

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

GENERALISED QUASI-NÖRLUND SUMMABILITY

PREMALATA MOHAPATRO

GENERALISED QUASI-NÖRLUND SUMMABILITY

PREMALATA MOHAPATRO

Just as (N, p, q) generalises Nörlund methods, so also, in this paper we define generalised quasi-Nörlund Method (N^*, p, q) generalising the quasi-Nörlund method due to Thorpe.

To begin with, we have determined the inverse of a generalised quasi-Nörlund matrix in a limited case. Besides, limitation Theorems for both ordinary and absolute (N^*, p, q) summability have been established.

Finally we have established an Abelian Theorem (the main theorem) for $(N^*, p, q) \Rightarrow (J, q)$, where (J, q) is a power series method which reduces to the Abel method (A) for $q_n = 1$ (all n).

1. Vermes [10] pointed out that there is a close relation between the summability properties of a matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ regarded as a sequence to sequence transformation and those of its transpose $A^* = (a_{kn})$ regarded as a series to series transformation.

Suppose that A is a sequence to sequence transformation and further that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1 \quad \text{for all } n,$$

then by using Theorems of regularity (see Hardy [5], Theorem 2) and absolute regularity (see Knopp and Lorentz [6]) we see that A^* is an absolutely regular series to series transformation.

Conversely, given any absolutely regular series to series method $C = (c_{nk})$, its transpose C^* is regular as a sequence to sequence method provided that

$$c_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for fixed } n.$$

We can also see that if A is absolutely regular and the above condition is satisfied then A^* is regular and the converse also holds.

We shall call A^* the quasi-method associated with A and remember that, it is a series to series transformation.

Kuttner [7] defined quasi-Cesàro summability and investigated its main properties as a quasi-Hausdorff transformation (see also Ramunujan [8] and White [11]). Thorpe [9] defined quasi-Nörlund (quasi-Riesz) summability.

Just as (N, p, q) generalises Nörlund methods, so also we can define generalised quasi-Nörlund method (N^*, p, q) generalising the quasi-Nörlund methods. We give the definition in the following manner:

Given p_n and q_n we define $r_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v}q_v$ and suppose that $r_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$. We say that the (N^*, p, q) method is applicable to the given infinite series $\sum a_n$ if

$$(1.1) \quad b_n = q_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{p_{k-n}a_k}{r_k}$$

exists for each $n \geq 0$. If further, $\sum b_n = s$, then we say that $\sum a_n$ is summable by (N^*, p, q) method to sum s and if $\sum |b_n| < \infty$ then $\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable by $|N^*, p, q|$ method.

The method (N^*, p, q) reduces to the quasi-Nörlund method (N^*, p) if $q_n = 1$, to the quasi-Riesz method (\bar{N}^*, q) if $p_n = 1$, to (say) quasi-Euler-Knopp method (E^*, σ) when

$$p_n = \frac{\alpha^n \sigma^n}{n!}, \quad q_n = \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \quad (\alpha > 0, \sigma > 0),$$

to the (say) (C^*, α, β) method (let us call it generalised quasi-Cesàro method) when

$$p_n = \binom{n + \alpha - 1}{\alpha}, \quad q_n = \binom{n + \beta}{\beta}.$$

It may be recalled that (N, p, q) matrix is given by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{n-k}q_k}{r_n} & (k \leq n), \\ 0 & (k > n). \end{cases}$$

and the (N^*, p, q) is given by its transpose matrix:

$$a_{nk}^* = \begin{cases} \frac{q_n p_{k-n}}{r_k} & (k \geq n), \\ 0 & (k < n). \end{cases}$$

Since for the (a_{nk}) defined above we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} = 1,$$

it follows from the above discussion that if

$$p_{k-n} = o(r_k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

for each fixed n , then (N^*, p, q) is regular if and only if (N, p, q) is absolutely regular, and (N^*, p, q) is absolutely regular if and only if (N, p, q) is regular.

The main object of this paper is to obtain certain conditions for which $\Sigma a_n \in (N^*, p, q) \Rightarrow \Sigma a_n \in (J, q)$.

The method (J, q) is defined as follows. Suppose that $q_n \geq 0$ and $q_n \neq 0$ for an infinity values of n . Let ρ_q ($\rho_q < \infty$) be the radius of convergence of the power series

$$q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n.$$

If the sequence to function transformation,

$$J(x) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n s_n x^n}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n}$$

exists for $0 \leq x \leq \rho_q$, we say that (J, q) method is applicable to Σa_n (or $\{s_n\}$), and if further $J(x) \rightarrow s$ as $x \rightarrow \rho_q - 0$, we say that Σa_n (or $\{s_n\}$) is summable (J, q) to s . See Hardy [5], Das [4].

As well-known particular cases of the (J, q) method, we have the Abel method when $q_n = 1$, the logarithmic method or (L) method when $q_n = 1/n + 1$ (Borwein [1], Hardy [5] p. 81), the A_α method when $q_n = \binom{n + \alpha}{\alpha}$ (Borwein [2] (A_0 is the same as Abel method A)), the Borel method where $q_n = 1/n!$ (see Hardy [5]). We write $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, when $p_n > 0$ and $p_n/p_{n-1} \leq p_{n+1}/p_n \leq 1$ ($n > 0$).

Let $P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v$, $Q_n = \sum_{v=0}^n q_v$.

Let c_n be defined formally by the identity,

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n\right) = 1.$$

2. Statements of the theorems. As in the case of quasi-Nörlund, it is not always possible to obtain an inverse to the transformation (1.1) but we have succeeded in getting an inverse for a class of sequences $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $q_n \neq 0$ ($n \geq 0$).

This is embodied in.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $q_n \neq 0$ ($n \geq 0$). Then (N^*, p, q) (where applicable) has an inverse transformation, whose matrix*

is given by the transpose of the inverse of (N, p, q) , that is, if b_n is given by transformation (1.1), then

$$(2.1) \quad a_n = r_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-n}}{q_k}.$$

This is our basic theorem in the sense that it is widely used here and elsewhere and it may be noted that this theorem yields a result due to Thorpe [8] in the case $q_n = 1$.

The next couple of theorems are limitation theorems which assert that the method can not sum too rapidly divergent series.

THEOREM 2. Suppose $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, $q_n \neq 0$ ($n \geq 0$) and that $|q_n|$ is non-decreasing. If Σa_n be summable (N^*, p, q) to s then

$$a_n = o\left(\frac{|r_n|}{|q_n|}\right).$$

If further $r_n \geq 0$, then

$$s_n = s + o(Q_n/|q_n|).$$

THEOREM 3. Suppose $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, q_n is positive, $\{q_n\}$ is nondecreasing and $\{q_n/r_n\}$ is nonincreasing. Then if Σa_n is summable $|N^*, p, q|$, then

$$\left\{ \frac{q_n s_n}{r_n} \right\} \in BV.$$

The main theorem in this paper is the Abelian theorem which is stated as:

THEOREM 4. Suppose $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, $q_n > 0$ and that $\{q_n\}$ and $\{q_n/q_{n+1}\}$ are nondecreasing. Also let

$$(2.2) \quad r_n(q_{n+1} - q_n) = O(q_{n+1}(r_{n+1} - r_n)).$$

Then

$$\Sigma a_n = s(N^*, p, q) \Rightarrow \Sigma a_n = s(J, q).$$

It may be remarked that the relationship between (N, p, q) and (J, q) was studied by Das (4). Putting $q_n = 1$ in Theorem 4, we obtain the result of Thorpe regarding $(N^*, p) \Rightarrow (A)$. We need the following lemma for the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 1. *Let $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then*

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n| < \infty$,
- (ii) $c_0 > 0, c_n \leq 0 (n \geq 1)$,
- (iii) $\sum c_n \geq 0$,
- (iv) $\sum c_n = 0$, if and only if $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The above theorem is due to Kaluza. The proof of the theorem appears in Hardy (5), Theorem 22.

3. *Proof of Theorem 1.* We know from the identity:

$$(\sum c_n x^n)(\sum p_n x^n) = 1$$

that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^k p_n c_{k-n} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0), \\ 0 & (k > 0). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{k=n}^N c_{k-n} p_{v-k} = - \sum_{k=N+1}^v c_{k-n} p_{v-k} \quad (v > n).$$

Now for $N > n$ and by (1.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} r_n \sum_{k=n}^N \frac{b_k c_{k-n}}{q_k} &= r_n \sum_{k=n}^N \frac{c_{k-n}}{q_k} q_k \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} \frac{a_v p_{v-k}}{r_v} \\ &= r_n \sum_{k=n}^N c_{k-n} \left(\sum_{v=k}^N + \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \right) \frac{a_v p_{v-k}}{r_v} \\ &= r_n \sum_{v=n}^N \frac{a_v}{r_v} \sum_{k=n}^v c_{k-n} p_{v-k} \\ &\quad + r_n \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{r_v} \sum_{k=n}^N c_{k-n} p_{v-k} \\ &= a_n + r_n \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{r_v} \sum_{k=n}^N c_{k-n} p_{v-k} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.1). Thus the necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of (2.1) is that, for each fixed n ,

$$\sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{r_v} \sum_{k=n}^N c_{k-n} p_{v-k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is the same thing as, for each fixed n ,

$$(3.3) \quad \phi_N = \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{r_v} \sum_{k=N+1}^v c_{k-n} p_{v-k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

in view of (3.2).

Let us write

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} b_0 &= q_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_k a_k}{r_k}, \\ \omega_v &= q_0 \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{p_k a_k}{r_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (N^*, p, q) method is applicable to Σa_n , b_0 is finite and hence, ω_v is well defined and tends to zero as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Now from (3.4)

$$\frac{a_v}{r_v} = \frac{\omega_v - \omega_{v+1}}{q_0 p_v}.$$

Hence

$$\phi_N = \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_v - \omega_{v+1}}{p_v} \sum_{k=N+1}^v c_{k-n} p_{v-k}.$$

Now for $M > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{v=N+1}^M \frac{\omega_v - \omega_{v+1}}{p_v} \sum_{k=N+1}^v c_{k-n} p_{v-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{v=N+1}^M \omega_v \left[\sum_{k=N+1}^v \frac{p_{v-k} c_{k-n}}{p_v} - \sum_{k=N+1}^{v-1} \frac{p_{v-k-1} c_{k-n}}{p_{v-1}} \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{q_0} \frac{\omega_{M+1}}{p_M} \sum_{k=N+1}^M p_{M-k} c_{k-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ (by Lemma 1)

$$\left| \sum_{k=N+1}^M p_{M-k} c_{k-n} \right| = O(1), \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty,$$

and by definition,

$$\omega_M = o(1), \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty,$$

we see that,

$$\phi_N = \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \omega_v \sum_{k=N+1}^v c_{k-n} \left(\frac{p_{v-k}}{p_v} - \frac{p_{v-k-1}}{p_{v-1}} \right).$$

Since $\{\omega_v\}$ is an arbitrary sequence tending to 0, hence (3.3) is valid, that is, $\phi_N \rightarrow 0$ if and only if, (see Hardy (5), Theorem 8) for fixed n ,

$$J_N = \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^v \left(\frac{p_{v-k} - p_{v-k-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \right) c_{k-n} \right| = O(1)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. But by virtue of (3.1)

$$\sum_{k=N+1}^v \left(\frac{p_{v-k} - p_{v-k-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \right) c_{k-n} = - \sum_{k=n}^N \left(\frac{p_{v-k} - p_{v-k-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \right) c_{k-n}$$

for $v > n$ and also,

$$\frac{p_{v-k} - p_{v-k-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \leq 1, \quad \text{for } k \leq v - 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_N &= \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n}^N \left(\frac{p_{v-k} - p_{v-k-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \right) c_{k-n} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} c_0 \left| \frac{p_{v-n} - p_{v-n-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^N \left| c_{k-n} \left(\frac{p_{v-k} - p_{v-k-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \right) \right| \\ &= J_N^{(1)} + J_N^{(2)}, \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\{p_n/p_{n+1}\}$ is nonincreasing and so,

$$J_N^{(1)} = O(1), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $p_n/p_{n+1} \geq 1$ and $\{p_n/p_{n+1}\}$ is nonincreasing it follows that, $\lim p_n/p_{n+1}$ exists and

$$A = \lim p_n/p_{n+1} \geq 1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p_{v-k} - p_{v-k-1}}{p_v - p_{v-1}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{v-k} - p_{N-k}}{p_v - p_N} \\ &= \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{p_{v-k} p_{v+1-k} \dots p_{v-1}}{p_{v+1-k} p_{v+2-k} \dots p_v} \right) - \frac{p_{N-k}}{p_N} \\ &= A^k - \frac{p_{N-k}}{p_N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} J_N^{(2)} &= \sum_{k=n+1}^N c_{k-n} A^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^N c_{k-n} \frac{p_{N-k}}{p_N} \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^N c_{k-n} A^k - \frac{1}{p_N} \left[\sum_{k=n}^N c_{k-n} p_{N-k} - c_0 p_{N-n} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^N c_{k-n} A^k + c_0 \frac{p_{N-n}}{p_N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^N c_{k-n} A^k \leq 0,$$

we get,

$$\begin{aligned} J_N^{(2)} &\leq \frac{c_0 p_{N-n}}{p_N} \\ &= O(1), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Since Σa_n is (N^*, p, q) summable, Σb_n is convergent and hence $b_n = o(1)$. By using the inversion formula as given in Theorem 1 we obtain, by using hypotheses,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &= \left| r_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-n}}{q_k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|r_n|}{|q_n|} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |b_k c_{k-n}| \\ &= \frac{|r_n|}{|q_n|} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} o(1) |c_{k-n}| \\ &= o\left(\frac{|r_n|}{|q_n|}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $\Sigma |c_n| < \infty$ and $b_n = o(1)$.

Next, suppose that $\Sigma b_n = s$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma c_n x^n)(\Sigma r_n x^n) &= \Sigma q_n x^n, \\ (\Sigma c_n^{(1)} x^n)(\Sigma r_n x^n) &= \Sigma Q_n x^n, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{v=0}^n r_v c_{n-v} = q_n,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{v=0}^n r_v c_{n-v}^{(1)} = Q_n.$$

Thus, when $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have $c_n^{(1)} \geq 0$ and if $r_n \geq 0$, it follows from (4.2) that $Q_n \geq 0$ whether or not q_n is positive.

Now by (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} s_m &= \sum_{n=0}^m r_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-n}}{q_k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m r_n \left(\sum_{k=n}^m + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \right) \frac{b_k c_{k-n}}{q_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{b_k}{q_k} \sum_{n=0}^k r_n c_{k-n} + \sum_{n=0}^m r_n \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-n}}{q_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m b_k + \sum_{n=0}^m r_n \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-n}}{q_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as $b_k = o(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| s_m - \sum_{k=0}^m b_k \right| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^m r_n \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} o(1) \frac{|c_{k-n}|}{q_k} \\ &= o(1) \frac{1}{|q_m|} \sum_{n=0}^m r_n \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |c_{k-n}|. \end{aligned}$$

But when $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, by Lemma 1, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |c_{k-n}| \leq c_{m-n}^{(1)};$$

and hence, by identity (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| s_m - \sum_{k=0}^m b_k \right| &= o(1) \frac{1}{|q_m|} \sum_{n=0}^m r_n c_{m-n}^{(1)} \\ &= o(1) \frac{Q_m}{|q_m|}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{s_n q_n}{r_n} - \frac{s_{n+1} q_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}} \right| &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \left(\frac{s_n q_n}{r_n} \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n+1}| \frac{q_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |s_n| \Delta \left| \frac{q_n}{r_n} \right| \\
&= L_n + M_n, \quad (\text{say}).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.1), we get (as q_n is nondecreasing)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_n &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}} r_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{|b_k| |c_{k-n-1}|}{q_k} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| |c_{k-n-1}| \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |b_k| \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} |c_{k-n-1}| \\
&= O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum |b_k| < \infty$ and $\sum |c_n| < \infty$ as $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$. Since $\{q_n/r_n\}$ is decreasing we have,

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \frac{q_n}{r_n} \right| = \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q_n}{r_n} - \frac{q_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}} \right) \leq \frac{q_v}{r_v}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \frac{q_n}{r_n} \right| \left| \sum_{v=0}^n r_v \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-v}}{q_k} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \frac{q_n}{r_n} \right| \sum_{v=0}^n r_v \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{|b_k| |c_{k-v}|}{q_k} \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \frac{q_n}{r_n} \right| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{|b_k| |c_{k-v}|}{q_k} \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{|b_k| |c_{k-v}|}{q_k} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \frac{q_n}{r_n} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_v}{q_v} \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} |b_k| |c_{k-v}| \frac{q_v}{r_v} \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} |b_k| |c_{k-v}| \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |b_k| \sum_{v=0}^k |c_{k-v}| \\
&< \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis. Hence

$$\Sigma \left| \Delta \left(\frac{s_n q_n}{r_n} \right) \right| \leq L_n + M_n = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and therefore

$$\{s_n q_n / r_n\} \in BV.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

5. Now we will prove our main theorem and for this, we require the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, $q_n > 0$ and nondecreasing. Then (2.2) implies that*

$$0 \leq q_k^2 \leq \sum_{v=0}^k q_v r_v c_{k-v} = O(q_k^2).$$

Proof. Since $q_n > 0$ and nondecreasing and $p_n > 0$, it follows that $r_n > 0$ and nondecreasing. Since, as $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, by Lemma 1, $c_0 > 0$, $c_n \leq 0$ ($n \geq 1$), when we get

$$\sum_{v=0}^k q_v r_v c_{k-v} \geq q_k \sum_{v=0}^k r_v c_{k-v} = q_k^2 \geq 0,$$

by identity (4.1). Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=0}^k q_v r_v c_{k-v} &= \sum_{v=0}^k \Delta_v (q_{k-v} r_{k-v}) c_v(1) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^k q_{k-v} (r_{k-v} - r_{k-v-1}) c_v(1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=0}^k r_{k-v-1} (q_{k-v} - q_{k-v-1}) c_v(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as $c_n^{(1)} \geq 0$, we get by (4.2)

$$\sum_{v=0}^k q_{k-v} (r_{k-v} - r_{k-v-1}) c_v^{(1)} \leq q_k (Q_k - Q_{k-1}) = q_k^2.$$

Again by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \sum_{v=0}^k r_{k-v-1}(q_{k-v} - q_{k-v-1})c_v^{(1)} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=0}^k q_{k-v}(r_{k-v} - r_{k-v-1})c_v^{(1)} \\
&= O(1)q_k^2,
\end{aligned}$$

as in the previous case.

Hence

$$0 \leq \sum_{v=0}^k q_v r_v c_{k-v} = O(q_k^2).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 4. We shall first prove that whenever Σa_n is summable (N^*, p, q) , then (J, q) method is applicable to Σa_n .

By Theorem 2, we have

$$s_n = s + o\left(\frac{Q_n}{q_n}\right) = O\left(\frac{Q_n}{q_n}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
J(x) &= \frac{\sum q_n s_n x^n}{\sum q_n x^n} \\
&= O(1) \frac{\sum Q_n x^n}{\sum q_n x^n} \\
&= O(1) \sum x^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum x^n = 1/(1-x)$ for $|x| < 1$, it follows that $J(x)$ exists for $|x| < 1$ and hence (J, q) method is applicable. Now for $|x| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.1) \quad J(x) &= \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} q_n x^n \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-v}}{q_k} \\
&= \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{b_k c_{k-v}}{q_k} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} q_n x^n \\
&= \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{q_k} \sum_{v=0}^k r_v c_{k-v} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} q_n x^n \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x) b_k,
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$g_k(x) = \frac{\sum_{v=0}^k r_v c_{k-v} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} q_n x^n}{q_k q(x)}.$$

The change of order of summation involved in obtaining (5.1) is justified in the range $|x| < 1$, by the absolute convergence of the double sum.

Now (5.1) is a series to function transformation, transforming the series $\sum b_n$ to the function $J(x)$. To prove the theorem, we have to show that the transformation (5.1) is regular, that is, we have to show that the conditions of regularity (see Cooke [3], page 65) are satisfied. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(x) &= \frac{\sum_{v=0}^k r_v c_{k-v} \left(q(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{v-1} q_n x^n \right)}{q_k q(x)} \\ (5.2) \quad &= \frac{1}{q_k} \sum_{v=0}^k r_v c_{k-v} \left(1 - \sum_{n=0}^{v-1} q_n x^n / q(x) \right) \\ &= 1 - \left(\sum_{v=0}^k r_v c_{k-v} \sum_{n=0}^{v-1} q_n x^n \right) / (q(x) q_k) \end{aligned}$$

by identity (4.1).

Since $q_n > 0$ is increasing, we have

$$\sum q_n x^n \geq q_0 \sum x^n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 1 - 0.$$

Hence from (5.2), we obtain

$$g_k(x) \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 1 - 0.$$

We have only to show that

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)| \leq M,$$

for $0 < x < 1$, where M is a positive number.

Now let us write

$$\phi_v(x) = \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} q_k x^k / q(x).$$

It is obvious that, $\phi_0(x) = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x) &= \sum_{v=0}^{k+1} \phi_v(x) r_v \left(\frac{c_{k-v}}{q_k} - \frac{c_{k+1-v}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-n} \left(\phi_v(x) \frac{r_v}{q_k} - \phi_{v+1}(x) \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) - r_0 \frac{c_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by hypothesis $\sum |c_n| < \infty$ and $\{1/q_n\}$ decreases as n increases, we have,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{k+1}|}{q_{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_{k+1}| < \infty.$$

Hence in order to show that (5.3) holds it is enough to show that,

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \left(\phi_v(x) \frac{r_v}{q_k} - \phi_{v+1}(x) \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \right| < M,$$

for $0 < x < 1$.

Now since

$$\phi_v(x) - \phi_{v+1}(x) = \frac{q_v x^v}{q(x)},$$

it follows that,

$$(5.5) \quad \theta(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} (\phi_v(x) - \phi_{v+1}(x)) \frac{r_v}{q_k} + \phi_{v+1}(x) \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \right| \\ \leq M(x) + N(x),$$

where,

$$M(x) = \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_k} \left| \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} q_v r_v x^v \right| \\ N(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \phi_{v+1}(x) \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \right|.$$

Since

$$\sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} q_v r_v x^v = \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} c_{k-v} q_v r_v (x^v - x^k) + x^k \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} q_v r_v,$$

to prove $M(x) = O(1)$ we need only show that,

$$M'(x) = \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} c_{k-v} q_v r_v (x^v - x^k) = O(1),$$

in view of Lemma 2.

Since $c_n \leq 0$ ($n \geq 1$) and $\{1/q_n\}$ is decreasing, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 M'(x) &= -\frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} q_v r_v c_{k-v} (x^v - x^k) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} q_v r_v x^v \sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} c_{k-v} \frac{(1-x^{k-v})}{q_k} \\
 &\leq -\frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_v r_v x^v}{q_v} \sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} c_{k-v} (1-x^{k-v}) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v x^v (c(1) - c(x)) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v x^v c(x) \\
 &= \frac{r(x)c(x)}{q(x)} \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(5.6) \quad M(x) = O(1).$$

The inner sum of $N(x)$ can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_{k+1}(x) \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) &+ \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} (\phi_{v+1}(x) - \phi_{k+1}(x)) \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \\
 &= \phi_{k+1}(x) \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{v=0}^k \frac{c_{k-v}}{q(x)} \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \sum_{\mu=v+1}^k q_{\mu} x^{\mu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(5.7) \quad N(x) \leq N'(x) + N''(x),$$

where,

$$N'(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \phi_{k+1}(x) \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \right|,$$

and

$$N''(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \left(\frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) \frac{\sum_{\mu=v+1}^k q_{\mu} x^{\mu}}{q(x)} \right|.$$

By (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \frac{r_v}{q_k} - \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \sum_{v=0}^k c_{k-v} r_{v+1} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \left(\sum_{v=0}^{k+1} c_{k+1-v} r_v - c_{k+1} r_0 \right) \\
 &= r_0 \frac{c_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$N'(x) = r_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_{k+1}(x) \frac{|c_{k+1}|}{q_{k+1}}.$$

We know from the very definition of $\phi_k(x)$ that for $0 < x < 1$,

$$0 \leq \phi_k(x) \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$N'(x) \leq r_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} \leq \frac{r_0}{q_0} \sum |c_{k+1}| < \infty.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 N''(x) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^k |c_{k-v}| \left| \frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right| \frac{\sum_{\mu=v+1}^k q_{\mu} x^{\mu}}{q(x)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} |c_{k-v}| \left| \frac{r_v}{q_k} - \frac{r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right| \sum_{\mu=v+1}^k q_{\mu} x^{\mu} \\
 &= \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=v+1}^{\infty} q_{\mu} x^{\mu} \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} |c_{k-v}| \left| r_v \left(\frac{1}{q_k} - \frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \right) + \frac{r_v - r_{v+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v \sum_{\mu=v+1}^{\infty} q_{\mu} x^{\mu} \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} |c_{k-v}| \left(\frac{1}{q_k} - \frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (r_{v+1} - r_v) \sum_{\mu=v+1}^{\infty} q_{\mu} x^{\mu} \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} |c_{k-v}| \frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \\
 &= \alpha(x) + \beta(x), \quad (\text{say}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\{q_n\}$ and $\{q_n/q_{n+1}\}$ are increasing with n we get, by using hypothesis (2.2) and (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &\leq \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} r_v \sum_{\mu=v+1}^{\infty} x^\mu \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} |c_{k-v}| \left(1 - \frac{q_k}{q_{k+1}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_v(q_{v+1} - q_v)}{q_{v+1}} \sum_{\mu=v+1}^{\infty} c_{\mu-v-1}^{(1)} x^\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_v(q_{v+1} - q_v)}{q_{v+1}} x^{v+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^{(1)} x^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-x)q(x)p(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_v(q_{v+1} - q_v)}{q_{v+1}} x^{v+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-x)r(x)} O(1) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (r_{v+1} - r_v) x^{v+1} \\ &= O(1), \end{aligned}$$

by using the identity,

$$(1-x)p(x) \sum c_n^{(1)} x^n = 1, \quad (0 < x < 1).$$

Again since $\{r_n\}$ increases with n as $\{q_n\}$ increases, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x) &\leq \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (r_{v+1} - r_v) \sum_{\mu=v+1}^{\infty} x^\mu \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} |c_{k-v}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (r_{v+1} - r_v) \sum_{\mu=v+1}^{\infty} x^\mu c_{\mu-v-1}^{(1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (r_{v+1} - r_v) x^{v+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^{(1)} x^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-x)p(x)q(x)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (r_{v+1} - r_v) x^{v+1} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$N''(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x) = O(1).$$

Hence by (5.7), (5.6) and (5.5)

$$\theta(x) \leq M(x) + N(x) = O(1).$$

Hence (5.3) holds and this completes the proof of the theorem.

6. In this section, we now deduce some corollaries of Theorem 4.

COROLLARY 1. (Thorpe [9]). Suppose $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $\Sigma a_n \in (N^*, p) \Rightarrow \Sigma a_n \in (A)$, where (A) is the Abel method.

Proof. Put $q_n = 1$, for all n in Theorem 4.

COROLLARY 2. Let $q_n > 0$ for all n , $\{q_n\}$ be increasing in n , such that $\{q_n/q_{n+1}\}$ is also increasing in n and,

$$(6.1) \quad Q_n(q_{n+1} - q_n) = O(q_{n+1}^{(2)}).$$

Then,

$$\Sigma a_n \in (\bar{N}^*, q) \Rightarrow \Sigma a_n \in (J, q).$$

Proof. Put $p_n = 1$ for all n , in Theorem 4. In this case we have,

$$c_0 = 1, \quad c_1 = -1, \quad c_n = 0 \quad (n > 2).$$

COROLLARY 3. $(C^*, \alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow A_\beta$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$.

Proof. Set

$$p_n = A_n^{\alpha-1}, \quad q_n = A_n^{\beta-1} \quad \text{in Theorem 4.}$$

Then $r_n = A_n^{\alpha+\beta-1}$ and condition (2.2) reduces to proving that

$$n^{\alpha+\beta-1} n^{\beta-2} = O(n^{\beta-1} n^{\alpha+\beta-2}),$$

which is valid in the present case. Also when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then $p_n = A_n^{\alpha-1} \in \mathfrak{M}$ and when $\beta \geq 1$, then $q_n = A_n^{\beta-1}$ is nondecreasing.

Lastly I would like to thank Professor G. Das for his valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper. I would also like to thank the referee for some suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. D. Borwein, *A logarithmic method of summability*, J. London Math. Soc., **33** (1958), 212–220.
2. ———, *On products of sequences*, J. London Math. Soc., **33** (1958), 352–375.
3. R. G. Cooke, *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*, (Dover, New York 1955).
4. G. Das, *On some methods of summability*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford (2) **17** (1966), 244–256.
5. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, (Oxford 1949).
6. Knopp and Lorentz, *Beirage Zur absoluten Limitierung*, Archiv der Math., **2** (1949), 10–16.

7. B. Kuttner, *On quasi-Cesàro summability*, J.I.M.S., **24** (1960), 319–341.
8. M. S. Ramanujan, *Note on the quasi-Hausdorff series to series transformation*, J. London Math. Soc., **32** (1957), 27–32.
9. B. Thorpe, *Nörlund and related summability methods*, Ph.D. Thesis, (1970) Birmingham University.
10. P. Vermes, *The transpose of a summability matrix*, Colloque sur la Theorie des Suttés, Centre Belge de rechroches Math., (1958) 60–86.
11. A. J. White, *On quasi-Cesàro summability*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), **12** (1961) 81–99.

Received May 3, 1976 and in revised form July 16, 1976

SAMBALPUR UNIVERSITY
TALA TELENGA BAZAR
CUTTACK 753009 ORISSA
INDIA

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 68, No. 1

March, 1977

Richard Julian Bagby, <i>On L^p, L^q multipliers of Fourier transforms</i>	1
Robert Beauwens and Jean-Jacques Van Binnebeek, <i>Convergence theorems in Banach algebras</i>	13
James Cyril Becker, <i>Skew linear vector fields on spheres in the stable range</i>	25
Michael James Beeson, <i>Continuity and comprehension in intuitionistic formal systems</i>	29
James K. Deveney, <i>Generalized primitive elements for transcendental field extensions</i>	41
Samuel S. Feder, Samuel Carlos Gitler and K. Y. Lam, <i>Composition properties of projective homotopy classes</i>	47
Nathan Jacob Fine, <i>Tensor products of function rings under composition</i>	63
Benno Fuchssteiner, <i>Iterations and fixpoints</i>	73
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>On punctured balls in manifolds</i>	81
Shigeru Itoh, <i>A random fixed point theorem for a multivalued contraction mapping</i>	85
Nicolas P. Jewell, <i>Continuity of module and higher derivations</i>	91
Roger Dale Konyndyk, <i>Residually central wreath products</i>	99
Linda M. Lesniak and John A. Roberts, <i>On Ramsey theory and graphical parameters</i>	105
Vo Thanh Liem, <i>Some cellular subsets of the spheres</i>	115
Dieter Lutz, <i>A perturbation theorem for spectral operators</i>	127
P. H. Maserick, <i>Moments of measures on convex bodies</i>	135
Stephen Joseph McAdam, <i>Unmixed 2-dimensional local domains</i>	153
D. B. McAlister and Norman R. Reilly, <i>E-unitary covers for inverse semigroups</i>	161
William H. Meeks, III and Julie Patrusky, <i>Representing codimension-one homology classes by embedded submanifolds</i>	175
Premalata Mohapatro, <i>Generalised quasi-Nörlund summability</i>	177
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Superalgebras of weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	197
Catherine Louise Olsen, <i>Norms of compact perturbations of operators</i>	209
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces. II</i>	229
Bernard Russo, <i>On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators</i>	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Anti-commutative algebras and homogeneous spaces with multiplications</i>	255
Robert Evert Stong, <i>Stiefel-Whitney classes of manifolds</i>	271
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On a theorem of Apostol concerning Möbius functions of order k</i>	277
Yoshio Tanaka, <i>On closedness of C- and C^*-embeddings</i>	283
Chi Song Wong, <i>Characterizations of certain maps of contractive type</i>	293