

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **FINITENESS OF THE RAMIFIED SET FOR BRANCHED IMMERSIONS OF SURFACES**

ROBERT DAVID GULLIVER, II

## FINITENESS OF THE RAMIFIED SET FOR BRANCHED IMMERSIONS OF SURFACES

ROBERT GULLIVER

We shall be concerned with the behavior of a mapping  $\pi$  from one oriented compact surface-with-boundary to another, which may fail to be a covering projection in one of two ways. Firstly,  $\pi$  need not be a local homeomorphism, although its interior singularities will be of a restricted type, called branch points. Secondly, boundary points may be mapped into the interior, although we shall assume the restriction of  $\pi$  to the boundary is injective. We shall show that  $\pi$  must then be a local homeomorphism except on a finite set. Moreover, we shall analyze the behavior of  $\pi$  near the boundary in sufficient detail to derive a formula relating Euler characteristics of the domain and of the image, with multiplicities, to the total order of branching of  $\pi$ . These results may be used to study ramification and ramified branch points of parametric minimal surfaces of general topological type.

For the present case of a mapping  $\pi: M \rightarrow M_1$  of one surface, or topological 2-manifold, into another, we may call  $\pi$  a *branched immersion* provided it is locally topologically conjugate to the mapping  $g_m(z) = z^m$  of the unit disk  $\Delta$  in the complex plane to itself. That is, for each  $p \in M$  there exists an integer  $m \geq 1$ , a neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $M$ , a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $\pi(p)$  in  $M_1$ , and homeomorphisms  $h: V \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $h_0: V_0 \rightarrow \Delta$ , with  $h(p) = 0$ ,  $h_0(\pi(p)) = 0$  and such that  $g_m \circ h = h_0 \circ \pi$ . The integer  $m - 1$  is the order of branching (or order of ramification) of  $\pi$  at  $p$ , denoted  $o(p)$ . If  $o(p) > 0$  we call  $p$  a *branch point*; if  $o(p) = 1$ ,  $p$  is a *simple branch point*. For the definition of a branched immersion of a surface into a higher-dimensional manifold, see ([4], Definitions 1.2, 1.6).

The Euler-characteristic formula in the theorem below is a generalization of the Riemann–Hurwitz relation for the case of closed surfaces. The formula has been proved by Ahlfors under the assumption that  $\pi$  is a simplicial mapping with respect to appropriate triangulations of the compact surfaces-with-boundary  $\bar{M}$  and  $\bar{M}_1$ , with an openness condition at interior edges; this amounts to requiring  $\pi$  to be a branched immersion up to the boundary ([1], p. 161, 168). A recent, sheaf-theoretic proof has been given by Elwin and Short under the hypothesis that the fibers are constant over components in a finite decomposition of  $\bar{M}_1$  ([2]).

One area in which this question is of interest is in the study of ramification of minimal surfaces and of surfaces of prescribed mean

curvature vector, in conformal parameterization. A mapping  $f: M \rightarrow N$  of one manifold into another is said to be *ramified* if two distinct regular points of  $f$  in  $M$  define the same germ of submanifold in  $N$ . A point of  $M \cup \partial M$  is called a *ramified point* of  $f$  if the restriction of  $f$  to every neighborhood of that point is ramified; a ramified point is necessarily a singular point. If  $M$  and  $N$  are both two-dimensional and  $f$  is a branched immersion, then the notions of branch point and interior ramified point coincide. Now suppose  $\bar{M}$  is a compact surface-with-boundary, and let  $f: \bar{M} \rightarrow N$  be a mapping into a manifold of arbitrary dimension, whose restriction to  $M$  is a branched immersion with the unique continuation property (see [4], p. 757), and whose restriction to  $\partial M$  is injective. Then the topological space of germs of surface defined by  $f$  at its regular points has a natural compactification  $\bar{M}_1$ ; the fundamental theorem of branched immersions states that  $\bar{M}_1$  is a compact oriented surface-with-boundary, and that the natural quotient mapping  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$  is a branched immersion in the interior (see Theorem 4.15 of [3, I]). Branch points of  $\pi$  are precisely the ramified points of  $f$ . This holds in particular if  $f$  is a conformal parameterization of a surface with prescribed mean curvature vector in a riemannian manifold  $N$ , which maps the boundary injectively into  $N$ . Thus, the study of the consequences of ramification of  $f$  leads naturally to consideration of the mapping  $\pi$ . The results below will be applied in [3, II] to shed light on ramification of such mappings  $f$ , and in particular of the minimal surfaces of higher topological type whose existence was proven by Douglas. It should be noted, however, that if the disjoint Jordan curves comprising  $f(\partial M)$  are assumed to have a sufficiently high degree of regularity, say class  $C^2$ , then the results of the present paper may be replaced by somewhat simpler arguments exploiting recent results on the regularity of  $f$  up to the boundary.

The present work is largely self-contained, relying on a few elementary facts proved in [4]. However, the methods employed will be better understood by a reader familiar with certain concepts and techniques of [4] and of [3, I]. We point out particularly the instructive series of examples in §5 of [4].

Branched immersions between surfaces may be characterized by remarkably weak hypotheses, according to a classical theorem of Stoilow ([7], p. 121). Namely, if  $\pi: M \rightarrow M_1$  is a continuous open mapping between surfaces, and  $\pi$  is *light*, that is,  $\pi^{-1}(p_0)$  is totally disconnected for each  $p_0 \in M_1$ , then  $\pi$  is a branched immersion. Thus the result of the present paper implies that a light continuous mapping  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$  between compact oriented surfaces-with-boundary, whose restriction to  $M$  is open and whose restriction to  $\partial M$  is injective, is a local homeomorphism except on a finite set in  $\bar{M}$ , at each point of which there is a well-defined order of branching.

NOTATION. When the notation  $\bar{M}$  or  $\bar{M}_k$ , etc., is used to denote a surface-with-boundary, we shall write  $M$  or  $M_k$  for the surface consisting of its interior points,  $\partial M$  or  $\partial M_k$  for its boundary. If  $\bar{M}$  is a surface-with-boundary, then an open set  $U \subset M$  is itself a surface; however, its closure  $\bar{U}$  need not be a surface-with-boundary, and  $\partial U = \bar{U} \setminus U$  need not be a 1-submanifold. A connected oriented compact surface-with-boundary  $\bar{M}$  may be obtained from a sphere by attaching a certain number  $g$  of handles, and removing a number of disjoint open disks;  $g$  is the *genus* of  $M$ . If  $M$  is not connected, then its genus is the sum of the genera of its connected components. The Euler characteristic  $\chi(\bar{M}) = 2c - 2g - k$ , where  $c$  is the number of components of  $M$ ,  $g$  the genus of  $M$ , and  $k$  the number of boundary components. The restriction of a mapping  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$  to a subset  $U \subset M$  is denoted  $\pi|_U$ . In the context of a branched immersion  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$ , we shall use the notation  $B_r$  for the set of ramified points of  $\pi$  in  $\bar{M}$ ;  $B = B_r \cap M$  denotes the set of interior branch points, and  $B_\partial = B_r \cap \partial M$  is the set of ramified boundary points.

For a continuous mapping  $\pi: M \rightarrow M_1$  of one oriented surface onto another, we may define the *Brouwer degree* as an integer-valued function  $\deg(\pi)$ , defined at those points  $p_0 \in M_1$  such that there is a compact neighborhood  $U$  of  $p_0$  in  $M_1$  whose pre-image  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is a compact subset of  $M$ . That is,  $\deg(\pi)$  is defined on the complement of the set of limits of images of properly divergent sequences in  $M$ . If, as in the case treated in this paper,  $M$  is the interior of a compact surface-with-boundary  $\bar{M}$  and  $\pi$  extends continuously over  $\bar{M}$ , then  $\deg(\pi)$  is defined on  $M_1 \setminus \pi(\partial M)$ . Now  $\deg(\pi)(p_0)$  may be computed as follows: let  $\varphi$  be any smooth approximation to  $\pi$ , with respect to some pair of differentiable structures, which has  $p_0$  as a regular value. Then  $\deg(\pi)(p_0)$  is the number of points in  $\varphi^{-1}(p_0)$  at which  $\varphi$  preserves orientation, minus the number at which  $\varphi$  reverses orientation. We note that if  $\pi$  is a branched immersion, then with respect to the appropriate orientations of  $M$  and  $M_1$ ,  $\varphi$  may be chosen to preserve orientation at all points (see, e.g., Lemma 2 below). Suppose  $\pi_t: M \rightarrow M_1$  defines a homotopy, that is, a jointly continuous one-parameter family of mappings. Then for fixed  $p_0 \in M$ ,  $\deg(\pi_t)(p_0)$  is constant as a function of  $t$  on any interval where it is defined: the proof given in [5], pp. 27–9, for the case that  $\partial M$  and  $\partial M_1$  are empty, may be extended without difficulty to the present case. It follows that for a mapping  $\pi: M \rightarrow M_1$ ,  $\deg(\pi)$  is constant on connected components of its (open) domain of definition. In fact, one needs only the following lemma: if  $U$  is a connected open subset of a differentiable manifold  $M_1$  and  $p, q \in U$ , then there exists a homotopy of diffeomorphisms  $h_t: M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ , such that  $h_t(U) = U$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $h_0$  is the identity, and  $h_1(p) = q$ . The proof of this lemma is completely analogous to the case  $U = M_1$  given by Milnor ([5], pp. 22–4).

**1. Finiteness of interior branching.** Our first lemma illustrates the power of the requirement of injectivity on the boundary. The lemma includes, as special cases, Lemma 6.13 of [4] and Lemma 2.6 of [3, I], and is proved in a fashion similar to the proof of the former. We shall indicate its proof here, in the interest of completeness.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\Delta$  denote the unit disk in the plane,  $\Delta^+$ ,  $\Delta^-$  and  $I$  its intersection with the open upper half-plane, the open lower half-plane and the horizontal axis, respectively. Suppose  $\bar{M}$  is a surface-with-boundary (not necessarily compact), and  $M_1$  is a surface. Let  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow M_1$  be a continuous mapping, whose restriction to  $M$  is a branched immersion, and whose restriction to  $\partial M$  is injective. Let  $\partial M$  and  $I$  be oriented so that  $M$  and  $\Delta^+$ , respectively, lie to the left. Then for any  $p \in \partial M$  there is an arbitrarily small simply-connected neighborhood  $V \cup K$  of  $p$  in  $\bar{M}$ , where  $V \subset M$ ,  $K \subset \partial M$ ; an integer  $m \geq 1$ ; a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $\pi(p)$  in  $M_1$ ; and a homeomorphism  $g: V_0 \rightarrow \Delta$ ; such that  $g \circ \pi$  maps an arc of  $K$  homeomorphically onto  $I$  in orientation-preserving fashion, and such that  $\deg(g \circ \pi | V)$  is defined on  $\Delta \setminus I$ , has the value  $m$  on  $\Delta^+$ , and has the value  $m - 1$  on  $\Delta^-$ . Moreover, we may choose  $V_0$  to be disjoint from  $\pi(\partial V \cap M)$ , and we may choose  $V$  so that  $(V \cup K) \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p)) = \{p\}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a simply-connected neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $p_0 = \pi(p)$  in  $M_1$ . Let  $V \cup K$  be tentatively chosen so that (1)  $\partial V = \gamma \cup K$ , where  $K$  is an arc of  $\partial M$  and  $\gamma$  is a Jordan arc in  $M$  connecting the two end points of  $K$ ; (2)  $\pi(\bar{V}) \subset U_0$ ; (3)  $p_0 \notin \pi(\gamma)$ . Then  $\pi(K)$  is a Jordan arc in  $U_0$  passing through  $p_0$ : it follows from the Jordan separation theorem that  $p_0$  has an arbitrarily small neighborhood  $V_0$  which is homeomorphic to  $\Delta$  under a homeomorphism  $g: V_0 \rightarrow \Delta$  which maps  $\pi(K) \cap V_0$  to  $I$  in orientation-preserving fashion. We choose  $V_0$  small enough that it is disjoint from  $\pi(\bar{\gamma})$ . Then  $\deg(g \circ \pi | V)$  is well-defined on  $\Delta \setminus I$ , and its constant value  $m$  on  $\Delta^+$  is one greater than its value on  $\Delta^-$ , as may be seen from the winding-number characterization of the Brouwer degree. Now since  $\pi$  is an open mapping on  $V$ , the cardinality of  $\pi^{-1}(q_0)$  is a lower semi-continuous function of  $q_0 \in M_1$  (cf. Lemma 3.26 of [4]). In particular,  $V \cap \pi^{-1}(p_0)$  consists of at most  $m - 1$  points. We now make the final choice of  $V \cap K$ , choosing  $V$  small enough that  $V \cap \pi^{-1}(p_0)$  is empty, and choose  $V_0$  accordingly.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose  $\bar{M}$ ,  $\bar{M}_1$  are compact oriented surfaces-with-boundary,  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$  a mapping which is a branched immersion in  $M$  and whose restriction to  $\partial M$  is injective. Then  $\deg(\pi)$  is bounded, and its maximum and minimum differ by at most the number of components of  $\partial M$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that for any curve  $\gamma$  in  $M_1$ , the function  $\deg(\pi)$  changes along  $\gamma$  by exactly the intersection number of  $\gamma$  with  $\pi(\partial M)$ . In fact, the contribution from interior neighborhoods is locally unchanged, while the contribution from a boundary neighborhood changes by 1 as  $\pi(\partial M)$  is crossed from right to left, according to Lemma 1. Choose  $p_0 \in M_1 \setminus \pi(\partial M)$ . Then since  $\bar{M}$  is compact,  $\deg(\pi)(p_0)$  is finite: any smooth approximation to  $\pi$  is proper, so that only finitely many points are mapped to any regular value. On the other hand, for any point  $q_0 \in M_1$  there is a curve  $\gamma$  from  $p_0$  to  $q_0$  which crosses each component of  $\pi(\partial M)$  at most once. Therefore  $\deg(\pi)(q_0)$  is at most equal to  $\deg(\pi)(p_0)$  plus the number of components of  $\partial M$ .

In the proof of Proposition 1 below, it will be convenient to work with branched immersions, all of whose branch points are simple. This will be made possible by the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\pi: V \rightarrow V_0$  be a branched immersion between surfaces  $V$  and  $V_0$ , with exactly one branch point  $q \in V$  of order  $o(q) = m - 1$ . Then  $\pi$  is homotopic to a branched immersion  $\pi_1: V \rightarrow V_0$ ,  $\pi_1 = \pi$  outside of an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $q$ , and which has exactly  $m - 1$  branch points, all of order 1.*

*Proof.* In an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $q$ ,  $\pi$  is topologically conjugate to the mapping  $g(z) = z^m$  of the unit disk onto itself. It suffices, therefore, to prove the statement of the lemma for  $\pi = g$ ,  $q = 0$ . Now choose  $m - 1$  distinct points  $z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}$  on the unit circle in the complex plane. For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we define an analytic function  $h_t$  by the conditions  $h_t(0) = 0$  and

$$h'_t(z) = m(z - tz_1)(z - tz_2) \cdots (z - tz_{m-1}).$$

Now let  $\varphi(r)$  be a smooth real-valued function for  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , with  $\varphi(r) = 1$  for  $r \leq 1/4$  and  $\varphi(r) = 0$  for  $r \geq 1/2$ . Define  $g_t(z) = \varphi(|z|)h_t(z) + (1 - \varphi(|z|))g(z)$ . Then  $g_0 = h_0 = g$ . Also, for  $|z| < 1/4$ ,  $g_t(z) = h_t(z)$ , so that for  $t < 1/4$ ,  $g_t$  has the  $m - 1$  simple branch points  $tz_1, \dots, tz_{m-1}$ . For  $|z| > 1/2$ ,  $g_t(z) = g(z)$  and is an immersion. It may be computed that if  $t$  is sufficiently small, then  $g_t$  is an immersion on the annulus  $1/4 \leq |z| \leq 1/2$  also.

Since the Brouwer degree is constant under homotopy, Lemma 2 gives an explicit formula for the degree of a branched immersion  $\pi: M \rightarrow M_1$ :

$$\deg(\pi)(p_0) = \sum \{o(p) + 1: p \in M, \pi(p) = p_0\}.$$

With these preliminaries at hand, we are ready to prove the finiteness of interior branching, as a first step toward the finiteness of the set of all ramified points.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose  $\bar{M}, \bar{M}_1$  are compact oriented surfaces-with-boundary,  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$  a continuous mapping which is a branched immersion in  $M$  and which maps  $\partial M$  injectively. Then the set  $B \subset M$  of interior branch points of  $\pi$  is finite.*

*Proof.* We shall find an upper bound for the total order of branching in an appropriately chosen neighborhood of any point in  $\partial M$ . Since  $B$  is a discrete subset of  $M$ , the conclusion will then follow from the compactness of  $\bar{M}$ .

Consider a point  $p \in \partial M$ . Applying Lemma 1, we may find a simply-connected neighborhood  $V \cup K$  of  $p$  in  $\bar{M}$ , and a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $\pi(p)$  in  $M_1$  which is separated into two simply-connected components  $V_0^+$  and  $V_0^-$  by  $\pi(K)$ , such that  $\deg(\pi|V)$  has the constant values  $m$  on  $V_0^+$  and  $m-1$  on  $V_0^-$ ,  $V_0$  is disjoint from  $\pi(\partial V \cap M)$ , and such that  $(V \cup K) \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p)) = \{p\}$ . Let  $W \cup L$  be a neighborhood of  $p$  with  $\pi(W \cup L) \subset V_0$ ,  $W \subset V$  and  $L \subset K$ . We shall show that the total order  $O_w$  of branching of  $\pi$  in  $W$  is at most  $(m-1)^2$ .

We first apply Lemma 2 to see that without loss of generality, it may be assumed that  $\pi$  has only simple branch points in  $W$ . Namely,  $B$  is discrete; in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of each branch point  $q$  of order  $o(q) > 1$ , we replace  $\pi$  by a branched immersion homotopic to it, having exactly  $o(q)$  simple branch points in this neighborhood, and we leave  $\pi$  unchanged outside this neighborhood. Further, we may readily modify  $\pi$  so that for each branch point  $q \in V$ ,  $\pi(q) \notin \pi(K)$ ; and so that for distinct branch points  $q, q' \in V$ ,  $\pi(q) \neq \pi(q')$ . Observe that these modifications do not change the total order of branching of  $\pi$  in  $W$ . Let  $O_w^\pm$  be the number of branch points  $q \in W$  of  $\pi$  (as now modified) with  $\pi(q) \in V_0^\pm$ : thus  $O_w = O_w^+ + O_w^-$ .

We shall first find an estimate for  $O_w^+$ . Write  $\nu = O_w^+$ : there are distinct simple branch points  $p_1, \dots, p_\nu$  in  $W$  with distinct images  $P_j = \pi(p_j) \in V_0^+$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ . Choose a point  $Q \in V_0^+ \setminus \pi(B)$ , and let the  $m$  points of  $V \cap \pi^{-1}(Q)$  be denoted  $q_1, \dots, q_m$ . For each  $P_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ , choose a closed curve  $\gamma_j: [0, 1] \rightarrow V_0^+ \setminus \pi(B)$ ,  $\gamma_j(0) = \gamma_j(1) = Q$ , such that  $\gamma_j$  has winding number 1 around  $P_j$  but has winding number 0 around  $\pi(p')$  for every branch point  $p' \in V$  other than  $p_j$ . We choose the curves  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\nu$  to be disjoint except at  $Q$ . Now since  $\pi(\partial V)$  is disjoint from  $V_0^+$ , it may be seen that  $\pi: V \rightarrow M_1$  is locally a covering map over  $\gamma_j([0, 1])$ . Thus for each  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , there is a unique lifting  $\delta_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$  with  $\pi \circ \delta_k = \gamma_j$  and  $\delta_k(0) = q_k$ . Because  $p_j$  is a simple branch point, it follows that there are two integers  $r = r(j)$ ,  $s = s(j)$ , with

$1 \leq r < s \leq m$ , such that  $\delta_r(1) = q_s$ ,  $\delta_s(1) = q_r$ , and for  $r \neq k \neq s$ ,  $\delta_k(1) = q_k$ . In fact, we may observe that  $P_j$  has exactly  $m - 1$  pre-images in  $V$  under  $\pi$ , namely  $p_j$  plus  $m - 2$  distinct regular points, since  $\deg(\pi|V)(P_j) = m$ . But on an appropriate small punctured neighborhood of  $p_j$ ,  $\pi$  is a two-to-one covering map onto its image.

Now suppose, for contradiction, that  $O_w^+ = \nu > m(m - 1)/2$ . There are exactly  $m(m - 1)/2$  ways to choose distinct pairs  $r, s$  with  $1 \leq r < s \leq m$ . Thus our supposition implies that the same pair is chosen twice. That is, for a certain pair  $i, j$  of numbers with  $1 \leq i < j \leq \nu$ , we have  $r(i) = r(j)$  and  $s(i) = s(j)$ . We shall write simply  $r = r(i) = r(j)$  and  $s = s(i) = s(j)$ . Let a closed curve  $\delta: [0, 2] \rightarrow V$  be defined by  $\delta(t) = \delta_r(t)$  and  $\delta(1 + t) = \delta_s(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ : this construction will be denoted  $\delta = \delta_r + \delta_s$ . Observe that  $\pi \circ \delta = \gamma_i + \gamma_j$ .

For clarity in the following discussion, we shall assume there is a simple arc  $\gamma$  passing through  $Q$  such that the closed curve  $\gamma_i + \gamma_j: [0, 2] \rightarrow V_0^+$  traverses  $\gamma$  twice, once simply in each direction, and otherwise is disjoint from  $\gamma$ . This may be achieved without changing the homotopy classes of  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  in  $V_0^+ \setminus \pi(B)$  with base point  $Q$ . Now since  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  are disjoint except at  $Q$ , there is a closed curve  $\tilde{\gamma}: [0, 2] \rightarrow (V_0^+ \setminus \pi(B)) \cup \{\pi(p)\}$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{\gamma}(2) = \pi(p)$ , which is disjoint from  $\gamma_i((0, 1))$  and  $\gamma_j((0, 1))$ , and which meets  $Q$  exactly once at  $Q = \tilde{\gamma}(1)$ , with  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  crossing from one side of  $\gamma$  to the other at  $t = 1$ . Since  $\tilde{\gamma}$  misses  $\pi(B)$ ,  $\pi$  is locally a covering projection over  $\tilde{\gamma}((0, 2))$ . Therefore, there is a unique lifting  $\tilde{\delta}: (0, 2) \rightarrow V$  with  $\pi \circ \tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\delta}(1) = q_r$ . Note that  $\tilde{\delta}$  leaves every compact subset of  $V$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and as  $t \rightarrow 2$ , since  $\pi(p') \neq \pi(p)$  for  $p' \in V$ . Meanwhile  $V$  is simply-connected, which implies that  $\delta$  has intersection number zero with any closed curve in  $V$ . But  $\tilde{\delta}$  intersects the closed curve  $\delta$  exactly once, at  $\tilde{\delta}(1) = q_r$ , a regular point of  $\pi$ , at which point  $\tilde{\delta}$  crosses from one side of  $\delta$  to the other: that is, the intersection number of  $\tilde{\delta}$  with  $\delta$  is  $\pm 1$ . This contradiction shows that  $O_w^+ \leq m(m - 1)/2$ .

Similarly, since  $\deg(\pi|V)$  has the constant value  $m - 1$  on  $V_0^-$ , it may be shown that  $O_w^- \leq (m - 1)(m - 2)/2$ . Therefore, the total order of branching of  $\pi$  in  $W$ ,

$$O_w = O_w^+ + O_w^- \leq (m - 1)^2,$$

and, in particular,  $\pi$  has at most  $(m - 1)^2$  branch points in  $W$ .

**2. Behavior near ramified boundary points.** We now turn our attention to the boundary ramified set  $B_\pi$ . Having established the finiteness of the interior branch set  $B$ , we may restrict attention to a neighborhood of any given boundary point which is disjoint from  $B$ , that is, on whose interior part  $\pi$  is a local homeomorphism. Under the



hypothesis that the restriction of  $\pi$  to the boundary is injective, the behavior of  $\pi$  near any boundary point can be described quite precisely. The following proposition will be applied to an appropriate neighborhood  $U \cup K$  of a boundary point, where  $U \subset M$  and  $K$  is an arc of  $\partial M$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Suppose  $U \cup K$  is an oriented surface with boundary  $K$ , and let  $M_1$  be an oriented surface. Let  $\pi: U \cup K \rightarrow M_1$  be a continuous mapping which is a local homeomorphism on  $U$  and which maps  $K$  injectively. Denote  $S' = U \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(K))$ . Consider any point  $p \in K$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $V \cup K_1$ ,  $V \subset U$  and  $K_1$  an arc of  $K$ , which may be chosen arbitrarily small; an integer  $m \geq 1$ ; and a Jordan curve  $\gamma_0$  in  $M_1$ , which bounds a disk  $D_0$ ; with the following properties. (1)  $P = \pi(p) \in D_0$ . (2)  $\gamma = V \cap \pi^{-1}(\gamma_0)$  consists of a single Jordan arc, with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$  on  $K$ ; the union of  $\gamma$  with the arc of  $K$  between  $a$  and  $b$  bounds a disk  $D = V \cap \pi^{-1}(D_0)$ . (3)  $S' \cap D$  is the disjoint union of a family of  $2m - 2$  disjoint Jordan arcs  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1}$ , each tending to  $p$  at one end and to distinct points of  $\gamma$  at the other. (4)  $\gamma_0$  meets  $\pi(K)$  in exactly two points,  $A = \pi(a)$  and  $B = \pi(b)$ . (5) Finally,  $\pi(K)$  separates  $D_0$  into two disks,  $D_0^+$  and  $D_0^-$ , so that  $\deg(\pi|D)$  has the constant values  $m$  on  $D_0^+$  and  $m - 1$  on  $D_0^-$ .*

*Proof.* We first refer to Lemma 1, with  $\bar{M} = U \cup K$ , to see that there exists a simply-connected, relatively compact neighborhood  $V \cup K_1$  of  $p$  in  $U \cup K$ ,  $V \subset U$  and  $K_1 \subset K$ , an integer  $m \geq 1$ , and a simply-connected neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $P$  in  $M_1$ ,  $V_0$  disjoint from  $\pi(\partial V \cap U)$ , so that  $V_0$  is divided into components  $V_0^+$  and  $V_0^-$  by the Jordan arc  $\pi(K)$  and  $\deg(\pi|V)$  has the constant values  $m$  on  $V_0^+$  and  $m - 1$  on  $V_0^-$ . Moreover, we may assume  $(V \cup K_1) \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p)) = \{p\}$ . Let  $\gamma_0$  be any closed Jordan curve in  $V_0$  which has  $P$  in its interior  $D_0$ , and which meets  $\pi(K)$  in exactly two points,  $A$  and  $B$ , at each of which  $\gamma_0$  crosses between  $V_0^+$  and  $V_0^-$ . Since  $\pi$  is a local homeomorphism on  $V$ , we see that  $\gamma = V \cap \pi^{-1}(\gamma_0)$  is the disjoint union of Jordan curves and arcs. Observe that the only limit points of  $\gamma$  in  $\bar{V}$  are the unique points  $a$  and  $b$  on  $K$  with  $\pi(a) = A$ ,  $\pi(b) = B$ , since  $\pi(U \cap \partial V)$  is disjoint from  $V_0$ . Since  $\bar{V}$  is compact, it follows that  $\gamma \cup \{a, b\}$  is compact.

Let  $\gamma_1$  be any connected component of  $\gamma$ , and choose  $q \in \gamma_1$  with  $\pi(q) \notin \pi(K)$ . Beginning from the point  $\pi(q)$  on  $\gamma_0$ , we may construct curves  $\delta_0, \tilde{\delta}: [0, 1] \rightarrow M_1$  with  $\delta_0(0) = \tilde{\delta}_0(0) = \pi(q)$ ,  $\delta_0(1) = P$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_0(1) \notin \pi(\bar{V})$ , so that  $\delta_0((0, 1))$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_0((0, 1))$  are disjoint from  $\gamma_0 \cup \pi(K)$ . Let  $\delta: [0, t_0] \rightarrow V$  and  $\tilde{\delta}: [0, \tilde{t}_0] \rightarrow V$  be the unique maximal liftings of  $\delta_0$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_0$ , that is, with  $\delta(0) = \tilde{\delta}(0) = q$ ,  $\pi \circ \delta = \delta_0$  and  $\pi \circ \tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\delta}_0$ . Now  $\delta_0((0, 1))$  lies in the interior of  $\gamma_0$  and hence in  $V_0$ . Thus  $\delta(t)$  remains in a compact subset of  $V \cup K_1$  as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ ; by a standard argument,

one may show that  $t_0 = 1$ . Further, since  $(V \cup K_1) \cap \pi^{-1}(P) = \{p\}$ ,  $\delta$  has a continuous extension to  $[0, 1]$  given by  $\delta(1) = p$ . On the other hand, as  $t \rightarrow \bar{t}_0$ ,  $\bar{\delta}(t)$  tends to  $\partial V \cap U$ , and  $\bar{t}_0 < 1$ . This shows that  $p$  may be reached from one side of  $\gamma_1$ , and  $\partial V \cap U$  from the other, by means of paths which do not cross  $\gamma$ .

Now if any component  $\gamma_1$  of  $\gamma$  is closed, then it separates  $V$  into an interior and exterior by the Jordan curve theorem, and both  $p$  and  $\partial V \cap U$  would be in the exterior. This contradiction shows that  $\gamma$  has no closed components in  $V$ . However,  $\gamma$  is a one-dimensional submanifold of  $V$ , and  $\gamma \cup \{a, b\}$  is compact. Thus every component of  $\gamma$  is an arc from  $a$  to  $b$ . Each such arc must separate  $\bar{V}$  into two components, one containing  $p$  and the other containing  $\partial V \cap U$ . Finally, if there were two components  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  of  $\gamma$ , then since  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are disjoint,  $\gamma_2$  must lie in one component or the other of  $V \setminus \gamma_1$ . If  $\gamma_2$  lies in the component containing  $p$ , then every path from  $\gamma_1$  to  $p$  crosses  $\gamma_2$ , contradicting the result of the above paragraph. Otherwise, every path from  $\gamma_2$  to  $p$  crosses  $\gamma_1$ , which is again a contradiction. This shows that  $\gamma$  consists of a single Jordan arc from  $a$  to  $b$ . Let  $D$  be the open set in  $V$  bounded by  $\gamma$  and the arc of  $K$  between  $a$  and  $b$ .

Now consider a point  $q$  moving from  $a$  to  $b$  along  $\gamma$ : since  $\pi$  is a local homeomorphism in  $V$ ,  $\pi(q)$  must move along  $\gamma_0$  in a strictly monotone fashion. For any  $Q \in \gamma_0 \cap V_0^+$ , there are precisely  $m$  points in  $V \cap \pi^{-1}(Q)$ , and these must all lie on  $\gamma$ , so that  $Q$  is crossed exactly  $m$  times. Similarly, a point  $Q' \in \gamma_0 \cap V_0^-$  is crossed exactly  $m - 1$  times. It follows that  $A$  and  $B$  are crossed exactly  $m$  times, counting  $a$  and  $b$ . Thus we may write  $\pi^{-1}(A) \cap V = \{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}\}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(B) \cap V = \{b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}\}$ , where these points occur along  $\gamma$  in alternating order:  $a, b_1, a_1, b_2, \dots, a_{m-1}, b$ .

Let  $\sigma, \tau: [0, 1] \rightarrow V_0$  be homeomorphisms into the Jordan arc  $\pi(K)$ , with  $\sigma(0) = A$ ,  $\tau(0) = B$ ,  $\sigma(1) = \tau(1) = P$ . Then  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  may be lifted uniquely to give maximal curves  $\sigma_k: [0, s_k) \rightarrow V$ ,  $\tau_k: [0, t_k) \rightarrow V$ , with  $\sigma_k(0) = a_k$ ,  $\tau_k(0) = b_k$ ,  $\pi \circ \sigma_k = \sigma$  and  $\pi \circ \tau_k = \tau$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ . Note that these  $2m - 2$  arcs are disjoint Jordan arcs, since  $\pi$  is a local homeomorphism on  $V$ . Denote  $\sigma_0, \tau_0: [0, 1] \rightarrow K$  the unique liftings,  $\pi \circ \sigma_0 = \sigma$ ,  $\pi \circ \tau_0 = \tau$ . We shall show  $s_k = t_k = 1$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ . First observe that  $\sigma_k(t)$  leaves every compact subset of  $V$  as  $t \rightarrow s_k$ . However, since  $\sigma((0, 1]) \subset D_0$ ,  $\sigma_k((0, s_k)) \subset D$ , so the only possible cluster point of  $\sigma_k(t)$  would be on the arc of  $K$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . Any such cluster point is mapped by  $\pi$  to  $\sigma(s_k)$ , so by the injectivity of  $\pi$  on  $K$ , the only possible cluster point is  $\sigma_0(s_k)$ . If  $s_k < 1$ , then  $\sigma_k([0, s_k])$  separates  $D$  into two components, such that the arc of  $\gamma$  between  $a$  and  $a_k$  cannot be connected to  $\tau_0([0, 1])$  by a path in  $D$  unless that path crosses  $\sigma_k([0, s_k])$ . Meanwhile,  $\tau_1$  connects  $b_1$  to  $\tau_0(t_1) = \tau_1(t_1)$ ; but  $b_1$  lies on the arc of  $\gamma$  between  $a$  and  $a_k$ , so that  $\tau_1$  must cross  $\sigma_k$ , say at  $\tau_1(t) = \sigma_k(s)$ . But then

$\tau(t) = \pi \circ \tau_1(t) = \pi \circ \sigma_k(s) = \sigma(s)$ , which can only happen if  $t = s = 1$ . Therefore  $s_k = 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ , and similarly  $t_k = 1$ .

We shall show next that  $D = V \cap \pi^{-1}(D_0)$ . Observe that  $\pi(D)$  is connected and disjoint from  $\gamma_0$ , and that  $P \in \pi(D)$ ; therefore,  $\pi(D) \subset D_0$ , and hence  $D \subset V \cap \pi^{-1}(D_0)$ . Conversely, suppose  $q \in V$  and  $\pi(q) \in D_0$ . Then  $\pi(q)$  is one endpoint of an arc  $\eta_0: (0, 1) \rightarrow D_0 \setminus \pi(K)$ ,  $\eta_0(0) = \pi(q)$ ,  $\eta_0(1) = P$ .  $\eta_0$  has a unique lifting  $\eta: [0, 1) \rightarrow V$  with  $\eta(0) = q$ , as may be seen via a standard argument. But  $\eta(t) \rightarrow p$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ , since  $(V \cup K) \cap \pi^{-1}(P) = \{p\}$ . Meanwhile  $\eta_0$  is disjoint from  $\gamma_0$ , so that  $\eta$  cannot cross  $\gamma$ . Therefore  $q \in D$ .

It remains to show that  $S' \cap D$  is the union of the  $2m-2$  disjoint Jordan arcs  $\sigma_k((0, 1))$  and  $\tau_k((0, 1))$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ . First observe that since the function  $\deg(\pi|V)$  is lower semi-continuous, a point  $Q \in \pi(K)$  can have at most  $m-1$  pre-images in  $V$ . Now consider  $q \in S' \cap D$ . Since  $q \in V$ , we have  $\pi(q) \neq P$ . Thus we may write either  $\pi(q) = \sigma(t)$  or  $\pi(q) = \tau(t)$  for some  $t$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ . In either case, the  $m-1$  distinct points  $\sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_{m-1}(t)$  or  $\tau_1(t), \dots, \tau_{m-1}(t)$  are all in  $V \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(q))$ , which, according to the degree argument, contains at most  $m-1$  points. Therefore  $q$  is one of these.

**DEFINITION.** For  $\pi: U \cup K \rightarrow M_1$  as in Proposition 2, and for any  $p \in K$ , observe that the integer  $m$  is characterized by the number of arcs of  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(K))$  which converge to  $p$ , and is therefore independent of the choice of  $V$  and  $\gamma_0$ . We define the *order of ramification* of  $\pi$  at  $p$  to be  $o(p) = m-1$ . Thus  $o(p) > 0$  if and only if  $p$  is a ramified point of  $\pi$ , as follows from Proposition 2.

**COROLLARY 2.** Suppose  $\pi: U \cup K \rightarrow M_1$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 2. Then the set  $B_a$  of ramified boundary points is discrete.

*Proof.* According to Proposition 2, any point  $p \in B_a$  has a neighborhood  $D \cup K$  such that  $D \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(K))$  consists of a nonempty union of disjoint Jordan arcs tending to  $p$  and having no other limit points on the boundary. But for  $p' \in K$  sufficiently close to  $p$ ,  $D \cup K$  is a neighborhood of  $p'$ , so that  $p'$  is not the end point of any arc in  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(K))$ , and therefore  $p' \notin B_a$ .

We are now ready to prove our main result. It may be observed that the description of the behavior of  $\pi$  given in Propositions 1 and 2 can be used to satisfy the hypotheses used by Elwin and Short in [2] to prove an Euler-characteristic formula similar to the one given below. For the sake of completeness, we shall give a proof relying only on elementary topological methods.

THEOREM. Suppose  $\bar{M}$ ,  $\bar{M}_1$  are compact oriented surfaces-with-boundary,  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$  a continuous surjective mapping which is a branched immersion in  $M$ , and whose restriction to  $\partial M$  is injective. Then (i) the set  $B_r \subset \bar{M}$  of ramified points of  $\pi$  is finite; (ii) the function  $\deg(\pi)$  on  $M_1$  has an upper bound  $\mu$ ; and (iii) the Euler-characteristic formula

$$(*) \quad \chi(\bar{M}) + \sum_{p \in B_r} o(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \chi(\bar{M}_i)$$

holds, where for  $p \in B = B_r \cap M$ ,  $o(p)$  is defined in the introduction; for  $p \in B_{\partial} = B_r \cap \partial M$ ,  $o(p)$  is defined following Proposition 2; and for  $i \geq 1$ ,  $M_i = \{p_0 \in M_1: \deg(\pi)(p_0) \geq i\}$ .

*Proof.* Conclusion (ii) follows from Corollary 1. To obtain conclusion (i), we first use Proposition 1 to see that  $B = B_r \cap M$  is finite. Now for any  $p \in B_{\partial} = B_r \cap \partial M$ , there is a neighborhood  $U \cup K$  of  $p$  in  $\bar{M}$  disjoint from  $B$  and which therefore satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 2, so that  $p$  is an isolated point of  $B_{\partial}$ . Thus  $B_{\partial}$  is discrete, and hence finite, since  $\partial M$  is compact.

In order to verify formula (\*), we first modify  $\pi$ , if necessary, on a small neighborhood of each interior branch point, so that for  $p, q \in B$ ,  $\pi(p) \neq \pi(q)$ ; and for  $p \in B$ ,  $\pi(p) \notin \pi(\partial M)$ . The modified mapping still satisfies all hypotheses and has the same order of branching at corresponding branch points. We list the boundary ramified points  $B_{\partial} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  and the interior branch points  $B = \{q_1, \dots, q_{\nu}\}$ .

For each  $p_i \in B_{\partial}$ , taken in order, we may apply Proposition 2 in a neighborhood of  $p_i$  disjoint from the finite set  $B$ , to see that there is a simply-connected neighborhood  $D_i \cup K_i$  of  $p_i$  in  $\bar{M}$ , with the following properties. (1)  $D_i$  is bounded by the arc  $K_i$  of  $\partial M$  and a single Jordan arc in  $M$ . (2) The image  $\pi(D_i \cup K_i)$  is an open disk in  $M_1$ , bounded by a Jordan curve which meets  $\pi(\partial M)$  in exactly two points;  $\pi(D_i \cup K_i)$  is separated into two simply-connected components by  $\pi(\partial M)$ , on one of which  $\deg(\pi|D_i)$  has the constant value  $o(p_i) + 1$ , and the constant value  $o(p_i)$  on the other. (3)  $D_i \cup K_i$  is small enough that  $\pi(D_i \cup K_i)$  is disjoint from  $\pi(D_j \cup K_j)$  for  $1 \leq j < i$ , from  $\pi(p_i)$ ,  $i < j \leq n$ , and from  $\pi(B)$ .

We next take each interior branch point  $q_k \in B$  in order. There is a simply-connected, open neighborhood  $E_k$  of  $q_k$  in  $M$ , bounded by a single Jordan curve in  $M$ , with the following properties. (1)  $\pi(E_k)$  is a disk in  $M_1$ , bounded by a Jordan curve in  $M_1$ . (2)  $\deg(\pi|E_k)$  has the constant value  $o(q_k) + 1$  on  $\pi(E_k)$ . (3)  $\pi(E_k)$  is disjoint from  $\pi(D_i \cup K_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , from  $\pi(E_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j < k$ , and from  $\pi(q_j)$ ,  $k < j \leq \nu$ . Namely, there are neighborhoods  $V$  of  $q_k$  in  $M$  and  $V_0$  of  $\pi(q_k)$  in  $M_1$ , and homeomorphisms  $g: V \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $g_0: V_0 \rightarrow \Delta$  onto the unit disk, such that  $g_0(\pi(p)) = (g(p))^m$  for all  $p \in V$ , where  $m = o(q_k) + 1$ . Therefore, we may choose

$E_k = \{p \in V : |g(p)| < \epsilon\}$  for a sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Observe that  $\pi(D_1 \cup K_1), \dots, \pi(D_n \cup K_n), \pi(E_1), \dots, \pi(E_\nu)$  are disjoint closed disks in  $M_1$ .

We now define a topological surface-with-boundary  $\bar{\Sigma} = \bar{M} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n D_j \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\nu E_k$ . Then  $\pi$  is a local homeomorphism on  $\bar{\Sigma}$ , although not an open mapping in general. For convenience, we let  $M'$  denote the disjoint union  $M_1 + \dots + M_\mu$ , where  $M_i = \{p \in M_1 : \deg(\pi) \geq i\}$ . Then  $\chi(\bar{M}') = \sum_{i=1}^\mu \chi(\bar{M}_i)$ . Similarly,  $\Sigma' = \Sigma_1 + \dots + \Sigma_\mu$ , where  $\Sigma_i = \{p \in M_1 : \deg(\pi|_{\Sigma}) \geq i\}$ .  $M'$  and  $\Sigma'$  may be thought of as the leaves of the branched coverings  $\pi$  and  $\pi|_{\Sigma}$ , respectively. Thus a regular value  $p_0 \in M_1$  of  $\pi$  appears once in  $M'$  for each point of the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(p_0) \cap M$ .

Observe that  $\chi(\bar{\Sigma}) = \chi(\bar{\Sigma}')$ . In fact, we may triangulate  $\bar{\Sigma}_1$  in such a way that  $\bar{\Sigma}_2, \dots, \bar{\Sigma}_\mu$  are subcomplexes, and give  $\bar{\Sigma}$  the triangulation induced by the local homeomorphism  $\pi$ . Then a simplex of  $\bar{\Sigma}_1$  occurs in  $\bar{\Sigma}'$  exactly as many times as there are simplices in  $\bar{\Sigma}$  mapped onto it. Now  $\bar{\Sigma}'$  is obtained from  $\bar{M}'$  by removing certain interior disks and boundary half-disks: for each  $p_i \in B_a$ ,  $o(p_i)$  interior disks and one boundary half-disk is removed, while for each  $q_k \in B$ ,  $o(q_k) + 1$  interior disks are removed. This gives a total of  $0 + \nu$  interior disks and  $n$  boundary half-disks, where  $0 = \sum_{p \in B} o(p)$  is the total order of ramification of  $\pi$ . Therefore, one may compute  $\chi(\bar{\Sigma}') = \chi(\bar{M}') - (0 + \nu)$ . In fact,  $\bar{\Sigma}'$  and the closure  $T$  of its complement in  $\bar{M}'$  are simplicial subcomplexes, so that

$$\chi(\bar{M}') + \chi(\bar{\Sigma}' \cap T) = \chi(\bar{\Sigma}') + \chi(T)$$

(cf. [6], pp. 189–90). But  $\chi(T) = 0 + n + \nu$  and  $\chi(\bar{\Sigma}' \cap T) = n$ . Similarly, one may compute  $\chi(\bar{\Sigma}) = \chi(\bar{M}) - \nu$ . Therefore

$$\chi(\bar{M}) + 0 = \chi(\bar{\Sigma}) + 0 + \nu = \chi(\bar{M}') = \sum_{i=1}^\mu \chi(\bar{M}_i).$$

We have not treated the question of a topological characterization of mappings which are branched immersions up to the boundary and which map the boundary injectively. A mapping  $\pi: M \rightarrow M_1$  between surfaces may be called a branched immersion up to the boundary if  $\pi|_{M_1}$  is a branched immersion and moreover, for every boundary point  $p$ , there is an integer  $m = o(p) + 1$ , a neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $\bar{M}$ , a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $\pi(p)$  in  $M_1$  and homeomorphisms  $g: V \rightarrow \Delta^+ \cup I$ ,  $g_0: V_0 \rightarrow \Delta$ , such that for all  $q \in V$ ,  $g_0(\pi(q)) = (g(q))^{2m-1}$ . Here  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta^+$ , and  $I$  are as in Lemma 1. It seems likely that a mapping satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem may be shown to be a branched immersion up to the boundary, using the result of Proposition 2. We shall be satisfied here with the

following description of the set  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\partial M))$ . The proof follows immediately from Proposition 2.

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose  $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Then  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\partial M))$  consists of  $\partial M$  along with a finite union of Jordan curves and arcs in  $M$ , plus the finite set  $B = B_r \cap M$ . Each such Jordan arc tends at each end to a point of  $B_r$ . Each ramified point  $p \in B_r$  is the endpoint of  $2o(p) + 2$  arcs of  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\partial M))$ , including, for  $p \in B_s$ , the two adjoining arcs of  $\partial M$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Ahlfors, *Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen*, Acta Math., **65** (1935), 157–194.
2. J. Elwin and D. Short, *Branched immersions onto compact orientable surfaces*, Pacific J. Math., **54** (1974), 113–122.
3. R. Gulliver, *Branched immersions of surfaces and reduction of topological type, I*: Math. Z., **145** (1975), 267–288. II: (preprint).
4. R. Gulliver, R. Osserman and H. Royden, *A theory of branched immersions of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math., **95** (1973), 750–812.
5. J. Milnor, *Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint*, University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, 1965.
6. E. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
7. S. Stoilow, *Leçons sur les principes topologiques de la théorie des fonctions analytiques*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1938.

Received May 20, 1975.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA







# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 64, No. 1

May, 1976

Walter Allegretto, <i>Nonoscillation theory of elliptic equations of order <math>2n</math></i> . . . . .	1
Bruce Allem Anderson, <i>Sequencings and starters</i> . . . . .	17
Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer, <i>A shape theory with singular homology</i> . . . . .	25
John Kelly Beem, <i>Characterizing Finsler spaces which are pseudo-Riemannian of constant curvature</i> . . . . .	67
Dennis K. Burke and Ernest A. Michael, <i>On certain point-countable covers</i> . . . . .	79
Robert Chen, <i>A generalization of a theorem of Chacon</i> . . . . .	93
Francis H. Clarke, <i>On the inverse function theorem</i> . . . . .	97
James Bryan Collier, <i>The dual of a space with the Radon-Nikodým property</i> . . . . .	103
John E. Cruthirds, <i>Infinite Galois theory for commutative rings</i> . . . . .	107
Artatrana Dash, <i>Joint essential spectra</i> . . . . .	119
Robert M. DeVos, <i>Subsequences and rearrangements of sequences in FK spaces</i> . . . . .	129
Geoffrey Fox and Pedro Morales, <i>Non-Hausdorff multifunction generalization of the Kelley-Morse Ascoli theorem</i> . . . . .	137
Richard Joseph Fleming, Jerome A. Goldstein and James E. Jamison, <i>One parameter groups of isometries on certain Banach spaces</i> . . . . .	145
Robert David Gulliver, II, <i>Finiteness of the ramified set for branched immersions of surfaces</i> . . . . .	153
Kenneth Hardy and István Juhász, <i>Normality and the weak cb property</i> . . . . .	167
C. A. Hayes, <i>Derivation of the integrals of <math>L^{(q)}</math>-functions</i> . . . . .	173
Frederic Timothy Howard, <i>Roots of the Euler polynomials</i> . . . . .	181
Robert Edward Jamison, II, Richard O'Brien and Peter Drummond Taylor, <i>On embedding a compact convex set into a locally convex topological vector space</i> . . . . .	193
Andrew Lelek, <i>An example of a simple triod with surjective span smaller than span</i> . . . . .	207
Janet E. Mills, <i>Certain congruences on orthodox semigroups</i> . . . . .	217
Donald J. Newman and A. R. Reddy, <i>Rational approximation of <math>e^{-x}</math> on the positive real axis</i> . . . . .	227
John Robert Quine, Jr., <i>Homotopies and intersection sequences</i> . . . . .	233
Nambury Sitarama Raju, <i>Periodic Jacobi-Perron algorithms and fundamental units</i> . . . . .	241
Herbert Silverman, <i>Convexity theorems for subclasses of univalent functions</i> . . . . .	253
Charles Frederick Wells, <i>Centralizers of transitive semigroup actions and endomorphisms of trees</i> . . . . .	265
Volker Wrobel, <i>Spectral approximation theorems in locally convex spaces</i> . . . . .	273
Hidenobu Yoshida, <i>On value distribution of functions meromorphic in the whole plane</i> . . . . .	283