Pacific Journal of Mathematics

WEAK HOMOMORPHISMS AND INVARIANTS: AN EXAMPLE

ANDREW ADLER

Vol. 65, No. 2 October 1976

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 65, No. 2, 1976

WEAK HOMOMORPHISMS AND INVARIANTS: AN EXAMPLE

ANDREW ADLER

Notions of weak isomorphism, weak epimorphism, and weak embedding are defined. For countable algebras, these specialize to the ordinary notions. Certain invariants for superatomic Boolean algebras are described. It is shown that the existence or non-existence of weak isomorphisms, weak epimorphisms, and weak embeddings between two such algebras A and B can be decided from the invariants of A and B.

I. Introduction. In [4], Day described certain invariants for superatomic Boolean algebras that refine invariants first introduced by Mazurkiewicz and Sierpinski [6]. Day showed using topological methods that any two countable superatomic Boolean algebras with the same invariants are isomorphic. In [3], Cramer described a partial order $\leqq$ on the Day invariants. He showed, again using topological methods, that the countable algebra A is embeddable in B if and only if the Day invariant of A is $\leqq$ the Day invariant of B, and that the countable algebra B is a homomorphic image of the countable algebra A if and only if the invariant of A is $\geqq$ the invariant of B. Day and Cramer give examples that show the countability assumptions cannot be dropped.

In this paper, we describe notions of weak isomorphism, weak embedding and weak epimorphism that have already been used with success in the study of Abelian torsion groups [2]. We then show that for any two superatomic Boolean algebras A and B, A is weakly isomorphic to B iff A and B have the same Mazurkiewicz-Sierpinski invariant, A is weakly embeddable in B iff the invariant of A is $\leqq$ the invariant of B, and B is a weak image of A iff the invariant of A is $\geqq$ the invariant of B. From these results it is in particular easy to derive the results of Day and Cramer mentioned above.

The motivation for looking at the subject came from infinitary logic, and our first proof of the main result used a certain amount of machinery from that subject. The proof we present here, however, uses only a little elementary algebra. There is a good deal of evidence (see Barwise [1]) that the notion of weak isomorphism is algebraically more natural and better behaved that the notion of isomorphism. Our main result will add a little to that evidence.

II. Weak homomorphisms. Let A, B be algebraic structures

of the same type (so A and B are both groups, or both ordered fields, or both R-modules, $\cdots$).

DEFINITION. A weak homomorphism from A into B is a non-empty collection Φ of maps such that: (i) For any $\phi \in \Phi$, the domain of ϕ is a substructure of A, the range of $\mathrm{rng}(\phi)$ is a substructure of B, and ϕ is a homomorphism from $\mathrm{dom}(\phi)$. (ii) (The extendability property.) For any $a \in A$ and any $\phi \in \Phi$, there exists $\phi' \in \Phi$ such that ϕ' is an extension of ϕ and $a \in \mathrm{dom}(\phi')$. If in addition for every $b \in B$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ there $\phi' \in \Phi$ such that is ϕ' extends ϕ and $b \in \mathrm{rng}(\phi')$, Φ is a weak epimorphism. If every ϕ in the weak epimorphism Φ is one-to-one, Φ is a weak embedding. If Φ is at once a weak epimorphism and a weak embedding, Φ is a weak isomorphism.

The notion of weak isomorphism goes back to Karp [5]. Notions very close to our notion of weak epimorphism and weak embedding have been used in [2]. At the cost of complicating the definition somewhat, we could make weak homomorphisms into genuine morphisms in the sense of category theory. Since this would not change the mathematical content of the main result, we will stay with the simple definitions given above.

The following result in principle goes back to Cantor. Part (ii) is done by a simple "back and forth" or "zipper" argument. Part (i) is even simpler.

LEMMA 1. (i) *If A is countably generated, and A is weakly embeddable in B, then A is embeddable in B.* (ii) *If A and B are countably generated, and A is weakly isomorphic to B* (respectively: is a weak homomorphic image of B) *then A and B are isomorphic* (respectively: A is a homomorphic image of B).

III. **Superatomic Boolean algebras—the invariants.** Let B be a superatomic Boolean algebra, that is, a Boolean algebra B such that every homormophic image of B is atomic. Define a sequence $I_0, I_1, \cdots$ of ideals of B by the following rules:

(i) $I_0 = (0)$. (ii) If $\beta = \alpha + 1$, let I be the ideal of B/I_α generated by the atoms of B/I_α. Let I_β be the set of preimages in B of elements of I. (iii) If β is a limit ordinal, let $I_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha<\beta} I_\alpha$.

Because B is superatomic, there is a first ordinal α such that $I_\alpha = B$ (see Day [4]). α is necessarily a successor ordinal. Let $\rho = \rho(B)$ be the greatest ordinal such that $I_\rho \neq B$. Then B/I_ρ has a finite number $n(B)$ of atoms.

For any nonzero $b \in B$, let $\rho(b)$ (the rank of b) be the greatest ordinal such that $b \notin I_\rho$. So $\rho(B) = \rho(1)$, where 1 is the unit of the algebra B. If $b \neq 0$, b is the preimage in B of an object which is

a finite join of atoms of B/I_ρ. Let $n(b)$ be the number of atoms used in this representation. So $n(b)$ is a positive integer, and $n(B) = u(1)$. Let $s(b)$ be the ordered pair $(\rho(b), n(b))$. For completeness, let $s(0) = (0, 0)$. Let $s(B) = s(1)$. Let $\leqq$ be the lexicographic order on pairs of the form (ρ, n). The following is an easy consequence of the definitions:

LEMMA 2. (i) $\rho(a \vee b) = \max(\rho(a), \rho(b))$. (ii) *If* $\rho(a) = \rho(b)$ *and* $\rho(a \wedge b) < \rho(a)$, *then* $n(a \vee b) = n(a) + n(b)$. *If* $\rho(a) < \rho(b)$ *then* $n(a \vee b) = n(b)$.

So in particular, if B_f is a finite subalgebra of B, then $s(b)$ can be easily computed for every $b \in B_f$ if we know $s(a)$ for every atom a of B_f. In what follows, we will make use also of the following observation:

LEMMA 3. *Let* $b \in B$, *and suppose* $(\rho, n) \leqq s(b)$. *Then there exists* $a \subseteqq b$ *such that* $s(a) = (\rho, n)$.

LEMMA 4. *Let* A, B *be superatomic Boolean algebras. Let* $u \in A$, $v \in B$, *with* $s(u) \leqq s(v)$. (i) *Let* $u = u_1 \vee u_2$, *with* $u_1 \wedge u_2 = 0$. *Then there exist* $v_1, v_2 \in B$, *with* $v = v_1 \vee v_2$, $v_1 \wedge v_2 = 0$, *and* $s(u_1) \leqq s(v_1)$, $s(u_2) \leqq s(v_2)$. *If* $s(u) = s(v)$, *then* v_1, v_2 *may be chosen so that* $s(u_1) = s(v_1)$, $s(u_2) = s(v_2)$. (ii) *Let* $v = v_1 \vee v_2$, *with* $v_1 \wedge v_2 = 0$. *Then there exist* $u_1, u_2 \in A$, *with* $u = u_1 \vee u_2$, $u_1 \wedge u_2 = 0$, *and* $s(u_1) \leqq s(v_1)$, $s(u_2) \leqq s(v_2)$.

Proof. We prove (i). Suppose $s(u_1) \leqq s(u_2)$. Choose $v_1 \subseteqq v$ so that $s(u_1) = s(v_1)$. Let $v_2 = v - v_1$. By Lemma 2, $s(u_2) \leqq s(v_2)$, and if $s(u) = s(v)$, $s(u_2) = s(v_2)$.

IV. **Weak homomorphisms and the invariants.** In this section we show that the existence of weak isomorphisms (weak epimorphisms, weak embeddings) between superatomic algebras A and B depends only on the invariants of A and B.

LEMMA 5. *Let* Φ *be a weak homomorphism of* A *into* B. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi$, *and let* $a \in \mathrm{dom}(\phi)$. *If* Φ *is a weak embedding,* $s(a) \leqq s(\phi a)$. *If* Φ *is a weak epimorphism,* $s(a) \geqq s(\phi a)$. *In particular, if* Φ *is a weak isomorphism,* $s(a) = s(\phi a)$.

Proof. We deal with the case that Φ is a weak embedding. For weak epimorphisms essentially the same argument will do. We proceed by induction on the well-ordering $\leqq$. Now $s(a) = (0, 1)$ iff

a is an atom of A. But then $\phi a \neq 0$, so $s(a) \leqq s(\phi a)$. Suppose now $\rho(a) = \rho$ and $n(a) > 1$. Then by Lemma 3 one can find disjoint elements $c, d \in A$ such that $a = c \vee d$, $\rho(c) = \rho(d) = \rho$, $n(c) = n(a) - 1$, $n(d) = 1$. Let $\phi' \in \Phi$ be an extension of ϕ that has c, d in its domain. By induction hypothesis, $s(c) \leqq s(\phi' c)$, $s(d) \leqq s(\phi' d)$, and so easily $s(a) \leqq s(\phi' a) = s(\phi a)$. If $n(a) = 1$, then for every $(\rho, n) < s(a)$ there exists $c \leqq a$ such that $s(c) = (\rho, n)$. Let $\phi' \in \Phi$ extend ϕ to c. Then $(\rho, n) \leqq s(\phi' c) \leqq s(\phi' a) = s(\phi a)$. So for any $(\rho, n) < s(a)$, $(\rho, n) < s(\phi a)$. Hence $s(a) \leqq s(\phi a)$.

THEOREM. *Let A, B be superatomic Boolean algebras. Then* (i) *A is a weakly embeddable in B iff $s(A) \leqq s(B)$.* (ii) *B is a weak homomorphic image of A iff $s(A) \geqq s(B)$.* (iii) *A and B are weakly isomorphic iff $s(A) = s(B)$.*

Proof. In one direction, everything is settled by Lemma 5. We prove now that if $s(A) = s(B)$, A and B are weakly isomorphic. The arguments for (i) and (ii) are essentially the same as those for (iii).

So suppose $s(A) = s(B)$. Let Φ be the set of all maps ϕ such that:

(a) $\mathrm{dom}(\phi)$ is a finite subalgebra of A, $\mathrm{rng}(\phi)$ is a finite subalgebra of B, and ϕ is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{dom}(\phi)$ and $\mathrm{rng}(\phi)$.

(b) For any $a \in \mathrm{dom}(\phi)$, $s(a) = s(\phi a)$.

We prove that Φ is a weak isomorphism from A to B. Φ is nonempty for since $s(A) = s(B)$, the map that sends 0 to 0 and 1 to 1 belongs to ϕ. Let $\phi \in \Phi$ and let $a \in A$. We wish to find $\phi' \in \Phi$ such that ϕ' extends ϕ and $a \in \mathrm{dom}(\phi')$. Let $A_0 = \mathrm{dom}(\phi)$, $B_0 = \mathrm{rng}(\phi)$. Using Lemma 4, choose v_j^1, v_j^2 so that $v_j = v_j^1 \vee u_j^2$, $u_j^1 \wedge v_j^2 = 0$, and $s(u_j^i) = s(v_j^i)$. Let B_1 be the subalgebra of B generated by the v_j^i. Let $u_1, \cdots, u_k$ be the atoms of A_0, and let $v_j = \phi u_j$. Let $u_j^1 = u_j \wedge a$, $u_j^2 = u_j \wedge a'$. Let A_1 be the subalgebra of A generated by the u_j^i. The map that sends u_j^i into v_j^i extends to an isomorphism ϕ' of A_1 to B_1 which extends ϕ. Lemma 2 easily yields that $\phi' \in \Phi$. In exactly the same way, if $b \in B$ one can find an extension ϕ' of ϕ such that $b \in \mathrm{rng}(\phi')$.

COROLLARY. (i) (Day [4]). *If A and B are countable and $s(A) = s(B)$, A and B are isomorphic.* (ii) (Cramer [3]). *If A is countable and $s(A) \leqq s(B)$, A is embeddable in B. If A and B are countable and $s(A) \leqq s(B)$, A is a homomorphic image of B.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from the previous theorem and Lemma 1.

REFERENCES

1. J. Barwise, *Back and forth through infinitary logic*, in Studies in Model Theory, M.A.A. (1973), 5-34.
2. J. Barwise and P. Eklof, *Infinitary properties of Abelian torsion groups*, Annals of Math. Logic, **2** (1970), 25-68.
3. T. Cramer, *Countable Boolean algebras as subalgebras and homomorphs*, Pacific J. Math., **35** (1970), 321-326.
4. G. W. Day, *Superatomic Boolean algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **23** (1967), 479-489.
5. C. Karp, *Languages with Expressions of Infinite Length*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1964.
6. S. Mazurkiewicz and W. Sierpinski, *Contributions a la topologie des ensembles denombrables*, Fund. Math., **1** (1920), 17-27.

Received July 16, 1975.

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 65, No. 2 October, 1976

Andrew Adler, *Weak homomorphisms and invariants: an example* 293
Howard Anton and William J. Pervin, *Separation axioms and metric-like functions* 299
Ron C. Blei, *Sidon partitions and p-Sidon sets* 307
T. J. Cheatham and J. R. Smith, *Regular and semisimple modules* 315
Charles Edward Cleaver, *Packing spheres in Orlicz spaces* 325
Le Baron O. Ferguson and Michael D. Rusk, *Korovkin sets for an operator on a space of continuous functions* 337
Rudolf Fritsch, *An approximation theorem for maps into Kan fibrations* 347
David Sexton Gilliam, *Geometry and the Radon-Nikodym theorem in strict Mackey convergence spaces* 353
William Hery, *Maximal ideals in algebras of topological algebra valued functions* 365
Alan Hopenwasser, *The radical of a reflexive operator algebra* 375
Bruno Kramm, *A characterization of Riemann algebras* 393
Peter K. F. Kuhfittig, *Fixed points of locally contractive and nonexpansive set-valued mappings* 399
Stephen Allan McGrath, *On almost everywhere convergence of Abel means of contraction semigroups* 405
Edward Peter Merkes and Marion Wetzel, *A geometric characterization of indeterminate moment sequences* 409
John C. Morgan, II, *The absolute Baire property* 421
Eli Aaron Passow and John A. Roulier, *Negative theorems on generalized convex approximation* 437
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., *A theorem on prime divisors of zero and characterizations of unmixed local domains* 449
Ellen Elizabeth Reed, *A class of T_1-compactifications* 471
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, *On Liouville's theory of elementary functions* 485
Arthur Argyle Sagle, *Power-associative algebras and Riemannian connections* 493
Chester Cornelius Seabury, *On extending regular holomorphic maps from Stein manifolds* 499
Elias Sai Wan Shiu, *Commutators and numerical ranges of powers of operators* 517
Donald Mark Topkis, *The structure of sublattices of the product of n lattices* 525
John Bason Wagoner, *Delooping the continuous K-theory of a valuation ring* 533
Ronson Joseph Warne, *Standard regular semigroups* 539
Anthony William Wickstead, *The centraliser of $E \otimes_\lambda F$* 563
R. Grant Woods, *Characterizations of some C^*-embedded subspaces of βN* 573