

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A PERTURBATION THEOREM FOR SPECTRAL OPERATORS**

DIETER LUTZ

## A PERTURBATION THEOREM FOR SPECTRAL OPERATORS

DIETER LUTZ

**This note is concerned with analytic perturbations of spectral operators. It is shown that under small perturbations simple isolated eigenvalues remain simple and isolated and depend holomorphically upon the perturbation parameter. As one would expect the bounds are rather complicated in the case of a spectral operator with general quasinilpotent part. For scalar operators, however, these bounds become simple and reproduce in the selfadjoint case those given by F. W. Schäfke.**

For the sake of simplicity we deal only with bounded operators. The method used is an appropriate modification of the elegant Hilbert space method introduced by Schäfke in [3] to settle the analogous problem for selfadjoint operators. The generalization to the unbounded case is then straightforward.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $B(X)$  the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $X$  with the norm topology. Let further  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\rho(T)$ , and  $R_\lambda(T) := (T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$  denote the spectrum, the resolvent set, and the resolvent operator for  $T \in B(X)$ . Spectral measures, spectral operators and scalar spectral operators are defined as in Dunford-Schwartz [1]. Especially, if  $S \in B(X)$  is a scalar spectral operator, we have

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \lambda E(d\lambda)$$

with an uniquely determined spectral measure  $E$ . A spectral operator can be uniquely decomposed as  $T = S + N$ , where  $S$  is a scalar spectral operator, and  $N$  is a bounded quasinilpotent operator commuting with the spectral measure  $E$  of  $S$ . If  $E$  is a spectral measure we denote by  $\omega(E)$  the minimum of all reals  $c$  which obey

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \right\| \leq c \cdot \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} |f(\lambda)|$$

for all bounded, Borel measurable,  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\omega(E) \geq 1$ .

If  $T = S + N$  as above is a spectral operator we have

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma(S)$$

and

$$\ker(T - \lambda I)^n = \ker N^n \cap \ker(S - \lambda I)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  (I1).

Especially, the point spectrum of  $T$  is contained in the point spectrum of  $S$  (the converse is not true, in general). From the second equation we get also that  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $T$  (that means,  $\dim \ker(T - \lambda I) = 1$ ) if  $\lambda$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $S$  and an eigenvalue of  $T$ . If for some  $n \in \mathbf{N}$   $N^n = 0$ , the point spectra of  $S$  and  $T$  coincide.

Now let  $T = S + N$  be a spectral operator with spectral measure  $E$ . Further, let  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  be an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$  and a simple eigenvalue of  $T$ , and  $y_0 \in X$  with  $\|y_0\| = 1$  an eigenvector to  $\lambda_0$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} d &:= \text{dist}\{\lambda_0, \sigma(T) - \{\lambda_0\}\}, \\ \alpha &:= \omega(E) \cdot \frac{2}{d} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n \|N^n\|}{d^n} \quad (\geq 1), \\ \beta &:= \omega(E) \cdot \frac{1}{d} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|N^n\|}{d^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $G_n \in B(X)$  be given for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$\rho := \max \left\{ \mu > 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^n \|G_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\}$$

obeys  $0 < \rho < \infty$ , that means that not all the  $G_n$  are zero. There exists a maximal  $\rho_0 \leq \infty$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\|$$

exists for all  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $|\mu| < \rho_0$ , and

$$G(\mu) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^n G_n$$

defines on  $\{\mu \in \mathbf{C}, |\mu| < \rho_0\}$  a holomorphic  $B(X)$ -valued function  $G$ .

Now we can state our perturbation theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *There exist holomorphic functions  $y$  and  $\lambda$  on  $\{\mu \in \mathbf{C}, |\mu| < \rho_0\}$  with  $y(0) = y_0$  and  $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$  which obey*

- (i)  $E(\lambda_0)y(\mu) = y_0$ ;
- (ii)  $[T - G(\mu)]y(\mu) = \lambda(\mu)y(\mu)$ ;
- (iii)  $\omega(E) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|N^n\| / (|\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0|^{n+1}) \cong (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\|)^{-1}$
- (iv)  $\|y(\mu) - y_0\| \leq \beta \cdot \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\| / (1 - \beta \cdot \{|\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| + \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\|\})$

(v) If  $[T - G(\mu)]y = \lambda y$  with  $y \neq 0$ ,  $|\mu| < \rho$ ,  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \beta^{-1} - \alpha^{-1}$ , then we have  $\lambda = \lambda(\mu)$  and  $y = c \cdot y(\mu)$  with some  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ .

*Proof.* (i), (ii) We put

$$R := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^n \int_D \frac{1}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{n+1}} E(d\lambda),$$

where  $D := \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}, |\lambda - \lambda_0| \geq d/2\}$ .

Then for every pair  $(\lambda, \mu)$  with

$$|\mu| < \rho_0, \quad \beta \cdot \left( |\lambda - \lambda_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \right) < 1$$

there is exactly one solution  $z = z(\lambda, \mu)$  of the equation

$$(1) \quad z = R((\lambda - \lambda_0)z + G(\mu)z) + RG(\mu)y_0.$$

$z$  depends holomorphically upon  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

In fact, we have

$$\|R\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|N^n\| \frac{\omega(E)}{d^{n+1}} = \beta,$$

so for  $|\mu| < \rho_0$

$$\|RG(\mu)\| \leq \beta \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\|.$$

Then, if  $a_1, b_1 \in X$  and

$$a_2 := R((\lambda - \lambda_0)a_1 + G(\mu)a_1) + RG(\mu)y_0$$

$$b_2 := R((\lambda - \lambda_0)b_1 + G(\mu)b_1) + RG(\mu)y_0,$$

we get

$$\|a_2 - b_2\| \leq \|a_1 - b_1\| \cdot \beta \cdot \left( |\lambda - \lambda_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \right).$$

Since the second factor on the right side is smaller than 1, uniqueness and existence of the solution  $z$  follow immediately as well as the holomorphy of  $z$ . Starting for example with  $z_0 = 0$ ,  $z$  is the limit of the iteration given by

$$z_{n+1} = R((\lambda - \lambda_0)z_n + G(\mu)z_n) + RG(\mu)y_0.$$

Especially, we get  $z(\lambda, 0) = 0$ .

We now consider the  $X$ -valued holomorphic function

$$\Delta(\lambda, \mu) := E(\lambda_0)[(\lambda - \lambda_0)(z(\lambda, \mu) + y_0) + G(\mu)(z(\lambda, \mu) + y_0)]$$

for  $|\mu| < \rho_0$ ,  $\beta \cdot (|\lambda - \lambda_0| + \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\|) < 1$ .

It is  $\Delta(\lambda, 0) = E(\lambda_0)[(\lambda - \lambda_0)(z(\lambda, 0) + y_0)] = (\lambda - \lambda_0)y_0$ . The implicit function argument used by Schäfke can be employed in the vector valued case, too (e.g. Lang [2]). This gives us the existence of a holomorphic function  $\lambda$  on  $|\mu| < \rho$  with

$$(2) \quad E(\lambda_0)[(\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0)(z(\lambda(\mu), \mu) + y_0) + G(\mu)(z(\lambda(\mu), \mu) + y_0)] = 0.$$

We put  $y(\mu) = y_0 + z(\lambda(\mu), \mu)$ .

Then  $y(\mu)$  obeys

$$(ii) \quad [T - G(\mu)]y(\mu) = \lambda(\mu)y(\mu).$$

In fact, we have  $E(\lambda_0)R = RE(\lambda_0) = 0$ , so (1) gives

$$(i) \quad E(\lambda_0)y(\mu) = E(\lambda_0)y_0 + E(\lambda_0)z(\lambda(\mu), \mu) = E(\lambda_0)y_0 = y_0.$$

Further, let be  $x \in X$ . Then,  $x = x_1 + x_2$  with  $x_1 \in E(\lambda_0)X$ ,  $x_2 \in E(\mathbf{C} - \{\lambda_0\})X$ , so

$$(T - \lambda_0 I)Rx = (T - \lambda_0 I)Rx_1 + (T - \lambda_0 I)Rx_2 = x_2,$$

since  $R|E(\mathbf{C} - \{\lambda_0\})X = [(T - \lambda_0 I)|E(\mathbf{C} - \{\lambda_0\})X]^{-1}$ . On the other hand, we have  $x_2 = x - x_1 = x - E(\lambda_0)x$ , so

$$(T - \lambda_0 I)Rx = [I - E(\lambda_0)]x.$$

If (1) is fulfilled, we get with  $z = z(\lambda(\mu), \mu)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda(\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} Tz - \lambda_0 z &= [(\lambda - \lambda_0)z + G(\mu)z + G(\mu)y_0] - E(\lambda_0)[\dots] \\ &= [(\lambda - \lambda_0)(z + y_0) + G(\mu)(z + y_0)] - E(\lambda_0)[\dots], \end{aligned}$$

since  $(\lambda - \lambda_0)y_0 = E(\lambda_0)((\lambda - \lambda_0)y_0)$ .

Then, (2) gives that

$$Tz - \lambda_0 z = (\lambda - \lambda_0)(z + y_0) + G(\mu)(z + y_0).$$

But this is equivalent to

- (ii)  $[T - G(\mu)]y(\mu) = \lambda(\mu)y(\mu)$ .
- (iii) We assume that for some  $\lambda = \lambda(\mu)$

$$\omega(E) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|N^n\|}{|\lambda - \lambda_0|^{n+1}} < \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \right)^{-1}.$$

Let  $y \neq 0$  be an eigenvector to  $\lambda$ . Then  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ , so from  $Ty - \lambda y = G(\mu)y$  we get  $y = R_\lambda(T)G(\mu)y$  and

$$0 \neq Ty - \lambda y = G(\mu)y = G(\mu)R_\lambda(T)G(\mu)y,$$

which gives

$$0 < \|G(\mu)y\| \leq \|G(\mu)R_\lambda(T)\| \cdot \|G(\mu)y\| \quad \text{or} \quad 1 \leq \|G(\mu)R_\lambda(T)\|.$$

Now we have according to [1]

$$R_\lambda(T) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^n \int_C (\lambda - \xi)^{-n-1} E(d\xi),$$

from which we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda(T)\| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|N^n\| \cdot \omega(E) \cdot \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))^{-n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|N^n\| \cdot \omega(E) \cdot |\lambda - \lambda_0|^{-n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$1 \leq \|G(\mu)R_\lambda(T)\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \cdot \omega(E) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|N^n\| \cdot |\lambda - \lambda_0|^{-n-1} < 1$$

according to what we have assumed. This contradiction proves (iii).

(iv) We put  $z(\mu)$  instead of  $z(\lambda(\mu), \mu)$ . Then,

$$(3) \quad \|y(\mu) - y_0\| = \|z(\mu)\| \leq \beta \cdot \left\{ |\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| \|z(\mu)\| + \|G(\mu)z(\mu)\| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \right\}$$

so  $\|G(\mu)z(\mu)\| \leq \beta \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \cdot \{ \dots \}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| \|z(\mu)\| + \|G(\mu)z(\mu)\| \\ \leq \beta \cdot \left( |\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \right) \cdot \{\dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| \|z(\mu)\| + \|G(\mu)z(\mu)\| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \\ &\cdot \frac{\beta \cdot \{|\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| + \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\|\}}{1 - \beta \cdot \{|\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| + \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\|\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(\mu) - y_0\| &\leq \beta \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \cdot \left[ \frac{\beta \cdot \{|\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| + \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\|\}}{1 - \beta \cdot \{|\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| + \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\|\}} + 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\|}{1 - \beta \cdot \left\{ |\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \right\}}. \end{aligned}$$

(v) We assume that  $y$  obeys  $E(\lambda_0)y = 0$ . In this case we get  $y \in E(\mathbf{C} - \{\lambda_0\})X$ , so

$$y = (T - \lambda_0 I)Ry = R(T - \lambda_0 I)y = R((\lambda - \lambda_0)y + G(\mu)y).$$

But this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - \lambda_0| \|y\| + \|G(\mu)y\| &\leq |\lambda - \lambda_0| \cdot \beta \cdot (|\lambda - \lambda_0| \|y\| + \|G(\mu)y\|) \\ &\quad + \|G(\mu)y\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|G(\mu)y\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\| \cdot \beta \cdot (|\lambda - \lambda_0| \|y\| + \|G(\mu)y\|).$$

Altogether we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - \lambda_0| \|y\| + \|G(\mu)y\| &\leq \beta \cdot (|\lambda - \lambda_0| + \sum |\mu|^n \|G_n\|) \\ &\quad \cdot \{|\lambda - \lambda_0| \|y\| + \|G(\mu)y\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \beta^{-1} - \alpha^{-1}$ , further if  $|\mu| < \rho$ , the first factor on the right side is smaller than

$$\beta \cdot \{\beta^{-1} - \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-1}\} = 1,$$

and we have got a contradiction, unless  $y = 0$ .

Since the range of  $E(\lambda_0)$  is one-dimensional, we must have

$$E(\lambda)y = c \cdot y_0 \quad \text{with some } c \in \mathbf{C}, c \neq 0.$$

As in [3] one then can see, that also  $\lambda = \lambda(\mu)$ .

Some remarks are in order:

1. Since  $\alpha \geq 2\beta$  we have  $\beta^{-1} - \alpha^{-1} \geq \alpha^{-1}$ . So, in (v) the assertion concerning  $\lambda$  is true especially if  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \alpha^{-1}$ .

2. Our conditions and bounds become simpler, if  $N$  is small in norm, that is if  $\|N\| < d/2$ . In this case we get

$$\alpha \leq \frac{2\omega(E)}{d - 2\|N\|}$$

$$\beta \leq \frac{\omega(E)}{d - \|N\|}.$$

3. Of course a considerable simplification occurs in the scalar case, that is if  $N = 0$ . In this case we have

$$\alpha = \frac{2\omega(E)}{d}, \quad \beta = \frac{\omega(E)}{d} = \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad \beta^{-1} - \alpha^{-1} = \frac{d}{2\omega(E)}.$$

The theorem then takes the following form:

**THEOREM 2.** *There exist holomorphic functions  $\lambda$  and  $y$  on  $\{\mu \in \mathbf{C}, |\mu| < \rho\}$  with  $y(0) = y_0$  and  $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$  which obey*

- (i)  $E(\lambda_0)y(\mu) = y_0$ ;
- (ii)  $[T - G(\mu)]y(\mu) = \lambda(\mu)y(\mu)$ ;
- (iii)  $|\lambda(\mu) - \lambda_0| \leq \omega(E) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\|$ ;

$$(iv) \quad \|y(\mu) - y_0\| \leq \frac{\omega(E) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\|}{d - \omega(E)(\omega(E) + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|^n \|G_n\|}.$$

(v) *If  $[T - G(\mu)]y = \lambda y$  with  $|\mu| < \rho$ ,  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < d/2\omega(E)$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , we have  $\lambda = \lambda(\mu)$  and  $y = c \cdot y(\mu)$  with some  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ .*

If  $X$  is a Hilbert space and  $T$  selfadjoint, we have  $\omega(E) = 1$ . In this case Theorem 2 reproduces exactly the bounds given by Schäfke.



Finally, we remark that in Theorem 1(iii) one can get more explicit estimates by familiar methods for localizing zeros of polynomials if  $N$  is assumed nilpotent.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part III: Spectral Operators*, New York, 1971.
2. S. Lang, *Introduction to differentiable manifolds*, New York, 1962
3. F. W. Schäfke, *Zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung*, Math. Ann., **133** (1957), 219–234

Received May 5, 1976.

UNIVERSITÄT ESSEN  
4300 ESSEN 1  
POSTFACH 6843  
DEUTSCHLAND



Richard Julian Bagby, <i>On <math>L^p</math>, <math>L^q</math> multipliers of Fourier transforms</i> .....	1
Robert Beauwens and Jean-Jacques Van Binnebeek, <i>Convergence theorems in Banach algebras</i> .....	13
James Cyril Becker, <i>Skew linear vector fields on spheres in the stable range</i> .....	25
Michael James Beeson, <i>Continuity and comprehension in intuitionistic formal systems</i> .....	29
James K. Deveney, <i>Generalized primitive elements for transcendental field extensions</i> .....	41
Samuel S. Feder, Samuel Carlos Gitler and K. Y. Lam, <i>Composition properties of projective homotopy classes</i> .....	47
Nathan Jacob Fine, <i>Tensor products of function rings under composition</i> .....	63
Benno Fuchssteiner, <i>Iterations and fixpoints</i> .....	73
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>On punctured balls in manifolds</i> .....	81
Shigeru Itoh, <i>A random fixed point theorem for a multivalued contraction mapping</i> .....	85
Nicolas P. Jewell, <i>Continuity of module and higher derivations</i> .....	91
Roger Dale Konyndyk, <i>Residually central wreath products</i> .....	99
Linda M. Lesniak and John A. Roberts, <i>On Ramsey theory and graphical parameters</i> .....	105
Vo Thanh Liem, <i>Some cellular subsets of the spheres</i> .....	115
Dieter Lutz, <i>A perturbation theorem for spectral operators</i> .....	127
P. H. Maserick, <i>Moments of measures on convex bodies</i> .....	135
Stephen Joseph McAdam, <i>Unmixed 2-dimensional local domains</i> .....	153
D. B. McAlister and Norman R. Reilly, <i>E-unitary covers for inverse semigroups</i> .....	161
William H. Meeks, III and Julie Patrusky, <i>Representing codimension-one homology classes by embedded submanifolds</i> .....	175
Premalata Mohapatro, <i>Generalised quasi-Nörlund summability</i> .....	177
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Superalgebras of weak-<math>*</math>Dirichlet algebras</i> .....	197
Catherine Louise Olsen, <i>Norms of compact perturbations of operators</i> .....	209
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces. II</i> .....	229
Bernard Russo, <i>On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators</i> .....	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Anti-commutative algebras and homogeneous spaces with multiplications</i> .....	255
Robert Evert Stong, <i>Stiefel-Whitney classes of manifolds</i> .....	271
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On a theorem of Apostol concerning Möbius functions of order <math>k</math></i> .....	277
Yoshio Tanaka, <i>On closedness of <math>C</math>- and <math>C^*</math>-embeddings</i> .....	283
Chi Song Wong, <i>Characterizations of certain maps of contractive type</i> .....	293