# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE STRUCTURE OF SUBLATTICES OF THE PRODUCT OF *n* LATTICES

DONALD MARK TOPKIS

Vol. 65, No. 2

October 1976

# THE STRUCTURE OF SUBLATTICES OF THE PRODUCT OF n LATTICES

# DONALD M. TOPKIS

The structure of sublattices of the product of n lattices is explored. Such a sublattice is decomposed and completely characterized in terms of n(n-1)/2 sublattices of the product of two lattices. A sublattice of the product of two lattices is represented in terms of several easily characterized sublattices. The sublattice characterizations provide analogous characterizations for those functions whose level sets are sublattices. A simple representation is also given for the sections of a sublattice of the product of two lattices.

Introduction. I will proceed to explore the structure of sublattices of the product of n lattices. It will be shown that such general sublattices can be represented in terms of some other sublattices which are quite simple to conceptualize and characterize.

The results on sublattice structure are given in § 1. In Theorem 1 a sublattice of the product of n lattices is decomposed so that it is completely characterized in terms of n(n-1)/2 sublattices of the product of two lattices. Lemma 2 and Corollary 1 give simple representations for sections of a sublattice of the product of two lattices. Theorem 2 represents sublattices of the product of two lattices by several easily characterized sublattices of this product. Theorem 3 combines previous results to provide a more refined characterization of sublattices of the product of n lattices.

Often sets are constructed as the intersection of level sets of a system of functions. For instance, this is frequently the case in defining the feasible region for optimization problems. To recognize when such sets are sublattices one must know what functions have sublattices as their level sets. Thus in §2 the results of §1 are translated into analogous characterizations for those functions whose level sets are sublattices.

These results are handy in dealing with structured optimization problems considered by the author [5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]. In [5, 9] a theory is developed for certain structured optimization problems in which each constraint set must be a sublattice. In order to recognize and generate domains which are sublattices (so the theory may be applied) as well as to envision the possible range of applicability of this theory it is useful to refer to the sublattice characterizations herein. The theory of [5, 9] is applied to diverse areas such as mathematical economics, optimal theory of production, shortest path problems, structured stochastic dynamic programming [5, 10], graphs and flows in networks [5, 7], and game theory [6]. For example, in [6] the results of [5, 9] are used together with Tarski's fixed point theorem [3, 4] to give conditions for the existence of an equilibrium point in an *n*-person nonzero-sum game, and several iterative procedures are given for constructing such an equilibrium point. Because the conditions on this game require that each player's decision be chosen from some sublattice of  $E^m$ , a characterization of such sublattices is again useful to recognize and generate games which fit this model and to perceive the model's possible range of application.

1. The structure of sublattices. If  $S = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} S_i$  and  $L = (x = (x_1, \dots x_n): (x_j, x_k) \in T$  and  $x \in S$ } where T is a subset of  $S_j \times S_k$  for some two distinct indices j and k, then L is a bivariate subset of S and T is the *jk-generator* of L. If  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are lattices and T is the *jk-generator* of a bivariate subset L of  $S = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} S_i$  then L is a sublattice of S if and only if T is a sublattice of  $S_j \times S_k$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are lattices, n > 1, and  $S = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i$ , then a set L is a sublattice of S if and only if it is the intersection of n(n-1)/2 bivariate sublattices of S.

Proof. The sufficiency part is immediate because the intersection of sublattices is a sublattice.

Now suppose L is a nonempty sublattice of S. For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $j \neq k$ , define

 $T_{j_k} = \{(x_j, x_k): \text{ there exists } y = (y_1, \cdots, y_n) \in L \text{ with } y_j = x_j \text{ and } y_k = x_k\}$  and

$$L_{jk} = \{x: (x_j, x_k) \in T_{jk}, x \in S\}$$
.

Note that  $T_{jk}$  is a sublattice of  $S_j \times S_k$  because L is a sublattice of S, and hence  $L_{jk}$  is a bivariate sublattice of S.

If  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L$  then  $(x_j, x_k) \in T_{jk}$  and thus  $x \in L_{jk}$  for each  $j \neq k$ . Therefore,

(1) 
$$L \subseteq \bigcap_{j \neq k} L_{jk}$$
.

Now pick  $x \in \bigcap_{j \neq k} L_{jk}$ . For each  $j \neq k \ x \in L_{jk}$  so  $(x_j, x_k) \in T_{jk}$  and hence there exists  $y^{jk} \in L$  with  $y_j^{jk} = x_j$  and  $y_k^{jk} = x_k$ . For each j,

 $1 \leq j \leq n$ , let  $y^j = \bigwedge_{k \neq j} y^{jk}$ . Note that  $y^j_j = x_j$  because  $y^{jk}_j = x_j$  for all  $k \neq j$ , and  $y^j \leq x$  because  $y^j_k \leq y^{jk}_k = x_k$  for all  $k \neq j$ . Also,  $y^j \in L$  since each  $y^{jk} \in L$  and L is a sublattice of S. But  $x = \bigvee_{j=1}^n y^j \in L$  because L is a sublattice. Thus,

(2) 
$$L \supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq k} L_{jk}$$
.

By (1), (2), and  $L_{jk} = L_{kj}$ ,

$$L = igcap_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} L_{jk}$$
 .

Theorem 1 (and almost all the subsequent material) was obtained by the author in 1971 and distributed as [8] in 1974. The referee has pointed out to me that Theorem 1 is a special case of a universal algebraic result of K. A. Baker and A. F. Pixley [1]. Baker and Pixley credited this lattice version of their result to unpublished work by G. M. Bergman. Bergman has included his result in a recent paper [2] in which he noted that he had discovered it in 1967.

Theorem 1 shows that a sublattice of the product of n lattices can be completely characterized in terms of sublattices of the product of two lattices. I now proceed to explore and characterize the structure of sublattices of the product of two lattices.

For a poset S and  $x \in S$ , define  $[x, \infty) = \{y : x \leq y, y \in S\}$  and  $(-\infty, x] = \{y : y \leq x, y \in S\}.$ 

If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are posets,  $L \subseteq S_1 \times S_2$ , and either  $[x_1, \infty) \times (-\infty, x_2] \subseteq L$  for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in L$  or  $(-\infty, x_1] \times [x_2, \infty) \subseteq L$  for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in L$ , then L is bimonotone. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are chains then a bimonotone subset of  $S_1 \times S_2$  is clearly a sublattice, but a bimonotone subset of the product of two lattices is not necessarily a sublattice. If  $S_1$ and  $S_2$  are posets and  $L \subseteq S_1 \times S_2$ , then L generates two bimonotone hulls,  $H_1(L) = \bigcup_{x \in L} [x_1, \infty) \times (-\infty, x_2]$  and  $H_2(L) = \bigcup_{x \in L} (-\infty, x_1] \times [x_2, \infty)$ , which are the smallest bimonotone sets containing L.

Since a bimonotone subset of the product of two chains is a sublattice, the bimonotone hulls of any subset of such a product must be sublattices. Lemma 1 shows that the bimonotone hulls of a sublattice of the product of two lattices are sublattices. However, as the following example shows, the bimonotone hulls of L are not necessarily sublattices if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are lattices but not chains and L is not a sublattice. Let  $S_1 = S_2 = E^2$  with the usual relation  $\leq$  and  $L = \{(0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0)\}$ . Then both bimonotone hulls contain (0, 1, 0, 1) and (1, 0, 1, 0) but the meet (0, 0, 0, 0) and the join (1, 1, 1, 1) are not in either bimonotone hull.

LEMMA 1. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are lattices and L is a sublattice of  $S_1 \times S_2$ , then the bimonotone hulls of L are sublattices.

*Proof.* I will show that  $H_1(L)$  is a sublattice. The proof for  $H_2(L)$  follows symmetrically.

Pick  $(x_1, x_2) \in H_1(L)$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \in H_1(L)$ . Then there exist  $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \in L$ and  $(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in L$  with  $\bar{x}_1 \leq x_1$ ,  $x_2 \leq \bar{x}_2$ ,  $\bar{y}_1 \leq y_1$ , and  $y_2 \leq \bar{y}_2$ . Because L is a sublattice of  $S_1 \times S_2$ ,  $(\bar{x}_1 \wedge \bar{y}_1, \bar{x}_2 \wedge \bar{y}_2) \in L$  and  $(\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{y}_1, \bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{y}_2) \in L$ . Then  $(x_1, x_2) \wedge (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 \wedge y_1, x_2 \wedge y_2) \in [\bar{x}_1 \wedge \bar{y}_1, \infty) \times (-\infty, \bar{x}_2 \wedge \bar{y}_2] \subseteq H_1(L)$ , and  $(x_1, x_2) \vee (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 \vee y_1, x_2 \vee y_2) \in [\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{y}_1, \infty) \times (-\infty, \bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{y}_2] \subseteq H_1(L)$ . Thus  $H_1(L)$  is a sublattice.

If  $L \subseteq \mathbf{X}_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$  then the section of L at  $x_{j} \in S_{j}$  is  $L^{j}(x_{j}) = \{(x_{1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n}): (x_{1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in L\}$  and the projection of L on  $S_{j}$  is  $\Pi_{j}L = \{x_{j}: L^{j}(x_{j}) \text{ is nonempty}\}$ . If  $S_{1}, \dots, S_{n}$  are lattices and L is a sublattice of  $\mathbf{X}_{1=1}^{n} S_{i}$ , then each section  $L^{j}(x_{j})$  is a sublattice of  $\mathbf{X}_{i\neq j}$  and the projection  $\Pi_{j}L$  is a sublattice of  $S_{j}$  for all j.

Theorem 2 will show that a sublattice of the product of two lattices can be represented as the intersection of its bimonotone hulls and the product of its two projections. Lemma 2 provides an intermediary result needed to establish Theorem 2 and shows a surprisingly simple characteristic of the sections of the product of two lattices. Corollary 1, a direct consequence of Lemma 2, shows that a section containing its infimum and supremum is simply the intersection of the appropriate projection and an interval.

LEMMA 2. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are lattices, L is a sublattice of  $S_1 \times S_2$ ,  $x_1 \in S_1$ ,  $a_2 \in L^1(x_1)$ , and  $b_2 \in L^1(x_1)$ , then  $\Pi_2 L \cap [a_2, b_2] \subseteq L^1(x_1)$ .

*Proof.* Pick  $x_2 \in \Pi_2 L \cap [a_2, b_2]$ . Because  $x_2 \in \Pi_2 L$ , there exists  $y_1 \in S_1$  with  $(y_1, x_2) \in L$ . Because  $a_2 \leq x_2$  and L is a lattice,  $(x_1 \lor y_1, x_2) = (x_1, a_2) \lor (y_1, x_2) \in L$ . Because  $x_2 \leq b_2$  and L is a lattice,  $(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 \lor y_1, x_2) \land (x_1, b_2) \in L$ . Thus  $x_2 \in L^1(x_1)$  and so  $\Pi_2 L \cap [a_2, b_2] \subseteq L^1(x_1)$ .

COROLLARY 1. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are lattices, L is a sublattice of  $S_1 \times S_2$ ,  $x_1 \in S_1$ , and  $L^1(x_1)$  contains its infimum  $a_2$  and its supremum  $b_2$ , then  $L^1(x_1) = \Pi_2 L \cap [a_2, b_2]$ .

THEOREM 2. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are lattices and L is a sublattice of  $S_1 \times S_2$ , then L is the intersection of its two bimonotone hulls and the product of its two projections.

*Proof.* Clearly 
$$L \subseteq H_1(L) \cap H_2(L) \cap \{\Pi_1 L \times \Pi_2 L\}$$
. Pick any

 $\overline{x} \in H_1(L) \cap H_2(L) \cap \{\Pi_1 L \times \Pi_2 L\}$ . Since  $\overline{x} \in H_1(L)$  and  $\overline{x} \in H_2(L)$ , there exist  $y \in L$  and  $w \in L$  such that  $y_1 \leq \overline{x}_1$ ,  $\overline{x}_2 \leq y_2$ ,  $\overline{x}_1 \leq w_1$ , and  $w_2 \leq \overline{x}_2$ . Because L is a sublattice,  $(y_1, w_2) = y \land w \in L$  and  $(w_1, y_2) = y \lor w \in L$ . Thus  $w_2 \in L^1(y_1)$  and  $y_2 \in L^1(y_1)$  so by Lemma 2  $\Pi_2 L \cap [w_2, y_2] \subseteq L^1(y_1)$ and therefore  $\overline{x}_2 \in L^1(y_1)$  and  $(y_1, \overline{x}_2) \in L$ . Also,  $y_1 \in L^2(w_2)$  and  $w_1 \in L^2(w_2)$  so by Lemma 2  $\Pi_1 L \cap [y_1, w_1] \subseteq L^2(w_2)$  and therefore  $\overline{x}_1 \in L^2(w_2)$  and  $(\overline{x}_1, w_2) \in L$ . Because L is a sublattice  $\overline{x} = (y_1, \overline{x}_2) \lor (\overline{x}_1, w_2) \in L$ , and so  $L = H_1(L) \cap H_2(L) \cap \{\Pi_1 L \times \Pi_2 L\}$ .

Note that under the hypotheses of Theorem 2 the bimonotone hulls are sublattices by Lemma 1. The converse of Theorem 2 is immediate when the bimonotone hulls are sublattices, but the example preceding Lemma 1 contradicts this converse generally.

If  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are posets,  $S = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i$ , L is a bivariate subset of S, T is the *jk*-generator of L, and T is bimonotone, then L is bimonotone.

The following is immediate from Theorem 2 and Lemma 1.

COROLLARY 2. If  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are lattices,  $S = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i$ , and L is a bivariate sublattice of S, then L is the intersection of two bimonotone sublattices and  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \Pi_i L$ .

Note that in Corollary 2  $\Pi_i L = S_i$  for at least n-2 of the indices i.

The result of Theorem 3 is derived by applying Corollary 2 to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. If  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are lattices and  $S = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i$ , then a set L is a sublattice of S if and only if it is the intersection of n(n-1) bimonotone sublattices of S and  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \Pi_i L$ .

2. The structure of sublattice-generating functions. Often sets are constructed as the intersection of level sets of a system of functions, and so it is useful to characterize those functions whose level sets are sublattices.

Suppose f is a function from a lattice S into a chain B. If each level set of f is a sublattice of S, then f is a sublattice-generating function. For  $L \subseteq S$ , f is an indicator function for L if

$$f(x) = egin{cases} b & ext{for} & x \in L \ d & ext{for} & x \in S & ext{and} & x 
otin L \end{cases}$$

where b < d in *B*. If *f* is an indicator function for *L*, then *f* is a sublattice-generating function if and only if *L* is a sublattice of *S*. By this correspondence, properties of sublattices can be directly translated into properties of sublattice-generating indicator functions and properties of sublattice-generating functions can be translated into properties of sublattices. It is seen in Lemma 3 below that a sublattice-generating function that is bounded below is the pointwise supremum of a collection of sublattice-generating indicator functions, and thus properties of sublattice-generating functions which are bounded below. The remarks following Lemma 3 give properties of sublattice-generating functions which are bounded below which correspond directly to properties of sublattices as given in §2.

LEMMA 3. A function f from a lattice S into a chain B is a sublattice-generating function and bounded below if and only if it is the pointwise supremum of a collection of sublattice-generating indicator functions.

*Proof.* The pointwise supremum, if it exists, of a collection of sublattice-generating functions is clearly also a sublattice-generating function because the intersection of sublattices is a sublattice. This, together with the fact that an indicator function is bounded below, establishes sufficiency.

Now suppose that f is a sublattice-generating function and bounded below. Then there exists  $d \in B$  such that  $d \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ . For all  $b \in B \cap [d, \infty)$ , define

$$S^{b} = \{x: x \in S, f(x) < b\}$$

and

$$f^{\,b}\!\left\{x
ight\} = egin{cases} d & ext{if} \quad x \in S^b \ b & ext{if} \quad x \in S \quad ext{and} \quad x 
otin S^b \ . \end{cases}$$

Since f is a sublattice-generating function and B a chain, each  $S^b$  is a sublattice of S and so  $f^b$  is a sublattice-generating indicator function for each  $b \in B \cap [d, \infty)$ . Pick any  $\overline{x} \in S$ . For any  $b \in B \cap [d, \infty)$ , if  $f(\overline{x}) < b$  then  $\overline{x} \in S^b$  and  $f^b(\overline{x}) = d \leq f(\overline{x})$ , and otherwise  $\overline{x} \notin S^b$  and  $f^b(\overline{x}) = b \leq f(\overline{x})$ . Thus,  $f^b(\overline{x}) \leq f(\overline{x})$  for each  $b \in B \cap [d, \infty)$ . But  $f(\overline{x}) \in B \cap [d, \infty)$  and  $\overline{x} \notin S^{f(\overline{x})}$ , and so  $f^{f(\overline{x})}(\overline{x}) = f(\overline{x})$ . Therefore

$$f(\overline{x}) = \sup_{b \in B \cap [d,\infty)} f^b(\overline{x})$$
.

In all subsequent remarks it will be assumed that the domain

S is the product of n lattices  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  and that the range of all functions is, for convenience,  $E^1$ .

A function is *univariate* if it varies in at most one coordinate. A function is *bivariate* if it varies in at most two coordinates. A function is *bimonotone* if it is isotone in one of its coordinates, antitone in one of its coordinates, and does not vary in the other n-2 coordinates.

By Theorem 1 an indicator function of a sublattice of S can be represented as the pointwise supremum of n(n-1)/2 indicator functions of bivariate sublattices. Thus a sublattice-generating function which is bounded below is the pointwise supremum of a collection of indicator functions of bivariate sublattices, and so such a function is the pointwise supremum of n(n-1)/2 bivariate sublattice-generating functions.

An indicator function of a bimonotone set is bimonotone. Each level set of a bimonotone function is bimonotone. When each  $S_i$  is a chain it can be seen directly that univariate functions and bimonotone functions are sublattice-generating functions, as Veinott [personal communication] has previously observed.

By Corollary 2, an indicator function of a bivariate sublattice is the pointwise supremum of two bimonotone sublattice-generating indicator functions and two univariate sublattice-generating indicator functions.

By Theorem 3 a sublattice-generating indicator function is the pointwise supremum of n(n-1) bimonotone sublattice-generating indicator functions and n univariate sublattice-generating indicator functions. Thus a sublattice-generating function which is bounded below is the pointwise supremum of a collection of bimonotone sublattice-generating indicator functions and univariate sublatticegenerating indicator functions, and such a functior is therefore the pointwise supremum of n(n-1) bimonotone sublattice-generating functions and n univariate sublattice-generating functions. Consequently, when each  $S_i$  is a chain, a sublattice-generating function which is bounded below is the pointwise supremum of n(n-1)bimonotone functions and n univariate functions.

If  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are chains,  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i)$  where  $x_i \in S_i$  for each *i*, and *f* is a sublattice-generating function on  $\mathbf{X}_{i=1}^n S_i$ , then *f* must be either univariate or bimonotone, as Veinott [personal communication] previously noted.

### DONALD M. TOPKIS

#### References

1. K. Baker, and A. Pixley, *Polynomial interpolation and the Chinese remainder theorem for algebraic systems*, Math. Zeitschr., **143** (1975), 165-174.

2. G. Bergman, On the existence of subalgebras of direct products with prescribed *d*-fold projections, technical report, University of California, Berkeley, (1975).

3. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXV, third edition, (1967).

4. A. Tarski, A lattice-theoretical fixpoint theorem and its applications, Pacific J. Math., 5 (1955) 285-309.

5. D. Topkis, Ordered optimal solutions, doctoral dissertation, Stanford University, (1968).

 Equilibrium points in nonzero-sum n-person subadditive games, technical report ORC 70-38, Operations Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, (1970).
 Monotone minimum node-cuts in capacitated networks, technical report ORC 70-39, Operations Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, (1970).

8. \_\_\_\_, The structure of sublattices of the product of n lattices, technical report, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, (1974).

9. \_\_\_\_, Minimizing a subadditive function on a lattice, technical report, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, (1975).

10. \_\_\_\_, Applications of minimizing a subadditive function on a lattice (forth-coming).

11. ——, Topology and subcomplete sublattices (forthcoming).

Received December 19, 1975

Current address: 256 Presidio Ave., San Francisco, CA 94115

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California

Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

# SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 65, No. 2 October, 1976

Andrew Adler, Weak homomorphisms and invariants: an example	293
Howard Anton and William J. Pervin, Separation axioms and metric-like	
functions	299
Ron C. Blei, Sidon partitions and p-Sidon sets	307
T. J. Cheatham and J. R. Smith, <i>Regular and semisimple modules</i>	315
Charles Edward Cleaver, Packing spheres in Orlicz spaces	325
Le Baron O. Ferguson and Michael D. Rusk, Korovkin sets for an operator on a	
space of continuous functions	337
Rudolf Fritsch, An approximation theorem for maps into Kan fibrations	347
David Sexton Gilliam, Geometry and the Radon-Nikodym theorem in strict         Mackey convergence spaces	353
William Hery, Maximal ideals in algebras of topological algebra valued	
functions	365
Alan Hopenwasser, The radical of a reflexive operator algebra	375
Bruno Kramm, A characterization of Riemann algebras	393
Peter K. F. Kuhfittig, Fixed points of locally contractive and nonexpansive	300
Stephen Allan McGrath On almost everywhere convergence of Abel means of	577
contraction semigroups	405
Edward Peter Merkes and Marion Wetzel A geometric characterization of	
indeterminate moment sequences	409
John C. Morgan, II, <i>The absolute Baire property</i>	421
Eli Aaron Passow and John A. Roulier, <i>Negative theorems on generalized convex</i>	437
Louis Jackson Ratliff Ir A theorem on prime divisors of zero and	
characterizations of unmixed local domains	449
Ellen Elizabeth Reed. A class of T <sub>1</sub> -compactifications	471
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht. On Liouville's theory of elementary	
functions	485
Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>Power-associative algebras and Riemannian</i>	
connections	493
Chester Cornelius Seabury, On extending regular holomorphic maps from Stein	
manifolds	499
Elias Sai Wan Shiu, Commutators and numerical ranges of powers of	
operators	517
Donald Mark Topkis, <i>The structure of sublattices of the product of n lattices</i>	525
John Bason Wagoner, Delooping the continuous K-theory of a valuation	
ring	533
Ronson Joseph Warne, Standard regular semigroups	539
Anthony William Wickstead, <i>The centraliser of</i> $E \otimes_{\lambda} F \dots$	563
R. Grant Woods, Characterizations of some $C^*$ -embedded subspaces of $\beta N$	573