

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**TENSOR PRODUCTS OF FUNCTION RINGS UNDER
COMPOSITION**

NATHAN JACOB FINE

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF FUNCTION RINGS UNDER COMPOSITION

N. J. FINE

Let $C(X)$, $C(Y)$ be the rings of real-valued continuous functions on the completely regular Hausdorff spaces X , Y and let $T = C(X) \otimes C(Y)$ be the subring of $C(X \times Y)$ generated by functions of the form fg , where $f \in C(X)$ and $g \in C(Y)$. If P is a real polynomial, then $P \circ t \in T$ for every $t \in T$. If $G \circ t \in T$ for all $t \in T$ and if G is analytic, then G is a polynomial, provided that X and Y are both infinite (A. W. Hager, *Math. Zeitschr.* 92, (1966), 210–224, Prop. 3.). In this note I remove the condition of analyticity. Clearly the cardinality condition is necessary, for if either X or Y is finite, then $T = C(X \times Y)$ and $G \circ t \in T$ for every continuous G and for every $t \in T$.

It is convenient to admit a somewhat wider class of G 's. Let $T^* = T + iT$, that is, the set of all functions $t_1 + it_2$ with $t_1, t_2 \in T$. (T^* is the tensor product of the complex-valued continuous function rings on X and Y). Define $K(X, Y)$ as the set of all continuous complex-valued functions G on R (the reals) with the property that $G \circ t \in T^*$ for all $t \in T$. Then the result is

THEOREM. *If X and Y are infinite completely regular Hausdorff spaces, then $K(X, Y)$ consists of all the polynomials with complex coefficients.*

It follows from the Theorem that if $G \circ t \in T$ for all $t \in T$, then G is a polynomial with real coefficients.

The proof of the Theorem, which is rather lengthy, will be broken up into a sequence of lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let φ and ψ be continuous mappings of X and Y onto X' and Y' respectively. Then $K(X, Y) \subset K(X', Y')$.*

Proof. Let $G \in K(X, Y)$, $t' \in T' = C(X') \otimes C(Y')$. I must show that $G \circ t' \in T'^*$. Define t by

$$t(x, y) = t'(\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \quad (x \in X, y \in Y).$$

Clearly $t \in T$, and by hypothesis $G \circ t \in T^*$. That is, there are continuous complex-valued functions u_1, \dots, u_n on X , v_1, \dots, v_n on Y , such that

$$(1) \quad (G \circ t')(\varphi(x), \psi(y)) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x)v_j(y) \quad (x \in X, y \in Y).$$

If y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n are any elements of Y , then there exist complex c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n not all 0 such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n c_j(G \circ t')(\varphi(x), \psi(y_j)) = 0 \quad (x \in X),$$

since (1) shows that the y -sections of $G \circ t$ are contained in an n -dimensional subspace of $C(X) + iC(X)$. Let y'_0, \dots, y'_n be any elements of Y' , and let x' be any element of X' . Then, since φ and ψ are onto, there exist y_0, \dots, y_n and x such that

$$\varphi(x) = x', \quad \psi(y_j) = y'_j \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

Insert these values in (2) to get

$$\sum_{j=0}^n c_j(G \circ t')(x', y'_j) = 0.$$

This means that the y' -sections of $G \circ t'$ are contained in an n -dimensional subspace of $C(X') + iC(X')$. By Hager¹, this implies that $G \circ t' \in T'^*$. Hence $G \in K(X', Y')$.

LEMMA 2. *If $X' \approx X$, $Y' \approx Y$, then $K(X', Y') = K(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 3. *If the conclusion of the Theorem holds for all infinite subspaces X' , Y' of R then the Theorem holds.*

Proof. Every infinite completely regular Hausdorff space can be mapped continuously onto an infinite subset of R . Apply Lemma 1 and the hypothesis.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that X_0 and Y_0 are C -embedded in X and Y respectively. Then $K(X, Y) \subset K(X_0, Y_0)$.*

Proof. Let $G \in K(X, Y)$, $t_0 \in T_0 = C(X_0) \otimes C(Y_0)$. Then there is a $t \in T$ such that $t|(X_0 \times Y_0) = t_0$, obtained by extending each component of t_0 . By assumption, $G \circ t \in T^*$. By restriction, $G \circ t_0 \in T_0^*$. Hence $G \in K(X_0, Y_0)$.

¹ Ibid. Prop. 1

LEMMA 5. *If X is an infinite subset of R , then there is a continuous mapping φ of X into R such that $\varphi[X]$ contains the terms of a convergent infinite sequence and its limit.*

Proof. If X is unbounded, let $p \in X$ and define

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{x - p}{1 + x^2} \quad (x \in X).$$

Then $\varphi[X]$ has the required property. If X is bounded, then it contains a countably infinite set $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow q$ (perhaps not in X). Let $p \in X$ and define

$$\varphi(x) = (x - q)(x - p) \quad (x \in X).$$

Clearly $\varphi(x_n) \rightarrow 0 = \varphi(p)$. Also the set $\{\varphi(x_n)\}$ is infinite. Hence $\varphi[X]$ has the required property.

LEMMA 6. *Let X_0 be any one infinite set $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, with $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. If $K(X_0, X_0)$ consists of the complex polynomials, then the Theorem holds.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3, Lemma 5, Lemma 4, and the fact that X_0 is compact, hence C -embedded in $\varphi[X]$, and Lemma 2.

LEMMA 7. *Let $X_0 = \{j/n^2: n \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq M_n\}$, where M_n is a sequence of positive integers satisfying $M_n \geq n$ ($n \geq 1$). Let $G \in K(X_0, X_0)$, with $X_0 \subset Z(G)$, the zero-set of G . Then there exists an N such that*

$$\frac{M_n + 1}{n^2} \in Z(G) \quad (n > N).$$

Proof. Define $t \in T_0 = C(X_0) \otimes C(X_0)$ by

$$t(x, y) = x + y \quad (x \in X_0, y \in X_0).$$

Let $N = \text{rank}(G \circ t)$, i.e., the dimension of the vector-space of y -sections of $G \circ t$. If $n > N$, there exist c_j ($j = 1, \dots, N + 1$) (possibly depending on n) not all 0, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} c_j G \left(x + \frac{j}{n^2} \right) = 0 \quad (x \in X_0).$$

(Note that the arguments

$$\frac{j}{n^2} \leq \frac{N+1}{n^2} \leq \frac{n}{n^2} \leq \frac{M_n}{n^2}$$

are all in X_0). Let M be the largest j such that $c_j \neq 0$, so $1 \leq M \leq N+1$ and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^M c_j G\left(x + \frac{j}{n^2}\right) = 0 \quad (x \in X_0).$$

Choose $x = (M_n + 1 - M)/n^2$. Since $M \leq N+1 < n+1 \leq M_n + 1$, $x > 0$. Since $M \geq 1$, $x \leq M_n/n^2$. Hence $x \in X_0$. Therefore, from (3),

$$(4) \quad -c_M G\left(\frac{M_n + 1}{n^2}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} c_j G\left(\frac{M_n + 1 - M + j}{n^2}\right).$$

Since $M_n + 1 - M + j \geq n + 2 - M > n + 2 - (n + 1) = 1$, and $M_n + 1 - M + j \leq M_n + 1 - M + (M - 1) = M_n$ for all j such that $1 \leq j \leq M - 1$, the arguments on the right in (4) are all in $X_0 \subset Z(G)$. Since $c_M \neq 0$,

$$G\left(\frac{M_n + 1}{n^2}\right) = 0 \quad (n > N).$$

LEMMA 8. *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 7, but with $M_n = n$ ($n \geq 1$), there is an $\alpha > 0$ such that $[0, \alpha] \subset Z(G)$.*

Proof. Define

$$\bar{M}_n = \sup \left\{ M : G\left(\frac{j}{n^2}\right) = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, M \right\}.$$

Note that $\bar{M}_n \geq n$. Suppose that $\bar{\alpha} \equiv \liminf (\bar{M}_n/n^2) = 0$. Then there is an infinite sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that

$$\frac{\bar{M}_{n_i}}{n_i^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Define $L_n = \bar{M}_n$ if $n = n_i$ for some i , $L_n = n$ otherwise. Let

$$X' = \left\{ \frac{j}{n^2} : 0 \leq j \leq L_n, n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Then (i) $X' \approx X_0$, (ii) $X' \subset Z(G)$, (iii) X' is of the form prescribed in Lemma 7, since $L_n \geq n$. By (i) and Lemma 2, $K(X_0, X_0) = K(X', X')$, so

$G \in K(X', X')$. Combining this with (ii), (iii), and Lemma 7, one finds that there is an N such that

$$\frac{L_n + 1}{n^2} \in Z(G) \quad (n > N).$$

In particular, for $n = n_i > N$,

$$\frac{\bar{M}_n + 1}{n^2} \in Z(G).$$

This contradicts the definition of \bar{M}_n . Hence $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ ($\bar{\alpha} = +\infty$, possibly).

Clearly the set $B = \{j/n^2: 0 \leq j \leq \bar{M}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is dense in $[0, \bar{\alpha}]$. Since $B \subset Z(G)$, there exists an $\alpha > 0$ such that $[0, \alpha] \subset \bar{B} \subset Z(G)$.

LEMMA 9. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 8, $G = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sup\{a: [0, a] \subset Z(G)\}$. By Lemma 8, $\alpha > 0$. Suppose $\alpha < \infty$. Let $\xi \geq 0$. For

$$t(x, y) = \alpha + \xi(x - y) \quad (x, y \in X_0),$$

let $\text{rank}(G \circ t) = M_\xi$. Define $N_\xi = 1 + \max(M_\xi, \xi M_\xi / \alpha)$. For $n \geq N_\xi$, there exist c_j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, M_\xi$) not all 0, such that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{M_\xi} c_j G \left(\alpha + \xi \left(x - \frac{j}{n^2} \right) \right) = 0 \quad (x \in X_0).$$

(Note that for $0 \leq j \leq M_\xi$,

$$0 \leq \frac{j}{n^2} \leq \frac{M_\xi}{n^2} < \frac{N_\xi}{n^2} \leq \frac{n}{n^2},$$

so $j/n^2 \in X_0$). If q is the least j such that $c_j \neq 0$, set $x = (q+1)/n^2$. Since $0 < q+1 \leq M_\xi + 1 \leq N_\xi \leq n$, $x \in X_0$. For $j = q+1, \dots, M_\xi$, one has $\alpha + \xi(x - j/n^2) \leq \alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \xi \left(x - \frac{j}{n^2} \right) &\geq \alpha + \xi \left(\frac{q+1}{n^2} - \frac{M_\xi}{n^2} \right) \\ &\geq \alpha - \frac{\xi M_\xi}{n^2} \geq \alpha - \frac{\xi M_\xi}{n} \geq \alpha - \frac{\xi M_\xi}{N_\xi} \\ &\geq \alpha - \frac{\alpha(N_\xi - 1)}{N_\xi} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha + \xi(x - j/n^2) \in Z(G)$, and from (5),

$$G\left(\alpha + \frac{\xi}{n^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{c_q} \sum_{j=q+1}^{M_\xi} c_j G\left(\alpha + \xi\left(x - \frac{j}{n^2}\right)\right) = 0.$$

Thus it has been proved that for each $\xi \geq 0$, there is an N_ξ such that

$$G\left(\alpha + \frac{\xi}{n^2}\right) = 0 \quad (n \geq N_\xi).$$

For each $N = 1, 2, \dots$, define

$$S_N = \left\{ \xi \geq 0: n \geq N \Rightarrow G\left(\alpha + \frac{\xi}{n^2}\right) = 0 \right\}.$$

Clearly S_N is closed and $[0, \infty) = \bigcup_{N \geq 1} S_N$. By the Baire category theorem, there is an interval $[u, v] \subset S_N$ for some $N \geq 1$, with $0 \leq u < v$. That is,

$$(6) \quad G\left(\alpha + \frac{\xi}{n^2}\right) = 0 \quad (u \leq \xi \leq v, n \geq N).$$

Thus the intervals $[\alpha + u/n^2, \alpha + v/n^2]$ are contained in $Z(G)$ for all $n \geq N$. For sufficiently large n , these intervals overlap and fill out an interval $(\alpha, \beta]$, with $\beta > \alpha$. Hence $[0, \beta] \subset Z(G)$. This contradicts the definition of α , and shows that $\alpha = \infty$. Hence $G(x) = 0$ ($x \geq 0$). Finally, the function G_1 defined by $G_1(x) = G(1-x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) belongs to $K(X_0, X_0)$ and $G_1(x) = 0$ ($x \in X_0$). By what has just been proved, $G_1(x) = 0$ ($x \geq 0$), so $G(x) = 0$ ($x \leq 1$). Therefore $G = 0$. (There is an alternate proof that avoids the use of Baire category).

LEMMA 10. Let $X_0 = \{j/n^2: 0 \leq j \leq n, n \geq 1\}$, and let $G \in K(X_0, X_0)$ satisfy, for some positive h and complex r ,

$$G(x+h) = rG(x) \quad (x \in X_0).$$

Then G is a constant, and $r = 1$ unless that constant is 0.

Proof. The function G_1 defined by

$$G_1(x) = G(x+h) - rG(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

belongs to $K(X_0, X_0)$, and $X_0 \subset Z(G_1)$. By Lemma 9, $G_1 = 0$, so

$$G(x + h) = rG(x) \quad (x \in R).$$

Define $F(x) = G(hx)$ ($x \in R$). Then $F \in K(X_0, X_0)$ and

$$(7) \quad F(x + 1) = rF(x) \quad (x \in R).$$

Let $N = \text{rank}(F \circ t)$, where $t(x, y) = xy$ ($x, y \in X_0$). Then the $N + 1$ y -sections of $F \circ t$ at $y_j = 2^{-j}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N$) are linearly dependent (note that $2^{-j} = 2^j / (2^j)^2 \in X_0$). Hence there exist c_0, c_1, \dots, c_N not all 0 such that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{j=0}^N c_j F(2^{-j}x) = 0 \quad (x \in X_0).$$

As above, (8) holds for all $x \in R$, by Lemma 9. Let M be the least nonnegative integer for which an equation of the form (8) holds for all $x \in R$, with the sum running from 0 to M and the c_j not all 0. Then $c_M \neq 0$. If $M = 0$, then $F = 0$ and therefore $G = 0$. For $M > 0$, let q be the least j such that $c_j \neq 0$. Again, if $q = M$, then $G = 0$. Hence one may assume that $q < M$. Thus

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=q}^M c_j F(2^{-j}x) = 0 \quad (x \in R),$$

with $c_q \neq 0$, $c_M \neq 0$, $q < M$, and M minimal. Replace x by $2^M x + 2^M$. Then

$$\sum_{j=q}^M c_j F(2^{M-j}x + 2^{M-j}) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

By (7),

$$\sum_{j=q}^M c_j r^{2^{M-j}} F(2^{M-j}x) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

Replacing x by $2^{-M}x$, one gets

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=q}^M c_j r^{2^{M-j}} F(2^{-j}x) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

Combining (9) and (10), one has

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=q}^{M-1} c_j (r - r^{2^{M-j}}) F(2^{-j}x) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

Because of the minimality of M , all the coefficients in (11) must be 0. Since $c_q \neq 0$,

$$r - r^{2^{M-q}} = 0.$$

Now $r = 0$ implies $G(x + h) = 0$ ($x \in R$), that is, $G = 0$. Since $q < M$, $2^{M-q} \geq 2$, so if $r \neq 0$, $r^m = 1$ with $m = 2^{M-q} - 1 \geq 1$. It follows that

$$F(x + m) = r^m F(x) = F(x) \quad (x \in R).$$

Thus F is periodic. Either F (hence G) is constant or it has a least positive period p . From (9),

$$\sum_{j=q}^M c_j F(2^{M-j}x) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

Therefore

$$F(x) = -\frac{1}{c_M} \sum_{j=q}^{M-1} c_j F(2^{M-j}x) \quad (x \in R).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(x + \frac{p}{2}\right) &= -\frac{1}{c_M} \sum_{j=q}^{M-1} c_j F(2^{M-j}x + 2^{M-j-1}p) \\ &= -\frac{1}{c_M} \sum_{j=q}^{M-1} c_j F(2^{M-j}x) \\ &= F(x) \quad (x \in R). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that p is the minimal period. Hence F is a constant and so is G . If $G \neq 0$, then

$$G(x) = G(x + h) = rG(x)$$

implies that $r = 1$.

LEMMA 11. Let $X_0 = \{j/n^2: 0 \leq j \leq n, n \geq 1\}$, and let $G \in K(X_0, X_0)$. Then G is a polynomial.

Proof. Let $N = \text{rank}(G \circ t)$, where

$$t(x, y) = x + y \quad (x, y \in X_0).$$

Then, if one reasons as in Lemma 10, there is an $M \leq N$ and c_0, \dots, c_M , with $c_M = 1$, such that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=0}^M c_j G\left(x + \frac{j}{N^2}\right) = 0 \quad (x \in X_0).$$

Equation (12) holds for all $x \in R$, by Lemma 9. Define $F(x) = G(x/N^2)$ ($x \in R$). Then

$$(13) \quad \sum_{j=0}^M c_j F(x + j) = \sum_{j=0}^M c_j G\left(\frac{x}{N^2} + \frac{j}{N^2}\right) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

One may assume that M is minimal for F in equation (13). Write

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{j=0}^M c_j z^j.$$

Then, using the standard notation

$$(Ef)(x) = f(x + 1),$$

one has

$$(\varphi(E)F)(x) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

Let r be any zero of $\varphi(z)$, so that $\varphi(z) = (z - r)\psi(z)$. Define

$$J(x) = (\psi(E)F)(x) \quad (x \in R).$$

By the minimality of M , $J \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} J(x + 1) - rJ(x) &= (E - r)J(x) \\ &= (E - r)\psi(E)F(x) \\ &= \varphi(E)F(x) = 0 \quad (x \in R). \end{aligned}$$

Since $J \in K(X_0, X_0)$ and $J \neq 0$, Lemma 10 yields $r = 1$. Thus all zeroes of $\varphi(z)$ are 1, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= (z - 1)^M, \\ (E - 1)^M F(x) &= 0 \quad (x \in R). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $M = 0$ implies $F = G = 0$. Let $P(x)$ be the polynomial of degree $\leq M - 1$ which agrees with F at $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(0) &= F(0) \\
 (E - 1)P(0) &= (E - 1)F(0), \\
 &\dots \\
 (E - 1)^{M-1}P(0) &= (E - 1)^{M-1}F(0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, because $\deg P \leq M - 1$,

$$(E - 1)^M P(x) = 0 = (E - 1)^M F(x) \quad (x \in R).$$

Now

$$G_0(x) = (E - 1)^{M-1}(P(x) - F(x)) \in K(X_0, X_0)$$

and

$$(E - 1)G_0(x) = 0 \quad (x \in R).$$

By Lemma 10, $G_0(x) = \text{constant} = G_0(0) = 0$. Thus

$$(E - 1)^{M-1}P(x) = (E - 1)^{M-1}F(x) \quad (x \in R).$$

Continuing by induction, one obtains

$$(E - 1)^{M-j}P(x) = (E - 1)^{M-j}F(x) \quad (x \in R)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Thus

$$F(x) = P(x) \quad (x \in R).$$

Therefore F , hence G , is a polynomial.

Combination of Lemma 11 and Lemma 6 completes the proof of the Theorem.

Received May 18, 1976.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 68, No. 1

March, 1977

Richard Julian Bagby, <i>On L^p, L^q multipliers of Fourier transforms</i>	1
Robert Beauwens and Jean-Jacques Van Binnebeek, <i>Convergence theorems in Banach algebras</i>	13
James Cyril Becker, <i>Skew linear vector fields on spheres in the stable range</i>	25
Michael James Beeson, <i>Continuity and comprehension in intuitionistic formal systems</i>	29
James K. Deveney, <i>Generalized primitive elements for transcendental field extensions</i>	41
Samuel S. Feder, Samuel Carlos Gitler and K. Y. Lam, <i>Composition properties of projective homotopy classes</i>	47
Nathan Jacob Fine, <i>Tensor products of function rings under composition</i>	63
Benno Fuchssteiner, <i>Iterations and fixpoints</i>	73
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>On punctured balls in manifolds</i>	81
Shigeru Itoh, <i>A random fixed point theorem for a multivalued contraction mapping</i>	85
Nicolas P. Jewell, <i>Continuity of module and higher derivations</i>	91
Roger Dale Konyndyk, <i>Residually central wreath products</i>	99
Linda M. Lesniak and John A. Roberts, <i>On Ramsey theory and graphical parameters</i>	105
Vo Thanh Liem, <i>Some cellular subsets of the spheres</i>	115
Dieter Lutz, <i>A perturbation theorem for spectral operators</i>	127
P. H. Maserick, <i>Moments of measures on convex bodies</i>	135
Stephen Joseph McAdam, <i>Unmixed 2-dimensional local domains</i>	153
D. B. McAlister and Norman R. Reilly, <i>E-unitary covers for inverse semigroups</i>	161
William H. Meeks, III and Julie Patrusky, <i>Representing codimension-one homology classes by embedded submanifolds</i>	175
Premalata Mohapatro, <i>Generalised quasi-Nörlund summability</i>	177
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Superalgebras of weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	197
Catherine Louise Olsen, <i>Norms of compact perturbations of operators</i>	209
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces. II</i>	229
Bernard Russo, <i>On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators</i>	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Anti-commutative algebras and homogeneous spaces with multiplications</i>	255
Robert Evert Stong, <i>Stiefel-Whitney classes of manifolds</i>	271
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On a theorem of Apostol concerning Möbius functions of order k</i>	277
Yoshio Tanaka, <i>On closedness of C- and C^*-embeddings</i>	283
Chi Song Wong, <i>Characterizations of certain maps of contractive type</i>	293