, and so $f'\bar{h}_\alpha$ is homotopic to h_ν in dimensions less than $2n-3$ and the difference formula for cocycles yields $f_{n-3*}\{c^{2n-2}(\bar{h}_\alpha)\} = \{c^{2n-2}(h_\nu)\}$. This completes the proof of equality and of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is exactly the same except that there is no obstruction of order 3. The remark about the special case $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ follows from [2].

The problem of computing the operations δP^1_ω seems difficult. The following example shows that they are nontrivial invariants of the sectioning problem on the level of complexes¹. Let n be even, $n \geq 6$, and let $p: E \rightarrow BU(n)$ be the first stage in the Postnikov system for the fibration $BU(n-3) \rightarrow BU(n)$ and let $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow BU(n-2)$ be the first

¹ I am grateful to the referee for this example.

stage in the system of the fibration $BU(n-3) \rightarrow BU(n-2)$. Let X be the $2n-1$ -skeleton of E_1 and ω the bundle classified by the inclusion $BU(n-2) \rightarrow BU(n)$ composed with p_1 . If k in $H^{2n-2}(E; \mathbf{Z})$ is the k -invariant, then $2k = p^*c(n-1)$ [10] and if $s: E_1 \rightarrow E$ is the natural map, then s^*k is in the image of the Bockstein mod 2. With these observations, it is easy to see that ω has the following properties: $c_i(\omega) = 0$, $n-2 \leq i \leq n$, complex span $\omega \cong 2$, but $0 \notin \delta Sq_\omega^2(c(n-2))$ because X does not lift to $BU(n-3)$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Groupes de Lie et puissances réduites de Steenrod*, Amer. J. Math., **75** (1953), 409–448.
2. M. Gilmore, *Complex Stiefel manifolds, some homotopy groups and vector fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 630–633.
3. R. D. Little, *A relation between obstructions and functional cohomology operations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 259–264.
4. R. D. Little, *Obstruction formulas and almost-complex manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **50** (1975), 459–462.
5. J.-P. Meyer, *Functional cohomology operations and relations*, Amer. J. Math., **97** (1965), 649–683.
6. R. E. Mosher and M. C. Tangora, *Cohomology Operations and Applications in Homotopy Theory*, Harper and Row, 1968.
7. P. Olum, *Invariants for effective homotopy classification and extension of mappings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **37** (1961).
8. ———, *Factorizations and induced homomorphisms*, Advances in Math., **3** (1969), 72–100.
9. ———, *Seminar in obstruction theory*, Cornell Univ. Notes, 1968.
10. E. Thomas, *Postnikov invariants and higher order cohomology operations*, Ann. of Math., **85** (1967), 184–217.
11. ———, *Real and complex vector fields on manifolds*, J. Math. and Mech., **16** (1967), 1183–1206.

Received June 12, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII—MANOA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$ 72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$ 36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1976 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 62, No. 2

February, 1976

Allan Russell Adler and Catarina Isabel Kiefe, <i>Pseudofinite fields, procyclic fields and model-completion</i>	305
Christopher Allday, <i>The stratification of compact connected Lie group actions by subtori</i>	311
Martin Bartelt, <i>Commutants of multipliers and translation operators</i>	329
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>Ordered Gleason parts</i>	337
James Robert Boone, <i>On irreducible spaces. II</i>	351
James Robert Boone, <i>On the cardinality relationships between discrete collections and open covers</i>	359
L. S. Dube, <i>On finite Hankel transformation of generalized functions</i>	365
Michael Freedman, <i>Uniqueness theorems for taut submanifolds</i>	379
Shmuel Friedland and Raphael Loewy, <i>Subspaces of symmetric matrices containing matrices with a multiple first eigenvalue</i>	389
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Uniform algebras spanned by Hartogs series</i>	401
James Guyker, <i>On partial isometries with no isometric part</i>	419
Shigeru Hasegawa and Ryōtarō Satō, <i>A general ratio ergodic theorem for semigroups</i>	435
Nigel Kalton and G. V. Wood, <i>Homomorphisms of group algebras with norm less than $\sqrt{2}$</i>	439
Thomas Laffey, <i>On the structure of algebraic algebras</i>	461
Will Y. K. Lee, <i>On a correctness class of the Bessel type differential operator S_μ</i>	473
Robert D. Little, <i>Complex vector fields and divisible Chern classes</i>	483
Kenneth Louden, <i>Maximal quotient rings of ring extensions</i>	489
Dieter Lutz, <i>Scalar spectral operators, ordered l^p-direct sums, and the counterexample of Kakutani-McCarthy</i>	497
Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, <i>Stochastic convex programming: singular multipliers and extended duality singular multipliers and duality</i>	507
Edward Barry Saff and Richard Steven Varga, <i>Geometric overconvergence of rational functions in unbounded domains</i>	523
Joel Linn Schiff, <i>Isomorphisms between harmonic and P-harmonic Hardy spaces on Riemann surfaces</i>	551
Virinda Mohan Sehgal and S. P. Singh, <i>On a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii for locally convex spaces</i>	561
Lewis Shilane, <i>Filtered spaces admitting spectral sequence operations</i>	569
Michel Smith, <i>Generating large indecomposable continua</i>	587
John Yuan, <i>On the convolution algebras of H-invariant measures</i>	595