

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF AN n -MANIFOLD IS
 $n + 1$**

SATYA DEO

THE COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF A n -MANIFOLD IS $n + 1$

SATYA DEO

It is known that any one-dimensional topological manifold is of cohomological Dimension two. The present paper is devoted to the proof of the conjecture that the cohomological Dimension of any topological n -manifold is $n + 1$.

Introduction. Let ϕ be a family of supports on a topological space X . The largest integer n (or ∞) for which there exists a sheaf \mathcal{A} of abelian groups on X such that the Grothendieck cohomology groups $H_n^*(X, \mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ is called the *cohomological ϕ -dimension* ($\dim_\phi X$) of X . The supremum of all ϕ -dimensions when ϕ runs over all the families of supports on X is called the *cohomological Dimension* ($\text{Dim } X$) of X . The *extent* $E(\phi)$ of a family of supports ϕ is defined to be the union of all members of ϕ . It is then known that if ϕ and ψ are two paracompactifying families of supports on X such that $E(\phi) \subset E(\psi)$ then $\dim_\phi(X) \leq \dim_\psi(X)$. It follows, therefore, that if ϕ varies over all those paracompactifying families of supports on X whose extents are equal to X then $\dim_\phi(X)$ is independent of ϕ and is called the *cohomological dimension* ($\dim X$) of X . Thus if a space X admits a paracompactifying family of supports with extent equal to X then $\dim X$ makes sense. Let us call a topological space to be *locally paracompact Hausdorff* if each point of the space has a closed paracompact Hausdorff neighbourhood in it. Then one can easily see that for any space X , $\dim X$ makes sense if and only if X is locally paracompact Hausdorff. An interesting relation between $\dim X$ and $\text{Dim } X$ when X is a nice space is given by the following: *If X is locally completely paracompact Hausdorff then $\dim X = \text{Dim } X$ or $\dim X = \text{Dim } X - 1$.* An open problem as to which one it is, was solved in the case of one-dimensional topological manifolds in [2]. The main objective of this paper is to prove the conjecture of [2] by showing that if X is a topological n -manifold then $\text{Dim } X = n + 1$ for any $n \geq 1$.

1. Preliminaries. By a sheaf in this paper we shall mean a sheaf of abelian groups. If \mathcal{A} is a sheaf on a space X , $\mathcal{E}^0(X, \mathcal{A})$ will denote the sheaf on X generated by the presheaf $U \rightarrow C^0(U, \mathcal{A})$ where $C^0(U, \mathcal{A})$ is abelian group of all sections (not necessarily continuous) of \mathcal{A} on the open set U of X . Similarly, $\mathcal{F}^1(X, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}^1$ will denote the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{E}^0(X, \mathcal{A})/\mathcal{A}$ on X . For each positive integer n we define inductively

$$\mathcal{C}^n(X, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathcal{F}^n(X, \mathcal{A}))$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^{n+1} = \mathcal{F}^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}^1(X, \mathcal{F}^n(X, \mathcal{A})).$$

If \mathcal{A} is a flabby sheaf on a space X then $H_\phi^1(X, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for every family of supports ϕ on X . Conversely because an open set U of X is always taut we find ([1], p. 59) that

$$H^1(X, U, \mathcal{A}) \approx H_{\text{cld}/X-U}^1(X, \mathcal{A}) = 0.$$

Here cld denotes the family consisting of all closed sets of X . But again because (cf. [1] p. 58)

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, U, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, U, \mathcal{A})$$

with supports in cld is exact we find that $H^0(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{A})$ is an epimorphism and hence \mathcal{A} is flabby. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 1.1 ([1] p. 110): *Let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{A} is flabby if and only if $H_\phi^1(X, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for every family of supports ϕ on X .*

Since the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(X, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

of sheaves on X where $n \geq 0$ and \mathcal{F}^0 stands for \mathcal{A} is exact and $\mathcal{C}^n(X, \mathcal{A})$ is ϕ -acyclic for every ϕ we find that for each $k > 0$

$$H_\phi^k(X, \mathcal{F}^n) \approx H_\phi^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{n-1}) \approx \dots \approx H_\phi^{k+n}(X, \mathcal{A}).$$

Hence by above proposition we have

PROPOSITION 1.2 ([1] p. 110): *Let X be a topological space. Then $\text{Dim } X \leq n$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}^n(X, \mathcal{A})$ is flabby for every sheaf \mathcal{A} on X .*

Now we state the following theorems which we shall require in the proof of our main result.

Vietoris-Begle theorem for Sheaf cohomology ([1] p. 55): *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous closed map, \mathcal{B} a sheaf on Y and ψ a family of supports on Y . Suppose that each $f^{-1}(y)$ is connected and taut in X and that $H^p(f^{-1}(y), \mathcal{B}_y) = 0$ for $p > 0$ and all y . Then*

$$f^*: H_{\psi}^*(Y, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow H_{f^{-1}(\psi)}^*(X, f^*\mathcal{B})$$

is an isomorphism.

Leray spectral theorem for Sheaf cohomology ([1] p. 140): *Let*

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous, ψ any family of supports on X , \mathcal{A} a sheaf on X and ϕ be a paracompactifying family of supports on Y . Then there exists a spectral sequence in which

$$E_2^{pq} = H_2^q(Y, \mathcal{H}_{\psi^p}(f, \mathcal{A}))$$

and which converges to $H_{\phi(\psi)}^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{A})$. Here $\mathcal{H}^*(f, \mathcal{A})$ denotes the Leray Sheaf of the map f and $\phi(\psi)$ denotes the extension of ψ by ϕ .

2. On $\text{Dim } X$. For any space X we have the following form of Subspace Theorem and a result which shows that $\text{Dim } X$, in a sense, is a local property.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be any locally closed subspace of X . Then $\text{Dim } A \leq \text{Dim } X$. Furthermore, if each point of X has an open neighbourhood U such that $\text{Dim } U \leq n$ then $\text{Dim } X \leq n$.*

Proof. For any Sheaf \mathcal{A} on A the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(A, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^1(A, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact which means the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(A, \mathcal{A})_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^1(A, \mathcal{A})_A \longrightarrow 0$$

of sheaves on X is exact. But since the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathcal{A}_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^1(X, \mathcal{A}_A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and $\mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathcal{A}_A) \approx \mathcal{C}^0(A, \mathcal{A})_A$, we find that

$$\mathcal{F}^1(A, \mathcal{A})_A \approx \mathcal{F}^1(X, \mathcal{A}_A).$$

By induction, therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}^n(A, \mathcal{A})_A \approx \mathcal{F}^n(X, \mathcal{A}_A).$$

Now let s be a section of $\mathcal{F}^n(A, \mathcal{A})$ defined on any open set $U \cap A$ of A where U is open in X . This gives canonically a section s' of $\mathcal{F}^n(A, \mathcal{A})_A$ defined on U . But that means we have a section s'' of $\mathcal{F}^n(X, \mathcal{A}_A)$ on U . Suppose $\text{Dim } X = n$. Then $\mathcal{F}^n(X, \mathcal{A}_A)$ is flabby, by Proposition 1.2, and hence we have an extension s''' on X of the section s'' onto U . Then $s'''|_A$ on A is an extension of s on $U \cap A$. Whence $\mathcal{F}^n(A, \mathcal{A})$ is flabby and by Proposition 1.2, $\text{Dim } A \leq n$.

The last statement follows from Proposition 1.2 and the fact that a sheaf \mathcal{A} on a space X is flabby if and only if each point of X has an open neighbourhood U such that $\mathcal{A}|_U$ is flabby.

3. Main result. First we have the following

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbf{R}$ be the canonical projections and

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \pi_2 \\ \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

\mathcal{B} any sheaf on \mathbf{R}^{n-1} . Let ϕ be any family of supports on \mathbf{R}^{n-1} and ψ be the family of supports on $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$ which consists of the members of the family $\pi_2^{-1}(\phi)$ and their closed subsets. Then the Leray Sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{\psi}^*(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})$ is a constant sheaf on \mathbf{R} with stalks $H_{\phi}^*(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B})$

Proof. Note that the Leray Sheaf on \mathbf{R} is generated by the presheaf $U \rightarrow {}_{\psi \cap \pi_1^{-1}(U)}^*(\pi_1^{-1}(U), \pi_2^* \mathcal{B} | \pi_1^{-1}(U))$. Let us regard $H_{\phi}^*(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B})$ to be the constant sheaf on \mathbf{R} . The projections

$$(\pi_2)_U: \pi_1^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$$

induce a sheaf map

$$\pi_2^*: H_{\phi}^*(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\psi}^*(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B}).$$

Likewise, the inclusions (chosen once for all for each U) $i_U: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \pi_1^{-1}(U)$ induce a sheaf map

$$i^*: \mathcal{H}_{\psi}^*(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow H_{\phi}^*(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}).$$

Now, on the stalks at y in \mathbf{R} we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_2^*)_y: H_{\phi}^*(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\psi}^*(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})_y \\ &= \text{lim}_{\rightarrow} (\pi_2)_U^*: H_{\phi}^*(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\psi}^*(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})_y \end{aligned}$$

where U runs over all the neighbourhoods of y ,

$$= \text{lim}_{\rightarrow} (\pi_2)_W^*: H_{\phi}^*(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\psi}^*(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})_y$$

where W runs over the cofinal family of all compact interval neighbourhoods of y in \mathbf{R} . But since for each W , $(\pi_2)_W: \pi_1^{-1}(W) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ is a closed continuous map and $(\pi_2)_W^{-1}(z)$ is connected and taut (closed subspace of a paracompact space) in $\pi_1^{-1}(W)$ and $H^p((\pi_2)_W^{-1}(y), \mathcal{B}_z) = 0$ for every $p > 0$ and for every z in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} we find by Vietoris-Begle theorem that $(\pi_2)_W^*$ is an isomorphism. Hence $(\pi_2^*)_y$ is an isomorphism, which implies that π_2^* is an isomorphism with i^* as its inverse. This proves our lemma.

Now we can prove our

THEOREM 3.2 (Main result). *Let X be a topological n -manifold. Then $\text{Dim } X = n + 1$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . For $n = 1$ we have already proved in [2] that the Theorem is true. Hence we can

assume the theorem to be true for all positive integers less than n , $n > 1$. In view of Proposition 2.1 it suffices to prove that $\text{Dim } \mathbf{R}^n = n + 1$. By our hypothesis, there exists a sheaf \mathcal{B} and a family of supports ϕ on \mathbf{R}^{n-1} such that

$$H_\phi^n(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}) \neq 0 .$$

Now let π_1 and π_2 and ψ be as in Lemma 3.1. From there it follows that the Leray Sheaf $\mathcal{H}_\psi^*(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})$ is a constant sheaf on \mathbf{R} and that

$$\mathcal{H}_\psi^q(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})_y = \begin{cases} H_\phi^n(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}) & q = n \\ 0 & q > n . \end{cases}$$

Now let c denote the paracompactifying family of supports of all compact subsets of \mathbf{R} . Hence by Leray spectral theorem there exists a spectral sequence in which

$$E_2^{pq} = H_c^p(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{H}_\psi^q(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})) \implies H_{c(\psi)}^{p+q}(\mathbf{R}^n, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B}) .$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_\psi^n(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B}) \neq 0$ and $E_2^{pq} = 0$ for $p > 1$ or $q > n$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{c(\psi)}^{n+1}(\mathbf{R}^n, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B}) &\approx E_2^{1,n} \\ &\approx H_c^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{H}_\psi^n(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B})) \\ &\approx \mathcal{H}_\psi^n(\pi_1, \pi_2^* \mathcal{B}) \neq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{Dim } \mathbf{R}^n = n + 1$.

REMARK 3.3. If we want to use the Kunneth theorem for Sheaf cohomology ([1] p. 141) then a very short proof of our main result is as follows: By [2] and the definition of Dimension we find that there exists a sheaf \mathcal{A} on \mathbf{R} and a family of supports ϕ on \mathbf{R} such that $H_\phi^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ and $H_\phi^i(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $i > 2$. Since \mathbf{R} is a clc_∞ space we have, by Kunneth theorem, the following sequence to be exact.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_\phi^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z} &\longrightarrow H_{\phi \times c}^{n+1}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{A} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow H_\phi^3(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 . \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $H_{\phi \times c}^{n+1}(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{A} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1}) \neq 0$, whence the result.

REMARK 3.4. It should be noted that the main result of this paper is not true for zero-dimensional manifolds, which are, as a matter of fact, discrete spaces. Any sheaf on such a space is itself discrete and therefore flabby and hence ϕ -acyclic for every family

ϕ of supports on X . Since the family cld is a paracompactifying family for such a space we find that $\dim X = 0 = \text{Dim } X$. On the other hand the result is clearly true for any manifold with boundary also. Hence $\text{Dim } I^n = n + 1$ where I^n stands for the n -dimensional cube etc.

REMARK 3.5. As is well known the generalization of the concept of the family of supports to any paracompactifying family of support whose extent equals the space X itself is certainly an interesting one. However, our result shows that the generalization of latter one to any family of supports does not seem to be so interesting because of the fact that such a concept leads to a dimension function defined for all spaces which although distinguishes the Euclidean spaces \mathbf{R}^m and \mathbf{R}^n $m \neq n$, yet does not agree with other classical dimensions even for Euclidean spaces. But it may be justified on the ground that it, being the supremum of some other dimensions including the classical ones and being consistently greater than the classical ones strictly by one for Euclidean spaces \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 1$, is not a bad one. Also for manifolds it satisfies the following product formula

$$\text{Dim } X \times Y = \text{Dim } X + \text{Dim } Y - \varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon = 0$ if $m = 0$ or $n = 0$ and 1 otherwise.

REFERENCES

1. G. E. Bredon, *Sheaf Theory*, McGraw-Hill (1967).
2. S. Deo, *One dimensional manifold is of cohomological Dimension two*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 445-446.
3. H. Cartan, *Cohomologies des Groups*, Suite Spectral, Faisceaux, Seminar, Ecole, Normal Sup. (1950/51).
4. R. Godement, *Topologie Algebrique et Theorie des Faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris (1958).
5. A. Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d'algebre homologique*, Tohoku Math. J., **9** (1959), 119-221.

Received January 7, 1976.

UNIVERSITY OF ALLAHABAD, ALLAHABAD-211002 INDIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 67, No. 1

January, 1976

Gregory Wayne Brumfiel and John W. Morgan, <i>Homotopy theoretic consequences of N. Levitt's obstruction theory to transversality for spherical fibrations</i>	1
Jacob Burbea, <i>Total positivity of certain reproducing kernels</i>	101
Wai-Mee Ching, <i>The structure of standard C^*-algebras and their representations</i>	131
Satya Deo, <i>The cohomological dimension of an n-manifold is $n + 1$</i>	155
Masahiko Fujiwara and Masaki Sudo, <i>Some forms of odd degree for which the Hasse principle fails</i>	161
Mikihiro Hayashi, <i>Smoothness of analytic functions at boundary points</i>	171
Rebecca A. Herb, <i>A uniqueness theorem for tempered invariant eigendistributions</i>	203
David Alan Legg, <i>Orlicz space convergence of martingales of Radon-Nikodým derivatives given a σ-lattice</i>	209
D. B. McAlister, <i>v-prehomomorphisms on inverse semigroups</i>	215
Bruno J. Mueller, <i>Localization in fully bounded Noetherian rings</i>	233
Donald J. Newman and A. R. Reddy, <i>Rational approximation to x^n</i>	247
Abraham Ziv, <i>Inclusion relations between power methods of limitation</i>	251