

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ORLICZ SPACE CONVERGENCE OF MARTINGALES OF  
RADON-NIKODÝM DERIVATIVES GIVEN A  $\sigma$ -LATTICE**

DAVID ALAN LEGG

# ORLICZ SPACE CONVERGENCE OF MARTINGALES OF RADON-NIKODYM DERIVATIVES GIVEN A $\sigma$ -LATTICE

DAVID LEGG

Let  $\{M_k\}$  be an increasing sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -lattices of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , and let  $M$  be the  $\sigma$ -lattice generated by  $\bigcup_k M_k$ . Let  $L\Phi$  be an associated Orlicz space of  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions, where  $\Phi$  does not necessarily satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Given  $h \in L\Phi$ , let  $f_k$  be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $h$  given  $M_k$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions are given on  $h$  to insure that  $\{f_k\}$  converges in  $L\Phi$  to  $f$ , where  $f$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $h$  given  $M$ . The situation where  $f$  is valued in a Banach space with basis is also examined.

1. Introduction. If  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are countably additive set functions defined on a  $\sigma$ -lattice of sets, then the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mu$  has been defined by Johansen [4]. We may consider this derivative as a conditional expectation of a function with respect to the  $\sigma$ -lattice in the case where  $\lambda$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Hence we may define martingales in this setting. The relation between martingales and Orlicz spaces has been studied by Darst and DeBoth [3] in the case where the Orlicz function  $\Phi$  satisfied the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. In this paper we drop the  $\Delta_2$ -condition and give necessary and sufficient conditions for all martingales to converge to the appropriate function. We also consider the extension of this theory to Banach space valued set functions.

2. Notation. Let  $M$  be a sub  $\sigma$ -lattice of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a nonempty set  $\Omega$ , and let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be countably additive, real valued set functions defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $f$  is a derivative of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mu$  on  $M$  if  $f$  is an extended real-valued function defined on  $\Omega$  such that

- (1)  $f$  is  $M$ -measurable ( $[f > a]$  belongs to  $M$  for every real  $a$ )
- (2)  $\lambda(A \cap [f < b]) \leq b\mu(A \cap [f < b])$  for all  $A \in M, b \in R$ .
- (3)  $\lambda(B^c \cap [f > a]) \geq a\mu(B^c \cap [f > a])$  for all  $B \in M, a \in R$ .

Now suppose  $\mu$  is a finite, nonnegative measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $h \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Let  $\lambda(E) = \int_E h d\mu$  for  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a bounded signed measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $f$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mu$  on  $M$ , then we use the notation  $f = E(h, M)$ . This notation is used since  $f$  is the conditional expectation of  $h$  given  $M$

in the case  $h \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . (See [1].)

The theory of Orlicz spaces may be found in detail in [5]. We will describe here only the facts we need.

Let  $\Phi(x)$  be an even, real-valued function defined on  $R$  such that  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . Recall  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition in case there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $\Phi(2x) \leq K\Phi(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . If

$$\psi(y) = \max_{x \geq 0} [x|y| - \Phi(x)] ,$$

then  $\psi$  is called the complementary function to  $\Phi$ .

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. We denote by  $L^\Phi = L^\Phi(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  the space of (equivalence classes of)  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, real-valued functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\int_\Omega \Phi(f/N)d\mu < \infty$  for some  $N > 0$ .  $L^\Phi$  is a Banach space under either of the following equivalent norms:

$$\|f\| = \inf \left\{ N: \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{f}{N}\right)d\mu \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_\Omega fg d\mu \right| : \int_\Omega \psi(g)d\mu \leq 1 \right\} .$$

Using Jensen's inequality, it is easy to see that  $L^\Phi \subset L^1$ . Hence if  $h \in L^\Phi$ , then  $f = E(h, M)$  is defined.

### 3. Martingale convergence theorems.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $h \in L^\Phi$ , and  $f = E(h, M)$ , then  $f \in L^\Phi$ ; in fact,  $\|f\| \leq \|h\|$ .*

*Proof.* The argument used in [3, Thm. 1] can be trivially extended to show that  $\int_\Omega \Phi(f/N)d\mu \leq \int_\Omega \Phi(h/N)d\mu$ . Hence if  $N = \|h\|$ , we have  $\int_\Omega \Phi(f/N)d\mu \leq 1$ , implying  $\|f\| \leq N = \|h\|$ .

Suppose that  $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -lattices of subsets of  $\Omega$ , and  $M$  is the  $\sigma$ -lattice generated by  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty M_k$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_k$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $M_k$ . Let  $h \in L^\Phi$  and  $h_k$  be the  $\mathcal{A}_k$ -measurable function such that  $\int_E h d\mu = \int_E h_k d\mu$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{A}_k$ . Let  $f_k = E(h_k, M_k)$ . We call  $\{f_k, M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  a martingale.

It was shown in [3, Thm. 2] that if  $\Phi$  satisfied the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, then  $\{f_k\}$  converges to  $f = E(h, M)$  in the space  $L^\Phi$ . We now drop the  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $E_\Phi$  denotes the norm closure of the bounded functions in  $L^\Phi$ , then  $g \in E_\Phi$  if and only if  $\int_\Omega \Phi(g/N)d\mu < \infty$  for all  $N > 0$ .  $E_\Phi = L^\Phi$  if and only if  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition.*

*Proof.* See [5].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $h \in L^\phi(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $h \in E_\phi$
- (b) Every martingale  $\{f_k, M_k\}$  converges to  $f = E(h, M)$  in  $L^\phi$  norm.
- (c) Every martingale  $\{f_k, M_k\}$  converges to  $f = E(h, M)$  weakly in  $L^\phi$ .

*Proof.* I. (a) implies (b). If  $g$  is any function and  $M$  is a positive integer, let

$$g^M(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } |g(x)| \leq M \\ 0 & \text{if } |g(x)| > M. \end{cases}$$

Darst and DeBoth, in [3, Thm. 2], established

$$(4) \quad \int_{[|f_k| > \alpha]} \Phi(f_k/n) d\mu \leq \int_{[|f_k| > \alpha]} \Phi(h/n) d\mu \text{ for any } \alpha \geq 0 \text{ and for all } k \geq 1.$$

Hence

(5)  $\|f_k \chi_{[|f_k| > \alpha]}\| \leq \|h \chi_{[|f_k| > \alpha]}\|$ . But since each  $f_k$  is a Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $h$ , we have

(6)  $\mu([|f_k| > \alpha]) \leq |\lambda|(\{|f_k| > \alpha\}) \leq |\lambda|(\Omega)$ . Hence  $\mu([|f_k| > \alpha]) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $k$ . Since  $h \in E_\phi$ ,  $h$  has an absolutely continuous norm, hence

(7)  $\|h \chi_{[|f_k| > \alpha]}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $k$ . Referring back to (5), we conclude

(8)  $\|f_k^M - f_k\| = \|f_k \chi_{[|f_k| > M]}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $k$ . Now let  $M > 0$  be temporarily fixed. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and consider

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{f^M - f_k^M}{\varepsilon}\right) d\mu \leq \Phi\left(\frac{2M}{\varepsilon}\right) \mu(|f^M - f_k^M| > \delta) + \Phi(\delta) \mu(\Omega).$$

Brunk and Johansen, [2, Thm. 2.8], have established that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  a.e. Hence we may choose  $\delta$  so small and then  $k_0$  so large that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{f^M - f_k^M}{\varepsilon}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \text{ for } k \geq k_0.$$

This implies  $\|f^M - f_k^M\| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $k \geq k_0$ , so

(9)  $\|f^M - f_k^M\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, since  $\int_{\Omega} \Phi(f/N) d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(h/N) d\mu$  for all  $N > 0$ , Lemma 2 guarantees that  $f \in E_\phi$  whenever  $h \in E_\phi$ . Hence by [5, Lemma 10.1],

(10)  $\|f - f^M\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we use (10) and (8) to choose  $M$  large enough so that  $\|f - f^M\| < \varepsilon/3$

and  $\|f_k^M - f_k\| < \varepsilon/3$  for all  $k$ . Then using (9), we let  $k_0$  be so large that  $\|f^M - f_k^M\| < \varepsilon/3$  for  $k \geq k_0$ . Then  $\|f - f_k\| \leq \|f - f^M\| + \|f^M - f_k^M\| + \|f_k^M - f_k\| < \varepsilon$  for  $k \geq k_0$ , which establishes I.

II. (b) implies (c) trivially.

III. (c) implies (a). We will show that if  $h \notin E_\phi$ , then there is a martingale  $\{f_k, M_k\}$  such that  $\{f_k\}$  does not converge weakly to  $f = E(h, M)$ .

Let  $E_k = \{ |h| \leq k \}$ , and let  $M_k = \{B: B = A \cap E_k, A \in \mathcal{A}\} \cup \{E_k^c\}$ . Then  $M_k$  is a  $\sigma$ -lattice, and  $M = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty M_k = \mathcal{A}$ . It is clear that  $f_k = E(h, M_k) = h^k$ . Hence  $f_k \in E_\phi$  for all  $k$ . Now since  $M = \mathcal{A}$ , it follows that  $f = h$ , which is not in  $E_\phi$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem there is a continuous linear functional  $L$  on  $L^\phi$  such that  $L(f) = 1$  but  $L(g) = 0$  for all  $g \in E_\phi$ . Hence the sequence  $\{f_k\}$  does not converge weakly to  $f$ . Theorem 3 is established.

There is a type of convergence under which the the martingale  $\{f_k, M_k\}$  will always converge to  $f$ . We say that  $\{u_n\} \subset L_\phi$  converges  $E_\psi$ -weakly to  $u$  if  $\int_\Omega u_n v d\mu \rightarrow \int_\Omega u v d\mu$  for every  $v \in E_\psi$ , where  $\psi$  is the complimentary function to  $\phi$ . The following result may be found in [5, Thm. 14.6]:

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose the sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset L^\phi$  converges in measure to  $u$ , and there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\|u_n\| \leq M$  for all  $n$ . Then  $u \in L^\phi$  and  $\{u_n\}$  converges  $E_\psi$ -weakly to  $u$ .*

**COROLLARY 5.** *If  $h \in L^\phi$ ,  $f = E(h, M)$ , and  $\{f_k, M_k\}$  is a martingale, then the sequence  $\{f_k\}$  converges  $E_\psi$ -weakly to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* We have already seen that  $\|f_k\| \leq \|h\|$  for all  $k$ . Also,  $f_k \rightarrow f$  a.e., hence also in measure. The result follows from Theorem 4.

4. A martingale convergence theorem for vector valued measures. In this section we define the Radon-Nikodym derivative of a bounded countably additive set function valued in a Banach space  $\bar{X}$  with a Schauder basis with respect to a nonnegative measure given a  $\sigma$ -lattice. Then we prove a martingale convergence theorem.

Let  $\bar{X}$  be a Banach space with a Schauder basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of unit vectors. Recall that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$(11) \quad \|\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i\|_{\bar{X}} \leq K \|\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i e_i\|_{\bar{X}} \text{ for all } n, \text{ and all } \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i e_i \in \bar{X}.$$

Suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a finite measure space and  $M$  is a sub  $\sigma$ -lattice of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\lambda: M \rightarrow \bar{X}$  is countably additive, we may write  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i e_i$ , where each  $\lambda_i: M \rightarrow R$  is countably additive.

DEFINITION 6. Let  $f_i(x)$  be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda_i$  with respect to  $\mu$  on  $M$ . Then we call  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)e_i$  the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i$  with respect to  $\mu$  on  $M$ .

Suppose  $h: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{X}$  is given by  $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(x)e_i$ , where each  $h_i: \Omega \rightarrow R$ , and suppose further that  $\int_{\Omega} \|h(x)\|_{\bar{X}} d\mu < \infty$ . Then  $\lambda(E) = \int_E h(x) d\mu$  defines an  $\bar{X}$ -valued set function on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence  $\lambda$  may also be written  $\lambda(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(E)e_i$ . It is routine to verify that  $\lambda_i(E) = \int_E h_i(x) d\mu$  for each  $i$ . In view of this, we make the following

DEFINITION 7. If  $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(x)e_i$  is integrable, and  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(h_i, M)e_i$ , then we call  $f(x)$  the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $h$  on  $M$ . We denote  $f = E(h, M)$ .

Denote by  $L^{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$  the space of functions  $f$  defined on  $\Omega$  such that  $\|f(x)\|_{\bar{X}}$  is in  $L^{\phi}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , and  $E_{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$  the space of functions  $f$  such that  $\|f(x)\|_{\bar{X}}$  is in  $E_{\phi}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Then a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$  if  $\|f_n - f\|_{\bar{X}}$  converges to 0 in  $L^{\phi}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ .

THEOREM 8. If  $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(x)e_i$  is in  $L^{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|h_i\| < \infty$ , then  $f = E(h, M)$  is in  $L^{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\|E(g, M)\| \leq \|g\|$  for any  $g \in L^{\phi}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Let  $\psi$  be the complimentary function to  $\Phi$ , and let  $g$  be a nonnegative  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function on  $\Omega$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} \psi(g) d\mu \leq 1$ . Let  $C = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|h_i\|$ . Then  $\int_{\Omega} \|f(x)\|_{\bar{X}} g(x) d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)e_i\|_{\bar{X}} g(x) d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(x)|) g(x) d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_i(x)| g(x) d\mu \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|h_i\| = 2C$ . Hence  $\|f(x)\|_{\bar{X}} \in L^{\phi}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , so  $f \in L^{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$ .

Let  $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -lattices of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and let  $M$  be the  $\sigma$ -lattice generated by  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$ . If  $f^k = E(h, M_k)$ , then  $\{f^k, M_k\}$  is called a martingale.

THEOREM 9. Suppose  $h \in E_{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|h_i\| < \infty$ . If  $\{f^k, M_k\}$  is a martingale, and  $f = E(h, M) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i e_i$ , then  $f^k \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $L^{\phi}(\Omega, \bar{X})$ .

*Proof.* Since, by (11),  $|h_i(x)| \leq 2K \|h(x)\|_{\bar{X}}$  for each  $i$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{|h_i(x)|}{N}\right) d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{\|h(x)\|_{\bar{X}}}{N(2K)^{-1}}\right) d\mu$$

for all  $N > 0$ . Referring to Lemma 2, this implies  $h_i \in E_{\phi}$  for each  $i$ . Hence also  $f_i \in E_{\phi}$  for each  $i$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since, by hypothesis,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|h_i\| < \infty$ , we have

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| < \infty$  also. Let  $p$  be a positive integer such that  $\sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| < \varepsilon/8$ . Since  $f_i^q \rightarrow f_i$  in  $L^q(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  for each  $i$ , (Thm. 3), we can find a positive integer  $Q$  such that for  $q \geq Q$ ,  $\|f_i^q - f_i\| < \varepsilon/2p$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ .

Let  $g$  be a nonnegative,  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function such that  $\int_{\Omega} \psi(g) d\mu \leq 1$ . Then for  $q \geq Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} \|f^q(x) - f(x)\|_{\underline{\mathcal{X}}} g(x) d\mu \right| \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f_i^q(x) - f_i(x)) e_i \right\|_{\underline{\mathcal{X}}} g(x) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i^q(x) - f_i(x)| \right) g(x) d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{\Omega} |f_i^q(x) - f_i(x)| g(x) d\mu \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_i^q(x) - f_i(x)| g(x) d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p \|f_i^q - f_i\| + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} (\|f_i^q\| + \|f_i\|) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2 \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} (\|f_i^q\| + \|f_i\|) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 4 \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\| \|f^q - f\|_{\underline{\mathcal{X}}} \| < \varepsilon$  for  $q \geq Q$ , and the proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

1. H. D. Brunk, *Conditional expectation given a  $\sigma$ -lattice and applications*, Annals Math. Statist., **36** (1965), 1339-1350.
2. H. D. Brunk and S. Johansen, *A generalized Radon-Nikodym derivative*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970), 585-617.
3. R. B. Darst and G. A. DeBoth, *Norm convergence of martingales of Radon-Nikodym derivatives given a  $\sigma$ -lattice*, Pacific J. Math., **40** (1972), 547-551.
4. S. Johansen, *The descriptive approach to the derivative of a set function with respect to a  $\sigma$ -lattice*, Pacific J. Math., **21** (1967), 49-58.
5. M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces* (translation), Groningen, 1961.

Received May 5, 1976.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY-PURDUE UNIVERSITY-FORT WAYNE

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER



Gregory Wayne Brumfiel and John W. Morgan, <i>Homotopy theoretic consequences of N. Levitt's obstruction theory to transversality for spherical fibrations</i> .....	1
Jacob Burbea, <i>Total positivity of certain reproducing kernels</i> .....	101
Wai-Mee Ching, <i>The structure of standard <math>C^*</math>-algebras and their representations</i> .....	131
Satya Deo, <i>The cohomological dimension of an <math>n</math>-manifold is <math>n + 1</math></i> .....	155
Masahiko Fujiwara and Masaki Sudo, <i>Some forms of odd degree for which the Hasse principle fails</i> .....	161
Mikihiro Hayashi, <i>Smoothness of analytic functions at boundary points</i> ....	171
Rebecca A. Herb, <i>A uniqueness theorem for tempered invariant eigendistributions</i> .....	203
David Alan Legg, <i>Orlicz space convergence of martingales of Radon-Nikodým derivatives given a <math>\sigma</math>-lattice</i> .....	209
D. B. McAlister, <i><math>v</math>-prehomomorphisms on inverse semigroups</i> .....	215
Bruno J. Mueller, <i>Localization in fully bounded Noetherian rings</i> .....	233
Donald J. Newman and A. R. Reddy, <i>Rational approximation to <math>x^n</math></i> .....	247
Abraham Ziv, <i>Inclusion relations between power methods of limitation</i> .....	251