

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SKEW LINEAR VECTOR FIELDS ON SPHERES IN THE
STABLE RANGE**

JAMES CYRIL BECKER

SKEW LINEAR VECTOR FIELDS ON SPHERES IN THE STABLE RANGE

J. C. BECKER

THEOREM. *Assume $n > 2k$. Then every $(k - 1)$ -field on S^{n-1} is skew linear.*

1. Introduction. Skew linear vector fields on spheres have been studied by Strutt [6], Zvengrowski [8] and Milgram and Zvengrowski [4, 5]. Extensive calculation of projective homotopy classes in [5] led Milgram and Zvengrowski to conjecture that every r -field on S^{n-1} is skew linear. Here we will prove this conjecture in the stable range, as stated above.

After a reformulation using a construction of L. Woodward [7] and the results of [1], the theorem will follow from the Kahn-Priddy theorem [3].

Since proving this theorem I have learned that Milgram and Zvengrowski had already obtained the result using different methods [9]. They have also shown that 7 and 8-fields on S^{15} are skew linear, the two remaining cases excluded by the condition $n > 2k$ and not already dealt with in [8]. L. Woodward has also proved the theorem by methods similar to those used here.

2. Proof of the theorem. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration let $C(B; E)$ denote the set of vertical homotopy classes of cross sections to p . If Z_2 acts freely on B and E in such a way that p is equivariant let $C_{Z_2}(B; E)$ denote the set of equivariant vertical homotopy classes of equivariant cross sections to p .

Let $V_{n,k}$ denote the Stiefel manifold of k -frames in R^n with the involution $[v_1, \dots, v_k] \rightarrow [-v_1, \dots, -v_k]$. Recall that a *skew linear* $(k - 1)$ -field on S^{n-1} is a cross section to the bundle $V_{n,k} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ which is vertically homotopic to an equivariant cross section. Let $L_{n,k}$ denote the space of equivariant maps $S^{k-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. Fixing $x_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{k-1}$ as base point we have a fibration $L_{n,k} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ by evaluating at x_0 and a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V_{n,k} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & L_{n,k} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S^{n-1} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S^{n-1}
 \end{array}$$

where σ is the natural inclusion. The antipodal map on S^{n-1} induces an involution on $L_{n,k}$ such that the maps in the above diagram are equivariant. As is well known [2], σ is a $(2(n-k)-1)$ -equivalence. Hence

$$C(S^{n-1}; V_{n,k}) \simeq C(S^{n-1}; L_{n,k})$$

and

$$C_{Z_2}(S^{n-1}; V_{n,k}) \simeq C_{Z_2}(S^{n-1}; L_{n,k}).$$

Let P_k denote $(k-1)$ -dimensional real projective space and η_k the Hopf bundle over P_k . Let $\text{Tr}(n\eta_k)$ (respectively, $\text{Tr}_{Z_2}(n\eta_k)$) denote the set of fiber homotopy classes of fiber preserving maps (respectively, equivariant fiber homotopy classes of equivariant fiber preserving maps) $P_k \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S(n\eta_k)$, whose restriction to the fiber over $[x_0]$ is the identity map. Here $S(n\eta_k)$ is the unit sphere bundle of $n\eta_k$. Define a map

$$\mu: C(S^{n-1}; L_{n,k}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(n\eta_k)$$

by $\Delta \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}$ where $\bar{\Delta}([x], y) = [x, \Delta(y)(x)]$, $x \in S^{k-1}$, $y \in S^{n-1}$. This map is a bijection; in fact the underlying function spaces are homeomorphic (see Woodward [7, Lemma 1,2]). Similarly we have a bijection

$$\mu_{Z_2}: C_{Z_2}(S^{n-1}; L_{n,k}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}_{Z_2}(n\eta_k).$$

Let $G(S^{n-1})$ denote the identity component of the space of maps $S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ and let $G = \text{inj. lim. } G(S^{n-1})$. Let $G_{Z_2} = \text{inj. lim. } G_{Z_2}(S^{n-1})$ where $G_{Z_2}(S^{n-1})$ is the identity component of the space of equivariant maps $S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. Fixing an equivariant fiber map $f: S(n\eta_k) \rightarrow P_k \times S^{n-1}$ whose restriction to the fiber over $[x_0]$ is the identity, we have equivalences

$$\nu: \text{Tr}(n\eta_k) \rightarrow [P_k; G]$$

and

$$\nu_{Z_2}: \text{Tr}_{Z_2}(n\eta_k) \rightarrow [P_k; G_{Z_2}].$$

Each of these is defined by sending $h: P_k \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S(n\eta_k)$ to the adjoint of

$$P_k \times S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{h} S(n\eta_k) \xrightarrow{f} P_k \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}.$$

Here $[;]$ denotes homotopy classes of base point preserving maps.

Summarizing, let

$$\psi: C(S^{n-1}; V_{n,k}) \rightarrow [P_k; G]$$

denote the composite

$$C(S^{n-1}; V_{n,k}) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} C(S^{n-1}; L_{n,k}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Tr}(n\eta_k) \xrightarrow{\nu} [P_k; G]$$

and let ψ_{Z_2} denote its equivariant analogue.

LEMMA. *Assume $n > 2k$. There is a commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{Z_2}(S^{n-1}; V_{n,k}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{Z_2}} & [P_k; G_{Z_2}] \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi_* \\ C(S^{n-1}; V_{n,k}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & [P_k; G] \end{array}$$

in which ψ and ψ_{Z_2} are equivalences and ϕ is the forgetful map.

If X is a connected space let $Q^0(X^+)$ denote the o -component of $Q(X^+) = \Omega^\infty S^\infty(X^+)$. By the main result of [1] there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{Z_2} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & Q^0(RP^{\infty+}) \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \tau \\ G & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & Q^0(S^0) \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal maps are homotopy equivalences and τ is the transfer map associated with the double cover $S^\infty \rightarrow RP^\infty$. In view of this and the above lemma, our theorem will follow by showing that

$$\tau_*: [P_k; Q^0(RP^{\infty+})] \rightarrow [P_k; Q^0(S^0)]$$

is epimorphic. This is a consequence of the Kahn-Priddy theorem [3]. First note that both of these groups are finite and τ_* is clearly onto

the odd primary part. The Kahn–Priddy result states that τ_* also maps onto the 2–primary part. (Although they only consider the morphisms $\tau_*: [S^m; Q^0(RP^{\infty})] \rightarrow [S^m; Q^0(S^0)]$, for all m , their proof is valid with S^m replaced by any finite complex.)

REFERENCES

1. J. C. Becker and R. E. Schultz, *Equivariant function spaces and stable homotopy theory I*, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **49** (1974), 1–34.
2. A. Haefliger and M. W. Hirsch, *Immersions in the stable range*, *Ann. Math.*, **75** (1962), 231–241.
3. D. S. Kahn and S. B. Priddy, *Applications of the transfer to stable homotopy theory*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1972), 981–987.
4. R. J. Milgram and P. Zvengrowski, *Projective Stiefel manifolds and skew linear vector fields*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **28** (1974), 671–682.
5. ———, *Stable projective homotopy and applications to skew linear vector fields*, to appear.
6. J. Strutt, *Projective homotopy of Stiefel manifolds*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **24** (1972), 465–476.
7. L. M. Woodward, *Vector fields on spheres and a generalization*, *Quart. J. Math.*, **24** (1973), 357–366.
8. P. Zvengrowski, *Skew linear vector fields on spheres*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **3** (1971), 625–632.
9. R. J. Milgram and P. Zvengrowski, *Skew linearity of r -fields on spheres*, *Topology*, to appear.

Received February 3, 1976. Research supported by the Science Research Council (Gt. Britain) and the National Science Foundation.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 68, No. 1

March, 1977

Richard Julian Bagby, <i>On L^p, L^q multipliers of Fourier transforms</i>	1
Robert Beauwens and Jean-Jacques Van Binnebeek, <i>Convergence theorems in Banach algebras</i>	13
James Cyril Becker, <i>Skew linear vector fields on spheres in the stable range</i>	25
Michael James Beeson, <i>Continuity and comprehension in intuitionistic formal systems</i>	29
James K. Deveney, <i>Generalized primitive elements for transcendental field extensions</i>	41
Samuel S. Feder, Samuel Carlos Gitler and K. Y. Lam, <i>Composition properties of projective homotopy classes</i>	47
Nathan Jacob Fine, <i>Tensor products of function rings under composition</i>	63
Benno Fuchssteiner, <i>Iterations and fixpoints</i>	73
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>On punctured balls in manifolds</i>	81
Shigeru Itoh, <i>A random fixed point theorem for a multivalued contraction mapping</i>	85
Nicolas P. Jewell, <i>Continuity of module and higher derivations</i>	91
Roger Dale Konyndyk, <i>Residually central wreath products</i>	99
Linda M. Lesniak and John A. Roberts, <i>On Ramsey theory and graphical parameters</i>	105
Vo Thanh Liem, <i>Some cellular subsets of the spheres</i>	115
Dieter Lutz, <i>A perturbation theorem for spectral operators</i>	127
P. H. Maserick, <i>Moments of measures on convex bodies</i>	135
Stephen Joseph McAdam, <i>Unmixed 2-dimensional local domains</i>	153
D. B. McAlister and Norman R. Reilly, <i>E-unitary covers for inverse semigroups</i>	161
William H. Meeks, III and Julie Patrusky, <i>Representing codimension-one homology classes by embedded submanifolds</i>	175
Premalata Mohapatro, <i>Generalised quasi-Nörlund summability</i>	177
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Superalgebras of weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	197
Catherine Louise Olsen, <i>Norms of compact perturbations of operators</i>	209
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces. II</i>	229
Bernard Russo, <i>On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators</i>	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Anti-commutative algebras and homogeneous spaces with multiplications</i>	255
Robert Evert Stong, <i>Stiefel-Whitney classes of manifolds</i>	271
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On a theorem of Apostol concerning Möbius functions of order k</i>	277
Yoshio Tanaka, <i>On closedness of C- and C^*-embeddings</i>	283
Chi Song Wong, <i>Characterizations of certain maps of contractive type</i>	293