Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MULTIPLIERS ON A BANACH ALGEBRA WITH A BOUNDED APPROXIMATE IDENTITY

JOHN WAYNE DAVENPORT

Vol. 63, No. 1 March 1976

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 63, No. 1, 1976

MULTIPLIERS ON A BANACH ALGEBRA WITH A BOUNDED APPROXIMATE IDENTITY

JOHN W. DAVENPORT

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity $\{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, and $M(A)$ the multiplier algebra on A. In this paper, we obtain a representation for $M(A)$ such that each multiplier operator appears as a multiplicative operator. The proof makes use of the weak-* compactness of the net $\{Te_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and the algebraic properties of a multiplier.

1. Introduction. In 1951, J. G. Wendel showed that the left centralizers on $L_1(G)$, G a locally compact group, was equivalent to $C_0(G)^*$, the space of regular Borel measures on G. Thus, if T is a centralizer and x is any element in $L_1(G)$ then $Tx = \xi * x$ for some Borel measure ξ. It is also well known that if A is a Banach algebra with an identity element then any multiplier on A is determined by its action on the identity element. In this paper, we show that if A is a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity then there exist a continuous isomorphism of A such that each multiplier defined on A is given by point-wise multiplication. In the case that the approximate identity is uniformly bounded by one, the representation is norm preserving. Thus we obtain an isometric isomorphism for all multipliers on $L_1(G)$ and for all multipliers on any B^*-algebra such that the action of a multiplier is given by point-wise multiplication by a fixed element in A.

2. The representation space for $M(A)$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let A be a Banach algebra and T a mapping from A into A. The map T is a multiplier provided

$$x(Ty) = (Tx)y \qquad (x, y \in A) .$$

Every multiplier turns out to be a continuous function and the set of all multipliers on A under pointwise operations is a commutative subalgebra of $B(A)$, the set of all bounded linear operators on A([5]).

NOTATION 2.2. In this paper, a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity will be denoted by A and the multiplier algebra on A will be denoted by $M(A)$. For any Banach algebra X, we denote the weak-* convergence of a net in X^*, the dual space of X, indexed by $\alpha \in \Lambda$, by "$\lim_\alpha^{wk-*}(\cdot)$". Unless otherwise stated, we denote the bound on the approximate identity by M.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let X be a Banach algebra. The algebra$X$ is said to have a bounded approximate identity provided there exists a net $\{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ in X and a $M > 0$ such that

2.3.1 $\|e_\alpha\| < M \qquad (\alpha \in \Lambda)$

2.3.2 $\lim_\alpha e_\alpha x = \lim_\alpha x e_\alpha = x \qquad (x \in X)$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $\{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ denote the approximate identity on A, and $B_* = \{f \in A^* \mid f \cdot e_\alpha \to f\}$ where $f \cdot a(x) = f(ax)$ for each a, $x \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. The set B_* is a closed subspace of A^* and $B_* = \{f \cdot a \mid f \in A^*, a \in A\}$ ([3]). By defining

2.4.1 $[G, f] = G(f \cdot a) \qquad (a \in A, f \in B_*, G \in B_*^*)$

2.4.2 $F \cdot G(f) = F[G, f] \qquad (f \in B_*, F, G \in B_*^*)$,

the dual space, B_*^*, becomes a Banach algebra. This follows since the above definitions are the restrictions to B_* of the Arens product on A^{**} which makes A^{**} into a Banach algebra such that if π is the canonical embeding of A into A^{**} then π is an isometric isomorphism ([5]).

LEMMA 2.5. *There exists a norm reducing isomorphism of A into B_*^*.*

Proof. We define $\tau: A \to B_*^*$ by $\tau a(f) = f(a) = \pi a \mid_{B^*}$.

Clearly τ is linear and since $B_* = \{f \cdot a \mid f \in A^*, a \in A\}$, it follows that τ is one-to-one. From $|\tau a(f)| = |f(a)| < \|f\| \cdot \|a\|$, we see that $\|\tau a\| < \|a\|$, for all $a \in A$.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $\{F_\alpha \mid a \in \Lambda\}$ be a net in B_*^*; $a \in A$; and $F, G \in B_*^*$, then the following properties are satisfied:*

2.6.1 *if* $\lim_\alpha^{wk\text{-}*} F_\alpha = F$ *then* $\lim_\alpha^{wk\text{-}*} F_\alpha \cdot G = F \cdot G$

2.6.2 *if* $\lim_\alpha^{wk\text{-}*} F_\alpha = F$ *then* $\lim_\alpha^{wk\text{-}*} \tau a \cdot F_\alpha = \tau a \cdot F$

2.6.3 *if* $F \cdot \tau a = 0$ *for all* $a \in A$ *or* $\tau a \cdot F = 0$ *for all* $a \in A$ *then* $F = 0$.

Proof. These properties follow from a straightforward application of the definitions of the operations involved.

LEMMA 2.7. *The Banach algebra B_*^* has an identity element which we denote by J.*

Proof. From $\|\tau e_\alpha\| < \|e_\alpha\| < M$, it follows that the net $\{\tau e_\alpha\}$ has a weak-$*$ convergent subnet. Let $J = \lim_\alpha^{wk\text{-}*} \tau e_\alpha$. Since

$$[J, f](x) = J(f \cdot x) = \lim_a \tau e_\alpha(f \cdot x) = \lim_a f(x e_\alpha) = f(x) ,$$

for all $x \in A$, we have that $[J, f] = f$ for all $f \in B_*$. Thus $F \cdot J = F$, for all $F \in B_*^*$. Since $\tau a \cdot F$ is weak-$*$ continuous in F, it also follows that $J \cdot F(f) = \lim_\alpha \tau e_\alpha \cdot F(f) = \lim_\alpha F(f \cdot e_\alpha) = F(f)$ for all $f \in B_*$ and $F \in B_*^*$. Thus $J \cdot F = F$ for all $F \in B_*^*$.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity $\{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. Then there exists a map μ from $M(A)$ into B_*^* such that μ is a continuous, algebraic isomorphism of $M(A)$ into B_*^*. Furthermore*

$$\tau(Ta) = (\mu T) \cdot \tau a = \tau a \cdot (\mu T) \qquad (a \in A,\ T \in M(A)) .$$

Proof. Let $T \in M(A)$. Since $\| Te_\alpha \| < \| T \| \cdot M$, the net $\{\tau(Te_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ has a weak-$*$ convergent subnet in B_*^*. If $\{\tau(Te_\beta) \mid \beta \in \Gamma\}$ converges to G and $\{\tau(Te_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ converges to F, each in the weak-$*$ topology; then, for each $f \in B_*$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) &= \lim_\alpha \tau(Te_\alpha)(f) = \lim_\alpha \tau(Te_\alpha) \cdot J(f) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \tau Te_\alpha \cdot \tau e_\beta(f) = \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta (\tau Te_\alpha(e_\beta))(f) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \tau e_\alpha \cdot \tau Te_\beta(f) = \lim_\alpha \tau e_\alpha \cdot G(f) = G(f) . \end{aligned}$$

Now we define the mapping μ from $M(A)$ to B_*^* by

$$\mu(T) = F = \overset{wk\text{-}*}{\lim_\alpha} \tau(Te_\alpha) \qquad (T \in M(A)) .$$

The previous remarks show that μ is well defined. We first observe that if $F = \mu(T)$, then

$$\tau a \cdot F(f) = \lim_\alpha \tau a \cdot \tau Te_\alpha(f) = \lim_\alpha \tau Ta \cdot \tau e_\alpha(f) = \tau(Ta)(f) .$$

Thus

2.8.1. $\tau a \cdot \mu(T) = \tau(Ta) \qquad (a \in A,\ T \in M(A))$.

By Lemma 2.7, the identity element of B_*^* is the weak-$*$ limit of a subnet of $\{\tau e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. Let $\{\tau e_\beta\}$ denote this subnet. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(T) \cdot \tau a(f) &= \lim_\beta \tau e_\beta \cdot \mu(T) \cdot \tau a(f) = \lim_\beta \tau e_\beta \cdot \mu(T) \cdot \tau a(f) \\ &= \lim_\beta \tau e_\beta \cdot \tau Ta(f) = \tau Ta(f) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

2.8.2. $\mu T \cdot \tau a = \tau Ta \qquad (a \in A,\ T \in M(A))$.

Let $x, y \in A$ and $T \in M(A)$. Then

$$\tau x \cdot \mu(TS) \cdot \tau y = \tau(TSx)y = \tau Sx \cdot \tau Ty = \mu S \cdot \tau x \cdot \mu T \cdot \tau y$$
$$= \tau x \cdot \mu S \cdot \mu T \cdot \tau y$$

and thus by Lemma 2.6, it follows that $\mu(TS) = \mu(S) \cdot \mu(T)$. But C. N. Kellogg [4] proved that $M(A)$ is a closed commutative subalgebra of $B(A)$, the set of all bounded linear operators on A. Thus $\mu(TS) = \mu(ST) = \mu(T) \cdot \mu(S)$ and therefore μ is homomorphic.

If $\mu(T) = \mu(S)$ for some $T, S \in M(A)$ where $\mu(T) = \lim_\alpha^{wk\text{-}*} \tau Te_\alpha$ and $\mu(S) = \lim_\beta^{wk\text{-}*} \tau Se_\beta$ then for each $f \in B_*$, and $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(Ta)(f) &= \lim_\alpha \tau(Ta) \cdot \tau e_\alpha(f) = \lim_\alpha \tau a \cdot \tau Te_\alpha(f) \\ &= \tau a \cdot \mu(T)(f) = \tau a \cdot \mu(S)(f) = \tau a \cdot \lim_\beta \tau(Se_\beta)(f) \\ &= \lim_\beta \tau a \cdot \tau(Se_\beta)(f) = \lim_\beta \tau(Sa) \cdot e_\beta(f) = \tau(Sa)(f) .\end{aligned}$$

Since τ is one-to-one, it follows that $Ta = Sa$ for each $a \in A$. Thus μ is one-to-one.

From $\mu(T) = \lim_\alpha \tau Te_\alpha$ and $\|\tau Te_\alpha\| < \|Te_\alpha\| < \|T\| \cdot \|e_\alpha\| < \|T\| \cdot M$, it follows that μ is continuous.

COROLLARY 2.9. *If $M = 1$, then $M(A)$ is isometrically $*$-isomorphic to a subspace of B_*^*.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.8 and the fact that $\|\tau a\| = \|a\|$.

For $A = L_1(G)$, G a nondiscrete locally compact abelian group, the space B_* is the space of uniformily continuous bounded functions on G and B_*^* is the space $M(G)$ of bounded measures of the maximal ideal space of B_*. If G is compact then $M(A) = M(G)$. In the case that A is a B^*-algebra, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.10. *If A is a B^*-algebra then $M(A)$ is isometrically $*$-ismorphic to a subspace of A^{**}. Furthermore, if $\mu(T) = F$ for $T \in M(A)$ and $F \in A^{**}$, then*

$$\pi a \cdot F = F \cdot \pi a = \pi Ta \qquad (a \in A)$$

where the above operation is the Arens product on A^{**}.

Proof. D. C. Taylor [7] has shown that $A^* = \{f \cdot a \mid f \in A^*, a \in A\} = \{a \cdot f \mid f \in A^*, a \in A\}$. Thus $B_* = A^*$ and $B_*^* = A^{**}$. In this case the product operation on $B_*^* = A^{**}$ becomes the Arens product and the involution on A^{**} is given by $F^*(f) = \overline{F(\bar{f})}$ where $\overline{\bar{f}(x^*)}$ [2]. Since a B^*-algebra possesses an approximate identity uniformly bounded by one, the result follows from Corollary 2.9.

COROLLARY 2.11. *Let A be a B^*-algebra. Then $F \in A^{**}$ belongs to $\mu(M(A))$ if and only if the operator F commutes with πA and $F \cdot \pi a$ is continuous in the weak-$*$ topology on A^* for each $a \in A$.*

The author wishes to thank Professor C. N. Kellogg for his encouragement and guidance.

REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *Operations induced in function classes*, Monat. fur Math., **55** (1951), 1-19.

2. F. F. Bonsall, and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, Series II, London, 1971.

3. P. Curtis and Figa-Talamanca, *Factorization theorems for Banach algebras* in Function Algebras, edited by F. T. Birtel, Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago, Ill., 1966, 169-185.

4. C. N. Kellogg, *Centralizers and H^*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 121-129.

5. R. Larson, *An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers*, Springer, New York, 1971.

6. C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.

7. D. C. Taylor, *The strict topology for double centralizer algebras*, Trans. Amer. Soc., **150** (1970), 633-643.

8. J. G. Wendel, *Left centralizers and isomorphisms of group algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **2** (1952), 251-261.

Received March 18, 1975 and in revised form December 8, 1975.

MADISON COLLEGE, HARRISONBURG, VA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 63, No. 1 March, 1976