# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

RATIONAL APPROXIMATION TO  $x^n$ 

DONALD J. NEWMAN AND A. R. REDDY

Vol. 67, No. 1

January 1976

### RATIONAL APPROXIMATION TO $x^n$

DONALD J. NEWMAN AND A. R. REDDY

This note is concerned with the approximations of  $x^n$  on [0, 1] by polynomials and rational functions having only nonnegative coefficients and of degree at most  $k(1 \le k \le n-1)$ . It is shown that the best approximating polynomial of degree k on [0, 1] to  $x^n$  is of the form

$$p_k(x) = dx^k$$
,

where d > 0 and satisfies the assumption that

$$n(1-d) = (n-k) \Big(rac{k}{n}\Big)^{k/(n-k)} d^{n/(n-k)}$$
,

with an error  $\varepsilon_k = 1 - d$ , for each fixed  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ . It is also shown that  $dx^k$  is a best approximating rational function of degree k to  $x^n$  on [0, 1].

More than one hundred years ago Chebyshev showed that  $x^n$  can be uniformly approximated on [-1, 1] by polynomials of degree at most (n-1) with an error of exactly  $2^{-n+1}$ .

Just recently D.J. Newman [1] has shown that  $x^n$  can be uniformly approximated on [-1, 1] by rational functions of degree at most (n-1) with an error roughly  $\sqrt{n}(3\sqrt{3})^{-n}$ .

If one looks carefully at the above results, then the following questions arise naturally.

Q.1: How close can one approximate  $x^n$  uniformly on [0, 1] by polynomials of degree (n - 1) having only non-negative coefficients?

Q.2: Is the error obtained by rational functions of degree (n-1) having only nonnegative coefficients in approximating  $x^n$  on [0, 1] less than the error obtained by polynomials of degree (n-1) having only nonnegative coefficients?

We answer these questions in this note. Let

(1) 
$$\varepsilon_k = \inf_{\substack{p \in \pi_k^{\pi}}} ||x^n - p(x)||_{L^{\infty}[0,1]}$$

where  $\pi_k^*(1 \le k < n)$  denotes the class of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most k having only nonnegative coefficients.

(1') 
$$\theta_k = \inf_{p,q \in \pi_k^*} \left\| x^n - \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right\|_{L_{\infty}[0,1]}.$$

THEOREM 1. If  $p_k(x) = dx^k$ ,  $1 \leq k < n$ , where d > 0 and satisfies the assumption that

(2) 
$$n(1-d) = (n-k) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)} d^{n/(n-k)}$$

then  $p_k(x)$  is a best approximating polynomial to  $x^n$  in the sense of (1). In fact, we get

$$(3) n\varepsilon_k = (n-k) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)} (1-\varepsilon_k)^{n/(n-k)}$$

Proof. Let

$$(4) p_k(x) = d x^k$$

then it is easy to see by finding a point where  $|x^n - p_k(x)|$  attains its maximum on [0, 1], that

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon_k \leq ||x^n - p_k(x)||_{L^{\infty}[0,1]} = \max\left\{(1-d), \ \left(\frac{n-k}{n}\right) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)} d^{n/(n-k)}\right\}$$

From (2), it is clear that

(6) 
$$arepsilon_k \leq ||x^n - p_k(x)||_{L^\infty[0,1]} = (1-d)$$
 .

So that, again by (2), we obtain

(7) 
$$n \varepsilon_k \leq (1 - \varepsilon_k)^{n/(n-k)} (n-k) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)}$$

Now we get the lower bound to  $n \varepsilon_k$ .

From (1) and the nonnegativity of the coefficients we get

$$arepsilon_k \geq p(x)-x^n \geq [p(1)]x^k-x^n = [p(1)-1]x^k+x^k-x^n \ \geq x^k(-arepsilon_k+1-x^{n-k})$$

i.e.,

$$(8)$$
  $arepsilon_k \geq rac{x^k(1-x^{n-k})}{1+x^k}$  .

 ${(1-x^{n-k})x^k\over 1+x^k}$  attains its maximum for values of x satisfying

$$x^{n-k}=rac{k}{n}\Bigl(rac{1+x^n}{1+x^k}\Bigr)$$
 .

Hence for this value of x, we obtain

$$(9) \quad \varepsilon_k \ge x^k \Big(\frac{n-k}{k}\Big) x^{n-k} = \frac{x^n(n-k)}{k} = \frac{k-n x^{n-k}}{k} = 1 - \frac{n}{k} x^{n-k} \ .$$

From (9) we get

$$x^{n-k} \geqq (1-arepsilon_k) \, rac{k}{n}$$

•

•

i.e.,

(10) 
$$x \ge \left[ (1 - \varepsilon_k) \frac{k}{n} \right]^{1/(n-k)}$$

From (9) and (10) we obtain

(11) 
$$arepsilon_k \geq (1-arepsilon_k)^{n/(n-k)} \left(rac{k}{n}
ight)^{n/(n-k)} \left(rac{n-k}{k}
ight).$$

From (7) and (11) we get

$$n \varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle k} = (1 - \varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle k})^{\scriptscriptstyle n/(n-k)} (n - k) \Bigl( rac{k}{n} \Bigr)^{\scriptscriptstyle k/(n-k)}$$

Hence,  $p_k(x) = d x^k$  is a best approximating polynomial in the sense of (1).

THEOREM 2.

(12) 
$$\varepsilon_k = \theta_k \text{ for all } k(1 \leq k < n)$$
.

*Proof.* By definition, for a p(x) and q(x), we have

(13) 
$$\left\|x^n-\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}[0,1]}=\theta_k.$$

From (13) we get as earlier

i.e.,

(15) 
$$\theta_k \ge \frac{x^k (1 - x^{n-k})}{1 + x^k}$$

(8) and (15) being the same in terms of x, n and k, we get

(16) 
$$n \theta_k \geq (n-k) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)} (1-\theta_k)^{n/(n-k)}.$$

From Theorem 1 and (16), we obtain

(17) 
$$(1-\varepsilon_k)^{n/(n-k)} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)} \ge \varepsilon_k \left(\frac{n}{n-k}\right) \ge \left(\frac{n}{n-k}\right) \theta_k$$
$$\ge (1-\theta_k)^{n/(n-k)} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)} \ge (1-\varepsilon_k)^{n/(n-k)} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{k/(n-k)}$$

(12) follows easily from (17). Hence the result is proved.

Remarks on Theorems 1 and 2. According to ([2], Theorem 6)  $p_k$  of our Theorem 1 is unique. Hence  $p_k$  is the best approximating polynomial in the sense of (1). (ii) As a result of Theorems 1 and 2 a best approximation to  $x^n$  in the sense of (1') is also

$$p_k(x) - dx^k$$
,

where d > 0, satisfies (2). (iii) Let us suppose  $\varepsilon_k < 1 - d$ , then from (2) and (3), we get  $\varepsilon_k > 1 - d$ . Similarly, assume  $\varepsilon_k > 1 - d$ , then we get from (2) and (3),  $\varepsilon_k < 1 - d$ . Hence we have from (2) and (3), (3),

$$\varepsilon_k = 1 - d$$
, for each fixed  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

(iv) For the case k = n - 1, we get

$$heta_{n-1} = arepsilon_{n-1} \sim rac{c}{n}$$
 ,

where c satisfies the equation  $ce^{c+1} = 1$ .

#### References

 D. J. Newman, Rational approximation to x<sup>n</sup>, J. Approximation Theory, to appear.
 J. A. Roulier and G. D. Taylor, Uniform approximation having bounded coefficients, Abhand. aus dem Math. Sem. der Univ. Hamburg band, 36 (1971), 126-135.

Received May 26, 1976 and in revised form July 21, 1976.

YESHIVA UNIVERSITY AND INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

## Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 67, No. 1January, 1976

Gregory Wayne Brumfiel and John W. Morgan, <i>Homotopy theoretic</i> consequences of N. Levitt's obstruction theory to transversality for	
spherical fibrations	1
Jacob Burbea, Total positivity of certain reproducing kernels	101
Wai-Mee Ching, The structure of standard C*-algebras and their	
representations	131
Satya Deo, <i>The cohomological dimension of an n-manifold is</i> $n + 1$	155
Masahiko Fujiwara and Masaki Sudo, <i>Some forms of odd degree for which</i>	
the Hasse principle fails	161
Mikihiro Hayashi, Smoothness of analytic functions at boundary points	171
Rebecca A. Herb, A uniqueness theorem for tempered invariant	
eigendistributions	203
David Alan Legg, Orlicz space convergence of martingales of	
Radon-Nikodým derivatives given a $\sigma$ -lattice	209
D. B. McAlister, <i>v</i> -prehomomorphisms on inverse semigroups	215
Bruno J. Mueller, <i>Localization in fully bounded Noetherian rings</i>	233
Donald J. Newman and A. R. Reddy, <i>Rational approximation to</i> $x^n$	247
Abraham Ziv, Inclusion relations between power methods of limitation	251

