

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**GENERALIZED PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS FOR
TRANSCENDENTAL FIELD EXTENSIONS**

JAMES K. DEVENEY

GENERALIZED PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS FOR TRANSCENDENTAL FIELD EXTENSIONS

JAMES K. DEVENEY

Let L be a finitely generated separable extension of a field K of characteristic $p \neq 0$. Artin's theorem of a primitive element states that if L is algebraic over K , then L is a simple extension of K . If L is non-algebraic over K , then an element $\theta \in L$ with the property $L = L'(\theta)$ for every L' , $L \supseteq L' \supseteq K$, such that L is separable algebraic over L' is called a generalized primitive element for L over K . The main result states that if $[K : K^p] > p$, then there exists a generalized primitive element for L over K . An example is given showing that if $[K : K^p] \leq p$, then L need not have a generalized primitive element over K .

I. Introduction. Let L be a finitely generated extension of a field K of characteristic $p \neq 0$. Artin's theorem of the primitive element states that if L is separable algebraic over K , then L is a simple extension of K . In this paper we examine the following analogue of Artin's theorem in the case where L is a separable non-algebraic extension of K . Does there exist an element $\theta \in L$ with the property that θ is a primitive element for L over every intermediate field L' such that L is separable algebraic over L' ? The main result states that if K has at least two elements in a p -basis, then there does exist such a generalized primitive element (Theorem 4). Such elements θ are characterized by the condition that L is reliable over $K(\theta)$ (Theorem 1). As a corollary, it follows that automorphisms of L over K are uniquely determined by their action on a generalized primitive element θ . Other results which indicate the essential nature of a generalized primitive element include the following. If L_1 and L_2 are intermediate fields of L/K where L is separable over L_1 and L_2 , then $L_2 \supseteq L_1$ if and only if some generalized primitive element for L_1 is in L_2 (Theorem 6).

II. Generalized primitive elements. Throughout we assume L is a finitely generated extension of a field K of characteristic $p \neq 0$. As usual, a relative p -basis for L over K is a minimal generating set for L over $K(L^p)$.

DEFINITION. L is a reliable extension of K if $L = K(M)$ for every relative p -basis M of L over K .

In the case where L is finitely generated over K , L is reliable over K if and only if there does not exist a proper intermediate field L' with L separable over L' [5, Theorem 1, p. 524]. Using this result it follows that if L is reliable over K , then L is reliable over any intermediate field M .

THEOREM 1 [1, Theorem 1.9]. *If L is finitely generated over K , then there exists a unique intermediate field C with the property L/C is separable and C/K is reliable.*

In fact, C is the intersection of all subfields L' such that L/L' is separable. If L is separable over K , then an element θ in L is a generalized primitive element for L over K if $L = L'(\theta)$ for any L' such that L is separable algebraic over L' . Henceforth, L will be a finitely generated separable (non-algebraic) extension of K .

THEOREM 2. *An element θ in L is a generalized primitive element for L over K if and only if L is reliable over $K(\theta)$.*

Proof. Assume θ is a generalized primitive element. It suffices to show there are no proper intermediate fields L' , $L \supset L' \supseteq K(\theta)$, over which L is separable. Since θ is a generalized primitive element, there are no proper fields over which L is separable algebraic. But in any finitely generated separable extension L/L' there exist subfields over which L is separable algebraic (by applying Luroth's Theorem). Thus $L/K(\theta)$ is reliable.

Conversely, assume there exists an element θ such that L is reliable over $K(\theta)$ and let L' be any intermediate field such that L/L' is separable algebraic. Then $L/L'(\theta)$ is also separable. Since $L/K(\theta)$ is reliable and $L' \supseteq K$, $L/L'(\theta)$ is reliable and hence $L = L'(\theta)$.

The following result of Mordeson and Vinograd is essential to this paper.

THEOREM 3 [4, Theorem 2]. *Assume L is a finitely generated separable extension of K , $L \neq K$, and assume $[K: K^p] > p$. Then there exists a field $M = L(\alpha)$ where M is reliable over K and α^p is in L .*

THEOREM 4. *Let L be a finitely generated separable extension of K and assume $[K: K^p] > p$. Then there exists a generalized primitive element for L over K .*

Proof. By Theorem 3, there exists a field $M = L(\alpha)$ which is reliable over K and $\alpha^p \in L$. Let $\theta = \alpha^p$ and we show θ is the desired element. By Theorem 2, it suffices to show L is reliable over $K(\theta)$. Assume there exists an intermediate field L' , $L \supseteq L' \supseteq K(\theta)$

where L is separable over L' . Since $\alpha^p \in K(\theta)$, $\alpha^p \in L'$. Thus $L'(\alpha)$ is purely inseparable over L' . Thus L and $L'(\alpha)$ are linearly disjoint over L' . By [3, Corollary 4, p. 265], $L'(\alpha)(L) = M$ is separable over $L'(\alpha)$. As M is reliable over $L'(\alpha)$, $M = L'(\alpha)$ and since L and $L'(\alpha)$ are linear disjoint over L' , we must have $L = L'$ and L is reliable over $K(\theta)$.

COROLLARY 1. *If L/K is nonalgebraic, then any generalized primitive element is transcendental over K .*

Proof. Let θ be a generalized primitive element. If θ were algebraic over K , then $L/K(\theta)$ would be separable and hence $L = K(\theta)$.

The following corollary is a direct result of a calculation in [4]. For completeness, it is presented here.

COROLLARY 2. *Assume $L = K(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n)$ where z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} are algebraically independent over K and $F/K(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ is nontrivial separable. Let $\{x, y\}$ be p -independent in K . Then $\theta = \alpha^p$ is a generalized primitive element for L/K where*

$$\alpha = \sum_1^{n-1} k_j z_j^{p^j} + k_n z_n^{p^{n-1}}$$

and

$$k_1 = y^{-1}$$

$$k_j = (-1)^{j-1} \frac{x^{p^{0+\dots+p^{j-2}}}}{y^{p^{0+\dots+p^{j-1}}}} \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$k_n = (-1)^{n-1} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{p^{0+\dots+p^{n-2}}}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4 and the proof of [4, Theorem 1, p. 44].

COROLLARY 3. *Let θ be a generalized primitive element for L over K . Then any automorphism of L over K is uniquely determined by its action on θ .*

Proof. Let σ, τ be automorphisms of L/K and assume $\sigma(\theta) = \tau(\theta)$. Then $\sigma\tau^{-1}(\theta) = \theta$ and $K(\theta)$ is contained in the fixed field L' of $\sigma\tau^{-1}$. Since L is separable over L' , $L = L'$ and $\sigma = \tau$.

LEMMA 1. *Let θ be a generalized primitive element for L over K , and let F be an intermediate field such that L is separable nonalgebraic over F . Then F is free from $K(\theta)$ and $F(\theta)$ is separable over $K(\theta)$.*

Proof. If θ were algebraic over F , then L would be separable over $F(\theta)$, a contradiction to L being reliable over $F(\theta)$. Thus $K(\theta)$ is free from F . The remainder of the Lemma follows from [3, Corollary 4, p. 265].

A generalized primitive element for L over K will generate L over any subfield L' such that L is separable algebraic over L' . The following theorem shows that with one exception these are the only subfields with this property.

THEOREM 5. *Let θ be a generalized primitive element for L over K , and let L' be a subfield of L containing K . Then $L = L'(\theta)$ if and only if either L/L' is separable algebraic or $L = K(\theta)$.*

Proof. Assume $L = L'(\theta)$. If L/L' are not algebraic, then L/L' would be pure transcendental and hence separable. But then by Lemma 1, $L/K(\theta)$ would be separable, and hence $L = K(\theta)$. Thus we may assume L/L' is algebraic and $L \neq K(\theta)$. Since $L/K(\theta)$ is not separable and L/K is, $\theta \in K(L^p)$ [1, Proposition 1.3]. Thus $L = L'(L^p)$ and L is relatively perfect over L' . Since L/L' is also finitely generated, L/L' is separable algebraic [6, Theorem 2, p. 419]. The converse is Theorem 2.

If L is a finitely generated separable extension of K , then any intermediate field L' is also finitely generated and separable over L . If $[K:K^p] > p$, then L' will also have a generalized primitive element θ' over K . Moreover, each element of L will be a generalized primitive element for a unique subfield L' where L/L' is separable. For if $\theta \in L$, let L' be the unique intermediate field of $L/K(\theta)$ such that L is separable over L' and L' is reliable over $K(\theta)$. Then θ is a generalized primitive element for L' . Thus any intermediate field L' where L is separable over L' is uniquely determined by any of its generalized primitive elements. The following theorem and corollary indicate how a generalized primitive element is basic in the structure of an intermediate field.

THEOREM 6. *Assume L is a finitely generated separable extension of K and let L_1 and L_2 be two intermediate fields over which L is separable. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $L_1 \subseteq L_2$
- (2) Every generalized primitive element for L_1 is in L_2
- (3) Some generalized primitive element for L_1 is in L_2 .

Proof. We show (3) implies (1). Let θ_1 be a generalized primitive element for L_1/K and assume $\theta_1 \in L_2$. If L_2 is separable over $K(\theta_1)$, then L is separable over $K(\theta_1)$ and L_1 is separable over $K(\theta_1)$. Since L_1 is reliable over $K(\theta_1)$, $L_1 = K(\theta_1)$ and $L_1 \subseteq L_2$. If L_2 is inseparable over $K(\theta_1)$, then there is a unique field C_2 , $L_2 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq K(\theta_1)$ where L_2 is separable over C_2 and C_2 is reliable over $K(\theta_1)$. Thus L is separable over C_2 and C_2 is reliable over $K(\theta_1)$. But L_1 is uniquely determined by these properties and hence $C_2 = L_1$ and $L_1 \subseteq L_2$.

COROLLARY 4. *Assume L is separable over L_1 , $L \supseteq L_1 \supseteq K$, and θ_1 is a generalized primitive element for L_1 over K . If L_2 is any intermediate field of L over K such that L is separable algebraic over L_2 , then $L_2(L_1) = L_2(\theta_1)$.*

Proof. Since L is separable algebraic over L_2 , L is separable over $L_2(\theta_1)$. By Theorem 6, $L_2(\theta_1) \supseteq L_1$ and hence $L_2(L_1)$. Obviously $L_2(\theta_1) \subseteq L_1(L_2)$ and thus $L_2(L_1) = L_2(\theta_1)$.

EXAMPLE 1. If $[K:K^p] \leq p$, then L may not have a generalized primitive element over K . Let K be a perfect field and let $L = K(x, y, z)$ where $\{x, y, z\}$ is algebraically independent over K . We claim there is no generalized primitive element for L over K . Assume θ is one. Then $L/K(\theta)$ is reliable. However $K(\theta)$ has one element in a relative p -basis and hence by [2, Theorem 7 (iv)] L is separable over $(K(\theta))^* \cap L$, where $(K(\theta))^*$ is the perfect closure of $K(\theta)$. But $(K(\theta))^* \cap L$ is of transcendence degree at most 1 over K , and hence $(K(\theta))^* \cap L \neq L$. This contradicts L being reliable over $K(\theta)$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Deveney and J. Mordeson, *Subfields and invariants of inseparable field extensions*, preprint.
2. N. Heerema and H. F. Kreimer, *Modularity vs. separability for field extensions*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **27** (1975), 1176–1182.
3. S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1967.
4. J. Mordeson and B. Vinograd, *Inseparable embeddings of separable transcendental extensions*, *Arc H. Math.*, **27** (1976), 42–47.
5. ———, *Relatively separated transcendental field extensions*, *Arch. Math.*, **24** (1973), 521–526.
6. ———, *Separating p -bases and transcendental extension fields*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **31** (1972), 417–422.

Received January 20, 1976.

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY

RICHMOND, VA 23284

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 68, No. 1

March, 1977

Richard Julian Bagby, <i>On L^p, L^q multipliers of Fourier transforms</i>	1
Robert Beauwens and Jean-Jacques Van Binnebeek, <i>Convergence theorems in Banach algebras</i>	13
James Cyril Becker, <i>Skew linear vector fields on spheres in the stable range</i>	25
Michael James Beeson, <i>Continuity and comprehension in intuitionistic formal systems</i>	29
James K. Deveney, <i>Generalized primitive elements for transcendental field extensions</i>	41
Samuel S. Feder, Samuel Carlos Gitler and K. Y. Lam, <i>Composition properties of projective homotopy classes</i>	47
Nathan Jacob Fine, <i>Tensor products of function rings under composition</i>	63
Benno Fuchssteiner, <i>Iterations and fixpoints</i>	73
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>On punctured balls in manifolds</i>	81
Shigeru Itoh, <i>A random fixed point theorem for a multivalued contraction mapping</i>	85
Nicolas P. Jewell, <i>Continuity of module and higher derivations</i>	91
Roger Dale Konyndyk, <i>Residually central wreath products</i>	99
Linda M. Lesniak and John A. Roberts, <i>On Ramsey theory and graphical parameters</i>	105
Vo Thanh Liem, <i>Some cellular subsets of the spheres</i>	115
Dieter Lutz, <i>A perturbation theorem for spectral operators</i>	127
P. H. Maserick, <i>Moments of measures on convex bodies</i>	135
Stephen Joseph McAdam, <i>Unmixed 2-dimensional local domains</i>	153
D. B. McAlister and Norman R. Reilly, <i>E-unitary covers for inverse semigroups</i>	161
William H. Meeks, III and Julie Patrusky, <i>Representing codimension-one homology classes by embedded submanifolds</i>	175
Premalata Mohapatro, <i>Generalised quasi-Nörlund summability</i>	177
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Superalgebras of weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	197
Catherine Louise Olsen, <i>Norms of compact perturbations of operators</i>	209
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces. II</i>	229
Bernard Russo, <i>On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators</i>	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Anti-commutative algebras and homogeneous spaces with multiplications</i>	255
Robert Evert Stong, <i>Stiefel-Whitney classes of manifolds</i>	271
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On a theorem of Apostol concerning Möbius functions of order k</i>	277
Yoshio Tanaka, <i>On closedness of C- and C^*-embeddings</i>	283
Chi Song Wong, <i>Characterizations of certain maps of contractive type</i>	293