Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DIVISION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

ELEMER E. ROSINGER

Vol. 66, No. 1 November 1976

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol 66, No 1, 1976

DIVISION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

ELEMER E. ROSINGER

This paper deals with division in an associative commutative algebra containing the distributions in $\mathbf{R}^n$.

1. Introduction. In **[5]** and **[6]**, a family $(A_{p,\lambda} \mid p \in \bar{N}^n, \lambda \in \Lambda)$ of associative, commutative algebras with unit element were constructed, with the following main properties:

(1) $\mathcal{D}'(R^n) \subset A_{p,\lambda}$, $\forall p \in \bar{N}^n$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$,
(here, $N = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$, $\bar{N} = N \cup \{\infty\}$ and $n \in N$, $n \geqq 1$);

(2) The multiplication in each of the algebras $A_{p,\lambda}$, $p \in \bar{N}^n$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, induces on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(R^n)$ the usual multiplication of functions and the function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^n)$, with $\psi(x) = 1, \forall x \in R^n$, is the unit element in the algebras;

(3) for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exist linear mappings $D^p\colon A_{q+p,\lambda} \to A_{q,\lambda}$, with $p \in N^n$, $q \in \bar{N}^n$, such that

(3.1) D^p satisfies on $A_{q+p,\lambda}$ the Leibnitz rule of product derivative.

(3.2) D^p is the usual distribution derivative on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(R^n) \oplus \mathcal{D}'_\delta(R^n)$, where $\mathcal{D}'_\delta(R^n) = \{S \in \mathcal{D}'(R^n) \mid \operatorname{supp} S$ is finite$\}$;

(4) The following relations hold for the Dirac δ_{x_0} distribution, concentrated in $x_0 \in R^n$:

$$(x - x_0)^r \cdot D^q \delta_{x_0} = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}, \quad \forall p \in N^n, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

if q, $r \in N^n$, $r \geqq p + e$, $r \geqq q + e$, where $e = (1, \cdots, 1) \in N^n$.

In the present paper, within the one dimensional case $n = 1$, necessary or sufficient conditions are given for $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$, in order to be a solution of one of the equations $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$ and $x^m \cdot T = S \in A_{p,\lambda}$, with $m \in N$, $m \geqq 1$.

2. Notations. Several classes of sequences of complex valued smooth functions (see **[5]** and **[6]**) will be needed.

(1) $\mathcal{W} = N \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$; if $s \in \mathcal{W}$, $\nu \in N$, $x \in R^1$, then $s(\nu) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$, $s(\nu)(x) \in C^1$; for $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$ denote $u(\psi) \in \mathcal{W}$, where $u(\psi)(\nu) = \psi$, $\forall \nu \in N$; $\mathcal{W}$ is in a natural way an associative, commutative algebra (the vector spaces and algebras are considered over the field C^1 of

complex numbers), with the unit element $u(1)$ and zero element $u(0)$; thus, $\mathcal{O} = \{u(0)\}$ is the null space in $\mathcal{W}$;

(2) $D\colon \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}$ is defined by $(Ds)(\nu)(x) = (Ds(\nu))(x)$, $\forall s \in \mathcal{W}$, $\nu \in N$, $x \in R^1$; for given $x_0 \in R^1$, define $\tau_{x_0}\colon \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}$ by $(\tau_{x_0}s)(\nu)(x) = s(\nu)(x - x_0)$, $\forall s \in \mathcal{W}$, $\nu \in N$, $x \in R^1$;

(3) $\mathcal{U} = \{u(\psi) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)\}$;

(4) $\mathcal{S}_0$ is the set of $s \in \mathcal{W}$, weakly convergent in $\mathcal{D}'(R^1)$; $\mathcal{V}_0$ is the kernel of the linear surjection:

$$\mathcal{S}_0 \ni s \to \langle s, \cdot \rangle \in \mathcal{D}'(R^1),$$

where

$$\langle s, \psi \rangle = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \int_{R^1} s(\nu)(x)\psi(x)dx, \qquad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(R^1);$$

One of the basic ideas in the construction of the associative and commutative distribution multiplication in **[5]** and **[6]**, is the way the weakly convergent sequences of smooth functions representing the Dirac δ distribution are chosen:

(5) $\mathcal{X}^0_\delta$ is the set of $s \in \mathcal{S}_0$, satisfying the conditions:

(5.1) $\langle s, \cdot \rangle = \delta$,

(5.2) $\forall \epsilon > 0\colon \exists \nu_\epsilon \in N\colon \forall \nu \in N,$
$\nu \geqq \nu_\epsilon, x \in R^1, |x| \geqq \epsilon\colon s(\nu)(x) = 0$

(5.3) $\forall p \in N\colon \exists \nu_p \in N\colon \forall \nu \in N,$
$\nu \geqq \nu_p\colon W(s(\nu), \cdots, s(\nu + p))(0) \neq 0.$

where $W(\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_m)(x)$, $x \in R^1$, denotes the Wronskian function of $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_m \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$.

The condition (5.3), called "*strong local presence of s in x* = 0" and replaced in **[6]** by a weaker form, plays a central role in the associative, commutative distribution multiplication presented in **[5]** and **[6]**.

(6) for $p \in \bar{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p}$ the set of $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, satisfying the above condition (5.2), as well as

(6.1) $\forall q \in N, q \leqq p\colon \exists \nu_q \in N\colon \forall \nu \in N\colon \nu \geqq \nu_q \Rightarrow D^q v(\nu)(0) = 0;$

(7) $\mathcal{S}^0_\delta = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_0 \mid \operatorname{supp}\langle s, \cdot \rangle \subset \{0\}\}$;

(8) $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,p}$, with $p \in \bar{N}$, and $\mathcal{S}_\delta$ are the vector subspaces generated in $\mathcal{W}$ by $\bigcup_{x \in R^1} \tau_x \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p}$, respectively $\bigcup_{x \in R^1} \tau_x \mathcal{S}^0_\delta$;

(9) $\mathcal{X}_\delta = \times_{x \in R^1} \tau_x \mathcal{X}^0_\delta$;

(10) for $\Sigma = (s_x \mid x \in R^1) \in \mathcal{X}_\delta$, denote by $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ the vector subspace generated in $\mathcal{S}_0$ by the sequences $D^p s_x$, with $x \in R^1$, $p \in N$.

And now, the definition of the associative, commutative algebras

$(A_{p,\lambda} \mid p \in \bar{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda)$, where Λ is the set of all $\lambda = (\Sigma, \mathcal{S}_1)$ with $\Sigma \in \mathcal{Z}_\delta$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$ vector subspace in $\mathcal{S}_0$, such that $(\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{S}_\delta) \cap \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{S}_\delta + \mathcal{S}_1$.

Suppose $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda = (\Sigma, \mathcal{S}_1) \in \Lambda$ and denote

(11) $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda} = \mathcal{V}_{\delta,p} \oplus \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \oplus \mathcal{S}_1$;

(12) $\mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$ the smallest subalgebra in $\mathcal{W}$, containing $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}$ and invariant of the mapping $D\colon \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}$;

(13) $\mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ the vector subspace generated in $\mathcal{W}$ by $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,p} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$.

Then (see **[5]** and **[6]**)

(1) $A_{p,\lambda} = \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda} / \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$,

(2) $D\colon A_{p+1,\lambda} \to A_{p,\lambda}$ is given by

$$D(t + \mathcal{I}_{p+1,\lambda}) = Dt + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}, \qquad \forall t \in \mathcal{A}_{p+1,\lambda}.$$

3. Multiplication by $1/x^m$, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$. It is shown (see Corollary 2) that in the algebras $A_{p,\lambda}$, the multiplication by $1/x^m$ does not represent the division by x^m.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$, with given $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$ such that for a certain $m \in \bar{N}$*

$$D^q\psi(0) = 0, \qquad \forall q \in N, \qquad q \leqq m.$$

If there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$ such that $\psi \cdot T = \chi$ in $A_{p,\lambda}$, then:

$$D^q\chi(0) = 0, \qquad \forall q \in N, \qquad q \leqq \min\{p, m\}.$$

Proof. Assume $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, with $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$. Then $\psi \cdot T = \chi$ in $A_{p,\lambda}$ implies $u(\chi) = u(\psi) \cdot t + w$, with $w \in \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$. Therefore,

$$\forall q \in N, q \leqq p\colon \exists \nu_q \in N\colon \forall \nu \in N, \nu \geqq \nu_q\colon D^q w(\nu)(0) = 0.$$

Since $\chi = \psi \cdot t(\nu) + w(\nu)$, $\forall \nu \in N$, the proof is completed.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$, with given $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

If $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$ such that $\psi(0) \neq 0$, then, $x^m \cdot T \neq \psi$ in $A_{p,\lambda}$, $\forall m \in N$, $m \geqq 1$.

COROLLARY 2. *If $m \in N$, $m \geqq 1$, then, $x^m \cdot (1/x^m) \neq 1$, in each of the algebras $A_{p,\lambda}$, $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

4. Division by x^m, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$. First, in Theorem 2, a sufficient condition is given for $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$, in order to be a solution of the equation $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$, where $m \in N$, $m \geqq 1$.

For $p \in \bar{N}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, denote by $B^0_{p,\lambda}$ all the elements $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ of the form $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, where $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda} \cap \mathcal{V}_0$ and satisfies also (5.2) in §2.

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose given* $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *and* $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$, *such that, for a certain* $q \in \bar{N}$, $q \geqq p$:

$$D^r\psi(0) = 0, \qquad \forall r \in N, \qquad r \leqq q.$$

Then, $\psi \cdot B^0_{p,\lambda} = \{0\} \subset A_{p,\lambda}$.

Proof. Assume $T \in B^0_{p,\lambda}$ and $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, with $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda} \cap \mathcal{V}_0$ and satisfying (5.2) in §2. Then, $\psi \cdot T = u(\psi) \cdot t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$. But, obviously, $u(\psi) \cdot t \in \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,q} \subset \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p} \subset \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, hence, $T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose given* $p \in N$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *and* $m \in N$, $m \geqq 1$. *Then, any*

$$T_0 = \sum_{0 \leqq i \leqq k} x^{r_i} \cdot T_{1i} \cdot T_{2i} + \sum_{0 \leqq j \leqq h} x^{q_j} \cdot D^{p_j}\delta \cdot T_{3j},$$

with $k, h, r_i, q_j, p_j \in N$, $r_i > p - m$,
$q_j > \max\{p, p_j\} - m$,
and $T_{1i} \in B^0_{p,\lambda}$, $T_{2i}, T_{3j} \in A_{p,\lambda}$,
will be a solution in $A_{p,\lambda}$ *of the equation* $x^m \cdot T = 0$.

Proof. According to Proposition 1, $x^m \cdot x^{r_i} \cdot T_{1i} = x^{m+r_i} \cdot T_{1i} = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$, since $m + r_i > p$. According to (4) in §1 (see also 3) in Theorem 6, §8 **[5]**), $x^m \cdot x^{q_j} \cdot D^{p_j}\delta = x^{m+q_j} \cdot D^{p_j}\delta = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$, since $m + q_j > \max\{p, p_j\}$.

It results the following sufficient condition on $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$, solution of the equation $x^m \cdot T = S \in A_{p,\lambda}$.

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose* $S \in A_{p,\lambda}$, *with* $p \in N$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *given and* $m \in N$, $m \geqq 1$.

If T_1 is any solution in $A_{p,\lambda}$ of the equation $x^m \cdot T = S$ and T_0 is given as in Theorem 2, then $T = T_1 + T_0$ will be again a solution of that equation.

Before a necessary condition is given on $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$, solution of the equation $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$, the notion of *support* of the elements in $A_{p,\lambda}$ will be defined.

Suppose $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$, with $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ given and $E \subset R^1$. Then,

(1) *T vanishes on E*, only if $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, with $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$, such that $t(\nu)(x) = 0$, $\forall \nu \in N$, $\nu \geqq \nu_0$, $x \in E$.

(2) *T strictly vanishes on E*, only if T vanishes on a certain open set $G \subset R^1$, containing E.

(3) *T is supported by E*, only if for every open set $G \subset R^1$, containing E, one can write $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, with $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$, such that $\operatorname{supp} t(\nu) \subset G$, $\forall \nu \in N$, $\nu \geqq \nu_0$.

The *support of T* is defined as the closed set

$$\operatorname{supp} T = R^1 \backslash \{x \in R^1 \mid T \text{ strictly vanishes on } \{x\}\}.$$

Obviously, for the distributions in $\mathscr{C}^\infty(R^1) \oplus \mathscr{D}'_\delta(R^1)$, the above notion of support is identical with the usual one for distributions.

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose $x_0 \in R^1$ and $q \in N$, then, $D^q\delta_{x_0} \in A_{p,\lambda}$, for $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and*

(1) *$D^q\delta_{x_0}$ is supported by $\{x_0\}$ and* $\operatorname{supp} D^q\delta_{x_0} = \{x_0\}$,

(2) *if $E \subset R^1$ and $x_0 \notin$ closure E, then $D^q\delta_{x_0}$ strictly vanishes on E,*

(3) *$D^q\delta_{x_0}$ does not vanish on $R^1\backslash\{x_0\}$,*

(4) *$D^q\delta_{x_0}$ does not vanish on $\{x_0\}$.*

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) follow easily.

(4) Assume $\lambda = (\Sigma, \mathscr{S}_1)$ and $\Sigma = (s_x \mid x \in R^1)$, then, $D^q\delta_{x_0} = D^q s_{x_0} + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ and $s_{x_0} \in \tau_{x_0}\mathscr{X}^0_\delta$. Suppose, $D^q\delta_{x_0}$ vanishes on $\{x_0\}$, then, there exists $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$, such that $t - D^q s_{x_0} \in \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ and $t(\nu)(x_0) = 0$, $\forall \nu \in N$, $\nu \geqq \nu_0$. Denoting $v = t - D^q s_{x_0}$, the relation $v \in \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ implies $\nu(\nu)(x_0) = 0$, $\forall \nu \in N$, $\nu \geqq \nu_1$. Therefore, it results

$$D^q s_{x_0}(\nu)(x_0) = t(\nu)(x_0) - v(\nu)(x_0) = 0, \qquad \forall \nu \in N, \qquad \nu \geqq \nu_2.$$

But, that relation implies $W(s_{x_0}(\nu), \cdots, s_{x_0}(\nu + q))(x_0) = 0$, $\forall \nu \in N$, $\nu \geqq \nu_2$, which contradicts the assumption $s_{x_0} \in \tau_{x_0}\mathscr{X}^0_\delta$.

REMARK. The property of the Dirac distributions that $D^q\delta_{x_0}$ *does not vanish on* $\{x_0\}$, $\forall x_0 \in R^1$, $q \in N$, is a direct consequence of the "*condition of strong local presence*" (see (5.3) in §2) and it is proper for the distribution multiplication presented in **[5]** and **[6]**. The "delta sequences" generally used (see **[2]**) do not necessarily prevent the vanishing of $D^q\delta_{x_0}$ on $\{x_0\}$.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ with $p \in \bar{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ given.*

If $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$, for a certain $m \in N$, $m \geqq 1$, then T is supported by $\{0\}$, hence supp $T \subset \{0\}$.

Proof. Assume $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, with $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$. Then $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$ implies $u(x^m) \cdot t \in \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, therefore, according to the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ (see (13), §2), it results

$$u(x^m) \cdot t = \sum_{0 \leqq i \leqq k} v_i \cdot a_i$$

with $k \in N$, $v_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta,p}$, $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$.

Now, due to the definition $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,p}$ (see (8) and (6), §2), it follows that: $\forall i \in \{0, \cdots, k\}$: $\exists X_i \subset R^1$, X_i finite: $v_i = \sum_{x \in X_i} v_{ix}$, where $v_{ix} \in \tau_x \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p}$.

Concluding, there exists $X \subset R^1$, X finite, such that

$$u(x^m) \cdot t = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{0 \leqq j \leqq h} v_{xj} \cdot b_{xj} \quad \text{with} \quad h \in N, \quad v_{xj} \in \tau_x \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p}, \quad b_{xj} \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}.$$

It will be shown now, that in the above relation, one can consider $X = \{0\}$. Indeed, suppose $x_0 \in X \setminus \{0\}$, then $v_{x_0 j} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p}$ with $0 \leqq j \leqq h$. The condition (5.2) in §2, results in the existence of $w_{x_0 j} \in \mathcal{W}$, with $0 \leqq j \leqq h$, such that $v_{x_0 j}(\nu)(x) = x^m \cdot w_{x_0 j}(\nu)(x)$, $\forall 0 \leqq j \leqq h$, $x \in R^1$, $\nu \in N$, $\nu \geqq \nu_0$. Moreover, $w_{x_0 j} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p}$, $\forall 0 \leqq j \leqq h$, since $v_{x_0 j} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{V}^0_{\delta,p}$, with $0 \leqq j \leqq h$, and $x_0 \neq 0$.

Denoting

$$v = \sum_{\substack{x_0 \in X \\ x_0 \neq 0}} \sum_{0 \leqq j \leqq h} w_{x_0 j} \cdot b_{x_0 j}$$

it results $v \in \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, hence, $T = t_1 + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$, where $t_1 = t - v \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$. But $u(x^m) \cdot t_1 = u(x^m) \cdot t - u(x^m) \cdot v = \sum_{0 \leqq j \leqq h} v_{0j} \cdot b_{0j}$.

Since v_{0j}, with $0 \leqq j \leqq h$, satisfy (5.2) in §2, it follows that $u(x^m) \cdot t_1$ and, therefore t_1 satisfy the same condition. Thus, $T = t_1 + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ is supported by $\{0\}$, which obviously results in supp $T \subset \{0\}$.

References

1. H. Kang, J. Richards, *A general definition of convolution for distributions*, (to appear).

2. J. Mikusinski, *On the square of the Dirac delta distribution*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., **14**, 9, (1966), 511–513.

3. E. Rosinger, *Embedding the $\mathcal{D}'(R^n)$ distributions in pseudotopological algebras*, Stud. Cerc. Mat., **18**, 5, (1966), 687–729.

4. ———, *Pseudotopological spaces. Embedding the $\mathcal{D}'(R^n)$ distributions into algebras*, Stud. Cerc. Mat., **20**, 4, (1968), 553–582.

5. ———, *A distribution multiplication theory*, Haifa Technion's Preprint Series, AMT-31, October 1974 (to appear).
6. ———, *An associative, commutative distribution multiplication*, Technical Report, Haifa Technion, March 1976 (to appear).
7. L. Schwartz, *Sur l'impossibilité de la multiplication des distributions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **239** (1954), 847–848.

Received June 23, 1975 and in revised form April 12, 1976.

TECHNION — ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 66, No. 1 November, 1976