

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**MAXIMAL QUOTIENT RINGS OF RING EXTENSIONS**

KENNETH LOUDEN

# MAXIMAL QUOTIENT RINGS OF RING EXTENSIONS

KENNETH LOUDEN

Using torsion theoretic methods we obtain sufficient conditions on a ring extension  $R \rightarrow S$  so that  $Q_{\max}(S) \cong S \otimes_R Q_{\max}(R)$ . This is applied to quasi-Frobenius extensions and group rings, generalizing and unifying several known results.

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** In [1] Burgess showed that, for a ring  $A$  and a group  $G$ ,  $AG \otimes_{AH} Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AG)$  for every central subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , with equality if  $H$  is of finite index. Later, Kitamura [6] showed that, for a Frobenius extension  $R \rightarrow S$  such that  $S$  is finitely generated over  $R$  by elements which centralize  $R$ ,  $S \otimes_R Q_{\max}(R) \cong Q_{\max}(S)$ . Finally, Formanek [3] recently proved that  $Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AG)$  when  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ .

We want to show here that a general torsion-theoretic argument leads to a theorem which can be applied to generalize all of the above results.

We note first that all rings have 1, and all modules are on the right unless stated otherwise. We assume that the reader is familiar with torsion theories, for example the contents of [12], whose notation we will generally follow. For other unexplained terminology we refer to [7].

We begin by considering a general ring homomorphism  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  ( $\varphi(1) = 1$ ) with associated "restriction of scalars" functor  $\varphi_*: \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$ . Recall that  ${}_R S$  is a left adjoint and  $\text{Hom}_R(S, -)$  is a right adjoint of  $\varphi_*$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a topology (idempotent topologizing filter) of right ideals of  $R$ . We set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{D \triangleleft_r S \mid \varphi^{-1}(D) \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a filter but not necessarily a topology.

DEFINITION.  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be  $S$ -good if  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a topology.

An investigation of  $S$ -good topologies was made in [9]. In particular, the following useful criterion was found.

PROPOSITION 1. ([9], Theorem 2.5(f).)  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $S$ -good if and only if  $\varphi_*(M \otimes_R S) = (M \otimes_R S)_R$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -torsion whenever  $M_R$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -torsion.

Associated to the topology  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $R$  is a quotient functor on  $\text{Mod-}R$ , which we will denote by  $Q$ . When  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $S$ -good, there is also a quotient functor on  $\text{Mod-}S$  associated to  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , which we will denote by  $\tilde{Q}$ . The interest in  $S$ -good topologies is the following.

PROPOSITION 2. ([9], Theorem 2.7.) *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $S$ -good topology on  $R$ , and suppose that  ${}_R S$  is flat. Then  $\varphi_* \tilde{Q}(M) \cong Q(\varphi_*(M))$  canonically for all  $M \in \text{Mod-}S$ .*

When  $M = S$ , Proposition 2 says that the module of quotients of  $S_R$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  is a ring isomorphic to the ring of quotients of  $S$  with respect to  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Modules of quotients are especially nice when they are given by tensor products.

THEOREM 3. *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $S$ -good topology on  $R$ , and suppose that  ${}_R S$  is flat and  $S_R$  is projective. Then there is an embedding*

$$S \otimes_R Q(R) \subset \tilde{Q}(S)$$

with equality if  $S_R$  is finitely generated.

*Proof.* Recall that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \varphi_*(S) & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ \varphi_*(S) \otimes_R Q(R) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Q(\varphi_*(S)). \end{array}$$

Now  $\ker \beta = t(\varphi_*(S) \otimes Q(R))$ , the  $\mathcal{F}$ -torsion submodule of  $\varphi_*(S) \otimes Q(R)$  ([9], Proposition 1.1). But  $\varphi_*(S) \otimes Q(R)$  is  $Q(R)$ -projective, hence  $\mathcal{F}$ -torsionfree. It follows that  $\beta$  is mono. By Proposition 2,  $Q(\varphi_*(S)) \cong \varphi_* \tilde{Q}(S)$ , giving the required embedding. If  $S_R$  is finitely generated, then  $Q(\varphi_*(S)) \cong \varphi_*(S) \otimes Q(R)$  [[4], Theorem 4.7], completing the proof.

REMARK. The embedding of Theorem 3 is as left  $S$ -right  $Q(R)$ -modules. When equality holds,  $S \otimes Q(R)$  can be made into a ring compatible with the ring structures of  $S$  and  $Q(R)$  by lifting back the ring structure of  $\tilde{Q}(S)$ . We do not know if, under the hypotheses of the theorem,  $S \otimes Q(R)$  can always be made into a ring such that the embedding is one of rings, though this will be true of our applications.

We will need later the following description of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  in terms of cogenerating injectives.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $S$ -good topology on  $R$ , and let  ${}_R S$  be flat. If  $I$  is an injective cogenerator for  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $I^* = \text{Hom}_R(S, I)$  is an injective cogenerator for  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* [9], Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5(b).

**2. Main theorem.** We call the topology of dense right ideals of a ring  $R$  the *Lambek topology* and denote it by  $\mathcal{D}_R$ . It is cogenerated by the injective hull  $E(R)$  of  $R$ , and we say that a module  $M$  is  $E(R)$ -torsionfree if it is  $\mathcal{D}_R$ -torsionfree. Thus  $M$  is  $E(R)$ -torsionfree if and only if  $M$  can be embedded in a direct product of copies of  $E(R)$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Assume  ${}_R S$  is flat,  $S_R$  is projective, and the Lambek topology  $\mathcal{D}_R$  on  $R$  is  $S$ -good. Then  $S \otimes_R Q_{\max}(R) \subset Q_{\max}(S)$ , with equality if  $S_R$  is finitely generated,  $S^* = \text{Hom}_R(S, R)$  is  $E(S)$ -torsionfree, and the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(S, -): \text{Mod-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}S$  preserves essential extensions.*

*Proof.* We use  $\tilde{Q}(S)$  as an “intermediate” quotient ring, where  $Q$  is the quotient functor associated to  $\mathcal{D}_R$  (so  $Q_{\max}(R) = Q(R)$ ). By Theorem 3,  $S \otimes Q(R) \subset \tilde{Q}(S)$ . We show  $\tilde{Q}(S) \subset Q_{\max}(S)$ . Indeed, let  $\{f_\beta, s_\beta \mid \beta \in A\}$  be a dual basis for the projective module  $S_R$ , where  $A$  is an index set and  $s_\beta \in S, f_\beta \in S^*$  for all  $\beta \in A$ . Define  $j: S \rightarrow \prod_A S^*$  by  $(j(s)_\beta)(t) = f_\beta(st)$ .  $j$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism.  $j$  is also mono, for  $j(s) = 0$  implies  $f_\beta(s) = 0$  for all  $\beta$ , and so  $s = \sum s_\beta f_\beta(s) = 0$ . By left exactness of  $\text{Hom}_R(S, -)$ ,  $S^* \subset E^\#$ , where  $E = E(R)$ , so there is an embedding  $S \subset \prod_A E^\#$ . Since  $\prod_A E^\#$  is injective, there is an embedding  $E(S) \subset \prod_A E^\#$ . Now  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_R$  is cogenerated by  $E^\#$ , by Proposition 4, hence  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_R \subset \mathcal{D}_S$  and  $\tilde{Q}(S) \subset Q_{\max}(S)$  as desired.

Now assume the remaining conditions. Then  $S \otimes Q(R) \cong \tilde{Q}(S)$  by Theorem 3. To complete the proof we show  $E^\# \subset \Pi E(S)$ . By assumption we have  $S^* \subset \Pi E(S)$ , and since  $\text{Hom}(S, -)$  preserves essential extensions,  $E^\#$  is an injective hull of  $S^*$ . Since  $\Pi E(S)$  is injective,  $E^\# \subset \Pi E(S)$ .

### 3. Applications.

**DEFINITION.** A topology  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $R$  is *automorphism invariant* if  $\sigma(D) = \{\sigma(d) \mid d \in D\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ .

Of course, not all topologies are automorphism invariant, but we do have the following.

LEMMA 6. ([9], Example 1 after Corollary 3.6.) *The Lambek topology is automorphism invariant.*

LEMMA 7. *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is automorphism invariant, then every automorphism of  $R$  extends to an automorphism of  $Q(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t(R)$  be the torsion submodule of  $R$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is automorphism invariant,  $\sigma(t(R)) = t(R)$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ . Let  $f: D \rightarrow R/t(R)$  represent an element of  $Q(R)$ . Define  $\sigma(f): \sigma(D) \rightarrow R/t(R)$  by  $\sigma(f)(\sigma(d)) = \sigma(f(d))$ . Since  $\sigma(t(R)) = t(R)$ ,  $\sigma(f)$  is well-defined. It is straightforward to check that  $\sigma(f)$  is a homomorphism and that this defines  $\sigma$  on  $Q(R)$  to be an automorphism.

DEFINITION. A bimodule  ${}_R M_R$  is said to be *generated by normalizing elements* if there are sets  $\{m_i \mid i \in I\} \subset M$  and  $\{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\} \subset \text{Aut}(R)$  such that  $M = \sum_{i \in I} R m_i$  and  $m_i r = \sigma_i(r) m_i$  for all  $i \in I$ ,  $r \in R$ .

LEMMA 8. *Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism such that  $S$  is generated over  $R$  by normalizing elements. Then an automorphism invariant topology on  $R$  is  $S$ -good.*

*Proof.* We use the criterion of Proposition 1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an automorphism invariant topology on  $R$ , and let  $M_R$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -torsion. Let  $\{s_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a set of normalizing generators of  $S$  with automorphisms  $\{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ . Then any element of  $M \otimes_R S$  may be written in the form  $\sum m_i \otimes s_i$ , the sum taken over finitely many  $i \in I$ . Let  $D_i \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $m_i D_i = 0$ , and set  $D = \bigcap_i \sigma_i^{-1}(D_i)$ . Then  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  since the intersection is finite and  $\mathcal{F}$  is automorphism invariant. But  $(\sum m_i \otimes s_i)D = \sum m_i \otimes \sigma_i(D) s_i \subset \sum m_i \otimes D_i s_i = \sum m_i D_i \otimes s_i = 0$ . Hence  $M \otimes S$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -torsion, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $S$ -good.

LEMMA 9. *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an automorphism invariant topology on  $R$  with faithful ring of quotients  $Q(R)$ , and let  ${}_R M_R$  be generated by normalizing elements. Assume further that  ${}_R M$  and  $M_R$  are flat and that  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$  and  $Q(R) \otimes_R M$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -torsionfree. Then  $M \otimes_R Q(R) \cong Q(R) \otimes_R M$ , and  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$  becomes a  $Q(R)$ -bimodule generated by normalizing elements.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{m_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a set of normalizing generators for  $M$  with associated automorphisms  $\{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ . Define  $\beta: M \otimes Q(R) \rightarrow$

$Q(R) \otimes M$  by  $\beta(\sum m_i \otimes q_i) = \sum \sigma_i(q_i) \otimes m_i$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is extended to  $Q(R)$  via Lemma 7. We show that  $\beta$  is a well-defined automorphism. It is then easy to see that this makes  $M \otimes Q(R)$  into a  $Q(R)$ -bimodule with normalizing generators  $\{m_i \otimes 1 \mid i \in I\}$ . So suppose  $\sum m_i \otimes q_i = 0$ ; and let  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $q_i D \subset R$  for all  $i$ . Then  $(\sum m_i \otimes q_i)D = (\sum m_i q_i D) \otimes 1 = 0$ . Now  $M_R$  is flat and  $R \subset Q(R)$ , so  $M \subset M \otimes Q(R)$  via the map  $m \mapsto m \otimes 1$ . Hence  $\sum m_i q_i D = 0$ , and so  $0 = 1 \otimes \sum m_i q_i D = \sum \sigma_i(q_i) \sigma_i(D) \otimes m_i = (\sum \sigma_i(q_i) \otimes m_i)D$ , so  $\sum \sigma_i(q_i) \otimes m_i = 0$  since  $Q(R) \otimes M$  is torsionfree. It follows that  $\beta$  is well-defined. By symmetry,  $\beta^{-1}$  is also well-defined, so  $\beta$  is an isomorphism.

LEMMA 10. *Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism such that  $S$  is finitely generated over  $R$  by normalizing elements. Then  $\text{Hom}_R(S, -)$  preserves essential extensions.*

*Proof.* Let  $M \subset_{\text{es}} N$ , and let  $f: S \rightarrow N$  be a nonzero  $R$ -homomorphism. Let  $\{s_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a set of normalizing generators for  $S$  with automorphisms  $\{\sigma_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Arrange the  $s_i$  so that  $f(s_i) \neq 0$ . Then there is an  $r_1 \in R$  such that  $0 \neq f(s_1)r_1 = f(s_1 r_1) = f(\sigma_1(r_1)s_1) = (f\sigma_1(r_1))(s_1) \in M$ . If  $(f\sigma_1(r_1))(s_i) = 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  we are done. If not, suppose  $(f\sigma_1(r_1))(s_2) = f(s_2)\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1(r_1) \neq 0$ . Then there exists an  $r_2 \in R$  such that  $0 \neq f(s_2)\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1(r_1)r_2 = (f\sigma_1(r_1)\sigma_2(r_2))(s_2) \in M$ . Note that then  $(f\sigma_1(r_1)\sigma_2(r_2))(s_1) = f(s_1)r_1\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2(r_2) \in M$ . By finite induction, we are done.

We are now ready for the applications. Recall ([11]) that a ring monomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  is a (left) *quasi-Frobenius* (or *QF*) *extension* if  ${}_R S$  is finitely generated projective and there exists a module  ${}_S M_R$  such that  ${}_S S_R \oplus {}_S M_R \cong \bigoplus_1^n {}_S S_R$ , where  ${}^* S = \text{Hom}({}_R S, {}_R R)$ .

THEOREM 11. *Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a left QF extension such that  $S$  is finitely generated by normalizing elements over  $R$ . Then  $Q_{\max}(S) \cong S \otimes_R Q_{\max}(R)$ . If  $R \rightarrow S$  is two-sided QF, then  $S \otimes_R Q_{\max}(R) \cong Q_{\max}(R) \otimes_R S$ ,  $Q_{\max}(R) \rightarrow Q_{\max}(S)$  is two-sided QF, and  $Q_{\max}(S)$  is finitely generated by normalizing elements over  $Q_{\max}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* We write  $Q$  for  $Q_{\max}$ . By Lemmas 6 and 8 the Lambek topology  $\mathcal{D}_R$  on  $R$  is  $S$ -good. Since  ${}_R S$  is projective, it is flat. By [11], Satz 2,  $S_R$  is finitely generated projective. By Lemma 10,  $\text{Hom}_R(S, -)$  preserves essential extensions. Finally,  ${}_R S_S^* \oplus {}_R M_S^* \cong \bigoplus_1^n {}_R S_S$  ([11], Satz 2), so  $S^*$  is  $E(S)$ -torsionfree. Theorem 5 applies to give  $Q(S) \cong S \otimes Q(R)$ . Now assume  $R \rightarrow S$  is also right QF. Then  ${}^*_S S_R \oplus {}_S N_R \cong \bigoplus_1^m {}_S S_R$ , so in particular  ${}^*_R S_R$  is a direct summand of  $\bigoplus_1^m {}_R S_R$ . Hence  ${}^*_R S_R$  is generated over  $R$  by normalizing elements, because  ${}_R S_R$  and thus also

$\bigoplus_i^m {}_R S_R$  is. This implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(*S, Q(R))$  is  $\mathcal{D}_R$ -torsionfree, as follows. Let  $f: *S \rightarrow Q(R)$  be an  $R$ -homomorphism with  $fD = 0$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{D}_R$ . Let  $\{m_i\}$  be a set of normalizing generators of  $*S$  with automorphisms  $\{\sigma_i\}$ , and let  $m = \sum m_i r_i \in *S$  be arbitrary. Then  $D_m = \bigcap r_i^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1}(D) \in \mathcal{D}_R$  and  $f(m)D_m = f(mD_m) \subset \sum f(Dm_i) = 0$ , so  $f(m) = 0$  and  $f = 0$ . Now,  $\text{Hom}_R(*S, Q(R)) \cong Q(R) \otimes_R S$  by [10], V.4.1 and V.4.2. Hence  $Q(R) \otimes S$  is  $\mathcal{D}_R$ -torsionfree.  $S \otimes Q(R)$  is also  $\mathcal{D}_R$ -torsionfree, since  $S_R$  is projective. Lemma 9 applies to give  $S \otimes Q(R) \cong Q(R) \otimes S$  and that  $Q(S)$  is finitely generated by normalizing elements over  $Q(R)$ .

It remains to show that  $Q(R) \rightarrow Q(S)$  is  $QF$ . It is easy to see that this is a monomorphism and that  $Q(S)$  is right and left projective over  $Q(R)$ . Further applications of Lemma 9 give  $S^* \otimes Q(R) \cong Q(R) \otimes S^*$  and  $M^* \otimes Q(R) \cong Q(R) \otimes M^*$ , so these both have a structure of right  $Q(S)$ -left  $Q(R)$ -bimodule. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(R) \otimes S^* &\cong \text{Hom}_R(S, Q(R)) \cong \text{Hom}_{Q(R)}(S \otimes Q(R), Q(R)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{Q(R)}(Q(S), Q(R)) = Q(S)^* \end{aligned}$$

(the  $*$  as  $Q(R)$ -module), and

$$Q(S)^* \oplus (Q(R) \otimes M^*) \cong \bigoplus_1^n Q(R) \otimes S \cong \bigoplus_1^n Q(S),$$

so  $Q(R) \rightarrow Q(S)$  is left  $QF$ , by [11], Satz 2. The right  $QF$ -ness follows similarly.

We remark that the ring structure on  $S \otimes Q_{\max}(R)$  obtained from  $Q_{\max}(S)$  can be defined directly in the expected way, namely  $(\sum_{i, s_i} \otimes q_i) \times (\sum_{j, s_j} \otimes p_j) = \sum_{i, j, s_i s_j} \otimes \sigma_j^{-1}(q_i) p_j$ , where the  $s_i$  are normalizing generators of  $S$  over  $R$  with automorphisms  $\sigma_i$ .

Theorem 11 applies in particular to Frobenius extensions, projective separable algebras, and Azumaya algebras. We note that centralizing generators are a special case of normalizing generators, so for instance an algebra over a commutative ring is always generated by normalizing elements with all the automorphisms equal to the identity automorphism. Group rings, however, provide examples of rings with normalizing, but not centralizing, generators.

**COROLLARY 12.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $G$  a group, and  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $AG \otimes_{AH} Q_{\max}(AH)$  is a ring, and there is a ring embedding  $AG \otimes_{AH} Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AG)$  with equality if  $H$  is of finite index.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6 and 7, the  $G$ -action on  $AH$  defined by  $x^g = g^{-1}xg$  for  $x \in AH$  and  $g \in G$  extends to  $Q_{\max}(AH)$ . The ring structure on  $AG \otimes Q_{\max}(AH)$  is now defined by  $(\sum g_i \otimes q_i)(\sum g_j \otimes p_j) = \sum g_i g_j \otimes q_i^g p_j$ .  $AG$  is generated by normalizing elements over  $AH$ , so Lemmas 6 and 8 and Theorem 5 give  $AG \otimes Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AG)$ . It is easy to see that this is a ring embedding. Finally, if  $H$  is of finite index then  $AH \rightarrow AG$  is a Frobenius extension, so Theorem 11 applies to give equality.

**COROLLARY 13.** *If  $A$  is a ring,  $G$  is a group, and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  of finite index, then  $Q_{\max}(AG) \cong AG \otimes_{AH} Q_{\max}(AH)$ .*

*Proof.* There is a  $K \triangleleft G$  and of finite index with  $K \subset H$ . By Corollary 12,  $Q_{\max}(AG) \cong AG \otimes_{AK} Q_{\max}(AK) \cong AG \otimes_{AH} (AH \otimes_{AK} Q_{\max}(AK)) \cong AG \otimes_{AH} Q_{\max}(AH)$ .

**DEFINITION.** A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is *subnormal-by-finite* if there is a subnormal subgroup  $H_1$  of  $G$  such that  $H \subset H_1$  and  $H$  is of finite index in  $H_1$ .

**COROLLARY 14.** *If  $H$  is a subnormal-by-finite subgroup of  $G$ , then  $Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AG)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . Then  $AG \otimes Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AG)$  by Corollary 12. Since  $AG_{AH}$  is free and hence faithfully flat, we have  $Q_{\max}(AH) \subset AG \otimes Q_{\max}(AH)$  via  $q \mapsto 1 \otimes q$ . Thus  $Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AG)$ . This extends immediately to the case when  $H$  is subnormal. Finally, let  $H_1$  be subnormal such that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $H_1$  of finite index. Then an application of Corollary 13 gives  $Q_{\max}(AH) \subset Q_{\max}(AH_1)$ , and the result follows.

Corollary 14 generalizes a result of Formanek [3].

*Conjecture.* If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $Q_{\max}(AG) \cong AG \otimes Q_{\max}(AH)$  if and only if  $H$  is of finite index.

**REMARKS.**

1. When  $H = 1$  the conjecture is a generalization of the recently proved self-injectivity theorem for group rings, which says that  $AG$  is self-injective if and only if  $A$  is self-injective and  $G$  is finite (see for example [2]). The conjecture for  $H = 1$  also follows from that theorem when the singular ideal of  $AG$  is zero. Some further partial results when  $H = 1$  appear in [8]. The general case when  $H \neq 1$  seems to be more difficult, even in special cases.

2. A corresponding conjecture for the classical quotient ring, avoiding questions of Ore-ness, is the following: If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $AH$  and  $AG$  are right Ore, then  $Q_{cl}(AG) = AG \otimes_{AH} Q_{cl}(AH)$  if and only if  $H$  is of locally finite index (i.e.  $G/H$  is a locally finite group). This is a generalization of a conjecture of Herstein ([5], page 36). A discussion and special cases appear in [8].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to thank Professor Ian Connell and Professor Joachim Lambek for their interest and encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. D. Burgess, *Rings of quotients of group rings*, Canad. J. Math., **21** (1969), 865–875.
2. D. Farkas, *Self-injective group algebras*, J. Algebra, **25** (1973), 313–315.
3. E. Formanek, *Maximal quotient rings of group rings*, Pacific J. Math., **53** (1974), 109–116.
4. O. Goldman, *Rings and modules of quotients*, J. Algebra, **13** (1969), 10–47.
5. I. N. Herstein, *Notes from a ring theory conference*, CBMS Regional Conference Series No. **9** (1970).
6. Y. Kitamura, *A note on quotient rings over Frobenius extensions*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **15** (1972), 141–147.
7. J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1966.
8. K. Louden, *Thesis*, McGill University, 1975.
9. K. Louden, *Torsion theories and ring extensions*, Comm. Algebra, to appear.
10. S. MacLane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
11. B. Müller, *Quasi-Frobenius-Erweiterungen*, Math. Z., **85** (1964), 345–368.
12. B. Stenström, *Rings and modules of quotients*, Springer Lecture Notes No. 237 (1971).

Received September 23, 1975.

MCGILL UNIVERSITY  
AND  
MCMASTER UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

\* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$ 72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$ 36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION  
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1976 Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
All Rights Reserved

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Allan Russell Adler and Catarina Isabel Kiefe, <i>Pseudofinite fields, pro-cyclic fields and model-completion</i> . . . . .   | 305 |
| Christopher Allday, <i>The stratification of compact connected Lie group actions by subtori</i> . . . . .   | 311 |
| Martin Bartelt, <i>Commutants of multipliers and translation operators</i> . . . . .  | 329 |
| Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>Ordered Gleason parts</i> . . . . .   | 337 |
| James Robert Boone, <i>On irreducible spaces. II</i> . . . . .  | 351 |
| James Robert Boone, <i>On the cardinality relationships between discrete collections and open covers</i> . . . . .  | 359 |
| L. S. Dube, <i>On finite Hankel transformation of generalized functions</i> . . . . .   | 365 |
| Michael Freedman, <i>Uniqueness theorems for taut submanifolds</i> . . . . .  | 379 |
| Shmuel Friedland and Raphael Loewy, <i>Subspaces of symmetric matrices containing matrices with a multiple first eigenvalue</i> . . . . .   | 389 |
| Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Uniform algebras spanned by Hartogs series</i> . . . . .   | 401 |
| James Guyker, <i>On partial isometries with no isometric part</i> . . . . .   | 419 |
| Shigeru Hasegawa and Ryōtarō Satō, <i>A general ratio ergodic theorem for semigroups</i> . . . . .  | 435 |
| Nigel Kalton and G. V. Wood, <i>Homomorphisms of group algebras with norm less than <math>\sqrt{2}</math></i> . . . . .   | 439 |
| Thomas Laffey, <i>On the structure of algebraic algebras</i> . . . . .  | 461 |
| Will Y. K. Lee, <i>On a correctness class of the Bessel type differential operator <math>S_\mu</math></i> . . . . .   | 473 |
| Robert D. Little, <i>Complex vector fields and divisible Chern classes</i> . . . . .  | 483 |
| Kenneth Loudon, <i>Maximal quotient rings of ring extensions</i> . . . . .  | 489 |
| Dieter Lutz, <i>Scalar spectral operators, ordered <math>l^p</math>-direct sums, and the counterexample of Kakutani-McCarthy</i> . . . . .  | 497 |
| Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, <i>Stochastic convex programming: singular multipliers and extended duality singular multipliers and duality</i> . . . . . | 507 |
| Edward Barry Saff and Richard Steven Varga, <i>Geometric overconvergence of rational functions in unbounded domains</i> . . . . .   | 523 |
| Joel Linn Schiff, <i>Isomorphisms between harmonic and <math>P</math>-harmonic Hardy spaces on Riemann surfaces</i> . . . . .   | 551 |
| Virinda Mohan Sehgal and S. P. Singh, <i>On a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii for locally convex spaces</i> . . . . .  | 561 |
| Lewis Shilane, <i>Filtered spaces admitting spectral sequence operations</i> . . . . .  | 569 |
| Michel Smith, <i>Generating large indecomposable continua</i> . . . . .   | 587 |
| John Yuan, <i>On the convolution algebras of <math>H</math>-invariant measures</i> . . . . .  | 595 |