

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

EMBEDDING METRIC FAMILIES

J. F. McCLENDON

EMBEDDING METRIC FAMILIES

J. F. McCLENDON

The embedding of a metric space in a Banach space plays an important role in metric space theory. In the present paper we consider the problem of embedding a metric family $X \rightarrow D$ in a Banach family. We obtain results under various hypotheses: (1) X a metric fiber bundle, (2) X an extended metric family, and (3) X has the coarse topology for a family of local cross-sections.

In §1 the basic definitions are given and a result is proved for metric fiber bundles. In §2 some general conditions are given which suffice for embedding. §3 studies family metrics which are restrictions of continuous pseudo-metrics. §4 describes the topology of a metric family in terms of a given family of local sections. In §5 a Banach family is associated with a given map and in §6 this is used to embed a locally sectioned family. In §7 an example is described relating to the question of embedding in a product family and also applying the techniques of §6 in a different way.

1. Definitions. In this section various definitions are given and the embedding question is posed. The question is answered in the case of metric fiber bundles.

Suppose $p: E \rightarrow D$ a function. Define $E_d = E(d) = p^{-1}(d)$, for $d \in D$, $E_s = E(S) = p^{-1}(S)$ for $S \subset D$, $E \times_p E = \{(e, e') \in E \times E \mid pe = pe'\}$. A continuous function will be called as map.

DEFINITION 1.1. A \langle continuous \rangle [pseudo] metric family is a pair $(p: E \rightarrow D, m)$ where $p: E \rightarrow D$ is a map, $m: E \times_p E \rightarrow R$ is an upper semi-continuous \langle continuous \rangle function, and $m|E(d) \times E(d)$ is [pseudo] metric.

Usually we speak of E as being a metric family rather than (p, m) . Recall that a function $u: Z \rightarrow R$ from a topological space Z to the real numbers R is called upper semi-continuous provided that $u^{-1}(-\infty, b)$ is open for all $b \in R$. Note that the "metric family" of [2] is called a continuous metric family here.

Suppose $p: E \rightarrow D$ a map. A map $s: U \rightarrow E$ is called a local section of p if U is open in D and $ps = \text{identity (on } U)$. s gives

$$E(U) \xrightarrow{(1, sp)} E(U) \times_p E(U) \xrightarrow{m} R$$

if E is a metric family. So $B(s, r) = \{e \in E \mid m(e, spe) < r\}$ is open in

E since it is $(m \cdot (1, sp))^{-1}(-\infty, r)$. Let \mathcal{S} be the family of all local sections of p and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}) = \{B(s, r) | r > 0, s \in \mathcal{S}\}$. If

$$\bigcup \{s(d) | s \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

is dense in $E(d)$ then it follows as in [2, § 2] (see, also, § 4) that \mathcal{B} is a basis of a topology on E . If this is the same as the given topology of E , we say that E is a coarse \langle continuous \rangle [pseudo] metric family. The density condition is always assumed when the word "coarse" is used.

Let $p: E \rightarrow D$ be a map and suppose $E(d)$ a vector space and $a: E \times_D E \rightarrow E$, $a(e, e') = e + e'$, $b: R \times E \rightarrow E$, $b(c, e) = ce$, are both continuous. Then E is called a vector family. Suppose each $E(d)$ is a [pseudo] normed vector space with [pseudo] norm $n(d): E(d) \rightarrow R$. If $n: E \rightarrow R$, $n|E(d) = n(d)$, is an upper semi-continuous \langle continuous \rangle function and the relative topology on $E(d)$ from E is the norm topology from $n(d)$, then E or $(p: E \rightarrow D, n)$ will be called a \langle continuous \rangle [pseudo] normed vector family. Define $m: E \times_D E \rightarrow R$ by $m(e, e') = n(e - e')$. This makes E into a \langle continuous \rangle [pseudo] metric family.

Let $p: A \rightarrow D$ and $q: B \rightarrow D$ be pseudo metric families. A map $f: A \rightarrow B$ with $qf = p$ will be called a D -map. It is an isometric embedding if it is a topological embedding (homeomorphism onto $f(A)$) and an isometry on each $A(d)$. We will consider the following question. When can a given metric family be embedded in a coarse normed vector family? There are some related questions, not all to be considered in the present paper. If such an embedding is possible, can the vector family be taken to be a product family? a vector bundle? Can a bound be put on the dimensions of the fibers? In the present paper we usually assume A is a coarse metric family. In a later paper we will consider cases where this is not true.

Suppose that M is a metric space with bounded metric m . Let $B(M)$ be the set of bounded maps $M \rightarrow R$ with norm

$$n(f) = \sup \{f(x) | x \in M\}.$$

Then $M \rightarrow B(M)$, $x \rightarrow m(x)$, $m(x)(y) = m(x, y)$, is an isometric embedding. If D is any space then $D \times M \rightarrow D \times B(M)$, $(d, x) \rightarrow (d, m(x))$, is an isometric embedding of continuous metric family $D \times M$ in the continuous normed vector family $D \times B(M)$.

More generally, let $X \rightarrow D$ be a metric fiber bundle (group action on fiber preserves metric) with fiber M and group G . Then $G \times B(M) \rightarrow B(M)$, $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(gx)$, gives an action of G on $B(M)$. Then we can form the associated bundle $B_D(X) \rightarrow D$, $B_D(X) = \bigcup B(X(d))$, there is a natural isometric embedding $X \rightarrow B_D(X)$ which in the fibers is

$M \rightarrow B(M)$ as above.

Note that for any metric family $X \rightarrow D$ it is possible to form the set $B_D(X)$ and get a 1-1 function $X \rightarrow B_D(X)$. It would be interesting to know necessary and sufficient conditions, or even different sufficient conditions, under which $B_D(X)$ can be topologized in such a way as to make $X \rightarrow B_D(X)$ an embedding of the desired type.

2. Embedding conditions. Assume that $(\hat{a}: A \rightarrow D, m)$ and $(\hat{b}: B \rightarrow D, m')$ are pseudo-metric families and $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a D -function. Here we consider some conditions on A, B , and f , and some easy consequences of them.

1. f is isometric, i.e., each $f(d)$ is isometric and f is 1-1.
2. If s is a local section of A then fs is a local section of B .
3. $f(A)$ has a basis $\{B(fs, r) \mid r > 0, s \text{ a local section of } A\}$.
4. A has the coarse topology.
5. B has the coarse topology.

THEOREM 2.1. *If condition 1-4 are satisfied then f is an embedding.*

Proof. Note first that Condition 1 implies that f is injective and that the following two formulas are true

$$\begin{aligned} fB(s; r) &= B(fs; r) \cap fA \\ f^{-1}B(fs; r) &= B(s; r) \end{aligned}$$

for any $s: S \rightarrow A, S \subset D, \hat{a}s = \text{id}$. From 2, 3, and the second formula we see that f is continuous. Similarly from 2, 4, and the first formula we see that $f: A \rightarrow f(A)$ is open.

THEOREM 2.2. *If condition 1, 2, 4, and 5, are satisfied then f is an embedding.*

Proof. We need to show Condition 3. Let $b = f(a) \in B(t; r)$, $t: W \rightarrow B$ a local section. Let $\hat{a}a = d_0$, $m'(t(d_0), b) = r(1) < r(2) < r$. Select a local section $s: U \rightarrow A$, $d_0 \in U$, $m(a, sd_0) < c = \min\{r(2) - r(1), r - r(2)\}$. Then $m'(fsd_0, td_0) \leq m'(fsd_0, fa) + m'(fa, td_0) = m(sd_0, a) + m'(b, td_0) < r(2) - r(1) + r(1) = r(2)$. So there is an open V , $d_0 \in V$, and $d \in V$ implies $m'(fsd, td) < r(2)$. I claim $b \in B(fs|V, c) \subset B(t; r)$ (which will prove 3). First $m'(fsd_0, b) = m'(fsd_0, fa) = m(sd_0, a) < r$. Now suppose $e \in B(fs|V, c)$, $\hat{b}e = d \in V$. Then $m'(e, t\hat{b}e) = m'(e, td) \leq m'(e, fsd) + m'(fsd, td) < c + r(2) \leq (r - r(2)) + r(2) = r$. Hence $e \in B(t; r)$.

3. Extended metric families. In this section we will study

metric families which satisfy a strong extension condition and prove two embedding theorems for them.

DEFINITION 3.1. $(\hat{x}: X \rightarrow D, m)$ is an extended metric family if \hat{x} is continuous and

- (1) $m: X \times X \rightarrow R$ is a continuous pseudo metric
- (2) $m|X \times_D X$ is a family metric.

Note that m is continuous if and only if it is upper semi-continuous. Also it is clear that an extended metric family is a continuous metric family.

If m is actually a bounded metric on X and X has the metric topology then $X \rightarrow B(X)$ is an embedding so $X \rightarrow D \times B(X)$ is an isometric embedding into a product family.

In general if we take m be bounded, as we can, then $v: X \rightarrow D \times B(X)$, $x \mapsto (\hat{x}x, m(x))$, $m(x)(y) = m(x, y)$, is continuous and $1 - 1$ (since it is fiber preserving and $1 - 1$ on each fiber (since $m|X(d) \times X(d)$ is a metric)). This proves the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose that $(X \rightarrow D, m)$ is an extended metric family. Suppose that X is compact and D is Hausdorff. Then v is an isometric embedding of X in the product family $D \times B(X)$.

THEOREM 3.3. Suppose that $(X \rightarrow D, m)$ is an extended metric family. Suppose also that it has the coarse topology. Then v is an isometric embedding of X in the product family $D \times B(X)$.

Proof. This will follow from Theorem 2.2. We have that v is $1 - 1$, isometric, and continuous. This gives Conditions 1 and 2. Condition 4 is assumed and Condition 5 is true because $D \times B(X)$ is a product family.

4. Families of local sections. Let X be a set, D a topological space, and $\hat{x}: X \rightarrow D$ a function. Suppose $m: X \times_D X \rightarrow R$ is a function such that the restriction is a [pseudo] metric on $X(d)$ for all $d \in D$. Let \mathcal{S} be a family of local sections of x , i.e., functions $s: W \rightarrow X$ where $W = W(s)$ is open in D and $\hat{x}s = \text{identity on } W$. Assume $\{s(d) | s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is dense in $X(d)$ for all $d \in D$. For $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}$, define $u = u(s, s'): W(s) \cap W(s') \rightarrow R$ by $u(d) = m(s(d), s'(d))$. Also for $s \in \mathcal{S}$, W open in D , define $B(s; r) = B(s; r, m) = \{x \in X | m(x, s\hat{x}x) < r\}$. Write $B(s|W; r)$ for $B(t; r)$ where $t = \text{restriction of } s \text{ to } W \cap W(s)$, so $B(s|W; r) = B(s; r) \cap \hat{x}^{-1}(W)$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}, m) = \{B(s|W; r) | s \in \mathcal{S}, r > 0, W \text{ open in } D\}$. Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 below should be compared to [Fell, 1, Prop 1.6, p. 10].

THEOREM 4.1. Assume $u(s, s')$ upper semi-continuous \langle continuous \rangle for all $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

(1) \mathcal{B} is a basis for a topology $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, m)$ on X . \hat{x} is continuous and open.

(2) Each s is a continuous local section.

(3) m is upper semi-continuous \langle continuous \rangle .

(4) (X, \mathcal{S}, m) is a coarse [pseudo] \langle continuous \rangle metric family.

(5) Let $t: U \rightarrow X$ be a function, $\hat{x}t = \text{id}$, U open in D , then

(a) t continuous implies $u(s, t)$ upper semi-continuous \langle continuous \rangle all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

(b) $u(s, t)$ upper semi-continuous all $s \in \mathcal{S}$ implies t is continuous.

Proof of 1. Suppose $x \in B(s|W; r) \cap B(s'|W'; r')$, $\hat{x}x = d$, $m(sd, x) = r(1) < r(2) < r$, $m(s'd, x) = r(1)' < r(2)' < r'$. Let $a = \min(r(2) - r(1), r - r(2), r(2)' - r(1)', r' - r(2)')$. Select $t \in \mathcal{S}$ with $m(x, td) < a$. Let $u = u(s, t)$, $u' = u(s', t)$, $U = u^{-1}(-\infty, r(2)) \cap u'^{-1}(-\infty, r(2)') \cap W \cap W'$. It is not hard to show $x \in B(t|U; a) \subset B(s|W; r) \cap B(s'|W'; r')$, (cf. proof of 2.2).

Proof of 2. $s^{-1}B(t|W; r) = \{d | m(s(d), t(d)) < r\} \cap W = u^{-1}(-\infty, r) \cap W$, $u = u(s, t)$. So $s \in \mathcal{S}$ implies s continuous.

Proof of 3. If m is upper semi-continuous use $a = -\infty$ in what follows. Let $(x', y') \in m^{-1}(a, b) = \{(x, y) | \hat{x}x = \hat{x}y, a < m(x, y) < b\}$. Let $m(x', y') = c$, $\hat{x}x = d' = \hat{x}y'$. Select r, a' , and b' , such that $a < a' - r < a' < c < b' < b' + r < b$, and set $z = \min((b' - c)/2, r/2, (c - a')/2)$. Select $t, t' \in \mathcal{S}$ with $m(x', td') < z$, $m(y', t'd') < z$. Let

$$W = u(t, t')^{-1}(a', b').$$

It is not hard to check that $(x', y') \in B(tW; r/2) \times_{\mathcal{D}} B(t'W; r/2) \subset m^{-1}(a, b)$. So $m^{-1}(a, b)$ is open and m is upper semi-continuous [continuous].

Now 4 follows from 1, 2, and 3, and 5 is easy.

It is interesting to see from part 5 that if $u(s, s')$ is continuous for all $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}$ then if $t: U \rightarrow X$, $\hat{x}t = \text{id}$, U open, we have that $u(s, t)$ is upper semi-continuous if and only if it is continuous. Theorem 4.1 permits us to complete a metric family and preserve whatever conditions we had. Let $X(d)'$ be the completion of $X(d)$ and $i: X(d) \rightarrow X(d)'$ the embedding. Set $X' = \bigcup X(d)'$ giving

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & X' \\ & \searrow \hat{x} & \swarrow \hat{x}' \\ & D & \end{array}$$

The [pseudo] metrics m'_d give $m': X' \times_D X' \rightarrow R$. Let $\mathcal{S}' = \{is | s \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Then $\{s'(d) | s' \in \mathcal{S}'\}$ is dense in $X(d)'$. Also $u(s', t') = u(s, t)$ so the hypotheses on X carry over to X' . We give X' the topology from \mathcal{S}' . Conditions 1, 2, 4, 5, of §2 are clear so i is an isometric embedding.

Let E be a set, D a topological space, and $\hat{e}: E \rightarrow D$ a function. Assume $E(d)$ a [pseudo] normed real vector space. Let \mathcal{S} be a given family of local sections of e . Let $s + s'$ and cs be defined by operating on values, $\text{dom}(s + s') = \text{dom}(s) \cap \text{dom}(s')$. The function $\phi: \phi \rightarrow E$ is a local section. Suppose

(S1) $\{s(d) | s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \text{dom}(s)\}$ is dense in $E(d)$

(S2) $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$ imply $s + t \in \mathcal{S}$

(S3) $s \in \mathcal{S}$ implies $cs \in \mathcal{S}$ all $c \in R$

(S4) $s \in \mathcal{S}$ implies the function $d \rightarrow n(s(d))$ is upper semi-continuous \langle continuous \rangle . Define $m: E \times_D E \rightarrow R$ by $m(e, e') = n(e - e')$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}) = \{B(s|W; r) | s \in \mathcal{S}, W \text{ open in } D, r > 0\}$. The following theorem should be compared to [Fell, 1, p. 10].

THEOREM 4.2 (1) \mathcal{B} is a basis for a topology on E . \hat{e} is continuous and open.

(2) E is a [pseudo] \langle continuous \rangle normed vector family.

Proof. Let $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$, $u = u(s, t)$, $u(d) = m(s(d), t(d)) = n((s - t)(d))$. By S2 and S3, $s - t \in \mathcal{S}$ and by S4, u is upper semi-continuous \langle continuous \rangle so by 4.1 we see that \mathcal{B} is a basis for a topology on E and \hat{e} is continuous and open. Also each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ is a continuous cross section. It is clear that each $E(d)$ gets the [pseudo] norm topology. It remains only to show addition and scalar multiplication are continuous. This is not difficult (cf. proof of 2.2).

The completion process above gives for E as in Theorem 4.2 a D -map $E \rightarrow E'$ where $E' \rightarrow D$ is a [pseudo] \langle continuous \rangle complete normed vector family = (definition) a [pseudo] \langle continuous \rangle Banach family.

5. A metric family associated with $X \rightarrow D$. Recall that we can associate to any topological space Z the vector space $B_b(Z)$ of all bounded real valued functions with norm $M(b) = \sup \{|b(z)| | z \in Z\}$ and metric $d(b, b') = M(b - b')$. Let $B_c(Z)$ be the subspace of continuous functions and $B_s(Z)$ the subspace generated by the upper semi-continuous functions. Below let $B(Z)$ stand for any of these.

Let $\hat{x}: X \rightarrow D$ be a map. Define $P(d) = \lim \{B(X(U)) | U \ni d, U \text{ open}\}$ as a set. So if $e \in P(d)$ then $e = [F](d)$ (equivalence class of F at d) where $F: X(U) \rightarrow R$ is a bounded function. Also $[F], [G] \in$

$P(d)$, $[F] = [G]$ iff $F|X(W) = G|X(W)$, some open W , $d \in W$. Now define $P(\hat{x}) = P(X) = \bigcup \{P(d) | d \in D\}$ as a set (a disjoint union). Let $F \in e \in P$ and define $n(e) = \inf \{M(F|X(U)) | d \in U, U \text{ open}\}$. For $F \in B(X(W))$ define $s = s(F): W \rightarrow E$ by $s(F)(d) = [F](d)$ and let $\mathcal{S} = \{s(F) | F \in B(X(W)), W \text{ open in } D\}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B(s|W; r) | s \in \mathcal{S}, r > 0, W \text{ open in } D\}$.

THEOREM 5.1. *\mathcal{B} is a basis for a topology on $P = P(X) \cdot P \rightarrow D$ is a pseudo normed vector family.*

Proof. If $e \in P(d)$ then $e = [F](d) = s(F)(d)$, proving S1 of §4. $s + s' = s(F) + s(F') = s(F + F')$, $cs = cs(F) = s(cF)$ prove S2 and S3. Suppose $s = s(F)$ given and $u: W \rightarrow R$, $u(d) = n(s(d)) = n([F](d)) = \inf \{M(F|X(U)) | d \in U, U \text{ open}\}$. Suppose $u(d') < r$. Select V open, $d' \in V$, with $M(F|X(V)) < r$. Then for any

$$d \in V, n(s(d)) \leq M(F|X(V)) < r.$$

Thus u is upper semi-continuous.

Now we can complete $P(X)$ to $P'(X)$ and form $N(X) = P'(X)/\mathcal{B}$ where $e\mathcal{B}0$ iff $n(e) = 0$. This gives $N(X) \rightarrow D$ a Banach family.

Note that in general n is only upper semi-continuous no matter which B is used (it may be continuous if $X \rightarrow D$ is nice).

The above process generalizes to treat $F_D(X, Y) \rightarrow D$ where $Y \rightarrow D$ is any normed vector family ($Y = D \times R$ above) or even any metric family.

6. Embedding coarse metric families. In this section we assume that $(X \rightarrow D, m)$ is a metric family with a local section through each point, X has the coarse topology, and m is bounded.

Let P, P', N , be the families constructed in §5. If $X \rightarrow D$ is a continuous metric family assume $B(X(U))$ was used. If $X \rightarrow D$ is only a metric family assume $B_s(X(U))$ was used. Write B in both cases. Let n denote the norm and K the metric for any of these families. For $F \in B(X(U))$ let $[F](d)$ be the equivalence class in $P(d)$ or $P'(d)$ and $\langle F \rangle(d)$ the class in $N(d)$. For a local section $s: U \rightarrow X$, define $m(s): X(U) \rightarrow R$ by $m(s)(y) = m(y, s\hat{x}y)$ so $m(s) \in B(X(U))$. For $x \in X$ select a local section s through x and define $u(x) = \langle m(s) \rangle(d) \in N(d)$. The fact that u is single valued follows from the lemma below.

THEOREM 6.1. *$u: X \rightarrow N$ is an isometric embedding.*

LEMMA 6.2. *Let s and t be local sections and d in $\text{dom}(s)$ and $\text{dom}(t)$. Then*

$$K([m(s)](d), [m(t)](d)) = m(sd, td).$$

Proof of 6.2.

$$\begin{aligned} K([m(s)](d), [m(t)](d)) &= \inf_{U \ni d} \sup_{x \in X(U)} |(m(s) - m(t))(x)| \\ &= \inf_{U \ni d} \sup_{d' \in U} \left(\sup_{x \in X(d')} |m(s)(x) - m(t)(x)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\sup_{x \in X(d')} |m(s)(x) - m(t)(x)| = \sup_{x \in X(d')} |m(s\hat{x}, x) - m(t\hat{x}, x)| = m(sd', td')$$

and $d \rightarrow m(sd, td)$ is upper semi-continuous, proving the result.

Proof of 6.1. Condition 1 of §2 follows from 6.2. The other conditions are also met so 6.1 follows from 2.2.

I'll give a result on embedding X in P' . The proof given seems to require an extra assumption on X . Let $a: P' \rightarrow N$ be the natural projection. Define a multifunction $F: X \rightarrow P'$ by $F = a^{-1}u$. Then $\hat{p}F = \hat{x}: X \rightarrow D$ and F is lower semi-continuous since a is continuous. Furthermore, it is easily seen that $F(x)$ is convex and closed in $P'(d)$ for each $x, d = \hat{x}x$. Thus 5.1 of [2] applies and gives a continuous selection $u: X \rightarrow P'$, provided that X is paracompact. u is isometric because v is. Thus the theorem below follows from 2.1.

THEOREM 6.3. *X paracompact. Then $u: X \rightarrow P'$ is an isometric embedding.*

7. An example. Here an example will be described which illustrates the problem of embedding in a product family. It also shows that the embedding method of 6.3 applies in other situations.

Let $T = I \times R$ as a set where I is the unit interval. Define

$$N(x, y, r) = \begin{cases} ((x - r, x + r) \times (y - r, y + r)) \cap T & x \neq 0 \\ & \text{(usual nbhd.)} \\ T & x = 0, r > 1 \\ (0, y) \cup \{(x, y') \mid 0 < x < r, |y - y'| < xr\} & x = 0, r \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

These form a basis for a topology in T which is finer than the Euclidean topology. In fact, T is a well-known example of a space which is completely regular but not normal. $p: T \rightarrow I, p(x, y) = x$, is continuous. Define $s(y): I \rightarrow T$ by $s(y)(x) = (x, y)$. Then $s(y)$ is a continuous section. Now define $m: T \times_I T \rightarrow R$ by

$$m(x, y, x, y') = \begin{cases} \min \{|y - y'|/x, 1\} & x \neq 0 \\ 1 & x = 0, y \neq y' \\ 0 & x = 0, y = y' \end{cases}.$$

It is not hard to see that m is continuous. In fact, $T \rightarrow I$ is a coarse continuous metric family with a global section through each point.

Now define $F(y): T \rightarrow R$ by $F(y)(x', y') = m((x', y'), s(y)(x')) = m(x', y', x', y)$. So, in the notation of §6, $F(y) = m(s(y))$. Define $u: T \rightarrow P'$ by $u(x, y) = [F(y)](x)$. Condition 1 of §2 follows just as in §6. Conditions 4 and 5 are clear. In the notation of §5, $us(y) = s(F(y))$ so us is a continuous section of P' establishing Condition 2. Now Theorem 2.2 shows that u is an isometric embedding. Note that T is not paracompact.

Finally we note that there is no embedding $T \rightarrow I \times M$ into a product family, M metric, since this would force T to be normal.

REFERENCES

1. J. M. G. Fell, *An extension of Mackey's method to Banach *-algebraic bundles*, Memoirs of the A. M. S. no. 90, 1969.
2. J. F. McClendon, *Metric families*, Pacific J. Math., **57** (1975), 491-510.
3. E. A. Michael, *Continuous selections I*, Ann. of Math., (2) **63** (1956), 361-382.

Received February 3, 1975 and in revised form January 14, 1976.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 63, No. 2

April, 1976

Joseph Anthony Ball and Arthur R. Lubin, <i>On a class of contractive perturbations of restricted shifts</i>	309
Joseph Becker and William C. Brown, <i>On extending higher derivations generated by cup products to the integral closure</i>	325
Andreas Blass, <i>Exact functors and measurable cardinals</i>	335
Joseph Eugene Collison, <i>A variance property for arithmetic functions</i>	347
Craig McCormack Cordes, <i>Quadratic forms over nonformally real fields with a finite number of quaternion algebras</i>	357
Freddy Delbaen, <i>Weakly compact sets in H^1</i>	367
G. D. Dikshit, <i>Absolute Nörlund summability factors for Fourier series</i>	371
Edward Richard Fadell, <i>Nielsen numbers as a homotopy type invariant</i>	381
Josip Globevnik, <i>Analytic extensions of vector-valued functions</i>	389
Robert Gold, <i>Genera in normal extensions</i>	397
Solomon Wolf Golomb, <i>Formulas for the next prime</i>	401
Robert L. Griess, Jr., <i>The splitting of extensions of $SL(3, 3)$ by the vector space F_3^3</i>	405
Thomas Alan Keagy, <i>Matrix transformations and absolute summability</i>	411
Kazuo Kishi, <i>Analytic maps of the open unit disk onto a Gleason part</i>	417
Kwangil Koh, Jiang Luh and Mohan S. Putcha, <i>On the associativity and commutativity of algebras over commutative rings</i>	423
James C. Lillo, <i>Asymptotic behavior of solutions of retarded differential difference equations</i>	431
John Alan MacBain, <i>Local and global bifurcation from normal eigenvalues</i>	445
Anna Maria Mantero, <i>Sets of uniqueness and multiplicity for L^p</i>	467
J. F. McClendon, <i>Embedding metric families</i>	481
L. Robbiano and Giuseppe Valla, <i>Primary powers of a prime ideal</i>	491
Wolfgang Ruess, <i>Generalized inductive limit topologies and barrelledness properties</i>	499
Judith D. Sally, <i>Bounds for numbers of generators of Cohen-Macaulay ideals</i>	517
Helga Schirmer, <i>Mappings of polyhedra with prescribed fixed points and fixed point indices</i>	521
Cho Wei Sit, <i>Quotients of complete multipartite graphs</i>	531
S. Szajder and Zbigniew Zielezny, <i>Solvability of convolution equations in \mathcal{H}'_p, $p > 1$</i>	539
Mitchell Herbert Taibleson, <i>The existence of natural field structures for finite dimensional vector spaces over local fields</i>	545
William Yslas Vélez, <i>A characterization of completely regular fields</i>	553
P. S. Venkatesan, <i>On right unipotent semigroups</i>	555
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>A rational octic reciprocity law</i>	563
Robert Ross Wilson, <i>Lattice orderings on the real field</i>	571
Harvey Eli Wolff, <i>V-localizations and V-monads. II</i>	579