

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON GENERALIZED NUMERICAL RANGES**

S. M. PATEL

## ON GENERALIZED NUMERICAL RANGES

S. M. PATEL

**The Luecke's class of operators  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  for which  $\|(T - vI)^{-1}\| = 1/d(v, W(T))$ ,  $v \notin \text{CLW}(T)$ , where  $\text{CLW}(T)$  is the closure of the numerical range  $W(T)$  of  $T$ , has been generalized by using the concept of generalized numerical ranges due to C. S. Lin. Also it has been shown that the notions of generalized Minkowski distance functionals and generalized numerical ranges arise in a natural way for elements of the Calkin algebra.**

**Introduction.** Throughout this note, by an operator, we mean a bounded linear transformation of a Hilbert space  $H$  into itself. Let  $B(H)$  be the Banach algebra of all operators on  $H$  and  $K(H)$ , the closed two sided ideal of compact operators in  $B(H)$ . Let  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\text{CLW}(T)$ ,  $r(T)$  and  $|W(T)|$  denote respectively the spectrum, the closure of the numerical range  $W(T)$ , the spectral radius and the numerical radius of an operator  $T$ .  $\text{Con } S$  and  $\text{Bdry } S$  will denote respectively the convex hull and the boundary of a subset  $S$  of the complex plane  $C$ . We write  $d(v, S)$  to denote the distance of  $v$  from  $S$ .

Let  $\hat{T}$  be the canonical image of  $T$  in the (Calkin) quotient algebra  $B(H)/K(H)$ . For  $T$  in  $B(H)$ , the spectrum  $\sigma(\hat{T})$  and the numerical range  $W_e(T)$  of  $\hat{T}$  will be called the essential spectrum and the essential numerical range of  $T$ . We write  $r_e(T)$  to denote the spectral radius of  $\hat{T}$ . Salinas [7, Lemma 2.2] has shown that  $r_e(T) = \inf\{r(T + K) : K \in K(H)\}$ .

Let  $C_\rho$  be the class of operators with unitary  $\rho$ -dilation in the sense of B. Sz-Nagy and C. Foias [5]. In [1], Holbrook has defined generalized Minkowski distance functionals  $w_\rho(\cdot)$  ( $0 \leq \rho < \infty$ ) on  $B(H)$  as  $w_\rho(T) = \inf\{u : u > 0 \text{ and } u^{-1}T \in C_\rho\}$ .

We list in the following theorem some of the properties of  $w_\rho(\cdot)$  which we shall need in the sequel:

**THEOREM A (Holbrook [1]).**  $w_\rho(\cdot)$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $w_\rho(T) < \infty$ ;
- (2)  $w_\rho(T) > 0$  unless  $T = 0$ , in fact,  $w_\rho(T) \geq 1/\rho \|T\|$ ;
- (3)  $w_\rho(vT) = |v| w_\rho(T)$  for  $v \in C$ ;
- (4) The function  $w_\rho(\cdot)$  is a norm on  $B(H)$  whenever  $0 < \rho \leq 2$ ;
- (5) For each  $\rho > 0$  and  $T \in B(H)$ ,  $w_\rho(T^k) \leq w_\rho(T)^k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ;
- (6)  $w_\rho(T)$  is a continuous and nonincreasing function of  $\rho$ ;

$$(7) \quad r(T) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} w_\rho(T);$$

(8)  $K_\rho r(T) \leq w_\rho(T) \leq K_\rho \|T\|$ , where  $K_\rho = 1$  or  $2/\rho - 1$  according as  $\rho \geq 1$  or  $\rho < 1$ .

Using the concept of  $w_\rho(\cdot)$ , C. S. Lin [3] has recently defined a new concept of generalized numerical ranges  $W_\rho(T)$  of  $T$  as

$$W_\rho(T) = \cap \{u : |u - v| \leq w_\rho(T - vI), u, v \in C\}, \quad 1 \leq \rho < \infty.$$

For a ready reference, we state some of the results about  $W_\rho(T)$  from [3].

**THEOREM B [3].**  $W_\rho(T)$  has the following properties:

1.  $W_\rho(T)$  is a compact convex subset of  $C$ ;
2.  $\text{Con } \sigma(T) \subseteq W_\rho(T)$  and  $W_\infty(T) = \text{Con } \sigma(T)$ ;
3.  $W_\rho(cT + bI) = cW_\rho(T) + b$ ,  $b, c \in C$ ;
4.  $W_\rho(T) \supseteq W_{\rho'}(T)$  for  $\rho' > \rho$ ; in particular,  $W_\rho(T) \subseteq \text{CLW}(T)$  for  $\rho \geq 1$ ;
5.  $W_\rho(T) = \text{CLW}(T)$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$ .

The object of this article is to pursue further the study of generalized numerical ranges. In §1, we investigate the properties of those operators  $T$  for which  $w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) \geq 1/d(v, W_\rho(T))$  for all  $v \notin W_\rho(T)$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Also we introduce in §2, the notions of generalized Minkowski distance functionals and generalized essential numerical ranges for elements of the Calkin algebra.

1. In [4], Luecke defines a subclass  $\mathcal{R}$  of convexoid operators as follows:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{T \in B(H) : \|(T - vI)^{-1}\| = 1/d(v, W(T)) \text{ for all } v \notin \text{CLW}(T)\}.$$

Our first observation for such operators  $T$  is the following

**THEOREM 1.**  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if

$$(*) \quad w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) = 1/d(v, W_\rho(T))$$

for all  $v \notin W_\rho(T)$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\rho \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\text{Bdry } W(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$  [4], it follows that for such operators  $1/d(v, W(T)) = 1/d(v, \sigma(T)) \leq w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) \leq \|(T - vI)^{-1}\| \leq 1/d(v, W(T))$  or  $w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) = 1/d(v, W(T))$  for all  $v \notin \text{CLW}(T)$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Now the convexoidity of  $T$  implies  $\text{CLW}(T) = \text{Con } \sigma(T) \subseteq W_\rho(T)$ , and hence by Theorem B(4),  $\text{CLW}(T) =$

$W_\rho(T)$  for all  $\rho \geq 1$ . Thus  $w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) = 1/d(v, W_\rho(T))$  for all  $v \notin W_\rho(T)$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\rho \geq 1$ .

Author [6] has shown that a closed convex subset  $M$  of  $C$  contains  $\text{CLW}(T)$  if and only if  $w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) \leq 1/d(v, M)$  for all  $v \notin M$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Therefore if  $T$  satisfies (\*), then  $\text{CLW}(T) \subseteq W_\rho(T)$ . But by Theorem B(4),  $W_\rho(T) \subseteq \text{CLW}(T)$ , and so  $\text{CLW}(T) = W_\rho(T)$ . Thus (\*) reduces to  $w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) = 1/d(v, W(T))$  and hence  $\|(T - vI)^{-1}\| = 1/d(v, W(T))$  for all  $v \notin \text{CLW}(T)$ . This shows that  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Taking a clue from these conclusions, we generalize operators of class  $\mathcal{R}$  as follows

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\rho \geq 1$ . An operator  $T$  is said to be an operator of class  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  if for all  $v \notin W_\rho(T)$ ,  $w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1}) \geq 1/d(v, W_\rho(T))$ .

In view of Theorem B(4), (5), it is not difficult to see that  $\mathcal{R}_\rho \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\rho'}$  whenever  $\rho < \rho'$ ; in particular,  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\rho$  for any  $\rho \geq 1$ . Moreover for  $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\rho$ .

We now characterize operators of class  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  in the following theorem which also shows that our definition of  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  is, in fact, independent of  $\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 2.**  $T \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$  if and only if  $\text{Bdry } W_\rho(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\text{Bdry } W_\rho(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ . Then for  $v \notin W_\rho(T)$ ,  $d(v, W_\rho(T)) = d(v, \sigma(T))$  and hence  $1/d(v, W_\rho(T)) = 1/d(v, \sigma(T)) \leq w_\alpha((T - vI)^{-1})$  or  $T \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$ . On the other hand, if  $v \in \text{Bdry } W_\rho(T)$ , then we can find a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  of complex numbers such that  $v_n \rightarrow v$  and  $|v_n - v| = d(v_n, W_\rho(T)) > 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Therefore if  $T \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$  then  $w_\alpha((T - v_n I)^{-1}) \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $v \in \sigma(T)$ ; thus  $\text{Bdry } W_\rho(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ .

Following Lin [3], we call  $T$  to be a  $\rho$ -convexoid operator if  $W_\rho(T) = \text{Con } \sigma(T)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_\rho$  be the class of such operators. Then  $\mathcal{L}_\rho \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\rho'}$  for  $\rho' > \rho$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\rho$  consists of all convexoid operators whenever  $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$ ; in particular the class of convexoid operators is contained in  $\mathcal{L}_\rho$  for all  $\rho \geq 1$ .

**COROLLARY 1.**  $\mathcal{R}_\rho \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\rho$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\rho \neq \mathcal{L}_\rho$ .

*Proof.* If  $T \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$ , then by Theorem 2,  $W_\rho(T) = \text{con } \sigma(T)$ . This proves the first assertion. To prove the remaining assertion, it suffices to take a convexoid operator  $T$  whose spectrum is finite but contains more than one point. Then  $T \in \mathcal{L}_\rho$  for all  $\rho \geq 1$ . However, in view of Theorem 2,  $T$  cannot be in any  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$ .

As observed earlier, the class  $\mathcal{R}$  is contained in the class  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  for  $\rho \geq 1$ . Our next result shows that the class  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  is indeed larger than the class  $\mathcal{R}$  for any  $\rho > 2$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *There exists a nonconvexoid operator which is of class  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  for any  $\rho > 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We claim that  $W_\rho(A) \neq \text{CLW}(A)$ , for  $\rho > 2$ . If not, then  $w_\rho \circ(A) = |W(A)|$  where  $w_\rho \circ(A) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W_\rho(A)\}$ . Since  $w_\rho(A) \cong w_\rho \circ(A)$  and  $|W(A)| \cong w_\rho(A)$ , we have  $w_\rho(A) = |W(A)|$ , which is not possible as  $w_\rho(A) = 1/\rho$  and  $|W(A)| = 1/2$  [1]. Thus  $W_\rho(A) \neq \text{CLW}(A)$  for any  $\rho > 2$ .

Let  $N$  be a normal operator such that  $\sigma(N) = W_\rho(A)$ . Clearly

$$(1) \quad r(N - vI) \leq w_\rho(A - vI) \quad \text{for all } v \in C.$$

Let  $T = N \oplus A$ , then  $\text{CLW}(T) = \text{Con}\{\text{CLW}(N) \cup \text{CLW}(A)\} = \text{Con}\{\text{Con } \sigma(N) \cup \text{CLW}(A)\} = \text{Con}\{W_\rho(A) \cup \text{CLW}(A)\} = \text{CLW}(A)$ .

Thus

$$(2) \quad \text{CLW}(T) = \text{CLW}(A).$$

Also  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(N) \cup \sigma(A) = W_\rho(A) \cup \sigma(A) = W_\rho(A)$ . Hence

$$(3) \quad \text{Con } \sigma(T) = W_\rho(A) = \sigma(T).$$

Since  $W_\rho(A) \neq \text{CLW}(A)$ , it follows from (2) and (3) that  $\text{CLW}(T) \neq \text{Con } \sigma(T)$ , which shows that  $T$  is nonconvexoid. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} W_\rho(T) &= \bigcap_v \{u : |u - v| \leq w_\rho(T - vI)\} \\ &= \bigcap_v \{u : |u - v| \leq \max\{w_\rho(N - vI), w_\rho(A - vI)\}\} \\ &\hspace{20em} [2, \text{Theorem 4.1}] \\ &= \bigcap_v \{u : |u - v| \leq \max\{r(N - vI), w_\rho(A - vI)\}\} \\ &= \bigcap_v \{u : |u - v| \leq w_\rho(A - vI)\} \quad (\text{by (1)}). \end{aligned}$$

So  $W_\rho(T) = W_\rho(A)$ . This together with (3) implies that  $T \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$ . This completes the proof.

It has been established in [4] that the inverse of a nonsingular operator of class  $\mathcal{R}$  is not necessarily of class  $\mathcal{R}$ . To show that the corresponding assertion for operators of class  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  is also true, we prove

**THEOREM 4.** *There exists a nonsingular operator  $T$  in  $\cap \mathcal{R}_\rho$  such that  $T^{-1} \notin \cup \mathcal{R}_\rho$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be the simple bilateral shift on  $H$ . Then  $T = 1/2 \oplus W$  will be an operator on  $H \oplus H$  such that  $\sigma(T) = \{1/2\} \cup \{v : |v| = 1\}$ , and  $\text{CLW}(T) = \{v : |v| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $\text{Bdry } W(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\rho$ . Now  $\sigma(T^{-1}) = \{2\} \cup \{v : |v| = 1\}$ . Clearly  $1 + 1/2i \in \text{Bdry Con } \sigma(T^{-1})$ . If  $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$  then  $\text{Bdry } W_\rho(T^{-1}) = \text{Bdry Con } \sigma(T^{-1}) \subseteq \sigma(T^{-1})$ . Consequently,  $1 + 1/2i \in \sigma(T^{-1})$ , which is not true. Thus  $T^{-1} \notin \cup \mathcal{R}_\rho$ .

2. In the Calkin algebra, the norm of  $\hat{T}$  is defined by

$$\|\hat{T}\| = \inf\{\|T + K\| : K \in K(H)\}.$$

This provides us with a clue to introduce the concept of generalized Minkowski distance functionals  $w_\rho(\cdot)$  on this algebra in the following manner:

$$w_\rho(\hat{T}) = \inf\{w_\rho(T + K); K \in K(H)\}.$$

Some of the properties of these functionals are just on the surface and follow from those of  $w_\rho(T)$  listed in Theorem A.

**THEOREM 5.**  *$w_\rho(\cdot)$  defined on  $B(H)/K(H)$  has the following properties:*

- (1)  $w_\rho(\hat{T}) < \infty$ ;
- (2)  $1/\rho \|\hat{T}\| \leq w_\rho(\hat{T})$ ;
- (3)  $K_\rho r_e(T) \leq w_\rho(\hat{T}) \leq K_\rho \|\hat{T}\|$ , where  $K_\rho = 1$  or  $2/\rho - 1$  according as  $\rho \geq 1$  or  $\rho < 1$ ;
- (4)  $w_\rho(v\hat{T}) = |v| w_\rho(\hat{T})$  for  $v \in C$ ;
- (5)  $w_\rho(\cdot)$  is a norm on  $B(H)/K(H)$ , whenever  $0 < \rho \leq 2$ ;
- (6)  $w_\rho(\hat{T})$  is continuous and nonincreasing function of  $\rho$ ;
- (7)  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} w_\rho(\hat{T}) = r_e(T)$ ;
- (8)  $w_\rho(\hat{T}^n) \leq w_\rho(\hat{T})^n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ .

Next we define the generalized essential numerical ranges  $W_\rho(\hat{T})$  ( $\rho \geq 1$ ) of  $T$  as follows:

$$W_\rho(\hat{T}) = \cap \{u : |u - v| \leq w_\rho(\hat{T} - v\hat{T}), u, v \in C\}$$

It is easy to show that  $W_\rho(\hat{T})$  is a compact convex subset of  $C$ . Since  $w_\rho(\hat{T}) \leq w_\rho(T)$ ,  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) \subseteq W_\rho(T)$ . Also by Theorem 4(6),  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) \supseteq W_{\rho'}(\hat{T})$  whenever  $\rho' > \rho$ . Following the same argument that was used in

the proof of Theorem B(3), one can show that  $W_\rho(c\hat{T} + b\hat{I}) = cW_\rho(\hat{T}) + b$ ,  $b, c \in C$ . The relation  $\text{Con } \sigma(\hat{T}) = \cap \{u: |u - v| \leq r_c(T - vI), u, v \in C\}$ , along with Theorem 4(3), (7) gives  $\text{Con } \sigma(\hat{T}) \subseteq W_\rho(\hat{T})$  and  $W_\infty(\hat{T}) = \text{Con } \sigma(\hat{T})$ . It is immediate from the definition that  $u \in W_\rho(\hat{T})$  if and only if  $|u - v| \leq w_\rho(T + K - vI)$  for all  $v$  in  $C$  and for  $K$  in  $K(H)$ . Thus  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) = \cap W_\rho(T + K)$ , where the intersection is taken over all  $K$  in  $K(H)$ . In particular,  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) = W_c(T)$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$  in view of Theorem B(5) and [8, Theorem 9]. We summarize all these conclusions in

**THEOREM 6.**  $W_\rho(\hat{T})$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $W_\rho(\hat{T})$  is a compact convex subset of  $C$ ;
- (2)  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) \subseteq W_\rho(T)$  and for  $\rho' > \rho$ ,  $W_{\rho'}(\hat{T}) \subseteq W_\rho(\hat{T})$ ;
- (3)  $W_\rho(c\hat{T} + b\hat{I}) = cW_\rho(\hat{T}) + b$ ,  $b, c \in C$ ;
- (4)  $W_\infty(\hat{T}) = \text{Con } \sigma(\hat{T}) \subseteq W_\rho(\hat{T})$ ;
- (5)  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) = \cap W_\rho(T + K)$ ; in particular  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) = W_c(T)$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$ , and  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) = W_c(T)$  for all  $\rho \geq 1$ .

**REMARK.** In the light of the above theorem, it is natural to ask the following question: Is it true that  $W_{\rho'}(\hat{T})$  is properly contained in  $W_\rho(\hat{T})$  for  $\rho' > \rho$ ? If  $\hat{T}$  is convexoid (i.e.  $W_c(\hat{T}) = \text{Con } \sigma(\hat{T})$ ), the answer is in negative. This will follow easily from Theorem 5(4), (5). The question still remains open in case  $\hat{T}$  is nonconvexoid. However, in particular, if  $\rho = 2$  then for a nonconvexoid  $\hat{T}$ , there does exist  $\rho' > 2$  such that  $W_{\rho'}(\hat{T})$  is properly contained in  $W_\rho(\hat{T}) = W_c(T)$ ; for if not, then  $W_{\rho'}(\hat{T}) = W_c(T)$  for all  $\rho > 2$  together with Theorem 5(4) would imply that  $\text{Con } \sigma(\hat{T}) = W_\infty(\hat{T}) = W_c(T)$  and hence that  $\hat{T}$  is convexoid.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author is indebted to Dr. B. S. Yadav for his help in the preparation of this article. Also he is thankful to the referee for his suggestions to improve the original version of the present paper.

## REFERENCES

1. J. A. R. Holbrook, *On the power bounded operators of Sz-Nagy and Foias*, Acta Sci. Math., **29** (1968), 299–310.
2. ———, *Inequalities governing the operator radii associated with unitary  $\rho$ -dilations*, Michigan Math. J., **18** (1971), 149–159.
3. C. S. Lin, *On a family of generalized numerical ranges*, Canad. J. Math., **XXVI**, No. 3 (1974), 678–685.
4. G. R. Luecke, *A class of operators on Hilbert space*, Pacific J. Math., **41** (1971), 153–156.
5. B. Sz-Nagy and C. Foias, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland Publishing Co., (Amsterdam–London, 1970).

6. S. M. Patel, *On some classes of operators associated with operator radii of Holbrook*, 39th Conference of Indian Math. Soc. (1973).
7. N. Salinas, *Operators with essentially disconnected spectrum*, Acta Sci. Math., **33** (1972), 193–205.
8. J. G. Stampfli and J. P. Williams, *Growth conditions and the numerical range in a Banach algebra*, Tohoku Math. J., **20** (1968), 417–424.

Received February 6, 1975, and in revised form April 2, 1976.

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

*Current Address:* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
SARDAR PATEL UNIVERSITY,  
VALLABH VIDYANAGAR—388 120.  
GUJARAT (INDIA)







Helen Elizabeth Adams, <i>Factorization-prime ideals in integral domains</i> .....	1
Patrick Robert Ahern and Robert Bruce Schneider, <i>The boundary behavior of Henkin's kernel</i> .....	9
Daniel D. Anderson, Jacob R. Matijevic and Warren Douglas Nichols, <i>The Krull intersection theorem. II</i> .....	15
Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, <i>On semiprime P.I.-algebras over commutative regular rings</i> .....	23
Robert H. Bird and Charles John Parry, <i>Integral bases for bicyclic biquadratic fields over quadratic subfields</i> .....	29
Tae Ho Choe and Young Hee Hong, <i>Extensions of completely regular ordered spaces</i> .....	37
John Dauns, <i>Generalized monofrom and quasi injective modules</i> .....	49
F. S. De Blasi, <i>On the differentiability of multifunctions</i> .....	67
Paul M. Eakin, Jr. and Avinash Madhav Sathaye, <i>R-endomorphisms of <math>R[[X]]</math> are essentially continuous</i> .....	83
Larry Quin Eifler, <i>Open mapping theorems for probability measures on metric spaces</i> .....	89
Garret J. Etgen and James Pawlowski, <i>Oscillation criteria for second order self adjoint differential systems</i> .....	99
Ronald Fintushel, <i>Local <math>S^1</math> actions on 3-manifolds</i> .....	111
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Choquet simplexes and <math>\sigma</math>-convex faces</i> .....	119
John R. Graef, <i>Some nonoscillation criteria for higher order nonlinear differential equations</i> .....	125
Charles Henry Heiberg, <i>Norms of powers of absolutely convergent Fourier series: an example</i> .....	131
Les Andrew Karlovitz, <i>Existence of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in a space without normal structure</i> .....	153
Gangaram S. Ladde, <i>Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems</i> .....	161
Joseph Michael Lambert, <i>Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpolation with norm preservation in <math>C[a, b]</math></i> .....	173
Ernest Paul Lane, <i>Insertion of a continuous function</i> .....	181
Robert F. Lax, <i>Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces</i> .....	191
Dan McCord, <i>An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem</i> .....	195
Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, <i>Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups</i> .....	205
Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, <i>Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions</i> .....	211
Judith Ann Palagallo, <i>A representation of additive functionals on <math>L^p</math>-spaces, <math>0 &lt; p &lt; 1</math></i> .....	221
S. M. Patel, <i>On generalized numerical ranges</i> .....	235
Thomas Thornton Read, <i>A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory coefficients</i> .....	243
Elemer E. Rosinger, <i>Division of distributions</i> .....	257
Peter S. Shoenfeld, <i>Highly proximal and generalized almost finite extensions of minimal sets</i> .....	265
R. Sirois-Dumais and Stephen Willard, <i>Quotient-universal sequential spaces</i> .....	281
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Convex and concave functions of singular values of matrix sums</i> .....	285
Edward D. Tymchatyn, <i>Some n-arc theorems</i> .....	291
Jang-Mei Gloria Wu, <i>Variation of Green's potential</i> .....	295