Pacific Journal of Mathematics

REPRESENTATIONS BY SPINOR GENERA

JOHN SOLLION HSIA

Vol. 63, No. 1 March 1976

REPRESENTATIONS BY SPINOR GENERA

J. S. HSIA

If f and g are two nonsingular quadratic forms with rational integral coefficients such that f represents g integrally over every p-adic fields and also over the reals, then it is a well-known classical result that the genus $\operatorname{Gen}(f)$ of f represents g. This paper considers the question of how many spinor genera in the genus of f will represent g, when f and g are integral forms defined over some fixed domain of algebraic integers and when $\dim(f) - \dim(g) \ge 2$.

Unless otherwise mentioned the following general assumptions will be understood throughout this paper: F is an algebraic number field with R as its ring of algebraic integers, V and W are finite dimensional regular quadratic spaces over F with $\dim V - \dim W =$ $d \geq 2$, L and K are respectively R-lattices on V and W, and S is the set of all discrete spots on F. All unexplained notations and terminologies are from [6]. Suppose now that L_{i} represents K_{i} for every $\mathfrak{p} \in S$, then it is a well-known result that there is a lattice L' in the genus of L that represents K, provided V represents W (in fact, if W were a subspace of V, this L' may be chosen so as to contain K; see 102:5, [6]). We introduce the notations $K \rightarrow$ Gen (L), Spn (L), Spn⁺ (L), Cls (L), Cls⁺ (L) to denote respectively that K is representable by a member in the genus, spinor genus, proper spinor genus, class, proper class of L. Thus, in this notation, L_{z} represents $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ locally everywhere at $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ and $W \rightarrow V$ is equivalent to $K \rightarrow \text{Gen}(L)$, which is, of course, the same as representation by Gen⁺(L). We show here that if $d \ge 3$ then $K \rightarrow \text{Gen}(L)$ implies $K \rightarrow \operatorname{Spn}^+(L)$ so that in the indefinite case for L every proper class in the genus represents K. This fact must surely have been known to the specialists although I have not seen it in print and choose to record it here for completeness; its proof is quite standard and does not employ any of the subtler or deeper aspects of the theory. On the other hand, when d=2, the theory is a good deal more intricate. We show that here too in most cases K is representable by every proper spinor genus in the genus of L; the exceptional cases will be pointed out, and there one needs to know the precise results for the local computations of the spinor norms of local integral rotations on L_{ν} ; the known facts about these are found in [3] for nondyadic p, in [1] for unramified dyadic p, and in [2] for arbitrary dyadic p but with $L_{_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}$ modular. This study was motivated by Kneser's paper [4], and the results as well as the method follow closely along his

148 J. S. HSIA

lines with some refinements necessitated by handling here also the cases where L may not be totally indefinite. Indeed, it may be definite.

Suppose for all spots on F we are given that $K_{\flat} \leftarrow L_{\flat}$, then surely $F_{\flat}K_{\flat} \leftarrow F_{\flat}L_{\flat}$ for every \mathfrak{p} . Hence, Hasse-Minkowski implies $W \rightarrow V$. Thus, we may as well assume at the outset that W is a regular subspace of V, and K is a lattice in V. Write $V = W \perp U$, and δ the discriminant (in O'Meara's sense) of U. As in Example 102: 5, [6] if we let $T = \{\mathfrak{p} \in S: L_{\flat} \not\supseteq K_{\flat}\}$, then T is a finite set. By lattice theory, there is a lattice L' on V such that

$$L'_{rak{p}} = egin{cases} L_{rak{p}} & ext{ for } & rak{p}
otin T \ s_{rak{p}} L_{rak{p}} & ext{ for } & rak{p}
otin T \end{cases}.$$

Here $s_{\nu} \in O(V_{\nu})$, and the hypotheses permits us to choose s_{ν} so that $s_{\nu}L_{\nu} \supseteq K_{\nu}$. Clearly, s_{ν} can be assumed to be in $O^{+}(V_{\nu})$. Should $d \ge 3$, then $\theta(O^{+}(U_{\nu})) = F_{\nu}^{\times}$ by 91: 6, [6]. Therefore, we may find a rotation t_{ν} on U_{ν} whose spinor norm $\theta(t_{\nu}) = \theta(s_{\nu})$. Extend t_{ν} trivially to a rotation on V_{ν} and composing it with s_{ν} , one sees that $t_{\nu}s_{\nu}L_{\nu}$ still contains K_{ν} . Thus, we may further assume that our original s_{ν} belongs to $O'(V_{\nu})$. This shows that L' belongs to the proper spinor genus of L. Thus, we have: if L_{ν} represents K_{ν} at every (finite and infinite) spot ν and if ν are ν and ν if ν are ν and ν if ν in particular, if ν is indefinite with respect to ν , then ν is representable by every proper class in Gen (ν).

REMARK. Specializing this statement to the case when L is indefinite, rk(K) = 1, F = Q, a theorem of Watson's [9] is recaptured. Suppose we permit W to be a degenerate space, say the radical Rad(W) has dimension r. Then, the same result prevails provided we have: $rk(L) - rk(K) = d \ge 3 + r$. To see this, note that one can embed W in a nonsingular space $\widetilde{W} = H \perp W_{an}$, where W_{an} is the anisotropic kernel of W, and H a hyperbolic space of dimension 2r.

From here onward we assume that d=2. Clearly, the group J_U of split rotations (adèles) on U may be viewed as a subgroup of J_V ; similarly P_V , J'_V , J_L are defined in Chapter X, [6], as are the following subgroups of the idèle group J_F of $F: P_F$, P_D , J_F^L . We ask the following two basic questions:

⁽A): If L represents K properly, is it true that for every $\phi \in J_v$ we have $K \to \operatorname{Spn}^+(\phi(L))$?

⁽B): What is the group index $[J_v: J_vP_vJ_v'J_L]$?

We shall see below that Question (A) has an affirmative answer (Theorem 1); and Theorem 2 will show that this group index mentioned above is less or equal to two. This means that at least half (if not all) of the proper spinor genera in the genus of L will represent K, provided there is just one lattice in the genus that represents K.

To treat Question (A), suppose $s\colon K \to L$ is a proper representation of K by L, choose any full lattice N on U (recall that $V=W \perp U$), and set $K'=K \perp N$. If $\phi \in J_U$ is given, clearly, the lattice $\phi(K')$ is just the lattice $K \perp \phi(N)$. If we select our N above to be the lattice $s^{-1}(L) \cap U$, then $s(K') = s(K \perp N) = s(K) \perp s(s^{-1}(L) \cap U) \subseteq L + L = L$. Therefore, s also induces a proper representation of K' by L. Now, rk(K') = rk(L). We have $s^{-1}(L) \supseteq K'$ so that $\phi s^{-1}(L) \supseteq \phi(K')$. Since J'_V contains the commutator subgroup of J_V , $J_V/P_VJ'_V$ is abelian. Hence, $\phi s^{-1} \in \phi P_V \subseteq \phi P_VJ'_V = P_VJ'_V\phi$. This means there is a lattice in the proper spinor genus of $\phi(L)$ that represents $\phi(K')$. But, ϕ is trivial on K and therefore, we obtain:

THEOREM 1. If $K oup \operatorname{Cls}^+(L)$, and d = rk(L) - rk(K) = 2, then $K oup \operatorname{Spn}^+(\phi(L))$ for every $\phi \in J_U$, where $FL = FK \perp U$. In particular, if L is indefinite with respect to the defining set S of spots on F, then $\phi(L)$ represents K properly for all such ϕ .

Put $E = F(\sqrt{-\delta})$, where $\delta = \operatorname{disc}(U)$, and $D = \theta(O^+(V))$. The map from J_V into J_F given by $s = (s_{\mathfrak{p}}) \mapsto j = (j_{\mathfrak{p}})$ where $j_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \theta(s_{\mathfrak{p}})$ induces a monomorphism $\phi_L : J_V/P_VJ'_VJ_L \mapsto J_F/P_DJ_F^L$ (which is an isomorphism when $rk(L) \geq 3$). As a preliminary step toward determining the group index in Question (B), we have:

LEMMA. ϕ_L described above induces an isomorphism:

$$(*) \qquad \qquad \phi_{L,K}: J_{V}/J_{U}P_{V}J_{V}'J_{L} \longrightarrow J_{F}/P_{D}N_{E/F}(J_{E})J_{F}^{L}.$$

Proof. If $-\delta$ is a square in F, then E=F, and the right hand side in (*) is trivial. But then, U is a hyperbolic plane and $\theta(O^+(U_{\flat}))=F_{\flat}^{\times}$. Hence, every split rotation on V may be composed with one U so as to have the resulting element lying inside J'_{V} , and this implies the left hand side of (*) also is trivial. Therefore, we may suppose that $-\delta$ is a nonsquare. If $s \in J_{U}$, then $\theta(s_{\flat}) \in \theta(O^+(U_{\flat})) = \theta(O^+(\langle 1, \delta \rangle)) = Q(\langle 1, \delta \rangle)F_{\flat}^{\times 2} = N_{E_{\flat}/F_{\flat}}(E_{\flat}^{\times})$ for $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}$. Thus, the map which sends J_{V} into J_{F} mentioned above also sends J_{U} into $N_{E/F}(J_{E})$ so that the map $\phi_{L,K}: J_{V}/J_{U}P_{V}J'_{V}J_{L} \longrightarrow J_{F}/P_{D}N_{E/F}(J_{E})J_{F}^{L}$ is well induced by ϕ_{L} . Since rk(K) > 0, $rk(L) \geq 3$ necessarily so that our $\phi_{L,K}$ must be surjective as well by the above discussion. To see the kernel is precisely

150 J. S. HSIA

 $J_{U}P_{V}J'_{V}J_{L}$, consider $s \in J_{V}$ such that $\phi_{L,K}(\overline{s}) = \overline{1}$. This means that $\theta(s_{\flat}) = aj_{\flat}i_{\flat}F_{\flat}^{\times 2}$, where $a \in D$, $j = (j_{\flat}) \in N_{E/F}(J_{E})$, and $i = (i_{\flat}) \in J_{F}^{L}$. Write $a = \theta(f)$, $f \in 0^{+}(V)$, $i_{\flat} = \theta(\Sigma_{\flat})$, $\Sigma_{\flat} \in O^{+}(L_{\flat})$. For each $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}$, the local norm $N_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}}(E_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times})$ is either all of F_{\flat}^{\times} or it is a subgroup $Q(\langle 1, \delta \rangle)$ of index two in F_{\flat}^{\times} . Therefore, we can find a local rotation h_{\flat} on U_{\flat} such that $\theta(h_{\flat}) = j_{\flat}F_{\flat}^{\times 2}$. Thus, $\theta(s_{\flat}) = \theta(f)\theta(h_{\flat})\theta(\Sigma_{\flat})$ which implies that s_{\flat} belongs to $h_{\flat}f\Sigma_{\flat} \cdot O'(V_{\flat})$, or equivalently, s belongs to $J_{U}P_{V}J'_{V}J_{L}$, proving the lemma.

This lemma translates the index computation in Question (B) to an equivalent one in terms of idèles, which is usually more manageable, and we now take up this calculation.

LEMMA.
$$[J_F: P_D N_{E/F}(J_E)] = 2 \cdot [F^{\times}: D].$$

Proof. Here D is characterized as the set of nonzero field elements from F that are positive at all real spots $\mathfrak p$ for which the quadratic space $V_{\mathfrak p}$ is definite. See 101:8, [6]. Let R denote the set of such real spots on F. Note that if $\operatorname{Card}(R)=t$, then F^\times/D is a vector space of dimension t over F_2 It is well-known that $[J_F\colon P_FN_{E/F}(J_E)]=2$; see 65:21, [6]. Therefore, $[J_F\colon P_DN_{E/F}(J_E)]=2\cdot [P_FN_{E/F}(J_E):P_DN_{E/F}(J_E)]=2\cdot [P_F\colon P_DN_{E/F}(J_E):P_DN_{E/F}(J_E)]=2\cdot [P_F\colon P_DN_{E/F}(J_E):P_F\colon P_DN_{E/F}(J_E):P_F\colon P_DN_{E/F}(J_E)$ implies, in particular, that at each real spot $\mathfrak p$ from R, R is a local norm at R. But R is anisotropic so that R is a nonsquare at R. Hence, the local norms at R consist of all the positive reals. This means R is positive at R, and so R is positive at R. Therefore, R is R.

LEMMA.
$$J_F^L \subseteq P_D N_{E/F}(J_E)$$
 if and only if $J_F^L \subseteq N_{E/F}(J_E)$.

Proof. This is the type of result that is typically bewildering and yet at the same time powerfully evident of the beauty and depth of the arithmetic of global fields. For the proof, clearly it suffices to prove the "only if" part. $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is unimodular almost everywhere. So, let T be the set of discrete spots \mathfrak{p} on F for which $\theta(O^+(L_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ is not contained in $(N_{E/F}(J_E))_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So, T is a finite set. If T is not empty, there must be an $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \theta(O^+(L_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ not lying in $N_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}/F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(E_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times})$ for $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}$. This means $x_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not represented by the binary quadratic space $\langle 1, \delta \rangle$ over $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Consider the idèle $i = (i_{\mathfrak{p}})$ where $i_{\mathfrak{q}} = x_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$, and $i_{\mathfrak{q}} = 1$ elsewhere. Surely, j belongs to J_F^L . Hence, by hypotheses, there exists $d \in D$ such that $dj \in N_{E/F}(J_E)$. This means d is a local norm at all the spots $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$. Hence, by Hilbert Reciprocity Law, d is also a local norm at \mathfrak{p} . On the other hand, $dx_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local

norm at \mathfrak{p} . So, we arrive at a contradictory conclusion that $x_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local norm after all. Therefore, T must be empty and $J_F^L \subseteq N_{E/F}(J_E)$.

THEOREM 2. $[J_F: P_D N_{E/F}(J_E)J_F^L] \leq 2$.

Proof. If $D=F^{\times}$ (i.e. when L is totally indefinite with respect to S), then already $[J_F: P_F N_{E/F}(J_E)] = 2$ in which case the index is two if and only if J_F^L is contained in $N_{E/F}(J_E)$ by lemma. So, we may assume that $D \neq F^{\times}$.

Let $R=\{\mathfrak{p}_1,\cdots\mathfrak{p}_t\}$ be the set of all real spots on F for which $V_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is anisotropic, and let e_i $(1\leq i\leq t)$ be the idèle which has -1 as its component at \mathfrak{p}_i and 1 elsewhere. Clearly, all these e_i 's belong to J_F^L but not to $N_{E/F}(J_E)$, and therefore, also not to $P_DN_{E/F}(J_E)$ by Lemma. Define the chain of subgroups of $P_DN_{E/F}(J_E)J_F^L$ by: $G_0=P_DN_{E/F}(J_E)$, $G_j=I_F(p_j)G_{j-1}$ for $1\leq j\leq t$, where $I_F(\mathfrak{p}_j)$ denotes the group of idèles which have all the components different from \mathfrak{p}_j the value 1. Thus, we have an increasing tower:

$$P_{\scriptscriptstyle D}N_{\scriptscriptstyle E,\scriptscriptstyle F}(J_{\scriptscriptstyle E})=G_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\leqq\cdots\leqq G_{\scriptscriptstyle t}$$
 .

We assert that all the inclusions are strict. If not, we shall have at some $j, I_F(\mathfrak{p}_j) \subseteq G_{j-1} = I_F(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cdots I_F(\mathfrak{p}_{j-1}) P_D N_{E/F}(J_E)$. The idèle e_j surely belongs to $I_F(\mathfrak{p}_j)$ and this means for some $d \in D$ and $\eta \in I_F(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cdots I_F(\mathfrak{p}_{j-1}) N_{E/F}(J_E)$ one has $e_j = (d)\eta$. But, at all the spots outside of R, $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} = d^{-1}$ which implies that d^{-1} , hence also d, is a local norm. Inside of R the element d is positive at each \mathfrak{p} and so is also a local norm. Thus, d is itself a global norm. Therefore, we conclude that e_j belongs to $I_F(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cdots I_F(\mathfrak{p}_{j-1}) N_{E/F}(J_E)$. On the other hand, at \mathfrak{p}_j , e_j is negative whereas every element from $I_F(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cdots I_F(\mathfrak{p}_{j-1}) N_{E/F}(J_E)$ has positive component at \mathfrak{p}_j . This contradiction proves our assertion.

Since for each $j(1 \le j \le t)$ we have $[G_j: G_{j-1}] = 2$, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} 2^t &= [G_t \! : G_0] \leqq [P_{\scriptscriptstyle D} N_{\scriptscriptstyle E/F} \! (J_{\scriptscriptstyle E}) J_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle L} \! : P_{\scriptscriptstyle D} N_{\scriptscriptstyle E/F} \! (J_{\scriptscriptstyle E})] \ &= [J_F \! : P_{\scriptscriptstyle D} N_{\scriptscriptstyle E/F} \! (J_{\scriptscriptstyle E})] \div [J_F \! : P_{\scriptscriptstyle D} N_{\scriptscriptstyle E/F} \! (J_{\scriptscriptstyle E}) J_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle L}] \ & \leqq [J_F \! : P_{\scriptscriptstyle D} N_{\scriptscriptstyle E/F} \! (J_{\scriptscriptstyle E})] = 2^{t+1} \; . \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

COROLLARY. If $D \neq F^{\times}$ (i.e., V is not totally indefinite with respect to S), then it is not possible for $J_F^L \subseteq P_D N_{E/F}(J_E)$. In particular, $J_F = P_F N_{E/F}(J_E) J_F^L$, and $[J_F: P_D N_{E/F}(J_E) J_F^L] = 2$ if and only if $P_F \not\subseteq P_D N_{E/F}(J_E) J_F^L$.

Suppose $D=F^{ imes}$. Then, $[J_F\colon P_{\scriptscriptstyle D}N_{\scriptscriptstyle E/F}(J_E)J_F^{\scriptscriptstyle L}]=2$ if and only if

 $J_F^L \subseteq N_{E/F}(J_E)$ by Lemma. In particular, if $\mathfrak p$ is any real spot on F, then $\mathfrak p$ splits in E and $\delta < 0$. Hence, δ is a totally negative element. Moreover, at each discrete spot we must have $\theta(O^+(L_{\mathfrak{p}})) \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}}(E_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times})$ for $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}$; equivalently, $\theta(O^+(L_{\mathfrak{p}})) \subseteq Q(\langle 1, \delta \rangle)$ over $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that if \mathfrak{p} does not divide the volume Vol(L) of L, then L_{ν} is unimodular. Hence, as $rk(L) \ge 3$ here, $\theta(O^+(L_{\mathfrak{p}})) = U_{\mathfrak{p}}F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times 2}$ unless \mathfrak{p} is dyadic and the norm generator has odd order parity with respect to the weight generator for L_{ν} . See [2]. In the exceptional cases, the spinor norm group is all of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. By the local theory of quadratic forms, we see that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\delta)$ must be even; modulo squares in $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $-\delta$ is a unit of quadratic defect $4R_{\nu}$. Hence E_{ν}/F_{ν} must be quadratic unramified. If p is an exceptional dyadic prime, then $-\delta \in F_{p}^{\times 2}$. Therefore, the only ramified primes for E/F must also divide Vol (L). In particular, if L is unimodular, E/F must itself be quadratic unramified. course, there are number fields F for which every finite (let alone only quadratic) extension is ramified.

Finally, we point out here that the local lattice representation theory is completely determined when: (i) $\mathfrak p$ nondyadic, (ii) $\mathfrak p$ unramified dyadic, and (iii) $\mathfrak p$ arbitrary dyadic but $L_{\mathfrak p}$ modular. For (i) and (ii), see [7]; for (iii), see [8]. Also, see [5] for $\mathfrak p$ arbitrary dyadic but $rk(L_{\mathfrak p})=2$.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. G. Earnest-J. S. Hsia, Spinor norms of local integral rotations, II. Pacific J. Math., 61 (1975).
- 2. J. S. Hsia, Spinor norms of local integral rotations, I. Pacific J. Math. Vol. 57, No. 1, 199-206 (1975).
- 3. M. Kneser, Klassenzahlen indefiniter quadratischer Formen in drei oder mehr Veränderlichen, Arch. Math. 7, 323-332 (1956).
- 4. ——, Darstellungsmasse indefiniter quadratischer Formen, Math. Zeitschr. 77, 188-194 (1961).
- 5. O. Körner, Integral representations over local fields and the number of genera of quadratic forms, Acta Arith. 24, 301-311 (1973).
- 6. O. T. O'Meara, Introduction to Quadratic Forms, Springer-Verlag (1963).
- 7. ——, The integral representations of quadratic forms over local fields, Amer.
- J. Math. 80, 843-878 (1958).
- 8. C. Riehm, On the integral representations of quadratic forms over local fields, Amer. J. Math. 86, 25-62 (1964).
- 9. G. L. Watson, Representation of integers by indefinite quadratic forms. Mathematika 2, 32-38 (1955).
- 10. G. L. Watson, B. W. Jones, On indefinite ternary quadratic forms, Canad. J. Math. 8, 592-608 (1956).

Received October 14, 1975.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

K. Yoshida

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 63, No. 1	Vo	l. 63	3. N	lo.	1
----------------	----	-------	------	-----	---

March, 1976

Ralph Artino, Gevrey classes and hypoelliptic boundary value problems	1			
B. Aupetit, Caractérisation spectrale des algèbres de Banach commutatives	23			
Leon Bernstein, Fundamental units and cycles in the period of real quadratic				
number fields. I	37			
Leon Bernstein, Fundamental units and cycles in the period of real quadratic number fields. II	63			
Robert F. Brown, Fixed points of automorphisms of compact Lie groups	79			
Thomas Ashland Chapman, Concordances of noncompact Hilbert cube				
manifolds	89			
William C. Connett, V and Alan Schwartz, Weak type multipliers for Hankel				
transforms	125			
John Wayne Davenport, Multipliers on a Banach algebra with a bounded				
approximate identity	131			
Gustave Adam Efroymson, Substitution in Nash functions				
John Sollion Hsia, Representations by spinor genera	147			
William George Kitto and Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>Korovkin approximations in</i>				
L_p -spaces	153			
Eric P. Kronstadt, <i>Interpolating sequences for functions satisfying a Lipschitz</i>				
condition	169			
Gary Douglas Jones and Samuel Murray Rankin, III, Oscillation properties of				
certain self-adjoint differential equations of the fourth order	179			
Takaŝi Kusano and Hiroshi Onose, Nonoscillation theorems for differential				
equations with deviating argument	185			
David C. Lantz, Preservation of local properties and chain conditions in				
commutative group rings	193			
Charles W. Neville, Banach spaces with a restricted Hahn-Banach extension				
property	201			
Norman Oler, Spaces of discrete subsets of a locally compact group	213			
Robert Olin, Functional relationships between a subnormal operator and its				
minimal normal extension	221			
Thomas Thornton Read, Bounds and quantitative comparison theorems for				
nonoscillatory second order differential equations	231			
Robert Horace Redfield, Archimedean and basic elements in completely				
distributive lattice-ordered groups	247			
Jeffery William Sanders, Weighted Sidon sets	255			
Aaron R. Todd, Continuous linear images of pseudo-complete linear topological				
spaces	281			
J. Jerry Uhl, Jr., Norm attaining operators on $L^1[0, 1]$ and the Radon-Nikodým				
property	293			
William Jennings Wickless, Abelian groups in which every endomorphism is a				
left multiplication	301			