Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MEASURE ALGEBRAS OF SEMILATTICES WITH FINITE BREADTH

JIMMIE DON LAWSON, JOHN ROBIE LIUKKONEN
AND MICHAEL WILLIAM MISLOVE

Vol. 69, No. 1 May 1977

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 69, No. 1, 1977

MEASURE ALGEBRAS OF SEMILATTICES WITH FINITE BREADTH

J. D. LAWSON, J. R. LIUKKONEN
AND M. W. MISLOVE

The main result of this paper is that if S is a locally compact semilattice of finite breadth, then every complex homomorphism of the measure algebra $M(S)$ is given by integration over a Borel filter (subsemilattice whose complement is an ideal), and that consequently $M(S)$ is a P-algebra in the sense of S. E. Newman. More generally it is shown that if S is a locally compact Lawson semilattice which has the property that every bounded regular Borel measure is concentrated on a Borel set which is the countable union of compact finite breadth subsemilattices, then $M(S)$ is a P-algebra. Furthermore, complete descriptions of the maximal ideal space of $M(S)$ and the structure semigroup of $M(S)$ are given in terms of S, and the idempotent and invertible measures in $M(S)$ are identified.

In earlier work Baartz and Newman have shown that if S is the finite product of totally ordered locally compact semilattices, then every complex homomorphism is given by integration over a Borel subsemilattice whose complement is an ideal [1, Th. 3.15], and consequently, the structure semigroup of $M(S)$ in the sense of Taylor [10] is itself a semilattice [9, Th. 3]. In both papers it is shown that such results do not hold for the infinite dimensional cube $S = I^{\omega}$, and Newman conjectures that what is needed for these results to hold is a "finite dimensionality" condition. In this paper it is shown that these results hold provided the locally compact semilattice in question has "finite breadth"; i.e., satisfies a finite dimensionality condition familiar from the theory of compact semilattices.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 contains generalities on semilattices and the notion of breadth. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of our main result for finite breadth semilattices. In § 3 we discuss the extension of these results to a more general setting and give examples to show how our hypotheses differ from those of Newman.

1. Semilattices.

A semilattice is a commutative idempotent semigroup. We may also (equivalently) describe a semilattice as a partially ordered set in which every two elements have a greatest lower bound. Thus the product of two elements is their greatest lower bound. The reader should note that this convention differs from that in [1, 9], in which the product of two elements in a semilattice is viewed as their least upper bound.

A semilattice on a Hausdorff space S is a topological (semitopological) semilattice if the multiplication function which sends (x, y) to xy from $S \times S$ to S is jointly (separately) continuous. It is known that a compact semitopological semilattice is actually a topological semilattice [8].

If S is a semilattice and $A \subset S$ we define the upper and lower sets of A by

$$\uparrow A = \{y \in S : x \leqq y \quad \text{for some} \quad x \in A\}$$

and

$$\downarrow A = \{z \in S : z \leqq x \quad \text{for some} \quad x \in A\} .$$

For singleton sets we adopt the notation $\uparrow x$ and $\downarrow x$ instead of $\uparrow \{x\}$ and $\downarrow \{x\}$. We call a subset I of S an *ideal* if $\downarrow I = I$. Equivalently I is an ideal if $x \in S$, $y \in I$ implies $xy \in I$. A subset F of S is a *filter* if $\uparrow F = F$ and F is a subsemilattice of S. Note that a subsemilattice $F \subseteq S$ is a filter if and only if $S \backslash F$ is an ideal of S.

If A is a nonempty subset of a semilattice S we denote the greater lower bound of A by $\wedge A$ ($\wedge A$ exists for all finite sets A, and also for all infinite sets if S is a compact topological semilattice). A fiinite set A is said to be *meet-irredundant* if $\wedge A < \wedge B$ for any proper subset B of A. A semilattice S is said to have *breadth* n (denoted $\mathrm{br}(S) = n$) if n is the greatest cardinality of the meet-irredundant subsets of S. Equivalently S has breadth n if and only if n is the smallest integer such that any finite subset J of S of cardinality $m > n$ has a subset L of cardinality n such that $\wedge J = \wedge L$, and this is equivalent to n being the smallest integer such that any finite subset J of cardinality $n + 1$ has a subset L of cardinality n such that $\wedge J = \wedge L$. We adopt the convention that a singleton semilattice has breadth 0.

A subset A of a semilattice S is *bounded above* if there exists $p \in S$ such that $p \geqq a$ for all $a \in A$. The bounded breadth of S is n (denoted $\mathrm{bbr}(S) = n$) if n is the greatest cardinality of any meet-irredundant set bounded above.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let S be a semilattice of finite breadth. Then* $\mathrm{bbr}(S) \leqq \mathrm{br}(S) \leqq \mathrm{bbr}(S) + 1$. *If S has an identity, then* $\mathrm{bbr}(S) = \mathrm{br}(S)$.

Proof. Clearly $\mathrm{bbr}(S) \leqq \mathrm{br}(S)$ and the two agree if S has an identity (since in the latter case every set is bounded above). If $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$ is a meet-irredundant set in S, let $y_i = x_i x_n$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Then it is straightforward to verify that $\{y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1}\}$ is a meet-irredundant set bounded by x_n. Hence it follows that $\mathrm{br}(S) \leqq \mathrm{bbr}(S) + 1$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let S be a topological semilattice such that* $\mathrm{bbr}(S) \leqq n$, *where* $n \geqq 1$. *If I is a dense ideal of S, then for any subsemilattice T contained in* $S \backslash I$, $\mathrm{bbr}(T) \leqq n-1$.

Proof. Let $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in T$ and let $b \in T$ such that $x_i \leqq b$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$, where $n \geqq 2$. Let y_α be a net in I converging to b. Then $z_\alpha = y_\alpha b$ is a net in I (since I is an ideal) converging to $bb = b$. Since $\mathrm{bbr}(S) \leqq n$ for each α there exists $u_\alpha \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_n, z_\alpha\} = F_\alpha$ such that $\wedge F_\alpha = \wedge (F_\alpha \backslash \{u_\alpha\})$. But $\wedge F_\alpha \in I$ since $z_\alpha \in I$; hence $u_\alpha \neq z_\alpha$ since $x_i \in T$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ and T is a subsemilattice. By picking subnets and renaming, we may assume $u_\alpha = x_1$ for each α. Then $x_1 \cdots x_n = \lim x_1 \cdots x_n z_\alpha = \lim x_2 \cdots x_n z_\alpha = x_2 \cdots x_n$. Hence $\mathrm{bbr}\, T \leqq n-1$.

Now suppose $n = 1$. Let $x < b$ be two elements of T. Again let $\{z_\alpha\}$ be a net in I such that $z_\alpha \leqq b$ for all α and $z_\alpha \to b$. Since S has bounded breadth 1 and I is an ideal, we see that $z_\alpha < x$ for all α. Therefore $b = \lim z_\alpha \leqq x$, a contradiction. So $\mathrm{bbr}(T) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let S be a topological semilattice and let $A = \{x_1, \cdots x_n\}$ be a meet-irredundant subset of S of cardinality n. Then there exist open sets $U_1, \cdots, U_n$ such that $x_j \in U_j$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n$ and if $y_j \in U_j$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n$, then $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ is a meet-irredundant set of distinct elements.*

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exists a net $(y_{1\alpha}, \cdots, y_{n\alpha})$ converging to $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ in $\prod_{j=1}^n S$ such that for each α, there exists $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$, such that $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n y_{j\alpha} = \wedge \{y_{j\alpha}: 1 \leqq j \leqq n,\ j \neq i\}$. By picking subnets and renumbering if necessary, we may assume that $y_{1\alpha}$ is always the omitted. Then $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n x_j = \lim \bigwedge_{j=1}^n y_{j\alpha} = \lim \bigwedge_{j=2}^n y_{j\alpha} = \bigwedge_{j=2}^n x_j$. However, this conclusion contradicts the hypothesis that A is meet-irredundant.

In the following T^* denotes the closure of T.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let T be a subsemilattice of finite breadth of a topological semilattice S. Then* $\mathrm{br}(T) = \mathrm{br}(T^*)$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{br}(T^*) = n$. Then there exists a meet-irredundant set $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$ of cardinality n in T^*. By Proposition 1.3 there exist open sets $U_1, \cdots, U_n$ with $x_j \in U_j$ for $1 \leqq j \leqq n$ such that if y_j is chosen in $U_j \cap T$, then $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ is meet-irredundant. Hence $\mathrm{br}(T) \geqq n$. But since $T \subset T^*$, $\mathrm{br}(T) \leqq n$.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let $P(X)$ denote the set of nonempty compact subsets of X. It is well known that $P(X)$ is a compact Hausdorff space when endowed with the topology of open sets generated by the subbasis

$$N(U, V) = \{A \in P(X): A \subset U \quad \text{and} \quad A \cap V \neq \varnothing\}$$

where U and V are arbitrary open subsets of X. A net K_α of compact subsets of of X converges to $K \in P(X)$ if and only if $K = \limsup K_\alpha = \liminf K_\alpha$. We call this topology the Vietoris topology.

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let K_α converge to K in $P(S)$ where S is a compact semilattice. If each K_α is a compact topological subsemilattice of S such that* $\mathrm{bbr}(K_\alpha) \leqq n$, *then K is also a compact subsemilattice and* $\mathrm{bbr}(K) \leqq n$. *Hence the collection of compact subsemilattices of bounded breadth less than or equal to n is a closed subset of $P(S)$.*

Proof. It is known that $P(S)$ endowed with the operation $AB = \{ab: a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a compact topological semigroup [4]. Since K_α converges to K, and $K_\alpha K_\alpha = K_\alpha$ for each α, by continuity $KK = K$, i.e., K is a subsemilattice.

Suppose $\mathrm{bbr}(K) > n$. Then there exists a meet-irredundant set $\{y_1, \cdots, y_{n+1}\}$ of distinct elements in K and a $p \in K$ such that $y_j \leqq p$ for $1 \leqq j \leqq n+1$. By Proposition 1.3 there exist open sets $U_1, \cdots, U_{n+1}$ with $y_j \in U_j$ for all j such that if a point is chosen from each U_j, the set of elements obtained is meet-irredundant. Pick by continuity of multiplication an open set V, $p \in V$, and open sets $V_1, \cdots, V_{n+1}$, $y_j \in V_j$ for $1 \leqq j \leqq n+1$, such that $VV_j \subset U_j$. By the definition of the Vietoris topology, there exists K_α such that $K_\alpha \cap V \neq \varnothing$ and $K_\alpha \cap V_j \neq \varnothing$ for $1 \leqq j \leqq n+1$. Choose $q \in K_\alpha \cap V$ and $w_j \in K_\alpha \cap V_j$. Then $z_j = qw_j \in K_\alpha \cap U_j$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n+1$. Now $\{z_1, \cdots, z_{n+1}\}$ is a meet-irredundant set and it is bounded in K_α by q. This is in contradiction to the hypothesis that $\mathrm{bbr}(K_\alpha) \leqq n$.

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let S be a compact topological semilattice. Then $\{\downarrow s: s \in S\}$ is a closed subset of $P(S)$.*

Proof. The set of all singletons $\{\{s\}: s \in S\}$ is homeomorphic to S and hence a compact subset of $P(S)$. Since $\downarrow s = Ss$, we have that $\{\downarrow s: s \in S\}$ is simply a translate of the compact set of singletons in the topological semigroup $P(S)$, and hence is compact.

A subset A of a topological space X is said to be *locally closed* if A is the intersection of an open set and a closed set. Equivalently A is locally closed if it is open in its closure.

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Let S be a topological semilattice of finite breadth. Then any dense filter in S is open. Hence every filter in S is locally closed.*

Proof. We first assume S has an identity. Let F be a dense filter in S. If 1 is not in the interior of F, then there exists a net x_α in the ideal $I = S \backslash F$ converging to 1. Since for any $y \in S$, $yx_\alpha \in I$ and yx_α converges to $y1 = y$, I is dense in S. Hence by Propositions 1.1 and 1.2, $\mathrm{br}(F) < \mathrm{br}(S)$. But by Corollary 1.4 $\mathrm{br}(F) = \mathrm{br}(S)$. Hence it must be the case that 1 is in the interior of F.

We now drop the assumption that S has an identity and let F be a dense filter in S. If $x \in F$ is not in the interior of F, then there exists a net x_α in the complement of F converging to x. Then also the net $y_\alpha = xx_\alpha$ is not in F and converges to x.

Since F is a filter and $x \in F$, $xF = \downarrow x \cap F$. Since F is dense in S, xF is dense in $xS = \downarrow x$. Hence $\downarrow x \cap F$ is a dense filter in the subsemilattice $\downarrow x$ which has x for an identity. Hence by the first part of the proof x is in the interior of $\downarrow x \cap F$ in $\downarrow x$. But the net y_α converges to x in $\downarrow x$ and is not in $\downarrow x \cap F$. This contradiction implies that x must have been in the interior of F in S. Hence F is open.

Since any filter in S is a dense filter in its closure, the last statement of the proposition follows from what has just been proved.

We conclude this section with some remarks about compact 0-dimensional semilattices and discrete semilattices. Let $\boldsymbol{S}$ be the category of discrete semilattice monoids and identity preserving semilattice morphisms, and $\boldsymbol{Z}$ the category of compact 0-dimensional semilattice monoids and continuous identity preserving semilattice morphisms. Then, clearly $2 = \{0, 1\}$, the unique two point semilattice, is both an $\boldsymbol{S}$-object and a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-object. Moreover, as is described at great length in [3], $\boldsymbol{S}$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}$ are dual categories under the functors $D: \boldsymbol{S} \to \boldsymbol{Z}^{op}$ and $E: \boldsymbol{Z}^{op} \to \boldsymbol{S}$ given by $D(S) = \boldsymbol{S}(S, 2)(= \hat{S})$ and $E(T) = \boldsymbol{Z}(T, 2)(= \hat{T})$, and their obvious extension to the morphisms. Thus, for any $\boldsymbol{Z}$-object T, the morphisms $\boldsymbol{Z}(T, 2)$ separate the points, and, in fact, $T \simeq \hat{\hat{T}}$.

DEFINITION 1.8. If $T \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, then $k \in T$ is a local minimum in T

if $\uparrow k$ is open in T. $K(T)$ denotes the set of all local minima of the semilattice T.

If $f: T \to 2$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-morphism then, $f^{-1}(1)$ is a clopen subsemilattice of T, and so it has a minimum, k. Moreover, since $f^{-1}(1)$ is a filter, $\uparrow k = f^{-1}(1)$. Thus, $f = \chi_{\uparrow k}$, the characteristic function of $\uparrow k$. Clearly, $\chi_{\uparrow k} \in \boldsymbol{Z}(T, 2)$ for any $k \in K(T)$, and so $\hat{T} = \{\chi_{\uparrow k}: k \in K(T)\}$. Moreover, if $k_1, k_2 \in K(T)$, then $\chi_{\uparrow k_1} \cdot \chi_{\uparrow k_2} \in \hat{T}$, and $\chi_{\uparrow k_1} \cdot \chi_{\uparrow k_2} = \chi_{\uparrow k_3}$, where $k_3 = k_1 \vee k_2$. Thus $(K(T), \vee)$ is a semilattice with 0 as identity, and this semilattice is isomophic to $\hat{T}$.

Conversely, if $S \in \boldsymbol{S}$, then clearly $\chi_{\uparrow s} \in \hat{S}$ for each $s \in S$. However, for $s_1, s_2 \in S$, $s_1 \vee s_2$ may not be defined in S. Thus, there are more semicharacters in $\hat{S}$ than just those generated by some $s \in S$. However, if $f \in \hat{S}$, then $f^{-1}(1)$ is a filter on S, and for $f, g \in \hat{S}$, $f \cdot g = \chi_F$, where $F = f^{-1}(1) \cap g^{-1}(1)$. Thus, if $(\mathscr{F}(S), \cap)$ is the semilattice of all filters on S under intersection, then $(\mathscr{F}(S), \cap) \simeq \hat{S}$ (algebraically), and so we topologize $\mathscr{F}(S)$ with the topology from $\hat{S} \subseteq 2^S$. Therefore, if $S \in \boldsymbol{S}$, we can refer to $\hat{S}$ as the filter semilattice on S.

If S is a compact 0-dimensional semilattice, then S^1, the semilattice S with an identity adjoined as an isolated point, is clearly a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-object. Similarly, if S is a discrete semilattice, then $S^1 \in \boldsymbol{S}$. Moreover, for a semilattice S (discrete or compact 0-dimensional) $\hat{S}^1 = \hat{S} \cup \{\chi_{\{1\}}\}$, so the structure of $\hat{S}^1$ is completely determined by that of $\hat{S}$.

2. Locally compact semilattices with finite breadth. In this section, S is a locally compact semilattice with finite breadth, and $M(S)$ is the Banach algebra of all bounded Borel measures on S under convolution. We will show that for every complex homomorphism h of $M(S)$, there is a filter $F \subset S$ such that $h(\mu) = \mu(F)$ for all $\mu \in M(S)$. Recall that a semicharacter of a semigroup is a homomorphism of the semigroup into the unit disk in $\boldsymbol{C}$ under multiplication. Since the semicharacters of S are precisely the characteristic functions of the filters in S, we will have shown that every homomorphism of $M(S)$ is given by integration against a semicharacter.

We begin with a simple measure-theoretic lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a family of Borel sets on the locally compact space X. Let μ be a positive bounded Borel measure on X. Then $\mu = \mu_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n$, where $\mu_0(F) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$ and each $\mu_n (n \geqq 1)$ is concentrated on some $F_n \in \mathscr{F}$. Moreover, $\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots$ are pairwise mutually singular positive bounded Borel measures. Finally, μ_0 and $\mu - \mu_0$ are uniquely determined.*

Proof. Let $l = \sup\{\mu(F) \mid F \in \mathscr{F}\}$; then $l < \infty$. Choose $F_1 \in$

$\mathscr{F} \ni \mu(F_1) \geqq 1/2\, l$. Let $\mu_1 = \mu \mid F_1$ and $\nu_1 = \mu | F_1^c$. Let $l_1 = \sup\{v_1(F) | F \in \mathscr{F}\}$. For each $n > 1$ choose $F_n \in \mathscr{F} \ni \nu_{n-1}(F_n) \geqq 1/2\, l_{n-1}$. Let $\mu_n = v_{n-1} \mid F_n$ and $\nu_n = \nu_{n-1} \mid F_n^c$. Let $l_n = \sup\{\nu_n(F) \mid F \in \mathscr{F}\}$. This defines inductively the sequences $\{\mu_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, and $\{l_n\}$. Note $\mu \geqq \nu_1 \geqq \nu_2 \geqq \cdots \geqq \nu_n \geqq \nu_{n+1} \geqq \cdots \geqq 0$ and in fact $\mu = \nu_N + \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n$ for all N. We also have $l \geqq l_1 \geqq l_2 \geqq \cdots \geqq l_n \geqq l_{n+1} \geqq \cdots$ for all n. Note that the μ_n are pairwise mutually singular, since if $m < n$, $\mu_n \leqq \nu_m \perp \nu_n$. Now the μ_n are mutually singular and dominated by μ, so $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu_n$ exists and is dominated by μ. Set $\mu_0 = \mu - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu_n$. Clearly $\mu_0 \leqq \nu_N$ for all N, and so for each $F \in \mathscr{F}$, $\mu_0(F) \leqq \nu_N(F) \leqq l_N \leqq 2\nu_N(F_{N+1}) = 2||\mu_{N+1}|| \longrightarrow 0$ as $N \longrightarrow \infty$ since $\sum_{n=1}^\infty ||\mu_n|| = ||\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu_n|| < \infty$. Thus $\mu_0(F) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$, and clearly each μ_n is concentrated on F_n.

It remains to check the uniqueness. Let L be the closed linear span of all bounded Borel measures concentrated on some element of $\mathscr{F}$. Clearly L is an L-subspace of $M(X)$ in the sense of [10], and so by the Lebesgue decomposition theorem $M(S) = L \oplus L^\perp$, where $L^\perp = \{\eta \mid \eta \perp \nu$ for all $\nu \in L\}$. Since $\mu_0 \in L^\perp$ and $\mu - \mu_0 \in L$, the uniqueness follows.

We now consider a compact semilattice S.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let S be a compact semilattice, and let $\mathscr{F}$ be a family of compact subsets of S such that $\mathscr{F}$ is a closed subset of $P(S)$. If μ is a probability measure on S such that $\mu(F) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$, then there exists a metric quotient $f: S \longrightarrow S'$ of S such that*

$$\mu(f^{-1}(f(F))) = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad F \in \mathscr{F}\,.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose for each neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of the diagonal $\varDelta \subset S \times S$, there exists $F_{\mathscr{U}} \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\mu(\mathscr{U}[F_{\mathscr{U}}]) \geqq \varepsilon$ where

$$\mathscr{U}[A] = \{b \in S: (b, a) \in \mathscr{U} \quad \text{for some} \quad a \in A\}\,.$$

Since $\mathscr{F}$ is closed and hence compact in $P(S)$, some subnet F_α of the net $\{F_{\mathscr{U}}: \varDelta \subset \text{interior}\ (\mathscr{U})\}$ converges to $F \in \mathscr{F}$. Since $\mu(F) = 0$, by outer regularity there exists an entourage $\mathscr{U}$ (i.e., a neighborhood of the diagonal) such that $\mu(\mathscr{U}[F]) < \varepsilon$. Pick an entourage $\mathscr{V}$ such that $\mathscr{V} \circ \mathscr{V} \subset \mathscr{U}$ and $\mathscr{V}$ is symmetric. Since $F_\alpha \longrightarrow F$, there exists a β such that $F_\beta \subset \mathscr{V}[F]$ and $\mu(\mathscr{V}[F_\beta]) \geqq \varepsilon$. Then

$$\varepsilon \leqq \mu(\mathscr{V}[F_\beta]) \leqq \mu(\mathscr{V} \circ \mathscr{V}[F]) \leqq \mu(\mathscr{U}[F]) < \varepsilon\,,$$

a contradiction. Hence there exists an entourage $\mathscr{U}_\varepsilon$ such that $\mu(\mathscr{U}_\varepsilon[F]) < \varepsilon$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$.

Now using the uniform continuity of multiplication on S, we choose inductively for each n a compact entourage $\mathscr{U}_n$ satisfying

(1) $\Delta \wedge \mathscr{U}_n \subset \mathscr{U}_n$ (products taken coordinatewise),

(2) $\mathscr{U}_n = \mathscr{U}_n^{-1}$,

(3) $\mu(\mathscr{U}_n(F)) < 1/n$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$,

(4) $\mathscr{U}_n \circ \mathscr{U}_n \subset \mathscr{U}_{n-1}$.

It is now standard that $\rho = \bigcap \{\mathscr{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a closed congruence on S (see e.g. [4], Proposition 8.6, p. 49) and $S' = S/\rho$ is metrizable. That $\mu(f^{-1}(f(F))) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$ follows easily from property (3).

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let S be a compact semilattice of finite breadth. Suppose h is a complex homomorphism on $M(S)$ which annihilates the discrete measures. Then $h = 0$.*

Proof. Clearly it suffices to show $h(\mu) = 0$ for every probability measure μ. Let $n = \mathrm{bbr}(S)$. We argue by induction on n, and clearly it holds for $n = 0$. We show that if the proposition is valid for $\mathrm{bbr}(S) < n$, it is true for $\mathrm{bbr}(S) = n$. Let μ be a probability measure on S. Let $\mathscr{F}_1$ denote the collection of principal ideals $\downarrow s$, $s \in S$, let $\mathscr{F}_2$ denote the collection of compact subsemilattices of $bbr \leqq n-1$, and let $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1 \cup \mathscr{F}_2$. By 2.1, $\mu = \mu_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k$ where μ_0 annihilates every member of $\mathscr{F}$ and each μ_k, $k \geqq 1$, is concentrated on some member of $\mathscr{F}$.

If μ_k is concentrated on some member of $\mathscr{F}_2$, our inductive hypothesis gives $h(\mu_k) = 0$. If μ_k is concentrated on $\downarrow x_k$, then $\mu_k = \mu_k * \delta_{x_k}$ (the unit point mass at x_k), so $h(\mu_k) = h(\mu_k * \delta_{x_k}) = h(\mu_k) \cdot 0 = 0$. Thus we need only show that $h(\mu_0) = 0$, and so we assume without loss of generality that μ annihilates every member of $\mathscr{F}$.

By 2.1 we can write the convolution power $\mu^{n+2} = \nu_0 + \nu_1$ where ν_0 annihilates every member of $\mathscr{F}$ and ν_1 lives on a countable union of members of $\mathscr{F}$.

By Propositions 1.5 and 1.6 $\mathscr{F}_1$, $\mathscr{F}_2$ and hence $\mathscr{F}$ are closed in $P(S)$. Hence by 2.2 there are closed congruences ρ_1 and ρ_2 on S such that $S_1 = S/\rho_1$ and $S_2 = S/\rho_2$ are metrizable semilattices and $\mu(f_1^{-1}(f_1(F))) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}_1$, $\nu_0(f_2^{-1}(f_2(F))) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}_2$, where $f_1 : S \to S_1$ and $f_2 : S \to S_2$. Let $\rho = \rho_1 \cap \rho_2$, and $f : S \to S' = S/\rho$.

Since S' embeds as a subdirect product of $S_1 \times S_2$, S' is metrizable. Furthermore since $\rho \subset \rho_1$ and $\rho \subset \rho_2$, $\mu(f^{-1}(f(F))) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$ and $\nu_0(f^{-1}(f(F))) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$.

Choose a countable dense set $\{y_k\} \subset S'$; we have $I' = \bigcup_k \downarrow y_k$ is a dense Borel ideal of S'. We have for $y \in S'$ and the induced measure $f(\mu)$ on S' that

$$f(\mu)(\downarrow y) = \mu(f^{-1}(\downarrow y)) = \mu f^{-1}(f(\downarrow x)) = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad f(x) = y\,.$$

Similarly $f(\nu_0)(\downarrow y)=0$. Hence $f(\mu)(I')=0=f(\nu_0)(I')$. Thus $I=f^{-1}(I')$ is a Borel ideal of S such that $\mu(I) = f(\mu)(I') = 0 = f(\nu_0)(I') = \nu_0(I)$. Hence $\mu = \mu \mid (S\backslash I)$ and $\nu_0 = \nu_0 \mid (S\backslash I)$.

Let $R = S\backslash I$. If T is a compact subsemilattice of S contained in R, we claim $\mu(T) = 0$.

Note first of all that $f(T) = f(f^{-1}(f(T)) \cap I^*)$. One containment is obvious. Conversely let $y = f(t) \in f(T)$ where $t \in T$. Since $I' = f(I)$ is dense in S', there exists a net $\{y_\alpha\} \subset I'$ converging to y in S'. Pick $x_\alpha \in I$ such that $f(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha$. By compactness some subnet of x_α converges to $x \in I^*$. By continuity $f(x_\alpha)$ converges to $f(x) = y$. Hence $x \in f^{-1}(f(T)) \cap I^*$ and so $y \in f(f^{-1}(f(T)) \cap I^*)$.

Now $f^{-1}(f(T)) \cap I^* = P$ is a subsemilattice of S contained in $I^*\backslash I$ (since $T \cap I = \varnothing$). By Proposition 1.2 $\operatorname{bbr}(P) \leqq n - 1$. Hence $P \in \mathscr{F}$, and thus $\mu(P) = 0$. Hence by the way S' was chosen $\mu(f^{-1}(f(P))) = 0$. But $f^{-1}(f(P)) = f^{-1}f(f^{-1}(f(T)) \cap I^*) = f^{-1}f(T) \supset T$. Hence $\mu(T) = 0$. Thus the claim is completed. Note in particular if $x \in S\backslash I$, then $\mu(\uparrow x) = 0$.

Now we claim $\mu^{n+2}(R) = 0$. In fact, for $1 \leqq i \leqq n + 2$, let $E_i = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+2}) \in R^{n+2} \mid x_i \geqq x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+2} \in R\}$, and let $F = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+1}) \in R^{n+1} \mid x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} \in R\}$. (Here $\hat{x}_i$ means x_i is to be omitted.) Then using the Fubini Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu \times \cdots \times \mu)(E_i) &= \int_{R^{n+2}} \chi_{E_i}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+2}) d(\mu \times \cdots \times \mu)(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+2}) \\
&= \int_{R^{n+1}} \int_R \chi_{E_i}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+2}) d\mu(x_i) d(\mu \times \cdots \times \mu)(x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+2}) \\
&= \int_F \int_R \chi_{E_i}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+2}) d\mu(x_i) d\mu \times \cdots \times \mu(x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+2}) \\
&= \int_F \int_R \chi_{\uparrow x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+2}}(x_i) d\mu(x_i) d(\mu \times \cdots \times \mu)(x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+2}) \\
&= \int_F \mu(\uparrow x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+2}) d(\mu \times \cdots \times \mu)(x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+2}) = 0 \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{bbr}(S) = n$, $\operatorname{br}(S) \leqq n + 1$ and so $\{(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+1}) \in R^{n+2} | x_1 \cdots x_{n+2} \in R\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+2} E_i$. Thus $\mu^{n+2}(R) = (\mu \times \cdots \times \mu)(\{x_1, \cdots, x_{n+2}) \in R^{n+2} | x_1 \cdots x_{n+2} \in R\}) \leqq (\mu \times \cdots \times \mu)(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+2} E_i) = 0$. So μ^{n+2} is concentrated on I. But $\nu_0(I) = 0$ and so $\mu^{n+2} = \nu_1$; i.e., μ^{n+2} lives on a countable union of elements of $\mathscr{F}$. It follows that $h(\mu^{n+2}) = 0$, whence $h(\mu) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let S be a locally compact semilattice of finite breadth and suppose h is a complex homomorphism of $M(S)$ which annihilates the discrete measures. Then $h = 0$.*

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that μ is a probability measure on S, and show $h(\mu) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose a compact set $K \subset S$ such that $\mu(S \backslash K) < \varepsilon$. If n is the breadth of S, K^n is a compact subsemilattice of S and $\mu(S \backslash K^n) < \varepsilon$. By 2.3, since h annihilates every discrete measure in $M(K^n)$, $h(\mu | K^n) = 0$. So $|h(\mu)| = |h(\mu | S \backslash K^n)| \leqq \|\mu | S \backslash K^n\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $h(\mu) = 0$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let S be a locally compact semilattice of finite breadth. Let $h \in \Delta M(S)$. Let $F_h = \{s \in S \mid h(\delta_s) = 1\}$. Then F_h is a locally closed* (hence Borel) *filter, and for all $\mu \in M(S)$, $h(\mu) = \mu(F_h)$.*

Proof. Clearly F_h is a filter. By 1.7, F_h is locally closed, and hence Borel. We observe first that if $\mu \in M(S)$, $h(\mu) = h(\mu \mid F_h)$. Let $I_h = S \backslash F_h$, and let $K \subset I_h$ be an arbitrary compact subsemilattice. Then h annihilates every discrete measure living on K (since for $x \in K$, $h(\delta_x) = 0$) and so by 2.3, $h(\mu \mid K) = 0$. Using the regularity of μ we see that $h(\mu \mid I_h) = 0$. Thus $h(\mu) = h(\mu \mid F_h)$.

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ and assume without loss of generality that μ is positive. Choose K a compact subset of F_h such that $\mu(F_h \backslash K) < \varepsilon$. Then if $n = br(S)$, K^n is a compact subsemilattice of F_h and $\mu(F_h \backslash K^n) < \varepsilon$. Let $k = \wedge K^n$. Then $k \in K^n$ and $h(\mu | K^n) = h(\delta_k) h(\mu | K^n) = h(\delta_k * \mu | K^n) = h(\mu(K^n)\delta_k) = \mu(K^n) h(\delta_k) = \mu(K^n)$. Hence $|h(\mu) - \mu(F_h)| \leqq |h(\mu) - h(\mu \mid F_h)| + |h(\mu | F_h) - h(\mu | K^n)| + |h(\mu | K^n) - \mu(K^n)| + |\mu(K^n) - \mu(F_h)| \leqq 2\mu(F_h \backslash K^n) < 2\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $h(\mu) = \mu(F_h)$.

If S is a locally compact semilattice of finite breadth, then so is S^1. Moreover, for any locally compact semigroup S, $M(S^1) \simeq M(S) \oplus \boldsymbol{C}$, and so $\Delta M(S^1) \simeq \Delta M(S) \cup \{0\}$, where 0 is the 0-homomorphism of $M(S)$, and $\Delta M(S^1)$ is the one-point compactification of $\Delta M(S)$. Thus, if we determine the structure of $\Delta M(S^1)$, we have also determined the structure of $\Delta M(S)$, and conversely. The difference is that $\Delta M(S^1)$ is always a semigroup [10], whereas this is not true if S has no identity. Thus, throughout the rest of this section, we assume S is a locally compact semilattice with identity having finite breadth.

If S is such a semilattice, then according to Theorem 2.5, each complex homomorphism h of $M(S)$ is given by integration over some filter $F \subseteq S$. Thus, $\Delta M(S)$ is $\mathscr{F}(S)$, the set of all filters on S. Moreover, it is clear that the product of two homomorphisms of $M(S)$ corresponds to the intersection of their associated filters. Hence, algebraically, $\Delta M(S) \simeq (\mathscr{F}(S), \cap)$. Now, $\Delta M(S)$ is a semitopological semilattice in the weak topology [10]. But $\Delta M(S)$ is also compact and a semilattice, and so $\Delta M(S)$ is a compact topological semilattice in the weak topology [8].

From our discussion at the end of § 1, we know that $(\mathscr{F}(S), \cap) \simeq$

$\hat{S}_d$ is a compact 0-dimensional topological semilattice, where S_d is the semilattice S with the discrete topology. But, the topology on a compact semilattice is uniquely determined by the algebraic structure [7]. Hence, $\Delta M(S) \simeq \hat{S}_d$.

One of the key results in Taylor's work is the determination that, for any convolution measure algebra M, the so-called critical points in ΔM carry the cohomology of ΔM. A critical point is an element $x \in \Delta M$ such that $\uparrow x$ is open and $x \geqq 0$. For the semilattice $\Delta M(S)$, a critical point is what we referred to in §1 as a local minimum. Hence the critical points of $\Delta M(S)$ are $K(\Delta M(S)) = K(\hat{S}_d) = K(\mathscr{F}(S), \cap)$. However, the critical points of $\mathscr{F}(S)$ are precisely the principal filters on S, i.e., the filters $F \subset S$ of the form $F = \uparrow s$ for some $s \in S$ [3]. Hence, $K(\Delta M(S)) = \{h: h^{-1}(1) = \uparrow s$ for some $s \in S\}$ is the set of critical points of $\Delta M(S)$. Identifying s with the principal filter $\uparrow s$ we have a natural correspondence between S and the critical points of ΔM.

For a semisimple convolution measure algebra M, Taylor defines the structure semigroup T of M to be the unique compact abelian monoid T such that there is an isometric L-isomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M(T)$ such that $f(M)$ is weak *-dense in $M(T)$, each complex homomorphism on M is given by integration against some semicharacter $h \in \hat{T}$, and $\hat{T}$ separates the points of T. In general, knowing the algebraic semigroup $\hat{T}$ does not determine the semigroup T uniquely. If we return now to the situation where $M = M(S)$ for some locally compact semilattice S with identity and having finite breadth, then $\Delta M(S)$ is a semilattice, and Taylor's work shows that the structure semigroup for $M(S)$ has the discrete semigroup $\Delta M(S)$ as its semilattice of semicharacters. Since the structure semigroup T for $M(S)$ always has enough semicharacters to separate points and since in this case each semicharacter is idempotent, it follows that T must be idempotent and hence a semilattice. Since the semicharacters of a semilattice have range $\{0, 1\}$, T can be embedded in a product of the two-point semilattice and hence must be totally disconnected. According to the duality between S and Z discussed in §1, we must then have that $T \simeq \widehat{\Delta M(S)}_d$, where again $\Delta M(S)_d$ is the discrete semilattice $\Delta M(S)$. But, we know $\Delta M(S) \simeq \hat{S}_d$, so we conclude $T \simeq \widehat{(\hat{S}_d)}_d$. We summarize our results in the following:

THEOREM 2.6. *Let S be a compact semilattice with identity and having finite breadth. Then $\Delta M(S) \simeq \hat{S}_d$ is a compact 0-dimensional semilattice, and the critical points of $\Delta M(S)$ are precisely those complex homomorphisms h of $M(S)$ of the form $h = \chi_{\uparrow s}$ for some $s \in S$. Moreover, the structure semilattice of $M(S)$ is $\widehat{(\hat{S}_d)}_d$.*

As we remarked above, Taylor shows that $H^*(\Delta M(S))$ is the direct sum of the cohomology of the maximal subgroups $H(e)$ as e ranges over the critical points of $\Delta M(S)$. However, since $\Delta M(S)$ is a semilattice, $H(e)=\{e\}$ for all $e \in \Delta M(S)$, so $H^*(\Delta M(S)) \simeq \bigoplus_{s \in S_d} H(\{s\})$, where S_d represents the critical points of $\Delta M(S)$. We draw two conclusions.

First, the Shilov Idempotent Theorem states that the idempotents in $M(S)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with $H^0(\Delta M(S)) \simeq \bigoplus_{s \in S_d} H^0(\{s\})$. Hence, since the correspondence is given by the Gelfand transform, so that $\hat{\delta}_s \sim H^0(\{s\}) \forall s \in S$, we conclude that $\mu \in M(S)$ is idempotent if and only if $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \delta_{s_k}$ for some $s_1, \cdots, s_n \in S$, where $(\forall s \in S) \sum_{s \leqq s_k} \alpha_k = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 1 \end{cases}$ (this latter follows from the fact that $\mu(\uparrow s) \in \{0, 1\}$ as $\mu(\uparrow s) = h(\mu)$ where $h = \chi_{\uparrow s} \in \Delta M(S)$).

Our second conclusion is as follows. Since $\Delta M(S)$ is 0-dimensional, $H^n(\Delta M(S)) = 0$ for $n \geqq 1$, so that, according to the Arens-Royden theorem, the group of invertible elements in $M(S)$ is precisely the group of exponential measures.

3. P-algebras. In [9], Newman defines a P-algebra to be a semisimple convolution measure algebra M such that whenever μ is positive element of M and $h \in \Delta M$, the $h(\mu) \geqq 0$. He shows (Theorem 1 of [9]) that these are precisely the semisimple convolution measure algebras whose structure semigroups are in fact semilattices. It is easily checked that the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) of Theorem 1 of [9] is true without assuming semisimplicity, so we shall define a P-algebra to be a convolution measure algebra M such that $h(\mu) \geqq 0$ for all $h \in \Delta M$ and all $\mu \in M$ such that $\mu \geqq 0$. Thus we have shown (Theorem 2.5) that if S is a locally compact semilattice with finite breadth, then $M(S)$ is a P-algebra. We shall see that this is true in a somewhat more general setting, but first we give a general condition which insures that $M(S)$ is a semisimple convolution measure algebra.

DEFINITION 3.1. *A locally compact semilattice is said to be Lawson if it has a neighborhood basis of compact subsemilattices. Equivalently, S is Lawson if the semilattice homomorphisms into* ([0, 1], $\wedge$) *separate the points of S.* (See [6].)

THEOREM 3.2. *If S is Lawson, then $M(S)$ is semisimple.*

Proof. Let $I = ([0, 1], \wedge)$. Baartz showed in [1] that if $n < \infty$, then $M(I^n)$ is semisimple. Now if α is any cardinal number, $M(I^\alpha) = \text{proj lim}_{n<\infty} M(I^n)$, where the maps $M(I^\alpha) \rightarrow M(I^n)$ are quotient maps, and where a measure $\mu \in M(I^\alpha)$ is zero iff its image in every $M(I^n)$ is zero. Since the complex homomorphisms of each $M(I^n)$ separate the points, it follows that the same is true of $M(I^\alpha)$.

Now clearly if S is a Lawson semilattice there is a continuous, injective semilattice morphism $f: S \to I^\alpha$ for some cardinal α. Every nonzero measure μ in $M(S)$ lives on a σ compact set T_μ, and $f: T_\mu \to f(T_\mu)$ is a Borel isomorphism. In particular $f(\mu) \neq 0$. So $f: M(S) \to M(I^\alpha)$ is an injection, and it follows that $M(S)$ is semisimple.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If S is a locally compact semilattice with finite breadth, then $M(S)$ is a semisimple P-algebra.*

Proof. Immediate from 2.5, 3.2, and the observation that S must be Lawson.

We can actually assert that $M(S)$ is a P-algebra for somewhat more general S.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let S be a locally compact semilattice. Suppose every $\mu \in M(S)$ is concentrated on a Borel set which is the countable union of compact subsemilattices of finite breadth. Then $M(S)$ is a P-algebra.*

Note. We are not asserting here that $M(S)$ is semisimple.

Proof. Straightforward from 2.5.

Here are two examples of compact finite breadth semilattices which cannot be imbedded in finite dimensional cubes and are thus not dealt with by Newman's methods, and a third to show that semilattices with nonfinite breadth, but still satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4, do exist.

EXAMPLE 3.5 (cf. Exercise 1.12 of [2]). Let S be the Rees quotient $I^2/(I \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times I)$. S has breadth 2.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $S = \{(x_n) \in I^\infty \mid x_n = 0$ for all but at most one $n\}$. Then S has breadth 2, but cannot be imbedded in a finite-dimensional cube I^n. In fact, S contains an infinite set $\{x_n\}$ of elements which annihilate each other pair-wise, and for any finite n, it is not difficult to see that no such infinite sets exist in I^n, (no matter what element of I^n is the image of the 0 in S).

EXAMPLE 3.7. For each $n \geqq 1$, let I^n denote the n-fold product of the semilattice ([0, 1], $\wedge$) with itself. Let $S_1 = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} I^n$, and let $S = S_1/R$, where R is the relation on S_1 which identifies the identity of I^n with the zero of I^{n+1} for each $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then S is a locally compact semilattice satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4, but $\mathrm{br}(S) = \infty$. If S^1 denotes S with an identity added as the one point compactification of S, then S is a compact semilattice with identity satisfying the hypotheses of 3.4 which fails to have finite breadth.

We remark that the investigations of this paper can be carried out in a more general setting. Let S be a locally compact semilattice, and let $N(S)$ be the norm closure in $M(S)$ of all measures with support a subset of a compact finite breadth subsemilattice of S. Then $N(S)$ is a norm-closed L-subalgebra of $M(S)$ which consists precisely of all measures in $M(S)$ which vanish outside of a countable union of compact semilattices with finite breadth.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let S be a locally compact semilattice, let $h \in \Delta N(S)$, and let $F_h = \{s \in S: h(\delta_s) = 1\}$. Then for all $\mu \in N(S)$, $h(\mu) = \mu(F_h \cap K)$ where K is any countable union of compact subsemilattices of finite breadth such that μ vanishes outside of K.*

Proof. Let $\mu \in N(S)$ which vanishes outside of $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$ where each K_j is a compact subsemilattice of finite breadth. We may assume the K_j tower up (by defining a new sequence with nth element $K_1 \cdot K_2 \cdots \cdot K_n$ if necessary). Let $\mu_j = \mu \mid K_j$. Then $\{\mu_j\}$ norm converges to μ. By Theorem 2.5 we have $h(\mu_j) = \mu(F_h \cap K_j)$ for each j. Hence $h(\mu) = \lim h(\mu_j) = \lim \mu(F_h \cap K_j) = \mu(F_h \cap K)$.

Using this theorem we deduce as before that $\Delta(N(S)) \cong \hat{S}_d$ and the structure semigroup T of $N(S)$ is $\widehat{(\hat{S}_d)_d}$. Other analogous remarks that were made about $M(S)$ before can now be made about $N(S)$.

In general any filter F on a locally compact semilattice S gives rise to a homomorphism $h_F \in \Delta(M(S))$ defined by $h_F(\mu)$ is the inner μ measure of F. Hence $\hat{S}_d$ always sits in $\Delta(M(S))$. It is conjectural that $\hat{S}_d$ is $\Delta(M(S)$ if and only if $M(S) = N(S)$. (We have shown the "if" direction.)

REFERENCES

1. A. Baartz, *The measure algebra of a locally compact semigroup*, Pacific J. Math., **21** (1967), 199–214.

2. K. H. Hofmann and M. Mislove, *Epics of compact Lawson semilattices are surjective*, Archiv der Math., **26** (1975), 337–345.

3. K. H. Hofmann, M. Mislove, and A.R. Stralka, *The Pontryagin duality of compact 0-dimensional semilattices and its applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 396, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1974.

4. K. H. Hofmann and P. Mostert, *Elements of Compact Semigroups*, Merrill, Columbus, Ohio, 1966.

5. K. H. Hofmann and A. Stralka, *The algebraic theory of compact Lawson semilattices*, Dissertationes Math., **137** (1976), 53 pp.

6. J. D. Lawson, *Topological semilattices small with semilattices*, J. London Math. Soc., **1** (1969), 719–724.

7. ———, *Intrinsic topologies in topological lattices and semilattices*, Pacific J. Math., **44** (1973), 593–102.

8. ———, *Additional notes on continuity in semitopological semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, to appear.

9. S. E. Newman, *Measure algebras on idempotent semigroups*, Pacific J. Math., **31** (1969), 161–169.

10. J. L. Taylor, *Measure Algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 16, A. M. S., Providence, 1972.

Received June 24, 1976. Research by the first author was partially supported by NSF contract MPS 73-08812. Research by the remaining two authors was partially supported by NSF contract MPS 71-02871-A04.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
AND
TULANE UNIVERSITY

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 69, No. 1 May, 1977