

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SPACES OF DISCRETE SUBSETS OF A LOCALLY COMPACT  
GROUP**

NORMAN OLER

## SPACES OF DISCRETE SUBSETS OF A LOCALLY COMPACT GROUP

N. OLER

**This paper represents a continuing effort to develop elements of a general theory of packing and covering by translates of a fixed subset of a group. For  $P$  a subset of a group  $X$  a subset  $L$  is a left  $P$ -packing if for any distinct elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $L$ ,  $x_1P \cap x_2P$  is empty. A subset  $M$  of  $X$  is a left  $P$ -covering if  $MP=X$ . The Chabauty topology on the set of discrete subgroups of a locally compact group has been used only to a rather limited extent in the Geometry of Numbers but by its very definition is a natural one to work with in studying packing and covering problems. The main results of this paper are that the Chabauty topology extends to the family of all closed discrete subsets containing the identity and that if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact then  $S(X, P)$  the space of all left  $P$ -packings for a fixed neighborhood  $P$  of the identity is locally compact.**

The Chabauty topology [1] extends in the following way. Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally compact group with identity  $e$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  the family of open neighborhoods of  $e$ . We introduce the following notation: For any three subsets  $A, B$  and  $C$  of  $X$  we define  $L(A, B, C)$  to be the set of subsets,  $A'$ , of  $X$  satisfying

$$A' \cap B \subset AC \text{ and } A \cap B \subset A'C$$

and  $R(A, B, C)$  as the set of subsets  $A'$  of  $X$  satisfying

$$A' \cap B \subset CA \text{ and } A \cap B \subset CA'.$$

DEFINITION 1. We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  the space of closed discrete subsets of  $X$  containing  $e$  with the topology generated by  $\{L(H, K, U); H \in \mathcal{S}(X); K \text{ a compact subset of } X; U \in \mathcal{N}\}$ .

The following two assertions are immediate consequences of the definition of  $L$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $U_1 \supset U_2$  then  $L(H, K, U_1) \supset L(H, K, U_2)$ .*

PROPOSITION 2. *If  $K_1 \supset K_2$  then  $L(H, K_1, U) \subset L(H, K_2, U)$ .*

As a further consequence we have

PROPOSITION 3.  $\{L(H, K, U): K \text{ compact}; U \in \mathcal{N}\}$  is a local basis.

*Proof.*  $L(H, K_1 \cup K_2, U_1 \cap U_2) \subset L(H, K_1, U_1) \cap L(H, K_2, U_2)$ .

We shall require

LEMMA. Given  $H \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  and  $K$  compact there exists  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $HV \cap K = (H \cap K)V$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $U$  a compact neighborhood of  $e$  and consider the finite set  $S = H \cap KU$ . For  $h \in S \setminus K$  there exists a symmetric  $V_h \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $V_h \subset U$  such that  $hV_h \cap K = \emptyset$ . Let  $V = \bigcap V_h$ ,  $h \in S \setminus K$  then  $V$  has the asserted property. For  $SV \cap K = \emptyset$  and if  $h \in H \setminus S$  then  $h \notin KU$  so  $h \notin KV$  hence  $hV \cap K = \emptyset$  since  $V$  is symmetric. Thus for  $h \in H$  we have that  $hV \cap K \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $h \in K$  and the lemma follows.

Suppose now that  $H_1 \in L(H, K, W)$  then there exists  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V$  relatively compact,  $V \subset W$  for which  $H_1 \in L(H, K, V)$ . Namely, if  $h \in H \cap K$  then  $h \in h_1W$  for some  $h_1 \in H$  so there exists  $V_h \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V_h$  relatively compact and  $V_h \subset W$  such that  $h \in h_1V_h$ . Let  $V' = \bigcup V_h$ ,  $h \in H \cap K$  then  $H \cap K \subset H_1V'$ . Similarly there exists  $V'' \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V''$  relatively compact and  $V'' \subset W$  such that  $H_1 \cap K \subset HV''$ . With  $V = V' \cup V''$  the assertion follows.

If  $k \in h_1V$  then  $h_1 \in kV^{-1}$  so that  $H_1V \cap K \subset (H_1 \cap KV^{-1})V$  and therefore  $H \cap K \subset (H_1 \cap KV^{-1})V$ . Similarly  $H_1 \cap K \subset (H \cap KV^{-1})V$ . Let  $h_1 \in H_1 \cap K$  then  $h_1 \in h'V$  for some  $h' \in H \cap KV^{-1}$  so that  $h' \in h_1V^{-1}$ . There exists  $V_{h_1} \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $h'V_{h_1} \subset h_1V^{-1}$  and so for any  $h'' \in h'V_{h_1}$  we have that  $h_1 \in h''V_{h_1}$ . Let  $V_1 = \bigcap V_{h_1}$ ,  $h_1 \in H_1 \cap K$ .

The lemma provides that there exists  $V_2 \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $H_1V_2 \cap K = (H_1 \cap K)V_2$ .

Since  $H_1 \cap K \subset HV$  there exists  $V_3 \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $(H_1 \cap K)V_3 \subset HV$ .

Let  $U' = V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3$  and  $U = U' \cap U'^{-1}$  and consider  $H_2 \in L(H_1, K\overline{V}^{-1}, U)$ . Since  $H_1 \cap K\overline{V}^{-1} \subset H_2U$  there is for each  $h' \in H_1 \cap K\overline{V}^{-1}$  an element  $h_2 \in H_2$  such that  $h' \in h_2U$ , so  $h_2 \in h'U$ , hence  $h_2 \in h'V_1$ . It follows that  $H \cap K \subset H_2V$  by the argument motivating the choice of  $V_1$ .

On the other hand  $H_2 \cap K \subset H_2 \cap K\overline{V}^{-1} \subset H_1U$ . Therefore  $H_2 \cap K \subset H_1U \cap K \subset (H_1 \cap K)U$  by choice of  $V_2$ . Our choice of  $V_3$  now ensures that  $(H_1 \cap K)U \subset HV$ . Hence  $H_2 \cap K \subset HV$ . Thus  $L(H_1, K\overline{V}^{-1}, U) \subset L(H, K, V)$ . Recalling Proposition 3, we have proved

**THEOREM 1.** *The family  $\{L(H, K, V): K \text{ compact } V \subset \mathcal{N}\}$  is a basis for the topology on  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ .*

As an immediate consequence in view of Proposition 1 and the lemma we have

**COROLLARY.** *The family  $\{L(H, K, V): K \text{ compact, } V \text{ relatively compact, symmetric and satisfying } HV \cap K = (H \cap K)V\}$  is a basis for the topology on  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ .*

That  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  is Hausdorff we see as follows.

Let  $H$  and  $H'$  be distinct elements of  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  then there exists  $a \in H \setminus H'$  say  $a \in H \setminus H'$ . There exists a neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  which is symmetric and relatively compact for which  $aV \cap H' = \emptyset$ . Choose a symmetric  $V_1 \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $V_1^2 \subset V$  and consider the neighborhoods  $L(H', a\bar{V}_1, V_1)$  and  $L(H, \{a\}, V_1)$ . Let  $H_1 \in L(H', a\bar{V}_1, V_1)$ . Were  $H_1 \in L(H, \{a\}, V_1)$  then  $H \cap \{a\} \subset H_1 V_1$  i.e.  $a \in H_1 V_1$  say  $a \in h_1 V_1$  for some  $h_1 \in H_1$  implying that  $h_1 \in a V_1$ . Now  $H \cap a\bar{V}_1 \subset H' V_1$  hence  $h_1 \in H' V_1$ . Thus  $a V_1 \cap H' V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and therefore  $H' \cap a V_1^2 \neq \emptyset$ . But this implies that  $a V \cap H' \neq \emptyset$  which is a contradiction. It follows that  $L(H', a\bar{V}_1, V_1) \cap L(H, \{a\}, V_1) = \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The topology on  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  is equivalent to that generated by  $\{R(H, K, V): H \in \mathcal{S}(X), K \text{ compact } V \in \mathcal{N}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L(H, K, V)$  be such that  $V$  is symmetric and  $HV \cap K = (H \cap K)V$ .

By an analogous proof of the above lemma, there exists a symmetric  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  satisfying  $UH \cap K = U(H \cap K)$ . Moreover  $H \cap K$  being finite we can choose such a  $U$  for which  $Uh \subset hV$  for all  $h \in H \cap K$ .

Let  $H_1 \in R(H, K, U)$ . Then  $H_1 \cap K \subset UH \cap K \subset U(H \cap K) \subset (H \cap K)V \subset HV$ . Also  $H \cap K \subset UH_1 \cap K$  so that if  $h \in H \cap K$  then  $h \in Uh_1$  for some  $h_1 \in H_1$ ; hence  $h_1 \in Uh$  and so  $h_1 \in hV$ . Hence  $h \in h_1 V$ . It follows that  $H \cap K \subset H_1 V$ . Thus  $R(H, K, U) \subset L(H, K, V)$ . By a similar argument for any  $R(H, K, U)$  there exists  $W \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $L(H, K, W) \subset R(H, K, U)$ .

We turn now to the concept of packing.

**DEFINITION 2.** For  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ , say that a set  $H$  is a (left)  $V$  packing if, for each pair of distinct elements  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  in  $H$ ,  $h_1 V \cap h_2 V = \emptyset$ .

DEFINITION 3. Say that a subset  $H$  of  $X$  is uniformly discrete if there exists  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $hU \cup H = \{h\}$  for each  $h \in H$ . Denote the class of those uniformly discrete subsets of  $X$  which contain the identity by  $S(X)$ .

The class of uniformly discrete subsets of  $X$  clearly coincides with the class of left  $V$ -packing for all  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ . For if  $h_1U \cap h_2 = \emptyset$  for any  $h_1 \neq h_2$ ,  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  then for any  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $VV^{-1} \subset U$  we have  $h_1V \cap h_2V = \emptyset$ . Conversely  $h_1V \cap h_2V = \emptyset$  implies that  $h_1VV^{-1} \cap h_2 = \emptyset$ .

We now restrict ourselves to  $S(X)$  with the relative topology induced by  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ . We remark, however, that in the sequel some of our results concerning  $S(X)$  will be true also of  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  in view of

PROPOSITION 4.  $S(X)$  is everywhere dense in  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Suffice to observe that  $H \cap K \in L(H, K, V)$ .

Denoting by  $p(K, V)$  the subset of  $S(x) \times S(x)$  consisting of elements  $(H_1, H_2)$  which satisfy  $H_1 \cap K \subset H_2V$  and  $H_2 \cap K \subset H_1V$  we see that the family  $U = \{p(K, V): K \text{ compact, } V \in \mathcal{N}\}$  is the basis of a uniform structure. For we have that  $p(K_1 \cup K_2, V_1 \cap V_2) \subset p(K_1, V_1) \cap p(K_2, V_2)$ , that each member of  $U$  contains the diagonal and that  $p(K, V) \in U$  implies  $p(K, V)^{-1} \in U$ . Further, if  $W^2 \subset V$ ,  $W$  symmetric and  $\bar{W}$  compact then  $(H_1, H_2) \in p(K\bar{W}, W)$  and  $(H_2, H_3) \in p(K\bar{W}, W)$  implies that  $H_1 \cap K \subset H_2W \cap K$ , so  $H_1 \cap K \subset (H_2 \cap K\bar{W})W \subset (H_2 \cap K\bar{W})W \subset H_3W$  and similarly  $H_3 \cap K \subset H_1W$ . Thus  $p(K\bar{W}, W) \circ p(K\bar{W}, W) \subset p(K, V)$ . Clearly  $S(X)$  is the uniform topology induced by  $U$  and therefore we have

PROPOSITION 5.  $S(X)$  is completely regular.

Suppose now that  $K$  is compact,  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\bar{V}$  is compact. We shall show that  $L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  is closed.

The complement  $\mathcal{S}L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  consists of elements  $H_1$  for which either of the following holds:

- (i)  $H_1 \cap KV \not\subset H\bar{V}$
- (ii)  $H \cap KV \not\subset H_1\bar{V}$ .

In the case (i) there exists  $h_1 \in H_1 \cap KV$  such that  $h_1 \notin H\bar{V}$  so  $h_1 \notin H\bar{V} \cap K\bar{V}$  hence  $h_1 \notin (H \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V}$ . Since  $H \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1}$  is finite,  $(H \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V}$  is compact and there exists a symmetric neighborhood  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $h_1U \cap (H \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V} = \emptyset$ . Moreover we can choose  $U$  so that  $h_1U \subset KV$ . If  $H_2 \in L(H_1, K\bar{V}, U)$  then  $H_1 \cap K\bar{V} \subset H_2U$  and there exists  $h_2 \in H_2$  for which  $h_1 \in h_2U$  hence

$h_2 \in h_1 U$  and therefore  $h_2 \in KV$ . On the other hand, were  $H_2 \in L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  we would find  $h_2 \in H\bar{V} \cap K\bar{V}$  hence  $h_2 \in (H \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V}$  contradicting the fact that  $h_1 U \cap (H \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V} = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $L(H_1, K\bar{V}, U) \subset \mathcal{E}L(H, KV, \bar{V})$ .

In the case (ii) there exists  $h \in H \cap KV$  such that  $h \notin H_1\bar{V} \cap K\bar{V}$  so  $h \notin (H_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V}$ . The latter set being compact, there exists a symmetric  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $Uh \cap (H_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V} = \emptyset$ . Furthermore we can choose  $U$  small enough that  $UH_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1} = U(H_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})$ . Let  $H_2 \in R(H_1, K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1}, U)$  then

$$H_2 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1} \subset UH_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1}.$$

Were  $H_2 \in L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  then  $H \cap KV \subset H_2\bar{V}$ , indeed  $H \cap KV \subset H_2\bar{V} \cap K\bar{V}$  so that

$$h \in H_2\bar{V} \cap K\bar{V} = (H_2 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V}$$

hence

$$h \in (UH_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V} = U(H_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V}.$$

But this contradicts  $Uh \cap (H_1 \cap K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1})\bar{V} = \emptyset$ , hence  $R(H_1, K\bar{V}\bar{V}^{-1}, U) \subset \mathcal{E}L(H, KV, \bar{V})$ . Thus we have shown that  $L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  is closed.

We next demonstrate that, under the further hypothesis that  $V$  is symmetric,  $(H, KV, \bar{V})$  is uniformly bounded, namely for  $K'$  compact and  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  there exists a finite subset  $\{H_i: i = 1, \dots, N\}$  of  $L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N L(H_i, K', U) \supset L(H, KV, \bar{V})$ .

It clearly suffices to assume that  $K_1 \supset KV\bar{V}^{-1}$  and  $U$  is symmetric. Let  $H \cap KV\bar{V}^{-1} = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$ . Each of the sets  $h_i\bar{V}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) admits a finite covering of the form  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{t_i} h_{i,s}U$  with  $h_{i,s} \in h_i\bar{V}$  ( $s = 1, \dots, t_i; i = 1, \dots, m$ ) and  $K' \setminus KV\bar{V}^{-1}$  admits a finite covering  $\bigcup_{j=1}^r k_j U$  with  $k_j \in K' \setminus KV\bar{V}^{-1}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, r$ ). We choose a finite set of elements  $H'$  as follows:  $H'$  is the union of non-empty, not necessarily proper, subsets of each of the sets  $\{h_{i,s}: s = 1, \dots, t_i\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) together with a possibly empty, not necessarily proper, subset of  $\{k_i: i = 1, \dots, r\}$ . We claim that these  $\prod_{i=1}^m (2^{t_i} - 1) \cdot 2^r$  sets satisfy the required condition. For the symmetry of  $V$  ensures that each such set is in  $L(H, KV, \bar{V})$ ; moreover if  $H_1 \in L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  then certainly there exists  $H'$  in our set for which  $H_1 \cap K' \subset H'U$ . If we choose  $H'$  to be minimal in the sense that no proper subset of  $H'$  satisfies this condition we will ensure that  $H' \cap K' \subset H_1 U$  and hence  $H_1 \in L(H', K', U)$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** An equi-discrete subspace of  $S(X)$  is one each member of which is a left  $P$ -packing for a fixed  $P \in \mathcal{N}$ . We denote this subspace by  $S(X, P)$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.** *For each  $P \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $S(X, P)$  is a closed subspace of  $S(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H \in \mathcal{E}S(X, P)$ . Then there exists  $x, y \in H$ ,  $x \neq y$  such that  $xP \cap yP \neq \emptyset$ .

Choose  $V_1 = V_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}$  for which  $xV_1 \cap yV_1 = \emptyset$  then  $H' \in L(H, \{x, y\}, V_1)$  implies that  $\{x, y\} \subset H'V_1$ . Hence, if  $x \in x'V_1$  and  $y \in y'V_1$  for  $x', y' \in H'$  then  $x' \neq y'$ .

Suppose that  $z \in xP \cap yP$  then there exists a symmetric  $W \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $Wz \subset xP \cap yP$ . Let  $V_2 = V_2^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}$  be such that  $xV_2 \subset Wx$  and  $V_3 = V_3^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}$  satisfy  $yV_3 \subset Wy$ . With  $V = V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3$  we have for  $H' \in L(H, \{x, y\}, V)$  that there exist distinct  $x'$  and  $y'$  in  $H'$  such that  $x' \in xV$  and  $y' \in yV$ . Moreover since  $x' \in xV_2$  it follows that  $x' = w_1x$  for some  $w_1 \in W$ . Then  $xx'^{-1}z = w_1^{-1}z \in xP$  so  $z \in x'P$ . Similarly  $y' \in yV_3$  implies that  $y' \in Wy$  and we find that  $z \in y'P$ . Thus  $z \in x'P \cap y'P$  and we have shown that  $L(H, \{x, y\}, V)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{E}S(P, X)$  from which the proposition follows.

We conclude this paper with a proof of

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and satisfies the first axiom of countability then  $S(P, X)$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* For any  $H \in S(P, X)$  we set  $L(P; H, K, V) = L(H, K, V) \cap S(P, X)$ . We have that  $L(P; H, KV, \bar{V})$  is closed and for symmetric  $V$  that  $L(P; H, KV, \bar{V})$  is uniformly bounded in  $S(P, X)$  in that  $L(H, KV, \bar{V})$  is uniformly bounded in  $S(X)$ . The theorem will follow upon showing that  $S(P, X)$  is complete.

Let  $(K_i)$  be a sequence of compact sets containing  $e$  such that  $K_{i+1} \supset K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ . Let  $(V_j)$  be a decreasing sequence of bounded, symmetric elements in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j = \{e\}$ . If a sequence  $(H_n)$  of elements in  $S(P, X)$  is Cauchy then for each  $(i, j)$  there exists  $n(i, j)$  such that, for all  $n \geq m \geq n(i, j)$ ,  $(H_m, H_n) \in p(K_i, V_j)$ . It clearly suffices that this condition be met for all  $(i, j)$  will  $i = j$  and we shall write  $n(i)$  for  $n(i, i)$ .

If  $(H_n) = \{e\}$  for all  $n > n_0$  then  $H_n$  is certainly Cauchy and converges to  $\{e\}$ . Moreover if  $(H_n)$  is Cauchy and  $i$  is such that  $H_{n(i)} \cap K_i V_i = \{e\}$  then  $H_m \cap K_i = \{e\}$  for all  $m \geq n(i)$ . If indeed  $i$  is such that, for all  $j \geq i$ ,  $H_{n(j)} \cap K_j V_j = \{e\}$  then again  $(H_n)$  converges to  $\{e\}$ . It remains to consider  $(H_n)$  for which this is not the case. Choose  $i$  large enough that  $V_i \subset P$ . Then, for  $n \geq m \geq n(i)$ ,  $(H_m, H_n) \in p(K_i, V_i)$  and we may suppose  $i$  large enough that  $H_m \cap K_i \neq \emptyset$ . If  $h_m \in H_m \cap K_i$  then for each  $n > m$  there exists

$h_n \in H_n$  for which  $h_m \in h_n V_i$ . We claim that  $h_n$  is uniquely determined for each  $n > m$ . For  $h_n, h'_n \in h_m V_i$  would imply that  $h_m \in h_n V_i \cap h'_n V_i$  hence  $h_n P \cap h'_n P \neq \emptyset$  and therefore  $h_n = h'_n$ . Thus for each  $n > m$  there is a unique element in  $H_n \cap K_i V_i$  which is contained in  $h_m V_i$ . We have, therefore, for each element  $h_m$  in  $H_m \cap K_i$  a sequence  $\{h_{m,n}: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  which is moreover Cauchy in that for each  $j > i$  there exists  $n(j)$  such that, for  $k > l > n(j)$ ,  $h_{m,k} h_{m,l}^{-1} \in V_j$ . Since  $X$  is locally compact it is complete and therefore there exists a unique limit  $\bar{h}_m$ . Suppose that  $\bar{h}_m$  and  $\bar{h}'_m$  are limits of two such sequences. We claim that  $\bar{h}_m P \cap \bar{h}'_m P = \emptyset$ . Otherwise there would exist a symmetric neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $V \bar{h}_m^{-1} \bar{h}'_m V \subset PP^{-1}$ . If we take  $s > i$  and large enough that  $V_s \subset V$  then for  $r > n(s)$  we would find  $H_r \cap \bar{h}_m V_s = h_r$  and  $H_r \cap \bar{h}'_m V_s = h'_r$  with  $h_r \neq h'_r$ . Moreover  $h_r^{-1} h'_r \in PP^{-1}$  implying that  $h_r P \cap h'_r P \neq \emptyset$  contradicting  $H_r \in S(P, X)$ . It follows that the limit set  $\bar{H}$  whose existence we have shown is an element of  $S(P, X)$  and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. C. Chabauty, *Limites d'ensembles et geometrie des nombres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **78** (1950), 143-151.

Received January 31, 1975 and in revised form September 17, 1975.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 63, No. 1

March, 1976

Ralph Artino, <i>Gevrey classes and hypoelliptic boundary value problems</i> . . . . .	1
B. Aupetit, <i>Caractérisation spectrale des algèbres de Banach commutatives</i> . . . . .	23
Leon Bernstein, <i>Fundamental units and cycles in the period of real quadratic number fields. I</i> . . . . .	37
Leon Bernstein, <i>Fundamental units and cycles in the period of real quadratic number fields. II</i> . . . . .	63
Robert F. Brown, <i>Fixed points of automorphisms of compact Lie groups</i> . . . . .	79
Thomas Ashland Chapman, <i>Concordances of noncompact Hilbert cube manifolds</i> . . . . .	89
William C. Connett, V and Alan Schwartz, <i>Weak type multipliers for Hankel transforms</i> . . . . .	125
John Wayne Davenport, <i>Multipliers on a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity</i> . . . . .	131
Gustave Adam Efroymsen, <i>Substitution in Nash functions</i> . . . . .	137
John Sollion Hsia, <i>Representations by spinor genera</i> . . . . .	147
William George Kitto and Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>Korovkin approximations in <math>L_p</math>-spaces</i> . . . . .	153
Eric P. Kronstadt, <i>Interpolating sequences for functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition</i> . . . . .	169
Gary Douglas Jones and Samuel Murray Rankin, III, <i>Oscillation properties of certain self-adjoint differential equations of the fourth order</i> . . . . .	179
Takaši Kusano and Hiroshi Onose, <i>Nonoscillation theorems for differential equations with deviating argument</i> . . . . .	185
David C. Lantz, <i>Preservation of local properties and chain conditions in commutative group rings</i> . . . . .	193
Charles W. Neville, <i>Banach spaces with a restricted Hahn-Banach extension property</i> . . . . .	201
Norman Oler, <i>Spaces of discrete subsets of a locally compact group</i> . . . . .	213
Robert Olin, <i>Functional relationships between a subnormal operator and its minimal normal extension</i> . . . . .	221
Thomas Thornton Read, <i>Bounds and quantitative comparison theorems for nonoscillatory second order differential equations</i> . . . . .	231
Robert Horace Redfield, <i>Archimedean and basic elements in completely distributive lattice-ordered groups</i> . . . . .	247
Jeffery William Sanders, <i>Weighted Sidon sets</i> . . . . .	255
Aaron R. Todd, <i>Continuous linear images of pseudo-complete linear topological spaces</i> . . . . .	281
J. Jerry Uhl, Jr., <i>Norm attaining operators on <math>L^1[0, 1]</math> and the Radon-Nikodým property</i> . . . . .	293
William Jennings Wickless, <i>Abelian groups in which every endomorphism is a left multiplication</i> . . . . .	301