# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES OF THE BUBNOV-GALERKIN METHOD

S. R. SINGH

Vol. 65, No. 1

September 1976

### SOME CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES OF THE BUBNOV-GALERKIN METHOD

#### S. R. SINGH

We generalize the Bubnov-Galerkin method to approximate the resolvent of the *m*-sectorial operator associated with a densely defined, closed, sectorial form in a Hilbert space. Some special cases of interest are also discussed.

1. Introduction. The Bubnov-Galerkin method [3] was originally devised to approximate the solutions of the equations of the form

$$(1) \qquad (z-A)f = g$$

where A is an operator in a Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{H}$ , g is a vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  and z is a complex number. The method proceeds with solving the following set of equations:

(2) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}(\phi_{i} | (z - A)\phi_{j}) = (\phi_{i} | g)$$
  $i = 1, \dots, n;$ 

where (.|.) denotes the scalar product in  $\mathscr{H}$  and  $\{\phi_i\} \subset \mathscr{D}(A)$  is some linearly independent (l.i.) set in  $\mathscr{H}. \mathscr{D}(\cdot)$  denotes the domain. The questions of interest are the existence and the convergence of the solutions of equation (2). Until recently, the only cases that received a detailed treatment have been when A is compact, bounded or essentially self-adjoint [3, 6]. However, recently the following result was proven by Masson and Thewarapperuma [2]:

R.1. Let A be symmetric, bounded below by b, z be at a nonzero distance from  $[b, \infty)$  and  $\{\phi_i\}$  be the orthonormal set formed from  $\{A^ih\}$  where h is in  $\mathscr{D}(A^i)$  for each i. Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \phi_j - (z - A_F)^{-1}g|| = 0$ , where ||.|| denotes the norm in  $\mathscr{H}$  and  $A_F$  is the Friedrichs extension of A.

Consider the following set of equations:

(3) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}[z(\phi_{i} \mid \phi_{j}) - t(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j})] = (\phi_{i} \mid g)$$
  $i = 1, \dots, n;$ 

where t is a densely defined, closable, sectorial, sesquilinear form in  $\mathscr{H}$ . The sector of t will be denoted by S and since it causes no loss of generality, the vertex will be taken to be one. In the present note we determine the limit of  $f_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \phi_j$  as n becomes large.

R.1. and some other generalizations of it, will follow from our main result (Theorem 1).

2. Results. Define a new scalar product  $(. | .)_t$  on  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  by  $(u | v)_t = \text{Re. } t(u, v)$ , [1, pp. 309-10] and complete  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  in the new metric to a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_t$ . Let the closure of t be  $\overline{t}$ . We have that  $\mathcal{D}(t) \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{t}) = \mathcal{H}_t \subset \mathcal{H}$ . The norm in  $\mathcal{H}_t$  will be denoted by  $||.||_t$ . Also  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  will denote the space of bounded operators with  $\mathcal{D}(\cdot) \subset X$  and range  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot) \subset Y$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{R}(X, X)$ .

LEMMA 1. Let t be as in equation (3),  $\{\phi_i\} \subset \mathscr{D}(t)$  and  $g \in \mathscr{H}$ . Equation (3) is equivalent to

$$(\ 4\ ) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^n lpha_j (\phi_i \ | \ [1 - T(z)] \phi_j)_t = -(\phi_i \ | \ Bg)_t \qquad i = 1, \ \cdots, \ n \ ;$$

where  $B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}_t)$ ,  $T(z) = (zB_t - C) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}_t)$  and  $B_t$  is the restriction of B to  $\mathscr{D}(t)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $t_1 = (t - \text{Re. } t)$  is a bounded form on  $\mathcal{H}_t$  [1, p. 314], there is a  $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_t)$  such that

$$t_1(u, v) = (u | Cv)_t; u, v \in \mathscr{D}(t)$$
.

Also from Ref. [4] pp. 332-3, it follows that there is a unique  $B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}_i)$  such that  $\mathscr{D}(B) = \mathscr{H}$  and for  $u \in \mathscr{H}_i, w \in \mathscr{H}_i$ 

$$(5) \qquad (u \mid \omega) = (u \mid B\omega)_t .$$

In particular, in equation (3),  $(\phi_i \mid g) = (\phi_i \mid Bg)_t$  and  $(\phi_i \mid \phi_j) = (\phi_i \mid B\phi_j)_t = (\phi_i \mid B_t\phi_j)_t$ .

The assertion now follows from direct substitution.

LEMMA 2. In the notation of Lemma 1, we have that  $B_i$ , C are closable, B is closed and invertible and  $B^{-1}(1 + \overline{C}) = A_i$  where  $A_i$  is the unique m-sectorial operator associated with  $\overline{t}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $B_t$  and C are bounded and densely defined, they are closable. Since B is bounded and  $\mathscr{D}(B) = \mathscr{H}$ , it is closed. Invertibility of B has been proven in Reference [4] p. 333.

Now,  $\mathscr{D}([B^{-1}(1+\bar{C})]) \subset \mathscr{H}_t = \mathscr{D}(\bar{t}) \text{ and for } u, v \in \mathscr{D}(t),$ 

$$(u \mid B^{-1}(1 + \overline{C})v) = (u \mid B^{-1}(1 + C)v)$$
  
=  $(u \mid (1 + C)v)_t$  (equation (5))  
=  $t(u, v)$ 

From the closability of t, this result extends for  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_t$ . The

result now follows from Theorem 2.1, Chapter 6, Reference [1].

THEOREM 1. In addition to the assumptions of Lemma 1 and 2, let  $\{\phi_i\}$  be l.i. and complete in  $\mathscr{H}_i$ , and z be at a nonzero distance from S.  $f_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \phi_j$  of equation (3) is then defined for each n and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f_n - (z - A_i)^{-1}g|| = 0$ .

**Proof.** From Lemma 1, equation (3) is equivalent to equation (4). Also without loss of generality, we may assume  $\{\phi_i\}$  to be an orthonormal basis in  $\mathscr{H}_i$ . It is straightforward to check that (4) is equivalent to

$$(1 - T_n(z))f_n = -P_nBg$$

where  $T_n(z) = P_n T(z)P_n$ , and  $P_n$  is the ortho-projection on the *n*-dimensional subspace of  $\mathscr{H}_i$  determined by  $\{\phi_i\}$ , i = 1 to *n*. It follows, for  $h \in \mathscr{H}_i$ , that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}||(T_n(z)-\bar{T}(z))h||_t=0.$$

Also, since z is at a nonzero distance from S, dist.  $(1, W(\bar{T}(z))) = d' > 0$ , where  $W(\cdot)$  denotes the numerical range. Further, since the spectrum of  $T_n$ ,  $\sigma(T_n) \subset (W(\bar{T}(z)) \cup \{0\})$ , for each n,  $(1 - T_n(z))^{-1} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}_t)$  with  $|| (1 - T_n(z))^{-1} ||_t \leq 1/d$  where  $d = \min. (1, d')$ . Also  $(1 - \bar{T}(z))^{-1} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}_t)$ . Hence for  $h \in \mathscr{H}_t$ .

$$\| \left[ (1 - T_n(z))^{-1} - (1 - T(z))^{-1} \right] h \|_t$$

$$= \| (1 - T_n(z))^{-1} (T_n(z) - \overline{T}(z)) (1 - \overline{T}(z))^{-1} h \|_t$$

$$\le \| (1 - T_n(z))^{-1} \|_t \| (T_n(z) - \overline{T}(z)) (1 - \overline{T}(z))^{-1} h \|_t$$

$$\xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0.$$

Further, for  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}||(P_nB-B)g||_t=0$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}||f_n-f||_t=0$$

where

$$egin{aligned} f &= -(1-ar{T}(z))^{-1}Bg = -(1-zar{B}_t+ar{C})^{-1}Bg \ &= (z-B^{-1}(1+ar{C}))^{-1}g \ &= (z-A_t)^{-1}g \ \end{aligned}$$
 (Lemma 2).

Assertion of the theorem follows by observing that  $||.||_t \ge ||.||$ . For a symmetric  $t, s = [b, \infty)$  with some  $b > -\infty$ ,  $\overline{C} = 0$  and  $A_t = B^{-1}$  is self-adjoint.

In the following,  $f_n$  will stand for  $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \phi_j$  as defined by equation (2).

COROLLARY 1. Let A be densely defined sectorial operator and z be at a nonzero distance from its sector,  $\{\phi_i\}$  be a l.i. basis in  $\mathscr{D}(A)$ . We have that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f_n - (z - A_F)^{-1}g|| = 0$ .

*Proof.* Define t of Theorem 1 by t(u, v) = (u | Av),  $u, v \in \mathscr{D}(A)$ . t is closable from Theorem 1.27, Chapter 6 of [1]. Since  $\{\phi_i\}$  is a l.i. basis in  $\mathscr{D}(A)$  and  $\mathscr{D}(A)$  is dense in  $\mathscr{D}(\overline{t}) = \mathscr{H}_i$ , it is a l.i. basis in  $\mathscr{H}_i$ . The result now follows from the fact that  $A_t$  of Theorem 1 now becomes  $A_F$  [1, pp. 325-6].

COROLLARY 2. Let A be symmetric, bounded below by b, z be at a nonzero distance from  $[b, \infty)$  and  $\{\phi_i\}$  be a l.i. basis in  $\mathscr{D}(A)$ . Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f_n - (z - A_F)^{-1}g|| = 0$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from Corollary 1, by noticing that the sector of A is  $[b, \infty)$ .

If the set  $\{\phi_i\}$  is taken to be  $\{A^ih\}$  for some  $h \in \mathscr{D}(A^i)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ ; the Bubnov-Galerkin method is called the method of moments [7]. Since  $\{A^ih\}$  satisfies the conditions of Corollaries 1 and 2, the convergence of the method of moments also is established by these results. The result R.1 [2] thus is a special case of Corollary 2.

In Corollaries 1 and 2 we have considered the case of a densely defined A. In these results one can replace this condition by requiring that the form domain of A be dense. However since the Friedrichs extension is defined only for a densely defined A, the limit operator  $A_t$  may not be  $A_F$ . This situation is of a particular interest in Physics which we describe in brief.

Let A be given, formally, by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ are symmetric but  $\mathscr{D}(A) = \mathscr{D}(A_1) \cap \mathscr{D}(A_2)$  is not dense. However if the form domain of A is dense, the self-adjoint operator  $A_t$ associated with the form  $t(u, v) = (u \mid (A_1 + A_2)v)$  is a legitimate operator to describe a physical system [5]. This construction enables one to include a larger class of interactions in the treatment than the requirement that A be densely defined [5]. It is obvious that the Bubnov-Galerkin method enables one to compute the resolvent of  $A_t$  in this case also, which is of prime importance in Physics. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is thankful to Professor J. Nuttall for helpful discussions and his hospitality.

#### References

1. T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer Verlag, N.Y., 1966.

2. D. Masson and P. Thewarapperuma, On a connection between the method of moments and the Friedrichs extension, University of Toronto Preprint.

3. S.G. Mikhlin, Variational Methods in Mathematical Physics, Pergamon Press, N.Y., 1964, ch. IX.

4. F. Riesz and B. Sz. Nagy, Functional Analysis; Unger, N.Y., 1971.

5. B. Simon, Quantam Mechanics for Hamiltonians Defined as Quadratic forms, Princeton University Press, 1971, Ch. 2.

6. S. R. Singh and A. D. Stauffer, Nuovo Cimento 22B (1974) pp. 139-52.

7. Yu. V. Vorobyev, Method of Moments in Applied Mathematics, Gordon and Breach, N.Y., 1965.

Received February 10, 1976. Work supported in part by the National Research Council of Canada and the Centre for Interdisciplinary Studies in Chemical Physics, University of Western Ontario.

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

# Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 65, No. 1September, 1976

David Lee Armacost, Compactly cogenerated LCA groups	1
Sun Man Chang, On continuous image averaging of probability measures	13
J. Chidambaraswamy, Generalized Dedekind $\psi$ -functions with respect to a polynomial. II	19
Freddy Delbaen, The Dunford-Pettis property for certain uniform algebras	29
Robert Benjamin Feinberg, Faithful distributive modules over incidence	
algebras	35
Paul Froeschl, Chained rings	47
John Brady Garnett and Anthony G. O'Farrell, <i>Sobolev approximation by a sum</i>	
of subalgebras on the circle	55
Hugh M. Hilden, José M. Montesinos and Thomas Lusk Thickstun, <i>Closed</i> oriented 3-manifolds as 3-fold branched coverings of S <sup>3</sup> of special type	65
Atsushi Inoue, On a class of unbounded operator algebras	77
Peter Kleinschmidt, On facets with non-arbitrary shapes	97
Narendrakumar Ramanlal Ladhawala, Absolute summability of Walsh-Fourier	
series	103
Howard Wilson Lambert, <i>Links which are unknottable by maps</i>	109
Kyung Bai Lee, On certain g-first countable spaces	113
Richard Ira Loebl, A Hahn decomposition for linear maps	119
Moshe Marcus and Victor Julius Mizel, A characterization of non-linear	
functionals on $W_1^p$ possessing autonomous kernels. I	135
James Miller, Subordinating factor sequences and convex functions of several	
variables	159
Keith Pierce, Amalgamated sums of abelian l-groups	167
Jonathan Rosenberg, <i>The C*-algebras of some real and p-adic solvable</i>	
groups	175
Hugo Rossi and Michele Vergne, Group representations on Hilbert spaces defined	
in terms of $\partial_b$ -cohomology on the Silov boundary of a Siegel domain	193
Mary Elizabeth Schaps, Nonsingular deformations of a determinantal	200
scheme	209
S. R. Singh, Some convergence properties of the Bubnov-Galerkin method	217
Peggy Strait, Level crossing probabilities for a multi-parameter Brownian	222
	223
Robert M. Tardiff, <i>Topologies for probabilistic metric spaces</i> .	233
Benjamin Baxter Wells, Jr., <i>Rearrangements of functions on the ring of integers of</i> <i>a p-series field</i>	252
Robert Francis Wheeler, <i>Well-behaved and totally bounded approximate identities</i>	253
Kobert Francis wheeler, well-behaved and totally bounded approximate identifies $for C_0(X)$	261
Delores Arletta Williams, <i>Gauss sums and integral quadratic forms over local</i>	201
fields of characteristic 2	271
John Yuan, On the construction of one-parameter semigroups in topological	271
semigroups	285
	-55