

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CERTAIN CONGRUENCES ON ORTHODOX SEMIGROUPS**

JANET E. MILLS

# CERTAIN CONGRUENCES ON ORTHODOX SEMIGROUPS

JANET E. MILLS

Letting  $\kappa$  be the minimum unitary-congruence on a regular semigroup  $S$  and  $\xi$  be the minimum congruence such that  $S/\xi$  is a semilattice of groups, it is the purpose of this paper to characterize all regular semigroups for which  $\kappa \cap \xi$  is the identity relation. That is, we describe all regular semigroups which are subdirect products of a unitary semigroup and a semilattice of groups. In the process of doing this, a description of  $\xi$  is given for any orthodox semigroup.

In A. H. Clifford's paper on radicals in semigroups, [2], a diagram was given presenting the relationship between various classes of regular semigroups and certain minimum congruences. Two questions were left open. The first was to find all subdirect products of a band and a semilattice of groups, that is, all semigroups for which  $\beta \cap \xi$  is the identity, where  $\beta$  is the minimum band-congruence. This was solved by Schein in [14] and also by Petrich in Theorem 3.2 of [11]. The second question involves finding all subdirect products of a semilattice of groups and a regular semigroup whose set of idempotents is unitary. In this paper we find that any such semigroup can be described as a semilattice of unitary semigroups on which  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$  is a unitary-congruence, where  $\sigma$  is the minimum group-congruence. A description will also be given in terms of restrictions on the structure homomorphisms. In order to accomplish this, we first give an explicit characterization of  $\xi$ , the minimum semilattice of groups-congruence, on any orthodox semigroup.

**1. Preliminary results.** For a regular semigroup  $S$ ,  $E_s$  denotes the set of idempotents of  $S$ . If  $E_s$  is a subsemigroup then  $S$  is said to be orthodox.

PROPOSITION 1.1. [11; Proposition 2.5] *On a regular semigroup  $S$ , the following are equivalent: for  $s, t \in S$ ,*

- (i)  $e, es \in E_s$  implies  $s \in E_s$ ;
- (ii)  $e, se \in E_s$  implies  $s \in E_s$ ;
- (iii)  $e, ese \in E_s$  implies  $s \in E_s$ ;
- (iv)  $e, set \in E_s$  implies  $st \in E_s$ ;
- (v)  $ese = e \in E_s$  implies  $s \in E_s$ .

If any one of these five conditions holds, then  $E_s$  is said to be *unitary*. For brevity, we shall call  $S$  unitary if  $E_s$  is a unitary subset of  $S$ . It is easily seen that any unitary semigroup is an orthodox semigroup. For inverse semigroups, those whose idempotents satisfy

condition (v) are called proper by McAlister in [8] and [9], and he has given a description of all such inverse semigroups in terms of partially ordered sets and groups [9]. Unitary semigroups are not closed under homomorphisms; in fact, as is shown in [8], every inverse semigroup is an idempotent-separating homomorphic image of a unitary inverse semigroup.

A congruence on a semigroup is an equivalence relation which is compatible with multiplication. For two congruences  $\rho, \rho'$  on a semigroup  $S$ ,  $\rho \subseteq \rho'$  if  $a\rho b$  implies  $a\rho' b$ . The identity relation on  $S$  will be denoted by  $\iota$ , or  $\iota_s$ , if emphasis is needed. For the basic properties of congruences, the reader is referred to [3; §1.4, §1.5].

For a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of semigroups, a congruence  $\rho$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -congruence on  $S$  if  $S/\rho$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a regular semigroup, the following notation will be used:

- $\kappa$  = the minimum unitary-congruence,
- $\beta$  = the minimum band-congruence,
- $\eta$  = the minimum semilattice-congruence,
- $\mathcal{Y}$  = the minimum inverse-congruence,
- $\xi$  = the minimum semilattice of groups-congruence,
- $\sigma$  = the minimum group-congruence,
- $\mu$  = the maximum idempotent-separating congruence.

The Green relations will be noted as usual, and for brevity, a semilattice of groups-congruence will be called a  $SG$ -congruence. That each of the above minimum congruences exists is explained in [5], and also noted there are some of the following relationships which will be useful here:

$$\mu \subseteq \mathcal{H} \subseteq \beta \subseteq \eta; \quad \kappa \subseteq \beta \cap \sigma; \quad \xi \subseteq \eta \cap \sigma.$$

The following result will be needed for later work.

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a class of regular semigroups and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a subclass of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that for any  $S \in \mathcal{B}$ , the minimum  $\mathcal{C}$ -congruence on  $S$ ,  $\rho$ , exists. If  $\tau$  is any congruence defined on all semigroups in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\tau$  is the identity on any  $\mathcal{C}$ -semigroup, then  $\tau \subseteq \rho$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  be such a congruence,  $S \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\tau \vee \rho$  is a congruence and  $\tau \vee \rho = \iota$  on any  $\mathcal{C}$ -semigroup. Now  $S/\rho$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -semigroup and  $\rho \subseteq \tau \vee \rho$ , so  $(S/\rho)/(\tau \vee \rho)/\rho = S/\rho/\iota = S/\rho$ . On the other hand,  $(S/\rho)/(\tau \vee \rho)/\rho = S/(\tau \vee \rho)$ . Hence  $S/\rho \approx S/(\tau \vee \rho)$ . Therefore  $\rho = \tau \vee \rho$  and  $\tau \subseteq \rho$ .

Let  $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a family of semigroups and  $T$  be a subsemigroup of the direct product  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\pi_\alpha$  is the natural projection of  $T$  into  $S_\alpha$ . A semigroup  $S$  is a subdirect product of  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , if  $S$  is

isomorphic to a subsemigroup  $T$  of  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$  such that  $T\pi_\alpha = S_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $A$ . For the particular case we are interested in, the relationship between congruences and subdirect products is as follows (see [10; II.1.4]). For congruences  $\lambda, \rho$  on a semigroup  $S$ ,  $S$  is a subdirect product of  $S/\lambda$  and  $S/\rho$  if and only if  $\lambda \cap \rho = \iota_S$ . The aim of this paper is to describe all subdirect products of a unitary semigroup and a semilattice of groups. It is evident that this is equivalent to finding all semigroups for which  $\kappa \cap \xi$  is the identity congruence. It is the latter attack that we shall make. From now on, we will assume that all semigroups are regular.

**2. The minimum semilattice of groups-congruence.**

It was shown in [5] that  $\eta \cap \sigma$  is the smallest congruence  $\rho$  such that  $S/\rho$  is a semilattice of groups and is unitary. Thus, in general,  $\xi$  is strictly contained in  $\eta \cap \sigma$ . Recall [7; Theorem 3.1] that on an orthodox semigroup  $S$ ,

$$a\sigma b \leftrightarrow eae = ebe \text{ for some } e \text{ in } E_S.$$

To find  $\xi$  on any orthodox semigroup, we first describe  $\xi$  on any inverse semigroup and extend it to an orthodox semigroup via the method developed in Theorem 3.1 of [7].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup. The minimum SG-congruence  $\xi$  on  $S$  can be defined as follows:*

$$a\xi b \leftrightarrow a\eta b \quad \text{and} \quad ea = eb \quad \text{for some } e^2 = e\eta a.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a\tau b$  if and only if  $a\eta b$  and  $ea = eb$  for some  $e^2 = e\eta a$ . It is easily seen that  $\tau$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ . Let  $a\tau b$  and  $x$  be in  $S$ . Then  $a\eta b$  and  $ea = eb$  for some  $e^2 = e\eta a$ . Since  $\eta$  is a congruence,  $ax\eta bx$ . Let  $f$  be any idempotent such that  $f\eta x$ . Then  $ef\eta ax$  and

$$(ef)(ax) = f(ea)x = f(eb)x = (fe)(bx) = (ef)(bx);$$

therefore,  $ax\tau bx$ . On the other hand,  $xa\eta xb$  and  $xe\eta xa$ . Thus, since  $\eta$  is a semilattice congruence,  $xex^{-1}\eta xa$ . In addition,

$$(xex^{-1})(xa) = xe(x^{-1}x)a = xea = xeb = (xex^{-1})(xb);$$

that is,  $xa\tau xb$ .

To see that  $S/\tau$  is a semilattice of groups, it is sufficient to show that  $aa^{-1}\tau a^{-1}a$  for all  $a$  in  $S$ . But this is clear by letting  $e = (aa^{-1})(a^{-1}a)$ . Therefore,  $\xi \subseteq \tau$ .

Now if  $S$  is in fact a semilattice of groups then  $\eta = \mathcal{H}$  and  $\tau$  is clearly the identity on  $S$ . Hence by Lemma 1.2,  $\tau \subseteq \xi$ . Consequently  $\tau = \xi$ .

On an orthodox semigroup, the minimum inverse-congruence  $\mathcal{Y}$  has been described by Hall [4] and Schein [13] as follows:

$$a\mathcal{Y}b \leftrightarrow V(a) = V(b),$$

where  $V(x)$  is the set of all inverses of  $x$ . For any  $a$ ,  $a\mathcal{Y}$  will denote the  $\mathcal{Y}$ -class containing  $a$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be an orthodox semigroup. Then  $\xi$  can be defined on  $S$  as follows:*

$$a\xi b \leftrightarrow a\eta b \quad \text{and} \quad eae = ebe \quad \text{for some} \quad e^2 = e\eta a.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the minimum inverse-congruence then  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \eta$ . Thus for  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a\mathcal{Y}\eta b\mathcal{Y}$  implies  $a\eta b$ .

Now,  $S/\mathcal{Y}$  is the maximum inverse homomorphic image of  $S$ , and therefore, letting  $\xi'$  be the minimum  $SG$ -congruence on  $S/\mathcal{Y}$ , we have, via Theorem 2.1,

$$(*) \quad a\xi b \leftrightarrow a\mathcal{Y}\xi'b\mathcal{Y} \leftrightarrow a\mathcal{Y}\eta b\mathcal{Y} \quad \text{and} \quad x\mathcal{Y}a\mathcal{Y} = x\mathcal{Y}b\mathcal{Y},$$

for some  $(x\mathcal{Y})^2 = x\mathcal{Y}$  with  $x\mathcal{Y}\eta a\mathcal{Y}$ .

Since  $(x\mathcal{Y})^2 = x\mathcal{Y}$ , there exists an idempotent  $f$  such that  $f\mathcal{Y}x$ , and thus  $f\eta a$ . Therefore, using the fact that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a congruence, (\*) is equivalent to

$$a\mathcal{Y}\eta b\mathcal{Y} \quad \text{and} \quad (fa)\mathcal{Y} = (fb)\mathcal{Y} \quad \text{for some} \quad f^2 = f\eta a.$$

By definition of  $\mathcal{Y}$ , this means

$$a\xi b \leftrightarrow a\eta b \quad \text{and} \quad V(fa) = V(fb) \quad \text{for some} \quad f^2 = f\eta a.$$

The rest of the proof that  $\xi$  can be defined as in the statement of the theorem is very similar to that of Lemma 3.2 of [7], using the additional fact that  $\eta$  is a semilattice-congruence.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** (See [14] or [11; Theorem 3.2].) *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup. Then  $\beta \cap \xi = \iota_s$  if and only if  $S$  is an orthodox band of groups.*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta \cap \xi = \iota_s$ . Now we know that  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \beta \subseteq \eta$ . We will show that  $\beta$  is idempotent-separating. Let  $e$  and  $f$  be idempotents with  $e\beta f$ . Then  $e\eta f$  and  $(ef)e(ef) = (ef)f(ef)$  with  $ef\eta e$ . That is, by Theorem 2.2,  $e\xi f$ . Since  $\beta \cap \xi = \iota_s$ , we have  $e = f$ . Therefore,  $\beta \subseteq \mu \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ .

But  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \beta$  so  $\beta = \mathcal{H}$  and  $S$  is a band of groups. The converse follows easily from the fact that  $\beta = \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H} \cap \xi = \iota$ .

**3.**  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota$ . In this section we characterize those semigroups  $S$  which are a subdirect product of a unitary semigroup and a semilattice of groups, that is, those semigroups  $S$  for which  $\kappa \cap \xi$  is the identity. Clearly, since a unitary semigroup and a semilattice of groups are both orthodox, then  $S$  is again an orthodox semigroup.

Recall that a semigroup is  $\eta$ -simple if it has exactly one  $\eta$ -class.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $S$  be an  $\eta$ -simple orthodox semigroup. Then  $S$  is unitary if and only if  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_S$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S$  is unitary then  $\kappa = \iota_S$  so  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_S$ . Conversely, let  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_S$ . Then, using Theorem 2.2 and the fact that  $S$  is  $\eta$ -simple, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\xi b \leftrightarrow a\eta b \quad \text{and} \quad eae = ebe \quad \text{for some} \quad e^2 = e\eta a \\ \leftrightarrow eae = ebe \quad \text{for some} \quad e^2 = e \leftrightarrow a\sigma b. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\xi = \sigma$  and  $\kappa \cap \sigma = \iota_S$ . But  $\sigma$  is a unitary congruence so  $\kappa \cap \sigma = \kappa$ . Therefore  $\kappa = \iota_S$  and  $S$  is unitary.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $S$  be a semigroup with  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_S$ . Then  $S$  is a semilattice of  $\eta$ -simple unitary semigroups.*

*Proof.* Since  $\eta$  is a semilattice-congruence, we know that  $S$  is a semilattice  $Y$  of  $\eta$ -simple semigroups  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in Y$ . Now, on  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\kappa|_{S_\alpha}$  is a unitary-congruence and  $\xi|_{S_\alpha}$  is a  $SG$ -congruence. Hence, on  $S_\alpha$ ,  $(\kappa|_{S_\alpha}) \cap (\xi|_{S_\alpha}) = \iota$ , and thus the intersection of the minimum unitary-congruence on  $S_\alpha$  and the minimum  $SG$ -congruence on  $S_\alpha$  is also the identity. By Lemma 3.1,  $S_\alpha$  is unitary.

LEMMA 3.3 [5; Theorem 3.9]. *If  $S$  is a unitary semigroup then  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma = \iota_S$ .*

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup. Then  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma \subseteq \kappa$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of all unitary semigroups. Letting  $\tau$  be the congruence generated by  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ , then  $\tau = \iota_S$  for any  $S \in \mathcal{H}$ , by Lemma 3.3. Therefore, by Lemma 1.2,  $\tau \subseteq \kappa$ . That is,  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma \subseteq \kappa$ .

THEOREM 3.5. *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_S$ , where  $\kappa$  is the minimum unitary-congruence and  $\xi$  is the minimum  $SG$ -congruence.
- (ii)  $S$  is a semilattice of unitary semigroups and  $\kappa = \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma = \mu \cap \sigma$ .
- (iii)  $S$  is a semilattice of unitary semigroups and  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$  is a unitary congruence on  $S$ .
- (iv)  $S$  is a subdirect product of a unitary semigroup and a semilattice of groups.

*Proof.* (i) implies (ii). Let  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $S$  is a semilattice of unitary semigroups. Since  $\eta$  and  $\sigma$  are both unitary-congruences,  $\kappa$  is contained in both  $\eta$  and  $\sigma$ . We shall show that  $\kappa$  is idempotent-separating. For, let  $e\kappa f$ , with  $e, f \in E_S$ . Then  $e\eta f$  and  $(ef)e(e\eta f) = (ef)f(e\eta f)$  with  $e\eta f$ . That is, by Theorem 2.2,  $e\xi f$ . Since  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota$ , then  $e = f$ . Hence  $\kappa$  is idempotent-separating and  $\kappa \subseteq \mu$ . Consequently, using Lemma 3.4, we have  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma \subseteq \kappa \subseteq \mu \cap \sigma$ . But  $\mu \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , so equality holds.

(ii) implies (iii). Clear.

(iii) implies (iv). Let  $S$  be a semilattice of  $\eta$ -simple unitary semigroups  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in Y$ , with  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$  unitary. Then by Lemma 3.4,  $\kappa = \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ . Therefore

$$\kappa \cap \xi = (\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma) \cap \xi = \mathcal{H} \cap (\sigma \cap \xi) = \mathcal{H} \cap \xi.$$

Let  $a \mathcal{H} \cap \xi b$ . Then  $a, b \in S_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$ . Thus, since  $S_\alpha$  is  $\eta$ -simple, in  $S_\alpha$ ,  $a \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma b$ . But  $S_\alpha$  is unitary, so by Lemma 3.3, on  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma = \iota$ . Hence  $a = b$ . Consequently  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_S$ . Therefore  $S$  is a subdirect product of  $S/\kappa$  and  $S/\xi$  (see II.1.4 of [10]).

(iv) implies (i). Let  $S$  be a subdirect product of a unitary semigroup  $U$  and a semilattice of groups  $T$ . Then the congruences induced on  $S$  by the two projection maps are, respectively, a unitary-congruence  $\lambda$ , and a  $SG$ -congruence,  $\rho$ , and  $\lambda \cap \rho = \iota_S$ . Thus  $\kappa \cap \xi \subseteq \lambda \cap \rho = \iota_S$ .

It is not possible to eliminate either one of the two conditions:

- (1)  $S$  is a semilattice of unitary semigroups,
- (2)  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$  is unitary.

For, any unitary semigroup (which is not a group) with a zero adjoined, satisfies (1), but for such a semigroup,  $\kappa = \beta$  and  $\beta \cap \xi \neq \iota$  by Corollary 2.3. On the other hand, let  $S = B(G, \alpha)$  be any bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroup for which  $\alpha$  is not one-to-one. Then  $S$  is not unitary but  $\kappa = \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$  and  $\kappa \cap \xi = \kappa \cap \sigma = \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma \neq \iota$ .

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $S$  be a fundamental regular semigroup. Then  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota$  if and only if  $S$  is unitary.*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is fundamental, then  $\mu = \iota$ . Therefore,  $\mu \cap \sigma = \iota$ .

Every regular semigroup which is a semilattice  $Y$  of semigroups  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in Y$ , can be constructed via certain homomorphisms  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$  from  $S_\alpha$  into  $\Omega(S_\beta)$ , the translational hull of  $S_\beta$ , for all  $\alpha > \beta$ ; in this case, we shall denote  $S$  by  $(Y, S_\alpha, \phi_{\alpha, \beta})$ . For a full description of this structure the reader is referred to [10; III.7.5]. In light of Theorem 3.5, it is of interest to know how the condition  $\kappa = \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$  can be expressed in terms of the structure homomorphisms  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ . To do this we need to explore the translational hull  $\Omega(T)$  of a unitary semigroup  $T$ . For the elementary properties of the translational hull, see Chapter V of [10]. Recall [10; III.7.5] that in  $S = (Y, S_\alpha, \phi_{\alpha, \beta})$ , if  $s \in S_\alpha$ ,  $t \in S_\beta$ , with  $\alpha > \beta$  then

$$st = \phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s t = \lambda^s t \quad \text{and} \quad ts = t\phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s = t\rho^s,$$

where  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s = (\lambda^s, \rho^s) \in \Omega(S_\beta)$ .

LEMMA 3.7. *Let  $S$  be unitary and  $(\lambda, \rho) \in \Omega(S)$ . If there exists an idempotent  $e$  such that  $\lambda e \in E_s$  or  $e\rho \in E_s$  then  $\lambda(E_s) \subseteq E_s$ ,  $(E_s)\rho \subseteq E_s$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  and  $\lambda e$  be in  $E_s$ . Then  $(e\rho)e = e(\lambda e) \in E_s$ , and since  $S$  is unitary, by Proposition 1.1,  $e\rho \in E_s$ .

Let  $f$  be in  $E_s$ . Then  $e(\lambda f) = (e\rho)f \in E_s$ , so again  $\lambda f$  is in  $E_s$ . Thus  $\lambda(E_s) \subseteq E_s$ . Since  $\lambda f \in E_s$  implies  $f\rho \in E_s$ , then also  $(E_s)\rho \subseteq E_s$ .

LEMMA 3.8. *Let  $S$  be a unitary semigroup. Define*

$$K(S) = \{(\lambda, \rho) \in \Omega(S) \mid \lambda(E_s) \subseteq E_s, (E_s)\rho \subseteq E_s\}.$$

*Then  $K(S)$  is a subsemigroup of  $\Omega(S)$  which contains  $E_{\Omega(S)}$ .*

*Proof.* That  $K(S)$  is a semigroup is clear. Let  $(\lambda, \rho)$  be in  $E_{\Omega(S)}$ . Then  $\lambda^2 = \lambda$ ,  $\rho^2 = \rho$ . Let  $a \in S$  and  $\lambda a = b$ . Let  $b'$  be an inverse of  $b$ ; then we have  $\lambda(ab') = (\lambda a)b' = bb' \in E_s$ . Hence there exists  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $\lambda x = f \in E_s$ . Moreover,  $f = \lambda x = \lambda^2 x = \lambda(\lambda x) = \lambda f$ . Therefore  $\lambda f \in E_s$ , and by Lemma 3.7,  $\lambda(E_s) \subseteq E_s$ . Similarly  $(E_s)\rho \subseteq E_s$ .

If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup then  $E_{\Omega(S)} = K(S)$ , [1; Lemma 2.1]. However, in general, strict containment is possible. For, if  $S$  is a rectangular group, we may assume  $S = L \times G \times R$  where  $L$  ( $R$ ) is a left (right) zero semigroup and  $G$  is a group. Then  $\Omega(S) = T(L) \times G \times T'(R)$ , where  $T(L)$  ( $T'(R)$ ) is the semigroup of all transformations of  $L$  ( $R$ ) written on the left (right) [10; V.3.12]. Under this isomorphism,



$$E_{\Omega(S)} = \{(f, 1, f') \mid f, f' \text{ are retractions}\},$$

where a retraction is any mapping which is the identity on its range. On the other hand,  $K(S) = T(L) \times 1 \times T'(R)$  which is not equal to  $E_{\Omega(S)}$ .

From [5] we recall that a congruence  $\tau$  is unitary if  $x^2 \tau x$  and  $(sx)^2 \tau sx$  implies  $s^2 \tau s$ . For regular semigroups this is equivalent to:

$$\text{for } e, f \in E_s, se\tau f \text{ implies } s^2 \tau s.$$

We now explore the properties of  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$  which make  $\kappa \cap \xi$  the identity. For a semigroup  $S_\alpha$ , we denote  $E_{S_\alpha}$  by  $E_\alpha$ , and  $K(S_\alpha)$  by  $K_\alpha$ . For  $S = (Y, S_\alpha, \phi_{\alpha, \beta})$  a semilattice of unitary semigroups  $S_\alpha$ , let  $\Gamma_{\alpha, \beta} = K_\beta \phi_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$  for all  $\alpha > \beta$  and  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha > \beta} \Gamma_{\alpha, \beta}$ .

**THEOREM 3.9.** *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup. Then  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_s$  if and only if  $S = (Y, S_\alpha, \phi_{\alpha, \beta})$  is a semilattice of unitary semigroups satisfying the properties:*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is a band of groups,
- (ii) for  $s$  in  $\Gamma \cap H_e$ ,  $e \in E_\alpha$ , if  $f < e$  with  $f \in E_\beta$  then  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s(f) \mathcal{H} f$ , where  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s(f)$  means both  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s f$  and  $f \phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_s$ . Then  $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma = \mu \cap \sigma$  is unitary. Let  $\alpha > \beta$  and  $s$  be in  $S_\alpha$  with  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s \in K_\beta$ . Then  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s = (\lambda, \rho)$  and  $\lambda(E_\beta) \subseteq E_\beta$ . Let  $g$  be in  $E_\beta$ . Then  $\lambda g = f = f^2 \in E_\beta$ , so by definition of multiplication in  $S$ ,  $sg = \phi_{\alpha, \beta}^s g = \lambda g = f$ . Hence  $se\mu \cap \sigma f$ , and  $\mu \cap \sigma$  is unitary, so  $s\mu \cap \sigma s^2$ . Thus  $s$  is contained in a group. Now since  $\mu \cap \sigma$  is a congruence, the  $\mu \cap \sigma$ -classes which contain idempotents form a band of groups,  $T$ , and since  $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$  is a homomorphism, then  $K_\beta \phi_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1} = \Gamma_{\alpha, \beta}$  is a band of groups contained in  $T$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is a band of groups.

Now let  $s$  be in  $\Gamma_{\alpha, \beta}$ . Then  $s$  is in a group so there exists an idempotent  $h$  such that  $ss' = s's = h$  for some  $s' \in V(s)$ . Since  $s\mu s^2$ , then  $s\mu h$  and for all idempotents  $f$ ,  $sfs' = hfh$ ,  $s'fs = hfh$ , [6].

Let  $f < h$ ,  $f \in S_\gamma$ . Then  $sfs' = f$  and  $fs'sf = fhf = f$ . Thus if  $\phi_{\alpha, \gamma}^s = (\lambda^s, \rho^s)$  and  $\phi_{\alpha, \gamma}^{s'} = (\lambda^{s'}, \rho^{s'})$ ,  $f = fs'sf = (f\rho^{s'}) (\lambda^{s'} f)$ . Therefore,

$$f\rho^{s'} = f(f\rho^{s'}) = (f\rho^{s'}) (\lambda^{s'} f) (f\rho^{s'}), \quad \lambda^{s'} f = (\lambda^{s'} f) f = (\lambda^{s'} f) (f\rho^{s'}) (\lambda^{s'} f);$$

that is,  $f\rho^{s'}$  is an inverse of  $\lambda^{s'} f$ . Now  $sfs' = f = fs'sf$  can be expressed by

$$(\lambda^{s'} f) (f\rho^{s'}) = f = (f\rho^{s'}) (\lambda^{s'} f).$$

Thus  $\lambda^{s'} f \mathcal{H} f$ . By considering  $s'fs = fss'f = f$  we find  $f\rho^s \mathcal{H} f$ . Thus  $\phi_{\alpha, \gamma}^s(f) \mathcal{H} f$ .

Conversely, to show  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_s$ , using Theorem 3.5, we need only show that  $\mu \cap \sigma$  is a unitary congruence. Let  $se\mu \cap \sigma f$  with  $e, f \in E_s$ .

Letting  $s$  be in  $S_\alpha$ ,  $e$  in  $E_\beta$ , then  $f \in E_{\alpha\beta}$ . Since  $se\sigma f$ , there exists  $g \in E_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \leq \alpha\beta$  such that  $g(se)g = fgf \in E_\gamma$ . This means that  $(g\phi_{\alpha,\gamma}^s)(\phi_{\beta,\gamma}^e g) \in E_\gamma$ . Since  $e$  is idempotent so is  $\phi_{\beta,\gamma}^e$ , and by Lemma 3.8,  $\phi_{\beta,\gamma}^e g$  is in  $E_\gamma$ . Thus since  $S_\gamma$  is unitary,  $g\phi_{\alpha,\gamma}^s$  is idempotent by Proposition 1.1; by Lemma 3.7,  $\phi_{\alpha,\gamma}^s$  is in  $K_\gamma$ . Consequently by property (i),  $s$  is contained in a band  $E_\alpha$  of groups  $G_b$ ,  $b \in E_\alpha$ . In particular, there exists  $s' \in V(s)$  such that  $ss' = s's = h$  for some  $h \in E_\alpha$ . We need to show that  $s\mu h$ , and to do this it is sufficient to show that  $sfs' = f$ ,  $s'fs = f$  for all  $f \leq h$ . Now if  $f$  is in  $E_\alpha$ ,  $f \leq h$ , then  $s$  and  $s'$  are in the group  $G_h$  and

$$sfs' \in G_h G_f G_h \subseteq G_{hfh} = G_f,$$

so that  $sfs' = f$ . Similarly  $s'fs = f$ . Now let  $f$  be in  $E_\delta$ ,  $\delta < \alpha$ , with  $f < h$ . Let  $\phi_{\alpha,\delta}^s = (\lambda^s, \rho^s)$ ,  $\phi_{\alpha,\delta}^{s'} = (\lambda^{s'}, \rho^{s'})$ . By property (ii),  $\lambda^s f \mathcal{H} f$ ,  $f\rho^s \mathcal{H} f$ . That is,  $f(\lambda^s f) = \lambda^s f$ ,  $(f\rho^s)f = f\rho^s$ . Now since  $f < h = ss'$ , then  $f = hf = \lambda^{ss'} f = \lambda^s \lambda^{s'} f$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} sfs' &= (\lambda^s f)(f\rho^{s'}) = f(\lambda^s f)(f\rho^{s'}) = (f\rho^s)f(f\rho^{s'}) = (f\rho^s)(f\rho^{s'}) \\ &= [(f\rho^s)f]\rho^{s'} = (f\rho^s)\rho^{s'} = f\rho^{ss'} = f. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $s'fs = f$ . Therefore  $s\mu h$ .

Since  $\sigma$  is always unitary,  $se\sigma f$  implies  $s\sigma h$ . Consequently,  $s\mu \cap \sigma h$ , and  $\mu \cap \sigma$  is a unitary congruence. By Theorem 3.5,  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_s$ .

A regular semigroup  $S = (Y, S_\alpha, \phi_{\alpha,\beta})$  is a strong semilattice of the semigroups  $S_\alpha$ , if  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$  maps  $S_\alpha$  into  $S_\beta$  for all  $\alpha > \beta$ . The conditions in Theorem 3.9 can be simplified considerably for strong semilattices of unitary semigroups.

**COROLLARY 3.10.** *Let  $S = (Y, S_\alpha, \phi_{\alpha,\beta})$  be a strong semilattice of unitary semigroups  $S_\alpha$ . Then  $\kappa \cap \xi = \iota_s$  if and only if  $E_\beta \phi_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1}$  is a band of groups for all  $\alpha > \beta$ .*

*Proof.* It can be easily seen that  $K_\beta \cap \Pi(S_\beta) \simeq E_\beta$  where  $\Pi(S_\beta)$  is the semigroup of inner bitranslations of  $S_\beta$ . Thus if  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$  maps  $S_\alpha$  into  $S_\beta \simeq \Pi(S_\beta)$ , then  $K_\beta \phi_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} = E_\beta \phi_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1}$ . Property (ii) automatically holds since homomorphisms preserve  $\mathcal{H}$ -classes.

The author would like to express her appreciation to Professor Mario Petrich for the suggestions he made on the preliminary copy of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Janet E. Ault, *The translational hull of an inverse semigroup*, Glasgow Math. J., **14** (1973), 56–64.
2. A. H. Clifford, *Radicals in semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, **1** (1970), 103–128.

3. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys No. 7, Vol. I, Providence, R.I., 1961.
4. T. E. Hall, *On regular semigroups whose idempotents form a subsemigroup*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **1** (1969), 195–208.
5. J. M. Howie and G. Lallement, *Certain fundamental congruences on a regular semigroup*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc., **7** (1966), 145–159.
6. John Meakin, *Congruences on orthodox semigroups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **12** (1971), 323–341.
7. ———, *Congruences on orthodox semigroups II*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **13** (1972), 259–266.
8. D. B. McAlister, *Groups, semilattices and inverse semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **192** (1974), 227–244.
9. ———, *Groups, semilattices and inverse semigroups, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **196** (1974), 351–370.
10. Mario Petrich, *Introduction to Semigroups*, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Co., Columbus, Ohio, 1973.
11. ———, *Regular semigroups which are subdirect products of a band and a semilattice of groups*, Glasgow Math. J., **14** (1973), 27–49.
12. N. R. Reilly and H. E. Scheiblich, *Congruences on regular semigroups*, Pacific J. Math., **23** (1967), 349–360.
13. B. M. Schein, *On the theory of generalized groups and generalized heaps*, Theory of semigroups and appl. I, 286–324, Izdat. Saratov. Univ., Saratov, 1965 (Russian).
14. ———, *A note on radicals in regular semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, **3** (1971), 84–85.

Received September 29, 1975.

MADISON COLLEGE



Walter Allegretto, <i>Nonoscillation theory of elliptic equations of order <math>2n</math></i> . . . . .	1
Bruce Allen Anderson, <i>Sequencings and starters</i> . . . . .	17
Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer, <i>A shape theory with singular homology</i> . . . . .	25
John Kelly Beem, <i>Characterizing Finsler spaces which are pseudo-Riemannian of constant curvature</i> . . . . .	67
Dennis K. Burke and Ernest A. Michael, <i>On certain point-countable covers</i> . . . . .	79
Robert Chen, <i>A generalization of a theorem of Chacon</i> . . . . .	93
Francis H. Clarke, <i>On the inverse function theorem</i> . . . . .	97
James Bryan Collier, <i>The dual of a space with the Radon-Nikodým property</i> . . . . .	103
John E. Cruthirds, <i>Infinite Galois theory for commutative rings</i> . . . . .	107
Artatrana Dash, <i>Joint essential spectra</i> . . . . .	119
Robert M. DeVos, <i>Subsequences and rearrangements of sequences in FK spaces</i> . . . . .	129
Geoffrey Fox and Pedro Morales, <i>Non-Hausdorff multifunction generalization of the Kelley-Morse Ascoli theorem</i> . . . . .	137
Richard Joseph Fleming, Jerome A. Goldstein and James E. Jamison, <i>One parameter groups of isometries on certain Banach spaces</i> . . . . .	145
Robert David Gulliver, II, <i>Finiteness of the ramified set for branched immersions of surfaces</i> . . . . .	153
Kenneth Hardy and István Juhász, <i>Normality and the weak cb property</i> . . . . .	167
C. A. Hayes, <i>Derivation of the integrals of <math>L^{(q)}</math>-functions</i> . . . . .	173
Frederic Timothy Howard, <i>Roots of the Euler polynomials</i> . . . . .	181
Robert Edward Jamison, II, Richard O'Brien and Peter Drummond Taylor, <i>On embedding a compact convex set into a locally convex topological vector space</i> . . . . .	193
Andrew Lelek, <i>An example of a simple triod with surjective span smaller than span</i> . . . . .	207
Janet E. Mills, <i>Certain congruences on orthodox semigroups</i> . . . . .	217
Donald J. Newman and A. R. Reddy, <i>Rational approximation of <math>e^{-x}</math> on the positive real axis</i> . . . . .	227
John Robert Quine, Jr., <i>Homotopies and intersection sequences</i> . . . . .	233
Nambury Sitarama Raju, <i>Periodic Jacobi-Perron algorithms and fundamental units</i> . . . . .	241
Herbert Silverman, <i>Convexity theorems for subclasses of univalent functions</i> . . . . .	253
Charles Frederick Wells, <i>Centralizers of transitive semigroup actions and endomorphisms of trees</i> . . . . .	265
Volker Wrobel, <i>Spectral approximation theorems in locally convex spaces</i> . . . . .	273
Hidenobu Yoshida, <i>On value distribution of functions meromorphic in the whole plane</i> . . . . .	283