Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A COMMUTATIVITY THEOREM FOR NON-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS OVER A PRINCIPAL IDEAL DOMAIN

JIANG LUH AND MOHAN S. PUTCHA

Vol. 68, No. 2 April 1977

A COMMUTATIVITY THEOREM FOR NON-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS OVER A PRINCIPAL IDEAL DOMAIN

JIANG LUH AND MOHAN S. PUTCHA

Let A be an algebra (not necessarily associative) over a principal ideal domain R such that for all $a, b \in A$, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ and $\alpha ab = \beta ba$. It is shown that A is commutative.

Throughout this paper N will denote the set of natural numbers and Z^+ the set of positive integers. A will denote an algebra with identity 1 over a Principal Ideal Domain R. If $a, b \in A$ then [a, b] = ab - ba. If $\alpha, \beta \in R$, then (α, β) denotes the greatest common divisor of α and β . If $a \in A$, then the *order* of a, o(a) is the generator of the ideal $I = \{\alpha \mid a \in R, \ \alpha a = 0\}$ of R. o(a) is unique up to associates. As a generalization of concepts in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5] we consider the following:

(*) For all $a, b \in A$, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ and $\alpha ab = \beta ba$.

We will show that if A satisfies (*), then A is commutative. This generalizes [3; Theorem 3.5].

LEMMA 1. Let p be a prime in R, $m \in Z^+$ such that $p^m A = (0)$. If A satisfies (*), then A is commutative.

Proof. Let C denote the center of A. Let $x \in A$, $o(x) = p^k$, $k \in N$. We prove by induction on k that $x \in C$. If k = 0, then x = 0. So let k > 0. Let $y \in A$. First we show

(1)
$$[x, y] \neq 0 \text{ implies } [yx, y] = 0.$$

If yx = 0, this is trivial. So let $yx \neq 0$. Now for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R$,

(2)
$$\alpha_1 xy = \alpha_2 yx, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 1 \beta_1(x+1)y = \beta_2 y(x+1), (\beta_1 \beta_2) = 1.$$

So $\alpha_1\beta_1(x+1)y = \alpha_1\beta_2y(x+1)$. Thus substituting the above, we get

(3)
$$(\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2)yx = (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\beta_1)y.$$

We claim that $(\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2)yx \neq 0$. For otherwise $(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\beta_1)y = 0$. Since $y \neq 0$, we get $p \mid \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\beta_1$.

Also $(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\beta_1)yx = 0$. Since $(\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2)yx = 0$, we get $(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\beta_1yx = 0$. Since $yx \neq 0$, $p \mid \beta_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)$. So

$$p \mid \alpha_1(\beta_2 - \beta_1), p \mid \beta_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1).$$

Case 1. $p \nmid \alpha_1$. Then since $\alpha_1(\beta_2 - \beta_1)y = 0$, we get $(\beta_2 - \beta_1)y = 0$. So by (2), $\beta_1[x, y] = 0 = \beta_2[x, y]$. Since $[x, y] \neq 0$, we get $p \mid \beta_1$, $p \mid \beta_2$, contradicting (2).

Case 2. $p \mid \alpha_1$. Then $p \nmid \alpha_2$ and so $p \nmid \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$. Thus $p \mid \beta_1$. So $p \nmid \beta_2$, $p \nmid \beta_2 - \beta_1$. Since $\alpha_1(\beta_2 - \beta_1)y = 0$ we get $\alpha_1 y = 0$. So $\alpha_1 xy = 0$. By (2), $\alpha_2 yx = 0$. Since $yx \neq 0$, we get $p \mid \alpha_2$, a contradiction.

Hence by (3)

$$(\alpha_2\beta_1-\alpha_1\beta_2)yx\neq 0.$$

In particular

$$\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2 \neq 0$$
.

So

$$\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2 = p'\delta$$
, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\delta, p) = 1$.

If $t \ge k$, then $(\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2)yx = 0$, a contradiction. So t < k. Hence

$$p^{k-t}(\alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_1\beta_1)y=p^{k-t}p^t\delta yx=0.$$

Let $o(y) = p^i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If i < k, then $y \in \mathbb{C}$, a contradiction. So $i \ge k$. Hence

$$p^{k}|p^{i}|p^{k-t}(\alpha_{1}\beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}).$$

So $p' | \alpha_2 \beta_2 - \alpha_1 \beta_1$ and $\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_1 \beta_1 = p' \gamma$, $\gamma \in R$. Then $p' \delta y x = p' \gamma y$. Hence $p' (\delta y x - \gamma y) = 0$. By induction hypothesis, $\delta y x - \gamma y \in C$. So $[\delta y x - \gamma y, y] = 0$. Thus $\delta [y x, y] = 0$. Since $(\delta, p) = 1$, [y x, y] = 0. This establishes (1).

Now let $u \in A$ and suppose $[x, u] \neq 0$. Then also $[x, u+1] \neq 0$. By (1), [ux, u] = 0 = [(u+1)x, u]. So [x, u] = 0, a contradiction. So $x \in C$ and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. Suppose A satisfies (*). Let $a, b \in A, o(b) = 0$. If ba = 0, then ab = 0.

Proof. Suppose $ab \neq 0$. Then there exist $\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in R$ such that

(4)
$$\beta_{1}(a+1)b = \beta_{2}b(a+1), (\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}) = 1, \\ \gamma_{1}a(b+1) = \gamma_{2}b(a+1), (\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}) = 1.$$

So

(5)
$$\beta_1 ab = (\beta_2 - \beta_1)b \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)a = \gamma_1 ab.$$

If $\beta_2 = \beta_1$, then β_1, β_2 are units and by (5) ab = ba = 0, a contradiction. So $\beta_2 - \beta_1 \neq 0$. Similarly $\gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \neq 0$. Since o(b) = 0, we get by (5) that o(ab) = 0. So o(a) = 0. Hence by (5), $\beta_1 \neq 0$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$. Also by (5) $[\beta_1 ab, b] = 0$.

$$(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)\beta_1 ab = \gamma_1 \beta_1 (ab)b$$

$$= \gamma_1 \beta_1 b (ab)$$

$$= \beta_1 (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) ba$$

$$= 0.$$

So $o(ab) \neq 0$, a contradiction. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 3. Suppose A satisfies (*). Let $b \in A$, o(b) = 0. Then $b \in C$, the center of A.

Proof. Let $a \in A$. There exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in R$ such that

(6)
$$\alpha_1 ab = \alpha_2 ba, \ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 1, \\ \beta_1(a+1)b = \beta_2 b(a+1), \ (\beta_1, \beta_2) = 1.$$

Multiplying the second equation by α_1 and substituting the first we obtain

$$b[(\alpha_2\beta_1-\alpha_1\beta_2)a-(\alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_1\beta_1)\cdot 1]=0.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$[(\alpha_2\beta_1-\alpha_1\beta_2)a-(\alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_1\beta_1)\cdot 1]b=0.$$

Let $\mu = \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2$. Then $\alpha_1 (\beta_2 - \beta_1) b = \mu ab = \mu ba$. By (6) $\alpha_1 \mu ab = \alpha_2 \mu ba = \alpha_2 \mu ab$. So

$$(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\alpha_1(\beta_2 - \beta_1)b = 0.$$

Since o(b) = 0, we obtain by (6) that either $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ is a unit, $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ is a unit or else $\alpha_1 = 0$. The first two cases imply by (6) that ab = ba. So let $\alpha_1 = 0$. Then $\alpha_2 ba = 0$ and α_2 is a unit by (6). So ba = 0. By Lemma 2, ab = 0. Thus in any case ab = ba and we are done.

THEOREM 4. Suppose A satisfies (*). Then A is commutative.

Proof. Suppose A is not commutative. We will obtain a contradiction. There exists $x \in A$ such that $x \notin C$, the center of A. So $x+1 \notin C$. By Lemma 3 $o(x) \neq 0$ and $o(x+1) \neq 0$. Hence $o(1) \neq 0$. Let $o(1) = d \neq 0$. Then d is not a unit and hence $d = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for some primes $p_1, \cdots, p_i \in A$ and some positive integers $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_i$. Let $A_i = \{a \mid a \in A, p_i^{\alpha_i} a = 0\}$. Then each A_i is a nonzero subalgebra of A and $A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_i$. Being subalgebras of A, the A_i 's also satisfy (*). Being homomorphic images of A, all the A_i 's have identity elements. By Lemma 1 each A_i and hence A is commutative, a contradiction. This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. Coughlin and M. Rich, On scalar dependent algebras, Canad. J. Math., 24 (1972), 696-702.
- 2. R. Coughlin, E. Kleinfeld, and M. Rich, *Scalar dependent algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 39 (1973), 69-73.
- 3. K. Koh, J. Luh and M. S. Putcha, On the associativity and commutativity of algebras over commutative rings, Pacific J. Math., 63 (1976), 423-430.
- 4. M. Rich, A commutativity theorem for algebras, Amer. Math. Monthly, 82 (1975), 377-379.
- 5. J. C. K. Wang and J. Luh, The structure of a certain class of rings, Math. Japon., 20 (1975), 149-157.

Received October 5, 1976. The second author was partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 76-05784.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY RALEIGH, NC 27607

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, WA 98105

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1977 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 68, No. 2 April, 1977

William Allen Adkins, Aldo Andreotti and John Vincent Leahy, An analogue of Oka's theorem for weakly normal complex spaces	297
Ann K. Boyle, M. G. Deshpande and Edmund H. Feller, <i>On nonsingularly</i>	271
k-primitive rings	303
Rolando Basim Chuaqui, <i>Measures invariant under a group of</i>	
transformations	313
Wendell Dan Curtis and Forrest Miller, Gauge groups and classification of	
bundles with simple structural group	331
Garret J. Etgen and Willie Taylor, <i>The essential uniqueness of bounded</i>	
nonoscillatory solutions of certain even order differential	
equations	339
Paul Ezust, On a representation theory for ideal systems	347
Richard Carl Gilbert, The deficiency index of a third order operator	369
John Norman Ginsburg, S-spaces in countably compact spaces using	202
Ostaszewski's method	393
Basil Gordon and S. P. Mohanty, <i>On a theorem of Delaunay and some related results</i>	399
Douglas Lloyd Grant, Topological groups which satisfy an open mapping	377
theorem	411
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, A characterization of solenoids.	425
Kyong Taik Hahn, On completeness of the Bergman metric and its	
subordinate metrics. II	437
G. Hochschild and David Wheeler Wigner, Abstractly split group	
extensions	447
Gary S. Itzkowitz, <i>Inner invariant subspaces</i>	455
Jiang Luh and Mohan S. Putcha, A commutativity theorem for	
non-associative algebras over a principal ideal domain	485
Donald J. Newman and A. R. Reddy, Addendum to: "Rational	
approximation of e^{-x} on the positive real axis"	489
Akio Osada, On the distribution of a-points of a strongly annular	401
function	491
Jeffrey Lynn Spielman, A characterization of the Gaussian distribution in a Hilbert space	497
Robert Moffatt Stephenson Jr., Symmetrizable-closed spaces.	507
Peter George Trotter and Takayuki Tamura, Completely semisimple inverse	307
Δ -semigroups admitting principal series	515
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, <i>Torsion free</i>	
abelian groups quasi-projective over their endomorphism rings	527
Frank Arvey Wattenberg, <i>Topologies on the set of closed subsets</i>	537
Richard A Zalik Integral representation of Tchebycheff systems	553