

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE EXTENDABILITY AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS OF
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

STEPHEN RICHARD BERNFELD

THE EXTENDABILITY AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

STEPHEN R. BERNFELD

The extendability of solutions of ordinary differential equations is a fundamental and important property since the analysis of stability and boundedness of solutions requires extendability. This paper is concerned with the preservation of the extendability and uniqueness of solutions under perturbations. In particular conditions on the right hand side of $\dot{x}=f(x)+h(t)$ are exhibited which guarantee the extendability of solutions whenever the solutions of the unperturbed equation $\dot{x}=f(x)$ extend.

This paper continues the author's previous study [1] and also includes the question of uniqueness of the zero solution of perturbed equations satisfying an Osgood condition [5] (See also [2] for recent results on the uniqueness of perturbed systems.) Examples are provided to demonstrate the strength of our results. The interested reader may look in [4], [5], [6] for other results on extendability.

2. Notation and preliminaries. Let R^d denote d -dimensional space. We represent solutions of the Cauchy problem $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$, $x(t_0) = x_0$ by $x(t, t_0, x_0)$. We shall usually be analyzing the equations

$$(U) \quad \dot{x} = f(x),$$

$$(P) \quad \dot{x} = f(x) + h(t),$$

where $f: R \rightarrow R^+$ is continuous and $h: R \rightarrow R$ is Lebesgue measurable, and

$$(*) \quad \int \frac{dr}{f(r)} = \infty.$$

Condition (*) is equivalent to the extendability of all solutions of (U) ([1]). We investigate the class of functions $h(t)$ which preserve the extendability of solutions and show by example that this class is, in a meaningful sense, "maximal." Again if we substitute the word uniqueness for extendability in each of our theorems, the results hold by noting condition (*) is essentially the Osgood condition at infinity.

3. Results and examples. Before we state our results we will need the following definitions.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $S(T)$ be the class of continuous functions $h(t)$, such that $h: R^+ \rightarrow R$ and there exists $t_0 \in R^+$ such that $h(t_0) > T \geq 0$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $\hat{S}(T)$ be the class of continuous functions $h(t)$ where $h: R^+ \rightarrow R$ such that $h(t) < T$ almost everywhere, $T \geq 0$.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $^*S(T)$ be the class of continuous functions $h(t)$, where $h: R^+ \rightarrow R$ such that there exists t_0 such that $h(t_0) < -T \leq 0$.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let $\bar{S}(T)$ be the class of continuous functions $h(t)$, where $h: R^+ \rightarrow R$ such that $h(t) > -T$ almost everywhere, $T \geq 0$.

Using these definitions we see that $S(T) \cap ^*S(B)$ is the set of continuous functions $h(t)$, where $h: R^+ \rightarrow R$, such that there exists a $t_0 > 0$ and a $t_1 > 0$ such that $h(t_0) > T \geq 0$ and $h(t_1) < -B \leq 0$. Similarly, $\hat{S}(T) \cap \bar{S}(B)$ is the set of continuous functions $h(t)$, where $h: R^+ \rightarrow R$, such that $-R < h(t) < T$ almost everywhere (T and B can both assume $+\infty$).

We now state our results leaving the proofs to §4.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) \geq -T, \quad T \geq 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) \leq B, \quad B \geq 0.$$

Then all solutions of

$$(P) \quad \dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

exist in the future for one $h(t) \in S(T) \cap ^*S(B)$ if and only if all solutions of (P) exist in the future for all $h(t) \in S(T) \cap ^*S(B)$.

REMARK. An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following: we assume $f(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$ and $f(x) < 0$ for all $x < 0$, and all solutions of $\dot{x} = f(x)$ exist in the future. The set $S(0) \cap ^*S(0)$ is the set of all continuous functions $h(t)$ which change sign. Thus, according to Theorem 3.1, if we know there exists a continuous function $h_1(t)$ such that $|h_1(t)|$ is very "small" on a very "small" interval and such that all solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h_1(t)$$

exist in the future, then no matter how “large” we allow $|h(t)|$ to be we still have that all solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

exist in the future. On the other hand, if we suppose there exists a function $g_1(t)$ such that $|g_1(t)|$ is very large and such that there exist solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g_1(t)$$

which do not exist in the future, then no matter how “small” $|g_2(t)|$ is made, there exist solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g_2(t)$$

which do not exist in the future.

In [1], we considered the problem of the existence in the future of all solutions of

$$(P') \quad \dot{x} = f(t, x) + h(t),$$

where $f: R \times R \rightarrow R$ and $h: R \rightarrow R$, and both f and h were continuous; and we provided sufficient conditions on f such that all solutions of (P') existed in the future for all continuous h . Now we will discuss the reduced problem in which $f: R \rightarrow R$ and provide weaker conditions on f to get similar results.

THEOREM 3.2. *If $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = -T$ and $\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = B$; $T, B \geq 0$, then all solutions of*

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

exist in the future if $h(t) \in \hat{S}(T) \cap \bar{S}(B)$.

REMARK. If $f: R^+ \rightarrow R (f: R^- \rightarrow R)$ then let $B = \infty (T = \infty)$.

We will now construct an example which shows that the set $\hat{S}(T)$ cannot be extended to include those continuous functions $\{h(t)\}$ such that $h(t) = T$ on an arbitrary interval $[a, b]$ and $0 \leq h(t) < T$ everywhere else.

EXAMPLE 3.1. We define the following function $f: R^+ \rightarrow R$: for each integer $n \geq 1$ such that $n \leq x \leq n + 1$

$$f(n) = -T + 1/n,$$

$$f(n + 1) = -T + \frac{1}{n + 1},$$

$$f(x) = x^2, \quad n + \frac{1}{n^2} \leq x \leq n + 1 - \frac{1}{(n + 1)^2}$$

and $f(x)$ is linear on

$$n \leq x \leq n + \frac{1}{n^3}$$

and on

$$n + 1 - \frac{1}{(n + 1)^3} \leq x \leq n + 1 ,$$

and on $[0, 1]$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Hence we have

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = -T ,$$

and all solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

exist in the future. We claim that there exist solutions of

$$(P) \quad \dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

which do not exist in the future for any $h(t)$ described above. For $t \in [a, b]$, (P) becomes

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{x} = f(x) + T ,$$

and it suffices to show that there exist solutions of (3.1) which do not exist on $[a, b]$; that is, if $t_0 = a$, there exists a point x_0 and a solution $x(\cdot, a, x_0)$ of (3.1) such that

$$x(t, t_0, x_0) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \hat{t} \leq b .$$

We shall prove $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} dx/f(x) + T$ is finite, which will establish the claim. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x) + T} \\ & \leq \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 + T} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \text{area of } R_n , \end{aligned}$$

where R_n is the rectangle of height n and base $2/n^2$. Therefore, area of $R_n = 2/n^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \text{area } R_n \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} < \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{T + x^2} < \infty ,$$

we have

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x) + T} < \infty .$$

We can pick \bar{x} so large that

$$\int_{\bar{x}}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x) + T} \leq b - a$$

which implies, for the initial point (a, \bar{x}) , there exists a solution of (3.1), $x(\cdot, a, \bar{x})$, such that

$$x(t, a, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \longrightarrow \hat{t} < b ,$$

thus proving our claim.

REMARK. When $B = T = \infty$, Theorem 3.2 implies that all solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

exist in the future for all continuous functions $h(t)$.

If we apply Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to Example 3.1 we find that if we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x) , & x > 0 \\ \dot{x} &= -f(-x) , & x < 0 , \end{aligned}$$

then the only admissible perturbation term is $h(t) \equiv 0$; that is, no other continuous function can perturb this system and still preserve existence in the future.

4. Proofs. We now state and prove two lemmas needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 4.1. Assume $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf f(x) \geq -T$, $T \geq 0$, where $f: R^+ \rightarrow R$ is continuous. Then all solutions of

$$(P) \quad \dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

exist positively in the future for one $h(t) \in S(T)$ if and only if all solutions of (P) exist positively in the future for all $h(t) \in S(T)$.

Proof. The sufficiency follows immediately.

Conversely, assume all solutions exist positively in the future for

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + j(t)$$

for some $j(t) \in S(T)$. Assume there exists $g(t) \in S(T)$, points t_0, \hat{t}, x_0 , and a solution $x(\cdot, t_0, x_0)$ of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(t)$$

such that $x(t, t_0, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \hat{t}$. We define

$$h(x) = f(x) + T.$$

There exists $K_1 > T$ such that $g(t) \leq K_1$ for $t \in [t_0, \hat{t}]$. Defining

$$K_2 = K_1 - T > 0,$$

we have

$$f(x) + g(t) \leq h(x) + K_2$$

for $t \in [t_0, \hat{t}]$. Hence we have the existence of a solution $z(\cdot, t_0, x_0)$ of

$$\dot{x} = h(x) + K_2$$

which does not exist on $[t_0, \hat{t}]$. From [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{h(x) + K_2} &< \infty \\ \longrightarrow \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{h(x) + C} &< \infty \text{ for any } C > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $j(t) \in S(T)$, we have the existence of a t^1 such that $j(t^1) > T$. Hence there exists an interval $[a, b]$ with $t^1 \in [a, b]$, and a constant $K_3 > 0$ such that $j(t) - T \geq K_3$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x) + j(t) \\ &\geq h(x) + K_3, \text{ for } t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{h(x) + K_3} < \infty,$$

there exists x^1 such that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{x^1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{h(x) + K_3} \leq b - a .$$

Using (4.1) we see that there exists a solution $x(\cdot, a, x^1)$ of

$$\dot{x} = h(x) + K_3$$

such that

$$x(t, a, x^1) \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \longrightarrow \tilde{t} \leq b .$$

Since $f(x) + j(t) \geq h(x) + K_3$ for $t \in [a, b]$, we have the existence of a solution of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + j(t)$$

which does not exist positively in the future, a contradiction.

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume $f: R^- \rightarrow R$ is continuous such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \sup f(x) \leq T, T \geq 0$. Then all solutions of*

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

*exist negatively in the future for one $h(t) \in {}^*S(T)$ if and only if all solutions exist negatively in the future for all $h(t) \in {}^*S(T)$.*

Proof. We shall reduce the hypotheses to an equivalent set of hypotheses satisfying Lemma 4.1. If we let $y = -x$ then the equation $\dot{x} = f(x)$ becomes

$$\dot{y} = -f(-y), \quad y > 0 .$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) \leq T \\ \longleftrightarrow & \liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} -[f(x)] \geq -T \\ \longleftrightarrow & \liminf_{y \rightarrow +\infty} [-f(-y)] \geq -T , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & h(t) \in {}^*S(T) \\ \longleftrightarrow & -h(t) \in S(T) ; \end{aligned}$$

we can reduce the hypotheses on $f(x), h(t)$ and on

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

in Lemma 4.2 by considering the change of variables $y = -x$

which transforms the above differential equation to

$$\dot{y} = -f(-y) - h(t)$$

in which $-f(\cdot)$ and $-h(\cdot)$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1. Hence we have produced an equivalence between Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, thus proving the result.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. If $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) \geq -T$, $T \geq 0$, and if $\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) \leq B$, $B \geq 0$, we can apply Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 respectively to $h(t) \in S(T)$ and $h(t) \in {}^*S(B)$. Since existence in the future is equivalent to positively and negatively existence in the future we arrive at the result of Theorem 3.1 for $h(t) \in S(T) \cap {}^*S(B)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. It is sufficient to show that if $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = -T$, $T \geq 0$, then all solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

exist positively in the future for $h(t) \in \hat{S}(T)$. Once this is shown, we can use the same techniques as were used in the previous theorem to obtain the result.

Since $h(t) \in \hat{S}(T)$, we have $h(t) < T$ almost everywhere on $[0, \infty)$. Hence $h(t) = T$ on a set of measure zero and there exists no t such that $h(t) > T$. We may in fact assume $h(t) < T$ everywhere and then readily verify the result for $h(t) \in \hat{S}(T)$.

We assume the theorem is not true; that is, there exist an initial point (t_0, x_0) , a point \hat{t} , and a solution $x(\cdot, t_0, x_0)$ of

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(t)$$

such that $x(t, t_0, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \hat{t}$. When we consider the interval $[t_0, \hat{t}]$ we can find an $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$h(t) \leq T - \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0, \hat{t}].$$

Since $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = -T$, we have a sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = -T$.

Hence there exists N sufficiently large such that for $n \geq N$,

$$f(x_n) < -T + \varepsilon_1.$$

By the continuity of $f(x)$ we have for each n , $N(\delta_n)$, a δ_n neighborhood of x_n , such that $x \in N(\delta_n) \rightarrow f(x) \leq -T + \varepsilon_1$. Consequently,

$$f(x) + h(t) \leq (-T + \varepsilon_1) + (T - \varepsilon_1) = 0$$

for $t \in [t_0, \hat{t}]$ and $x \in N(\delta_n)$, for all $n \geq N$. There exists $Nl > N$ such that $x_0 \leq x_{Nl}$ and $f(x) + h(t) \leq 0$ for $x \in N(\delta_{Nl})$ and $t \in [t_0, \hat{t}]$. Therefore,

$$x(t, t_0, x_0) \leq x_{Nl}$$

for $t \in [t_0, \hat{t}]$, a contradiction to $x(t, t_0, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \hat{t}$.

5. **Concluding remarks.** We see that many results on perturbed differential equations that utilize integral conditions can be obtained using the techniques in this paper, as well as in [1]. In addition to uniqueness and extendability, one can get results on the boundedness of solutions of differential equations. A somewhat different analysis can also be realized when considering boundary value problems. It is known that the Nagumo condition [6] is extremely important in obtaining bounds for the derivative of a solution of a two point boundary value problem. We observe that by using the results of [1] and this paper, bounds on the derivative of solutions of a perturbed differential equation with two point boundary conditions can be obtained. This may be quite useful in applications and numerical approximations.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bernfeld, *The extendability of solutions of perturbed scalar differential equations*, Pacific J. Math., **42** (1972), 277-288.
2. S. Bernfeld, R. Driver, and V. Lakshmikantham, *Uniqueness for ordinary differential equations*, Math. Systems Theory, **9** (1976), 359-367.
3. R. Conti, *Sulla prolungabilita delle soluzioni di un sistema di equazioni differenziali ordinarie*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., **11** (1956), 510-514.
4. V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
5. T. Yoshizawa, *Stability theory by Liapunov's second method*, The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, (1966).
6. S. Bernfeld and V. Lakshmikantham, *An Introduction to Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

Received November 4, 1976.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS-ARLINGTON
ARLINGTON, TX 76019

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 69, No. 2

June, 1977

Carol Alf and Thomas Alfonso O'Connor, <i>Unimodality of the Lévy spectral function</i>	285
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>Bicontractive projections and reordering of L_p-spaces</i>	291
Andrew J. Berner, <i>Products of compact spaces with bi-k and related spaces</i>	303
Stephen Richard Bernfeld, <i>The extendability and uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations</i>	307
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decompositions for nonclosed planar m-convex sets</i>	317
Robert F. Brown, <i>Cohomology of homomorphisms of Lie algebras and Lie groups</i>	325
Jack Douglas Bryant and Thomas Francis McCabe, <i>A note on Edelstein's iterative test and spaces of continuous functions</i>	333
Victor P. Camillo, <i>Modules whose quotients have finite Goldie dimension</i>	337
David Downing and William A. Kirk, <i>A generalization of Caristi's theorem with applications to nonlinear mapping theory</i>	339
Daniel Reuven Farkas and Robert L. Snider, <i>Noetherian fixed rings</i>	347
Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, <i>Positive definite functions which vanish at infinity</i>	355
Josip Globevnik, <i>The range of analytic extensions</i>	365
André Goldman, <i>Mesures cylindriques, mesures vectorielles et questions de concentration cylindrique</i>	385
Richard Grassl, <i>Multisectioned partitions of integers</i>	415
Haruo Kitahara and Shinsuke Yorozu, <i>A formula for the normal part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the foliated manifold</i>	425
Marvin J. Kohn, <i>Summability R_r for double series</i>	433
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Lie ideals and derivations in rings with involution</i>	449
Solomon Leader, <i>A topological characterization of Banach contractions</i>	461
Daniel Francis Xavier O'Reilly, <i>Cobordism classes of fiber bundles</i>	467
James William Pendergrass, <i>The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group</i>	477
Howard Lewis Penn, <i>Inner-outer factorization of functions whose Fourier series vanish off a semigroup</i>	501
William T. Reid, <i>Some results on the Floquet theory for disconjugate definite Hamiltonian systems</i>	505
Caroll Vernon Riecke, <i>Complementation in the lattice of convergence structures</i>	517
Louis Halle Rowen, <i>Classes of rings torsion-free over their centers</i>	527
Manda Butchi Suryanarayana, <i>A Sobolev space and a Darboux problem</i>	535
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Riesz homomorphisms and positive linear maps</i>	551
William W. Williams, <i>Semigroups with identity on Peano continua</i>	557
Yukinobu Yajima, <i>On spaces which have a closure-preserving cover by finite sets</i>	571