

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SIDON PARTITIONS AND  $p$ -SIDON SETS**

RON C. BLEI

## SIDON PARTITIONS AND $p$ -SIDON SETS

RON C. BLEI

**Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete abelian group, and  $\Gamma^\wedge = G$  its compact abelian dual group.  $E \subset \Gamma$  is called a  $p$ -Sidon set,  $1 \leq p < 2$ , if  $C_E(G)^\wedge \subseteq l^p(E)$ . In this paper, a sufficient condition for  $p$ -Sidon is displayed and some applications are given.**

The notion of  $p$ -Sidon sets was first explored in [2]. One of the highlights of [2] was the observation that if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are infinite, mutually disjoint sets, and  $E_1 \cup E_2$  is dissociate, then  $E_1 + E_2$  is  $4/3$ -Sidon, but not  $(4/3 - \varepsilon)$ -Sidon for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This was subsequently extended in [4]: Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N$  be infinite and mutually disjoint sets whose union is dissociate. Then  $E_1 + E_2 + \dots + E_N$  is  $(2N/N + 1)$ -Sidon, but not  $(2N/(N + 1) - \varepsilon)$ -Sidon for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The methods in [2] and [4] relied on the theory of tensors, and were based on Littlewood's classical inequality ([6]): Let  $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$  be a complex matrix so that  $|\sum a_{ij}s_i t_j| \leq 1$  for any  $(s_i)_{i=1}^N$  and  $(t_j)_{j=1}^N$  where  $|s_i|, |t_j| \leq 1, i, j = 1, \dots, N$ . Then,  $\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^2)^{1/2} \leq K$ , where  $K$  is a universal constant (independent of  $N$ ). In this paper, we do away with the language of tensors, and isolate the ingredients that were essential to the examples of  $p$ -Sidon sets constructed thus far (Theorem A in § 1).

In § 2, we give some applications of Theorem A: If  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a Sidon set, then  $E \times E$  is  $4/3$ -Sidon in  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ . We prove also that if  $E \subset \Gamma$  is dissociate, then  $\underbrace{E \pm E \pm \dots \pm E}_{N\text{-times}}$  is  $(2N/N + 1)$ -Sidon. We conclude (§ 3) with some remarks on the connection between harmonic analysis and the metric theory of tensors.

### 1. Sidon partitions.

**DEFINITION 1.1.**  $\{F_j\}$  is a Sidon partition for  $E \subset \Gamma$  if (i)  $\cup F_j = E$ , and (ii) every bounded function that is constant on  $F_j$  can be realized as a restriction to  $E$  of a Fourier-Stieltjes transform.

**REMARK.** A simple category argument shows that there is  $C \geq 1$  so that whenever  $\phi \in l^\infty(E)$  is constant on  $F_j$ , for all  $j$ , and  $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1$ , then the interpolating measure  $\mu_\phi$  in the above definition can be chosen so that  $\|\mu_\phi\| \leq C$ .

**THEOREM A.** *Suppose that  $E \subset \Gamma$  can be written as  $E =$*

$\{\gamma_{i_1, \dots, i_N}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_N=1}^\infty$ , where for all  $1 \leq j \leq N$

$$\{\{\gamma_{i_1, \dots, i_N}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_{j-1}, i_{j+1}, \dots, i_N=1}^\infty\}_{i_j=1}^\infty$$

is a Sidon partition for  $E$ . Then,  $E$  is  $2N/(N + 1)$ -Sidon.

We first collect 3 lemmas. The proof of Lemma 1.2 uses standard arguments; Lemma 1.3 is a variation on a well known theme (see [1] or Appendix D in [9]), and Lemma 1.4 is essentially Littlewood’s inequality stated in a harmonic analytic language.

Let  $\{F_j\}$  be a Sidon partition for  $E$ . For  $f \in C_E(G)$  (continuous functions with spectrum in  $E$ ), write  $f = \sum f_j$ , where  $\hat{f}_j = \chi_{F_j} \hat{f}$  ( $\chi_{F_j}$  = characteristic function of  $F_j$ ).

LEMMA 1.2.  $\{F_j\}$  is a Sidon partition for  $E$  if and only if there is  $C \geq 1$  so that

$$\|\sum |f_j|\|_\infty \leq C \|f\|_\infty,$$

for all trigonometric polynomials  $f$  with spectrum in  $E$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\|\sum_j |f_j|\|_\infty = |\sum_j f_j(g)e^{i\theta_j}|$ , and let  $\mu \in M(G)$  be so that  $\hat{\mu} = e^{i\theta_j}$  on  $F_j$  and  $\|\mu\| \leq C$ . Then

$$|\sum_j f_j(g)e^{i\theta_j}| \leq \|\sum_j f_j(\cdot)e^{i\theta_j}\|_\infty \leq \|\sum f_j(\cdot)\|_\infty \cdot C.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  be any sequence of complex scalars,  $|\alpha_j| \leq 1$ . For any trigonometric polynomials  $f \in C_E(G)$ , we have

$$|\sum f_j(0)\alpha_j| \leq \sum |f_j(0)| \leq C \|f\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$  so that  $\hat{\mu} = \alpha_j$  on  $F_j$ .

We recall that  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda(q)$  set, for  $q > 1$ , if  $L_E^1(G) = L_E^q(G)$ . We set  $\beta_E(q) = \sup \{\|f\|_q / \|f\|_1 : f \in L_E^q, f \neq 0\}$ .

LEMMA 1.3. Let  $E$  be as in the theorem. Then  $E$  is a  $\Lambda(q)$  set, for all  $q$ . Furthermore,  $\beta_E(q)$ , the  $\Lambda(q)$  constant of  $E$ , is  $\mathcal{O}(q^{N/2})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $N$ . When  $N = 1$ ,  $E$  is a Sidon set, and a Sidon set is  $\Lambda(q)$  for all  $q$  (see Th. 5.7.7 in [7]). Let  $N > 1$ , and assume that the lemma is true for  $N - 1$ . Let  $f$  be a trigonometric polynomial with spectrum in  $E$ , and write  $f = \sum f_j$ , where  $\hat{f}_j = \chi_{F_j} \hat{f}$  and  $\{F_j\}_j$  is a Sidon partition for  $E$ . We follow the outline of the proof of “A Sidon set is  $\Lambda(q)$ , for all  $q$ .”

Write

$$f_\alpha(\cdot) = \sum f_j(\cdot)r_j(\alpha),$$

where  $(r_j)$  is the usual basis in  $\bigoplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ , and  $\alpha \in \bigotimes \mathbf{Z}_2$ . Since there is a measure  $\mu_\alpha$  so that  $\hat{\mu}_\alpha|_{F_j} = r_j(\alpha)$  and  $\|\mu_\alpha\| \leq C$ , it follows that

$$C \|f_\alpha\|_q \geq \|f\|_q, \quad \text{for all } q > 2.$$

Therefore, as we integrate over  $G$  and over  $\bigotimes \mathbf{Z}_2$ , reverse the order of integration, we obtain

$$\int_G \left( \int_{\bigotimes \mathbf{Z}_2} |\sum f_j(g)r_j(\alpha)|^q d\alpha \right) dg \geq C^q \|f\|_q^q.$$

But,  $(r_j)_j \subset \bigoplus \mathbf{Z}_2$  is  $\Lambda(q)$  for all  $q$  ( $\beta_{(r_j)}(q)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(q^{1/2})$ ), and therefore

$$(1) \quad q^{1/2} \left[ \int_G (\sum |f_j(g)|^2)^{q/2} dg \right]^{1/q} \geq C^{-1} \|f\|_q.$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality to (1) (see Appendix A.1 in [9]), we obtain

$$(2) \quad Cq^{1/2} (\sum_j \|f_j\|_q^2)^{1/2} \geq \|f\|_q.$$

But, by induction hypothesis, the spectrum of each  $f_j$  is a  $\Lambda(q)$  set, for all  $q$  (with  $\beta(q) = \mathcal{O}(q^{(N-1)/2})$ ). Therefore, we finally obtain

$$Cq^{N/2} \|f\|_2 \geq \|f\|_q.$$

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $E$  be as in Theorem A, and  $f$  a trigonometric polynomial with spectrum in  $E$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_\infty \geq C_q \sum \|f_j\|_q, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq q < \infty,$$

(the  $C_q$ 's depend only on  $q$ , and necessarily tend to 0 as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ ).

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.2,

$$C \|f\|_\infty \geq \sum \|f_j\|_\infty \geq \sum \|f_j\|_1.$$

But, by Lemma 1.3, the spectrum of each  $f_j$  is  $\Lambda(q)$ , for all  $q$  ( $\Lambda(q)$  constant is independent of  $j$ ), and assertion follows.

*Proof of Theorem A.* Let  $q = 2$  in Lemma 1.4. For  $N = 2$ , the assertion of the theorem follows by the same argument given by Littlewood (p. 169 of [6]). The general case ( $N > 2$ ) is argued in Lemma 3 of [4].

## 2. Applications.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Sidon sets in  $\Gamma$  so that  $gp(E) \cap gp(F) = \{0\}$ . Then  $E + F$  is 4/3-Sidon.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_1 = gp(E)$  and  $\Gamma_2 = gp(F)$ .

We assume that  $E \subset \Gamma_1$  and  $F \subset \Gamma_2$ , and need to show that  $E \times F$  is 4/3-Sidon in  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . We write  $E = \{\lambda_i\}$ , and  $F = \{\nu_j\}$ , and prove that  $\{\{\lambda_i\} \times \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\{\nu_j\} \times \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty\}_{j=1}^\infty$  are Sidon partitions for  $E \times F$ : Let  $f \in C_{E \times F}(G_1 \times G_2)$  where  $G_i = \Gamma_i^\wedge$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $f(g_1, g_2) = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij}(\lambda_i, g_1)(\nu_j, g_2)$ . Since  $E$  and  $F$  are Sidon sets in  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , it follows that for any  $(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$

$$C_1 \|f\|_\infty \geq \sum_j \left| \sum_i a_{ij}(\lambda_i, g_1) \right|$$

and

$$C_2 \|f\|_\infty \geq \sum_i \left| \sum_j a_{ij}(\nu_j, g_2) \right|.$$

Our claim now follows from Lemma 1.2.

2.1 extends immediately to the  $k$ -fold sum of Sidon sets that are mutually independent. We also note that  $p = 4/3$  ( $= 2k/k + 1$ ) is sharp: This follows from 2.7 in [2] (see also 1.1 in [4]).

The corollary below partly answers the following question raised by Edwards and Ross (Remark 3.4 in [2]):

Let  $E \subset \Gamma$  be so that for some  $B > 0$ ,  $R_s(E, 0) \leq B^s$  for all  $s > 0$  (see p. 124 of [7]). Is  $\underbrace{E \pm E \pm \dots \pm E}_{k\text{-times}}$  ( $2k/(k + 1)$ )-Sidon?

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $E = (\gamma_j) \subset \Gamma$  be a dissociate set in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\underbrace{\pm E \pm \dots \pm E = E_k}_{k\text{-times}}$  is  $2k/k + 1$ -Sidon.*

*Proof.* We first prove that  $E + E$  is 4/3-Sidon, and then indicate how to proceed in the general case.

We claim that we can identify isomorphically  $C_{E+E}(G)$  with  $\{f \in C_{E \times E}(G \times G) : \hat{f}(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \hat{f}(\gamma_j, \gamma_i)\}$ : Let  $f$  be any trigonometric polynomial with spectrum in  $E + E$ ,  $f(g) = \sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij}(\gamma_i, g)(\gamma_j, g)$ , and define  $f_0 \in C_{E \times E}(G \times G)$  by  $\hat{f}_0(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \hat{f}_0(\gamma_j, \gamma_i) = a_{ij}$ . We need to show that there is a  $K$  (independent of  $f$ ) so that  $K \|f\|_\infty \geq \|f_0\|_\infty$ , for then our assertion will follow from Corollary 2.1. Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2 \in G$  be so that  $\|f_0\|_\infty = |f_0(g_1, g_2)|$ . By symmetry, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad |f_0(g_1, g_2)| &= 1/2 \left| \sum_{i,j} \hat{f}_0(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)((\gamma_i, g_1) + (\gamma_i, g_2))((\gamma_j, g_1) + (\gamma_j, g_2)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i,j} \hat{f}_0(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)(\gamma_j, g_1)(\gamma_j, g_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i,j} \hat{f}_0(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)(\gamma_i, g_2)(\gamma_j, g_2) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

But each term on the right hand side is of the form

$$2 \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} \phi(i) \phi(j), \quad \text{where } |\phi(i)| \leq 2 \text{ for all } i,$$

and by considering Riesz products given by

$$\mu_\phi = \prod_j \left( 1 + \frac{\phi(j)(\gamma_j, g) + \overline{\phi(j)}(-\gamma_j, g)}{4} \right),$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij} \phi(i) \phi(j) \right| &= 4 |f * \mu_\phi(0)| \\ &\leq 4 \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|f_0(g_1, g_2)| = \|f_0\|_\infty \leq 12 \|f\|_\infty.$$

To extend the above argument to “ $\underbrace{E + \dots + E}_{k\text{-times}}$  is  $2k/k + 1$ -Sidon”, we need to extend (1) above. This is simply done as follows: Let  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  be points in  $G$  so that  $|f_0(g_1, \dots, g_k)| = \|f_0\|_\infty$  ( $f$  and  $f_0$  are as above,  $f \in C_{E+\dots+E}(G)$ , and  $f_0 \in C_{E \times \dots \times E}(G^k)$ ). For a subset  $S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ , write

$$\psi_S(\gamma) = \sum_{r \in S} (\gamma, g_r).$$

Again, by symmetry, it is clear that

$$|f_0(g_1, \dots, g_k)| = \left| \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{m=1}^k (-1)^m \sum_{|S|=m} \widehat{f}_0(\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_k}) \psi_S(\gamma_{i_1}) \dots \psi_S(\gamma_{i_k}) \right|.$$

Again, by appealing to Riesz products, we obtain that the right hand side is dominated by  $K \|f\|_\infty$  ( $K$  depends only on  $k$ ).

Our final task is to consider  $E_k = \pm E \pm \dots \pm E$ . We claim the following: Let  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_j)_{j=1}^k$  be any (fixed) choice of signs. Then there is  $\mu \in M(G)$  so that  $\widehat{\mu} = 1$  on  $\varepsilon_1 E + \dots + \varepsilon_k E \equiv \varepsilon E$ , and  $\widehat{\mu} = 0$  on  $\varepsilon'_1 E + \dots + \varepsilon'_k E \equiv \varepsilon' E$ , where  $\varepsilon'$  is any other choice of signs so that  $|\{j: \varepsilon_j = -1\}| \neq |\{j: \varepsilon'_j = -1\}|$ . To verify this claim, we choose  $d \in [0, 2\pi)$  so that  $md \not\equiv ld \pmod{2\pi}$  for  $-k \leq m < l \leq k$ , and write a Riesz product

$$\nu = \prod_j \left( 1 + \frac{e^{id(\gamma_j, g)} + e^{-id(-\gamma_j, g)}}{2} \right).$$

It is easy to check that

$$a_\varepsilon = \widehat{\nu}|_{\varepsilon E} \neq \widehat{\nu}|_{\varepsilon' E} = a_{\varepsilon'}.$$

Now, let  $P$  be a polynomial with the property that  $P(a_\varepsilon) = 1$  and  $P(a_{\varepsilon'}) = 0$ , for all  $\varepsilon'$  as above;  $\mu = P(\nu)$  gives us the desired separa-

tion. Therefore, by the above separation, to prove  $2k/(k+1)$ -Sidonicity of  $E_k$ , it suffices to show that  $\varepsilon E$  is  $2k/k+1$ -Sidon for a fixed  $\varepsilon$ . Slightly modified, the previous arguments now apply.

REMARK. It was brought to our attention that G. Woodward independently obtained (Th. 4 in [10]) a somewhat weaker result than the above Corollary 2.2.

3. Some remarks on the metric theory of tensors. We recall that  $p$ -Sidon sets were originally manufactured by a machinery of tensors. Our presentation that avoided that language suggests that tensor analytic results can be produced in the analogous harmonic analytic setting ( $E_1 + E_2$ ,  $E_1 \cup E_2$  dissociate), and then translated (via Riesz products) to the language of tensors. Specifically, Lemma 1.4 when  $q = 2$ , and  $E = E_1 + E_2$ ,  $E_1 \cup E_2$  dissociate, is precisely Littlewood's classical inequality. In fact, Grothendieck's inequality, which is an extension of Littlewood's, can also be deduced in this setting, through technically, it is the same proof as the one given by Grothendieck (see pp. 62-64 in [3], and [5]).

The inequality can be stated as follows:

Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^N$  be vectors on the unit sphere  $S^N$  in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , equipped with the Euclidean norm. Let  $E = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $F = \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be disjoint subsets in  $\Gamma$  so that  $E \cup F$  is dissociate. Set  $\phi(\lambda_i + \nu_j) = (x_i, y_j)$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ , and 0 otherwise. ( $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the usual inner product in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ .) Then,  $\|\phi\|_{B(E+F)} \leq K_G$ , where  $K_G$  is independent of  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{y_j\}$  and  $N$ .

The proof is based on the following elementary fact (see [3]):

LEMMA. Let  $\sigma$  be the normalized rotation invariant measure on  $S^N$ . Then, for any  $x, y \in S^N$

$$\int_{S^N} \text{sign}(x, u) \text{sign}(y, u) d\sigma(u) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos(x, y).$$

*Proof of Grothendieck's inequality.* For each  $u \in S^N$ , let  $\mu_u$  be the Riesz product so that  $\hat{\mu}_u(\lambda_i + \nu_j) = \text{sign}(x_i, u) \text{sign}(y_j, u)$ , and integrate over  $S^N$  the  $B$ -valued function  $\mu_u$ :

$$\mu = \int_{S^N} \mu_u d\sigma(u) \in M(G).$$

From the above lemma, it is clear that if  $\nu = \sin((\pi/2)\mu) \in M(G)$ , then  $\hat{\nu}(\lambda_i + \nu_j) = (x_i, y_j)$ . Furthermore,  $\|\nu\| \leq \sinh(\pi/2)$ .

*Added in proof.* Another proof of Grothendieck's inequality and

some of its extensions are given by the author in "A uniformity property for  $A(2)$ -sets and Grothendieck's inequality," (to appear).

## REFERENCES

1. A. Bonami, *Ensembles  $A(p)$  dans le dual de  $D^\infty$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier, t. 18, **2** (1968), 193-204.
2. R. E. Edwards and K. A. Ross,  *$p$ -Sidon sets*, J. Functional Analysis, **15**, 4 (1974), 404-427.
3. A. Grothendieck, *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Matem. São Paulo, **8** (1956), 1-79.
4. G. W. Johnson and Gordon S. Woodward, *On  $p$ -Sidon sets*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. (1974).
5. Y. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelczynski, *Absolutely summing operators in  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -spaces and their applications*, Studia Math. T., XXIX (1968), 275-326.
6. J. E. Littlewood, *On bounded bilinear forms in an infinite number of variables*, Quarterly J. Math. Oxford Ser., **1** (1930), 164-174.
7. W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
8. J. López and K. Ross, *Sidon Sets*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1975.
9. E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
10. G. S. Woodward,  *$p$ -Sidon sets and a uniform property*, Indiana Math. J., (to appear).

Received July 11, 1975 and in revised form March 15, 1976.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),  
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 65, No. 2

October, 1976

Andrew Adler, <i>Weak homomorphisms and invariants: an example</i> . . . . .	293
Howard Anton and William J. Pervin, <i>Separation axioms and metric-like functions</i> . . . . .	299
Ron C. Blei, <i>Sidon partitions and <math>p</math>-Sidon sets</i> . . . . .	307
T. J. Cheatham and J. R. Smith, <i>Regular and semisimple modules</i> . . . . .	315
Charles Edward Cleaver, <i>Packing spheres in Orlicz spaces</i> . . . . .	325
Le Baron O. Ferguson and Michael D. Rusk, <i>Korovkin sets for an operator on a space of continuous functions</i> . . . . .	337
Rudolf Fritsch, <i>An approximation theorem for maps into Kan fibrations</i> . . . . .	347
David Sexton Gilliam, <i>Geometry and the Radon-Nikodym theorem in strict Mackey convergence spaces</i> . . . . .	353
William Hery, <i>Maximal ideals in algebras of topological algebra valued functions</i> . . . . .	365
Alan Hopenwasser, <i>The radical of a reflexive operator algebra</i> . . . . .	375
Bruno Kramm, <i>A characterization of Riemann algebras</i> . . . . .	393
Peter K. F. Kuhfittig, <i>Fixed points of locally contractive and nonexpansive set-valued mappings</i> . . . . .	399
Stephen Allan McGrath, <i>On almost everywhere convergence of Abel means of contraction semigroups</i> . . . . .	405
Edward Peter Merkes and Marion Wetzel, <i>A geometric characterization of indeterminate moment sequences</i> . . . . .	409
John C. Morgan, II, <i>The absolute Baire property</i> . . . . .	421
Eli Aaron Passow and John A. Roulier, <i>Negative theorems on generalized convex approximation</i> . . . . .	437
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>A theorem on prime divisors of zero and characterizations of unmixed local domains</i> . . . . .	449
Ellen Elizabeth Reed, <i>A class of <math>T_1</math>-compactifications</i> . . . . .	471
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>On Liouville's theory of elementary functions</i> . . . . .	485
Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>Power-associative algebras and Riemannian connections</i> . . . . .	493
Chester Cornelius Seabury, <i>On extending regular holomorphic maps from Stein manifolds</i> . . . . .	499
Elias Sai Wan Shiu, <i>Commutators and numerical ranges of powers of operators</i> . . . . .	517
Donald Mark Topkis, <i>The structure of sublattices of the product of <math>n</math> lattices</i> . . . . .	525
John Bason Wagoner, <i>Delooping the continuous <math>K</math>-theory of a valuation ring</i> . . . . .	533
Ronson Joseph Warne, <i>Standard regular semigroups</i> . . . . .	539
Anthony William Wickstead, <i>The centraliser of <math>E \otimes_\lambda F</math></i> . . . . .	563
R. Grant Woods, <i>Characterizations of some <math>C^*</math>-embedded subspaces of <math>\beta\mathbb{N}</math></i> . . . . .	573