

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE SPLITTING OF EXTENSIONS OF $SL(3, 3)$ BY THE
VECTOR SPACE F_3^3 .**

ROBERT L. GRIESS, JR.

THE SPLITTING OF EXTENSIONS OF $SL(3, 3)$ BY THE VECTOR SPACE F_3^3

ROBERT L. GRIESS, JR.

We give two proofs that $H^2(SL(3, 3), F_3^3) = 0$. This result has appeared in a paper by Sah, [6], but our methods are relatively elementary, i.e., we require only elementary homological algebra and do a group-theoretic analysis of an extension of $SL(3, 3)$ by F_3^3 to show that the extension splits. The starting point is to notice that the vector space is a free module for $F_3\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$, where x has Jordan canonical form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We then can exploit the vanishing of $H^i(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle, F_3^3)$ $i=1, 2$.

For elementary linear algebra, we refer to [2] and for cohomology of groups, we refer to [1], [4], [5] or [6]. Group theoretic notation is standard and follows [3]. Let V be a 3-dimensional F_3 -vector space and let $SL(3, 3)$ be the associated special linear group. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be a basis for V . Define, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i \neq j$, and $t \in F_3$, $x_{ij}(t) \in SL(3, 3)$ by

$$x_{ij}(t): v_k \longmapsto \begin{cases} v_k & k \neq i \\ v_i + tv_j, & k = i. \end{cases}$$

Inspection of the Jordan canonical form shows that all $x_{ij}(t)$, $t \neq 0$, are conjugate in $GL(3, 3) = \{\pm I\} \times SL(3, 3)$, hence in $SL(3, 3)$.

Set $G = SL(3, 3)$. We let

$$(*) \quad 1 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow G^* \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be an arbitrary extension of G by V with the above action. We will show (*) is split. We use the convention that $u^* \in G^*$ is a representative (arbitrary, unless otherwise specified) for $u \in G$.

The alternate proof of splitting (given later) is much neater than the first version. The methods are quite different, however, and it seems worthwhile to give two proofs.

LEMMA 1. *Let $x = x_{12}(1)x_{23}(1)x_{13}(-1)$. Then $C_G(x) = \langle x, x_{13}(1) \rangle$. If $t \in G$ is an involution which inverts x , then t centralizes $x_{13}(1)$.*

Proof. The first statement is elementary linear algebra. Namely, x has a cyclic vector in V , so that any transformation which commutes with x is a polynomial in x . Since x has minimal polynomial of

degree 3, its full commuting algebra is all matrices of the shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c \in F_3.$$

The first statement is now clear. As for the second, it suffices to display an element t with the required properties, e.g.

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The lemma is proven.

LEMMA 2. $x_{12}(1)x_{23}(1)x_{13}(-1)$ and all its conjugates are represented in G^* by elements of order 3. Any two such representatives are conjugate by an element of V .

Proof. The Jordan canonical form for $x = x_{12}(1)x_{23}(1)x_{13}(-1)$ indicates that V is a free $F_3\langle x \rangle$ -module. So $H^i(\langle x \rangle, V) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Both statements follow.

LEMMA 3. Each $x_{ij}(t)$ is represented in G^* by an element of order 3, which commutes with an involution of G^* .

Proof. We may assume $i = 1, j = 3, t = 1$. Let

$$x = x_{12}(1)x_{23}(1)x_{13}(-1),$$

and let $x^* \in G^*$ represent x , $|x^*| = 3$. Again by Lemma 2, a Frattini-like argument shows that $N_G(\langle x \rangle)^* = V \cdot N_{G^*}(\langle x^* \rangle)$. Choose $y \in N_{G^*}(\langle x^* \rangle)$ with $y^x = x_{13}(1)$. Then $C_{G^*}(x^*) = \langle x^*, y, v_3 \rangle$ is abelian. Let $t \in N_{G^*}(\langle x^* \rangle)$ be an involution inverting x^* . Then by Lemma 1, t^x centralizes $x_{13}(1)$ and inverts v_3 . By Fittings theorem.

$$C_{G^*}(x^*) = \langle y_1 \rangle \times \langle x, v_3 \rangle$$

where $\langle y_1 \rangle = C_{G^*}(\langle x^*, t \rangle)$. Clearly $|y_1| = 3$ and $1 \neq y_1^x \in \langle x_{13}(1) \rangle$. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 4. If t is an involution of G^* , $C_{G^*}(t)$ has a Sylow 3-subgroup isomorphic to $Z_3 \times Z_3$.

Proof. Since G has one class of involutions, so does G^* . So, we apply Lemma 3 to see that t centralizes an element of order 3

outside V . Since $|C_V(t)| = 3$ and $C_G(t^\pi) \cong GL(2, 3)$, we are done by the Frattini argument namely, $\langle t \rangle \in \text{Syl}_2(V\langle t \rangle)$ and $V\langle t \rangle \triangleleft H$, where H is the preimage in G^* of $C_G(t^\pi)$.

In what follows, let $R = N_{G^*}(\langle v_3 \rangle)$ and $Q = 0_3(R)$. Then

$$R^\pi = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} & a \\ A & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in GL(2, 3), a, b \in F_3, c = (\det A)^{-1} \right\}$$

$$Q^\pi = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in F_3 \right\}.$$

Let

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let—denote images under $R \rightarrow R/\langle v_3 \rangle$. Let $h^* \in R$ be an involution representing h .

LEMMA 5. \bar{Q} is inverted by h^* . Also, \bar{Q} is elementary abelian and Q is extra special of order 3^5 , exponent 3, with center $\langle v_3 \rangle$.

Proof. The first statement is clear since h inverts Q^π and $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. Therefore, \bar{Q} is abelian. From Lemma 3, we get that \bar{Q} is elementary and the action of members of Q^π on V implies that Q is extra special. Since Q^π is generated by elements of order 3, by Lemma 3 again, Q has exponent 3.

We now require a technical result for studying automorphisms of Q . Since automorphisms commute with commutation, we have a homomorphism (which is actually onto) $\text{Aut}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_0(4, 3)$, the group of similitudes of a nondegenerate alternating bilinear form from F_3^4 to F_3 (a similitude preserves the form up to a scalar multiple; we have $|\text{Sp}_0(4, 3) : \text{Sp}(4, 3)| = |F_3^\times| = 2$, where $\text{Sp}(4, 3)$ is the symplectic group, i.e. the group preserving the form).

LEMMA 6. Let M be a 4-dimensional F_3 -vector space supporting a nondegenerate alternating form $(,)$ and let $\text{Sp}_0(4, 3), \text{Sp}(4, 3)$ be the associated group of similitudes, resp. symplectic group. Let I be a maximal totally isotropic subspace and let K be its (global) stabilizer in $\text{Sp}_0(4, 3)$. Then

- (i) $\dim I = 2$
- (ii) If J is a maximal totally isotropic subspace complementing

I in M we may choose a basis a_1, b_1 for I, a_2, b_2 for J so that $(a_i, b_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $(a_i, a_j) = (b_i, b_j) = 0$. With respect to the basis $\{a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2\}$ for V, elements of K have the shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & c {}^t A^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

A $\in GL(2, 3)$, B a symmetric 2×2 matrix, $c \in F_3^\times$; $c = 1$ if and only if the matrix lies in $Sp(4, 3)$. In this notation, $0_3(K)$ consists of those matrices with $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and if L is the set of matrices with $B = 0$, L complements $0_3(K)$ in K.

(iii) *If $Y \in Syl_3(L)$, $0_3(K)$ is a free $F_3 Y$ -module.*

(iv) *Any subgroup of K meeting $0_3(K)$ trivially stabilizes a maximal totally isotropic subspace which complements I, and is in fact conjugate to a subgroup of L.*

Proof. Statements (i) and (ii) are straightforward. To prove (iii), we may assume $Y = \langle y \rangle$,

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take

$$k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

a typical element of $0_3(K)$. A matrix calculation show that $y^{-1}k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)y = k(\alpha - 2\beta + \gamma, \beta - \gamma, \gamma)$. To show $0_3(K)$ is a free Y-module, it suffices, since $0_3(K) \cong Z_3 \times Z_3 \times Z_3$, to find a triple (α, β, γ) such that the three elements $y^{-i}k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)y^i, i = 0, 1, 2$ are linearly independent. Any (α, β, γ) with $\beta \neq 0$ does the trick.

We now prove statement (iv). First (iii) implies that $H^i(Y, 0_3(K)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Secondly, if $X \leq K, X \cap 0_3(K) = 1$, then a Sylow 3-subgroup X_3 of X is conjugate to a subgroup of Y, and so $H^i(X_3, 0_3(K)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Finally, we quote the injectiveness of the restriction $H^i(X, 0_3(K)) \rightarrow H^i(X_3, 0_3(K))$. A consequence is that X is conjugate in $0_3(K)X$ to $L \cap 0_3(K)X$, whence X stabilizes a maximal totally isotropic subspace complementing I.

THEOREM. *The extension (*) is split. Consequently, $H^2(SL(3, 3), F_3^3) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $S \cong GL(2, 3)$ complement $\langle v_3 \rangle$ in $C_G(h^*)$ (use Lemma 4 and Gaschütz' theorem). Easily, we see that S is faithful on Q and the map $\text{Aut}(Q) \rightarrow Sp_0(4, 3)$ embeds S as a subgroup S_0 of K , where, in the notation of Lemma 6, $M = \bar{Q}$, $I = \bar{V}$. Also, $0_3(S) = 1$ implies $0_3(K) \cap S_0 = 1$. Hence, by Lemma 6 (iv), S_0 stabilizes a complement \bar{J} to \bar{V} in \bar{Q} , where \bar{J} is totally singular. Letting J be the preimage of \bar{J} in Q , J is elementary abelian. Since h^* inverts \bar{J} and centralizes v_3 , we $J = \langle v_3 \rangle \times [J, h^*]$. Then $[J, h^*]S$ complements V in R . Since $(|G: R^\pi|, 3) = 1$, Gaschütz theorem implies that G^* splits over V , as required.

An alternate proof was suggested by V. Landazuri in a conversation. We sketch the argument. Using Lemmas 2 and 3, we get

(i) every element of order 3 in G is represented in G^* by an element of order 3.

Let $y \in G^*$ represent $x_{ij}(1)$, $|y| = 3$. Since $[V, y, y] = 1$, a simple calculation shows

(ii) every element of the coset $Vx_{ij}(1)^* = Vy$ has order 3.

Now take $a, b \in U^*$, $a^\pi = x_{12}(1)$, $b^\pi = x_{12}(-1)x_{23}(1)$, $|b| = 3$ (using (i)). By (ii), $|a| = |ab| = |ba| = 3$. An elementary argument shows that, if ξ_1, ξ_2 are elements in any group such that $|\xi_1| = |\xi_2| = |\xi_1\xi_2| = 3$, then $\langle \xi_1\xi_2^{-1}, \xi_2^{-1}\xi_1 \rangle$ is a normal abelian subgroup of index 3 in $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$. Applying this to $\xi_1 = ab$, $\xi_2 = ba$ we see that $\langle a, b \rangle$ has a normal abelian subgroup $H = \langle [a^{-1}, b^{-1}], [a, b] \rangle$ of index 3. By (ii), H is elementary abelian. Therefore, $|\langle a, b \rangle| = 3^3$. It is easily seen that $\langle a^\pi, b^\pi \rangle = U$, and this means $\langle a, b \rangle \cap V = 1$. Our theorem now follows from Gaschütz' theorem.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and C.T.C. Wall, *Cohomology of Groups*, from J. W. S. Cassel and A. Frohlich, Algebraic Number Theory, Thompson Book Company, Washington, D. C. 1967.
2. G. Birkhoff and S. MacLane, *A Survey of Modern Algebra*, Third Edition, Macmillan, New York, 1965.
3. D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Harper & Row, New York, 1968.
4. K. Gruenberg, *Cohomological Topics in Group Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 143, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
5. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, Band 114, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1967.
6. S. MacLane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1967.

Received October 31, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 63, No. 2

April, 1976

Joseph Anthony Ball and Arthur R. Lubin, <i>On a class of contractive perturbations of restricted shifts</i>	309
Joseph Becker and William C. Brown, <i>On extending higher derivations generated by cup products to the integral closure</i>	325
Andreas Blass, <i>Exact functors and measurable cardinals</i>	335
Joseph Eugene Collison, <i>A variance property for arithmetic functions</i>	347
Craig McCormack Cordes, <i>Quadratic forms over nonformally real fields with a finite number of quaternion algebras</i>	357
Freddy Delbaen, <i>Weakly compact sets in H^1</i>	367
G. D. Dikshit, <i>Absolute Nörlund summability factors for Fourier series</i>	371
Edward Richard Fadell, <i>Nielsen numbers as a homotopy type invariant</i>	381
Josip Globevnik, <i>Analytic extensions of vector-valued functions</i>	389
Robert Gold, <i>Genera in normal extensions</i>	397
Solomon Wolf Golomb, <i>Formulas for the next prime</i>	401
Robert L. Griess, Jr., <i>The splitting of extensions of $SL(3, 3)$ by the vector space F_3^3</i>	405
Thomas Alan Keagy, <i>Matrix transformations and absolute summability</i>	411
Kazuo Kishi, <i>Analytic maps of the open unit disk onto a Gleason part</i>	417
Kwangil Koh, Jiang Luh and Mohan S. Putcha, <i>On the associativity and commutativity of algebras over commutative rings</i>	423
James C. Lillo, <i>Asymptotic behavior of solutions of retarded differential difference equations</i>	431
John Alan MacBain, <i>Local and global bifurcation from normal eigenvalues</i>	445
Anna Maria Mantero, <i>Sets of uniqueness and multiplicity for L^p</i>	467
J. F. McClendon, <i>Embedding metric families</i>	481
L. Robbiano and Giuseppe Valla, <i>Primary powers of a prime ideal</i>	491
Wolfgang Ruess, <i>Generalized inductive limit topologies and barrelledness properties</i>	499
Judith D. Sally, <i>Bounds for numbers of generators of Cohen-Macaulay ideals</i>	517
Helga Schirmer, <i>Mappings of polyhedra with prescribed fixed points and fixed point indices</i>	521
Cho Wei Sit, <i>Quotients of complete multipartite graphs</i>	531
S. Sznajder and Zbigniew Zielezny, <i>Solvability of convolution equations in \mathfrak{K}'_p, $p > 1$</i>	539
Mitchell Herbert Taibleson, <i>The existence of natural field structures for finite dimensional vector spaces over local fields</i>	545
William Yslas Vélez, <i>A characterization of completely regular fields</i>	553
P. S. Venkatesan, <i>On right unipotent semigroups</i>	555
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>A rational octic reciprocity law</i>	563
Robert Ross Wilson, <i>Lattice orderings on the real field</i>	571
Harvey Eli Wolff, <i>V-localizations and V-monads. II</i>	579