

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON SEMI-SIMPLE GROUP ALGEBRAS. II

EUGENE SPIEGEL AND ALLAN TROJAN

ON SEMI-SIMPLE GROUP ALGEBRAS II

EUGENE SPIEGEL AND ALLAN TROJAN

For F a field and G a group, let FG denote the group algebra of G over F . Let \mathcal{G} be a class of finite groups. Call the fields F and \bar{F} equivalent on \mathcal{G} if for all $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$, $FG \approx FH$ if and only if $\bar{F}G \approx \bar{F}H$. In [9] we began a study of this equivalence relation, discussing the case when \mathcal{G} consists of all finite p -groups, for p an odd prime. In this note we continue our study of the equivalence relation. Section one deals with some general results, section two solves the equivalence problem when \mathcal{G} is the class of all finite 2-groups, and some remarks about the results are made in section three.

1. Throughout this paper we assume that all group algebras FG are semi-simple, that is, the characteristic of F is zero or does not divide the order of G . As usual, ζ_n denotes a primitive n th root of unity, Z_p is the field of p elements, and Q_p is the p -adic field.

Let G be a finite group of order n , and K a field. Then $KG \approx \sum_i A_i$, with $A_i \approx [K]_{u_i} \otimes D_i$, where D_i is a finite dimensional division algebra over K and $[K]_{u_i}$ represents the ring of $u_i \times u_i$ matrices over K . Call D_i the division algebra of A_i . If C_i is the center of D_i , then $K \subset C_i \subset K(\zeta_n)$.

Let K_1G (K_2G) represent the sum of those A_i for which the division algebra is (is not) commutative. Then $KG \approx K_1G \oplus K_2G$. If $\text{char } k \neq 0$, then $KG \approx K_1G$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let L be a field extension of the field K . Let G and H be groups of order n . Suppose that L is linearly disjoint from $K(\zeta_n)$ over K , and $KG \approx K_1G$. Then $KG \approx KH$ if and only if $LG \approx LH$.*

Proof. If $KG \approx KH$ then $LG \approx KG \otimes_K L \approx KH \otimes_K L \approx LH$.

Conversely, suppose $LG \approx LH$. Then $KG \approx \sum_i [K]_{u_i} \otimes K_i$, where $K \subset K_i \subset K(\zeta_n)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} LG &\approx \left(\sum [K]_{u_i} \otimes_{K_i} K_i \right) \otimes_K L \\ &\approx \sum [K]_{u_i} \otimes_{K_i} \left(K_i \otimes_K L \right) \\ &\approx \sum [K]_{u_i} \otimes_{K_i} K_i L \quad \text{since } K_i \text{ and } L \text{ are linearly disjoint.} \\ &\approx \sum [L]_{u_i} \otimes_{L_i} L_i \quad \text{where } L_i = K_i L. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the numbers u_i are determined by LG . Also $L_i \cap K(\zeta_n) = LK_i \cap K(\zeta_n) = K_i$, by linear disjointness. So each L_i determines a K_i . Thus LG determines KG . This proves the converse.

COROLLARY 1.2. *If the field K is algebraically closed in the extension field L , and $KG \simeq K_1G$, then $KG \simeq KH$ if and only if $LG \simeq LH$.*

The next two results apply to the case where $KG \neq K_1G$.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let L/K be a field extension of degree $r \neq \infty$. Let G, H be groups of order n . Assume that $(r, n) = 1$ and L is linearly disjoint from $K(\zeta_n)$ over K . Then $KG \simeq KH$ if and only if $LG \simeq LH$.*

Proof. Suppose $LG \simeq LH$. As before, we show that LG determines KG . Let $KG \simeq \sum A_i$, where the A_i are simple algebras. Then $LG \simeq \sum \bar{A}_i$, where $\bar{A}_i \simeq A_i \otimes_K L$. Each \bar{A}_i is also a simple algebra. For example, let $A = A_1 \simeq [D]_u$, where D is the division algebra of A . Let C be the center of D . Then $K \subset C \subset K(\zeta_n)$, and so, by linear disjointness, $A \otimes_K L \simeq [D]_u \otimes_C C \otimes_K L \simeq [D]_u \otimes_C CL \simeq [D \otimes_C CL]_u$, and $[CL : C] = [L : K] = r$ is relatively prime to the index of D , $(\text{ind } D)$. Consequently, $D \otimes_C CL$ is also a division algebra. (Corollary, Theorem 20, p. 60, [1].) It is the division algebra of the simple algebra $A \otimes_K L$, and its center is CL . So what is necessary is to check that $A \otimes_K L$ determines A uniquely, that is, $D \otimes_C CL$ determines D . But the center C of D is uniquely determined by $CL \cap K(\zeta_n) = C$. Now suppose $D \otimes_K L = D' \otimes_K L$ for some second division algebra, D' , whose center also is C . Let D^{-1} be the inverse of D in the Brauer group. Then, for some integers l and v :

$$\begin{aligned}
 [CL]_l &\simeq [C]_l \otimes L \simeq D^{-1} \otimes_C D \otimes_K L \simeq D^{-1} \otimes_C D' \otimes_K L \simeq [D'']_v \otimes_K L \\
 &\simeq \left[D'' \otimes_C CL \right]_v
 \end{aligned}$$

where D'' is a division algebra whose center, again, is C . So CL splits D'' . But $(r, \text{ind } D'') = 1$ because $\text{ind } D''$ divides $(\text{ind } D)^2$. So $D'' \simeq C$, so that D^{-1} is the inverse of D' , that is, $D = D'$.

THEOREM 1.4. *Suppose L is a purely transcendental extension of the field K . Then $KG \simeq KH$ if and only if $LG \simeq LH$.*

Proof. We show once again that LG determines KG .

Case i. $L = K(x)$, x transcendental.

Again, $KG \cong \Sigma [D_i]_u$, D_i a division algebra with center $C_i \supset K$. And again we examine a particular $D_i = D$, ($C_i = C$, $u_i = u$). Then $L \otimes_K D \cong L \otimes_K C \otimes_C D \cong LC \otimes_C D$ is simple. (68.1 of [5].) So there is an integer, t , and a division algebra, E , such that $L \otimes_K D \cong [E]^t$. If $t \neq 1$, $L \otimes_K D$ must have zero-divisors. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in L \otimes_K D$ with $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$. Then $\alpha = \Sigma r_i(x) \otimes a_i$, $\beta = \Sigma s_i(x) \otimes b_i$, where $r_i(x), s_i(x) \in L$ and $a_i, b_i \in D$. Multiplying by a suitable $p(x) \otimes 1 \in L \otimes D$ we can assume that $r_i(x), s_i(x)$ are polynomials in x . We then obtain an equation of the form $0 = (\Sigma c_i x^i) \cdot (\Sigma d_i x^i)$ with $c_i, d_i \in D$. Obviously either $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 0$. So $t = 1$ and $L \otimes D = E$ is also a division algebra. And E determines D . For suppose $L \otimes_K D \cong L \otimes_K D'$. Then, as in the previous proof, there exist integers u, v such that:

$$\begin{aligned} [LC]_u &\cong \left[L \otimes_K C \right]_u \cong L \otimes_K [C]_u \cong L \otimes_K D \otimes_C D^{-1} \cong L \otimes_K D' \otimes_C D'^{-1} \\ &\cong L \otimes_K [D'']_v \cong \left[L \otimes_K D'' \right]_v \end{aligned}$$

for some division algebra D'' with center C . But since $L \otimes_K D''$ is a division algebra, $v = u$ and $L \otimes_K D'' \cong LC$. Thus $D'' = C$ and so $D^{-1} = (D')^{-1}$, i.e. $D = D'$.

Case ii. L has finite transcendence degree over K .

The result follows immediately from i by induction.

Case iii. I is an index set and $L = K \{x_i \mid i \in I\}$.

Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$, $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ and suppose $\psi: LG \rightarrow LH$ is an L -algebra onto isomorphism. Write $\psi(g_i) = \Sigma_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} h_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha_{ij} \in L$. Then each α_{ij} is the quotient of two polynomials with coefficients in K , each involving only a finite number of the indeterminates $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$. Let B be the set of all indeterminates which appear in any of the α_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then $|B| < \infty$. Also $\psi(g_i) \in K(B)H$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. And $\psi: K(B)G \rightarrow K(B)H$. But ψ is a $K(B)$ isomorphism of the finite dimensional vector space $K(B)G$ into $K(B)H$. So it is onto. So $LG \cong LH$ implies $K(B)G \cong K(B)H$. Since $K(B)$ is a purely transcendental extension of K , of finite transcendence degree, the result follows by Case ii.

2. Let K be a field. Let $\gamma_K(n) = \deg(K(\zeta_{2^{n+2}})/K(\zeta_{2^{n+1}}))$. We call $\{\gamma_K(n)\} n = 1, 2, \dots$ the 2-sequence of K . This sequence has one of the following forms:

- 1, 1, 1, ...
- 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, 2, 2, ...
- 2, 2, 2, ...

Define:

$$\text{ind}_2 K = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma_K(1) = 2 \\ n & \text{if } \gamma_K(n) = 2, \gamma_K(n-1) = 1, n \geq 2 \\ \infty & \text{if } \gamma_K(n) = 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$t(K) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X^2 + Y^2 = -1 \text{ is solvable in } K \\ 0 & \text{if } X^2 + Y^2 = -1 \text{ is not solvable in } K. \end{cases}$$

$$O(K) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X^2 + 1 = 0 \text{ is solvable in } K \\ 0 & \text{if } X^2 + 1 = 0 \text{ is not solvable in } K. \end{cases}$$

We call $\text{ind}_2(K)$, $t(K)$ and $O(K)$ the 2-invariants of K . In [8] the following proposition was proven:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let K, L be fields. Then K and L are equivalent on the class of all finite abelian 2-groups if and only if $O(K) = O(L)$ and $\text{ind}_2(K) = \text{ind}_2(L)$.*

This result is generalized here to all finite 2-groups.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Then the equation $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$ is solvable in Z_p and in Q_p .*

Proof. Any homogeneous polynomial equation of degree 2 in 3 variables has a nontrivial solution over a finite field, $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 0$ in particular. This leads to a solution of $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$. Let $a, b \in Z_p$ satisfy $a^2 + b^2 = -1$. Regarding a as an integer in Q_p , the equation $Y^2 = -1 - a^2$ is solvable in Z_p and hence in Q_p . This yields a solution of $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$ in Q_p .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let F be a field of characteristic 0. Let a, b be elements transcendental over F such that $a^2 + b^2 = -1$. Then the algebraic closure of F in $F(a, b)$ is F .*

Proof. $\deg(F(a, b)/F(a)) = 2$. So if $\alpha \in F(a, b)$ and α is algebraic over F then $\deg(F(\alpha)/F) \leq 2$. Suppose $\alpha \notin F$ and $\alpha = \sqrt{d}$, $d \in F$. Then $F(a, b) = F(a, \sqrt{d})$. So $b = p(a) + q(a)\sqrt{d}$ for some $p(a), q(a) \in F(a)$. $-1 - a^2 = p^2(a) + q^2(a)d + 2p(a)q(a)\sqrt{d}$. Thus $p(a) = 0$ or $q(a) = 0$. If $q(a) = 0$, then $b \in F(a)$, which is impossible. So $b = q(a)\sqrt{d}$. Write $q(a) = q_1(a)/q_2(a)$ where $q_1(a), q_2(a) \in F[a]$. Now $(-1)(1 + a^2) = d(q_1(a))^2/(q_2(a))^2$. But $1 + a^2$ is either irreducible in $F[a]$ or the product of two primes, while the prime

factorization of $(q_1(a))^2/(q_2(a))^2$ involves only squares of primes. This contradicts the assumption that $\alpha \notin F$.

If $n \geq 2$ is a positive integer, the field $Q(\zeta_{2^n})$ contains a unique cyclic, real extension of Q , of degree 2^{n-2} . Call this field R_n . Then $R_2 \subset R_3 \subset R_4 \subset \dots$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let K, L be fields. Then K and L are equivalent on the class of all finite 2-groups if and only if $t(K) = t(L)$, $O(K) = O(L)$, $\text{ind}_2(K) = \text{ind}_2(L)$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be the classical quaternion algebra of Hamilton over Q . Let F be a field extension of Q . Then F splits \mathcal{H} if and only if $t(F) = 1$. ([3], problem 12, page 149.) Suppose K and L are equivalent on the class of all finite 2-groups. By Proposition 2.1, $O(K) = O(L)$ and $\text{ind}_2(K) = \text{ind}_2(L)$. Let G be the quaternion group of order 8 and H the dihedral group of order 8. Then $QG \simeq Q \oplus Q \oplus Q \oplus Q \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and $QH \simeq Q \oplus Q \oplus Q \oplus Q \oplus [Q]_2$. (This can be deduced, for example, from the examples on page 339 of [5], plus the fact that the characters of G and H are all real.) So $KG \neq KH$ if and only if \mathcal{H} does not split over K , i.e. $t(K) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose $t(K) = t(L)$, $O(K) = O(L)$, $\text{ind}_2(K) = \text{ind}_2(L)$.

Case i. $t(K) = t(L) = 0$.

Then $O(K) = O(L) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2 $\text{char } K = \text{char } L = 0$. Assume first that $\text{ind}_2 K = n < \infty$. Then $R_{n+1} \subset K$, $R_{n+1} \subset L$, and the 2-invariants of R_{n+1} and K agree. It is sufficient to show that R_{n+1} and K are equivalent on the class of all finite 2-groups. Let G be a group of order 2^n . Write $R_{n+1}G \simeq R_{n+1,1}G \oplus R_{n+1,2}G$ and $KG \simeq K_1G \oplus K_2G$ as in §1. But the only division algebra that can occur at a simple component of KG (or $R_{n+1}G$) is $\mathcal{H} \otimes_O K$ (or $\mathcal{H} \otimes_O R_{n+1}$). ([7].) So K_2G determines $R_{n+1,2}G$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, K_1G determines $R_{n+1,1}G$. So KG determines LG .

If $\text{ind}_2 K = \infty$, and $|G| = |H| = 2^n$, then $R, \subset K$ and $R, \subset L$, so that by an argument similar to the previous, $KG \simeq KH$ if and only if $R,G \simeq R,H$ if and only if $LG \simeq LH$.

Case ii. $t(K) = t(L) = 1$ and $\text{char } K = \text{char } L = 0$.

Now, if G is a 2-group, $KG \simeq K_1G$. Suppose $\text{ind}_2(K) = n < \infty$. If $O(K) = 1$, then $Q(\zeta_{2^{n+1}}) \subset K$ and $Q(\zeta_{2^{n+1}}) \subset L$. The result follows by Theorem 1.1. If $O(K) = 0$, then $R_{n+1} \subset K$. Let a, b be transcendental over K , satisfying $a^2 + b^2 = -1$. Then K is algebraically closed in $K(a, b)$. By Corollary 1.2, K and $K(a, b)$ are equivalent on finite

2-groups. $R_{n+1}(a, b) \subset K(a, b)$. So by Proposition 1.1 of [9] $R_{n+1}(a, b, \zeta_{2^r})$ and $K(a, b)$ are linearly disjoint over $R_{n+1}(a, b)$, because $R_{n+1}(a, b, \zeta_{2^r}) \cap K(a, b) = R_{n+1}(a, b, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in Q(\zeta_{2^r})$, and by Lemma 2.3, $\alpha \in K$ and $R_{n+1}(a, b, \zeta_{2^r}) \cap K(a, b) = R_{n+1}(a, b)$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, $R_{n+1}(a, b)$ and $K(a, b)$ are equivalent on 2-groups. Similarly, let \bar{a}, \bar{b} be transcendental over L , satisfying $\bar{a}^2 + \bar{b}^2 = -1$. Then $R_{n+1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ and L are equivalent on all finite 2-groups. It is sufficient, therefore, to check that $R_{n+1}(a, b)$ and $R_{n+1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ are equivalent on finite 2-groups. But $\psi: R_{n+1}(a, b) \rightarrow R_{n+1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ given by $\psi(r) = r$ if $r \in R_{n+1}$, $\psi(a) = \bar{a}$, $\psi(b) = \bar{b}$ extends to an isomorphism of $R_{n+1}(a, b)G$ onto $R_{n+1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})G$. If $\text{ind}_2 K = \infty$, proceed as in Case i.

Case iii. $t(K) = t(L) = 1$, $\text{char } K = p > 2$.

Suppose $\text{ind}_2 K = n < \infty$. It is sufficient to show that there is a field \bar{K} of characteristic 0 with the same 2-invariants as those of K , and which is equivalent to K on the class of all finite 2-groups. If $O(K) = 0$, let $T = Z_p$. If $O(K) = 1$, let $T = Z_p(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})$. In either case $T \subset K$, T and K have the same 2-invariants, and by Theorem 1.1 T and K are equivalent on finite 2-groups. Let \bar{K} be a totally unramified extension of Q_p which has residue class field T . By Proposition 2.4 of [9] and Lemma 2.2, \bar{K} and T have the same 2-invariants and are equivalent on the class of finite 2-groups. For $\text{ind}_2 K = \infty$, we proceed again as in Case i.

COROLLARY 2.5. Q and Q_2 are equivalent on the class of all finite 2-groups.

Proof. By Eisenstein's criterion, the 2^r -th cyclotomic polynomial is irreducible over Q_2 . Hence $\text{ind}_2(Q_2) = \text{ind}_2(Q)$. We must check $t(Q_2) = 0$.

If $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$ is solvable in Q_2 , with X, Y 2-adic integers, then the equation $X^2 + Y^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ is solvable, a contradiction. Otherwise, we can assume the solution of $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$ in Q_2 has the form $X = \alpha/2^r$, $Y = \beta/2^r$ with $r > 0$, α and β 2-adic integers and $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. This leads to a solution of $Z^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, a contradiction.

3. (i) The hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 are all necessary. The two non-abelian groups of order 8 suffice to check this.

(ii) In Theorem 1.4 we cannot just assume that K is algebraically closed in L . For if $K = Q, L = Q(a, b)$, with a, b transcendental over Q and $a^2 + b^2 = -1$, by Theorem 2.4, K and L are not equivalent on 2-groups.

(iii) If K is an algebraic number field, by the results in [6] we can say exactly when $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$ is solvable in K .

(iv) In [9] we asked whether there is a prime field Z_q that is equivalent to Q on the class of all p -groups, for p odd. This says that $q^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for all $p \neq q$. Such primes q are studied in relation to the Fermat problem, and numerical indications can be found in [4].

REFERENCES

1. A. A. Albert, *Structure of Algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol. 24, Providence, R.I., 1961.
2. Benard, *Quaternion Constituents of Group Algebras*, Proceedings, Amer. Math. Soc., **30** (1971), 217–219.
3. Bourbaki, *Eléments de Mathématique*, Fascicule 23.
4. Brillhard, Jonascia and Weinberger, *On the Fermat Quotient*, in *Computers in Number Theory*, 1971, Academic Press, Atkin and Birch editors, p. 213–222.
5. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
6. Fein, Gordon and Smith, *On the Representations of -1 as a Sum of Two Squares in an Algebraic Number Field*, Number Theory, **3** (1971), 310–315.
7. Roquette, *Realisierung von Darstellungen Endlicher Nilpotenter Gruppen*, Archiv der Math., **9** (1958), 241–250.
8. Spiegel, *On Isomorphisms of Abelian Group Algebras*, Canad. J. Math., **27** (1975), 155–161.
9. Spiegel and Trojan, *On Semi-Simple Group Algebras I*, Pacific J. Math., **59** (1975), 549–555.

Received July 22, 1975

THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT
AND
ATKINSON COLLEGE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1976 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 66, No. 2

December, 1976

Gerald A. Beer, <i>Tax structures whose progressivity is inflation neutral</i>	305
William M. Cornette, <i>A generalization of the unit interval</i>	313
David E. Evans, <i>Unbounded completely positive linear maps on C^*-algebras</i>	325
Hector O. Fattorini, <i>Some remarks on convolution equations for vector-valued distributions</i>	347
Amassa Courtney Fauntleroy, <i>Automorphism groups of unipotent groups of Chevalley type</i>	373
Christian C. Fenske and Heinz-Otto Peitgen, <i>On fixed points of zero index in asymptotic fixed point theory</i>	391
Atsushi Inoue, <i>On a class of unbounded operator algebras. II</i>	411
Herbert Meyer Kamowitz, <i>The spectra of endomorphisms of algebras of analytic functions</i>	433
Jimmie Don Lawson, <i>Embeddings of compact convex sets and locally compact cones</i>	443
William Lindgren and Peter Joseph Nyikos, <i>Spaces with bases satisfying certain order and intersection properties</i>	455
Emily Mann Peck, <i>Lattice projections on continuous function spaces</i>	477
Morris Marden and Peter A. McCoy, <i>Level sets of polynomials in n real variables</i>	491
Francis Joseph Narcowich, <i>An imbedding theorem for indeterminate Hermitian moment sequences</i>	499
John Dacey O'Neill, <i>Rings whose additive subgroups are subrings</i>	509
Chull Park and David Lee Skoug, <i>Wiener integrals over the sets bounded by sectionally continuous barriers</i>	523
Vladimir Scheffer, <i>Partial regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations</i>	535
Eugene Spiegel and Allan Trojan, <i>On semi-simple group algebras. II</i>	553
Katsuo Takano, <i>On Cameron and Storvick's operator valued function space integral</i>	561