

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**COHOMOLOGY OF HOMOMORPHISMS OF LIE ALGEBRAS
AND LIE GROUPS**

ROBERT F. BROWN

COHOMOLOGY OF HOMOMORPHISMS OF LIE ALGEBRAS AND LIE GROUPS

ROBERT F. BROWN

Given compact, connected Lie groups G_1 and G_2 and given $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ a homomorphism with kernel K , let $Ph^*: PH^*(G_2) \rightarrow PH^*(G_1)$ be the homomorphism of the primitives in the real cohomology induced by h . We prove that if the rank of G_2 is greater than or equal to the rank of G_1 , then the dimension of the kernel of Ph^* is greater than or equal to the rank of K . We discuss when the inequality is an equality and we use the inequality to study when the hypothesis that Ph^* is an isomorphism implies that h itself is an isomorphism.

1. Introduction. This paper was initially motivated by a quite specific question. Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and let $h: G \rightarrow G$ be an endomorphism of G , then h induces an endomorphism $h^*: H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ of the real cohomology of G . The question is: if h^* is an automorphism, does it follow that h is an automorphism?

The answer to this question is "no" in general. Represent the circle S^1 as the complex numbers of norm one and define $h: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ by $h(z) = z^2$. Then for $h^{*1}: H^1(S^1) \rightarrow H^1(S^1) \cong \mathbf{R}$ (the reals) we have $h^{*1}(x) = 2x$, so $h^*: H^*(S^1) \rightarrow H^*(S^1)$ is an automorphism even though the kernel of h contains two points. However, as we shall prove below (Corollary 5.1), if h^* is an automorphism then the differential dh of h is an automorphism of the Lie algebra of G . Consequently, the example illustrates the worst that can happen because if dh is an automorphism then h is onto and its kernel, though not necessarily trivial, is finite. (For this and other facts from Lie group theory, see [6].)

We wish to restate the relationship between h^* and h above in a form which will lead us in a natural way to a statement of the basic problem of this paper. We still have the endomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G$ that we assume induces an automorphism $h^*: H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ of real cohomology. However, our results will be easier to describe if, instead of considering all of $H^*(G)$, we restrict our attention to elements which generate $H^*(G)$ as an algebra. Let $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the group operation, then m induces $m^*: H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G) \otimes H^*(G)$. An element $\omega \in H^*(G)$ is *primitive* if $m^*(\omega) = 1 \otimes \omega + \omega \otimes 1$. Now let $Ph^*: PH^*(G) \rightarrow PH^*(G)$ be the restriction of h^* to the primitives in $H^*(G)$, then h^* is an automorphism if and only if Ph^* is an automorphism. Stating the hypothesis another way: the dimension of the kernel of Ph^* is zero. (The *dimension* of a graded vector

space W , written $\dim W$, is the sum of the dimensions of the individual vector spaces that make up W .) The conclusion that the kernel of h is finite can be expressed by saying that the rank of the kernel of h is zero. (The *rank* of a compact Lie group G , written $rk(G)$, is the dimension of a maximal torus.) The basic problem of this paper is to determine the relationship between the dimension of $\ker Ph^*$, the kernel of Ph^* , and the rank of the kernel of h .

Since Corollary 5.1 implies that when the dimension of the kernel of Ph^* is zero the rank of the kernel of h is also zero, one might wonder whether the two numbers are always equal. Again represent S^1 as the complex numbers of norm one and let S^3 be the quaternions of norm one. Let $G = S^1 \times S^3$ and define $h: G \rightarrow G$ as follows. For a complex number $a + bi$ and a quaternion $t + ui + vj + wk$, set

$$h(a + bi, t + ui + vj + wk) = (1 + 0i, a + bi + 0j + 0k).$$

Now h is homotopic to the constant map on G so the dimension of the kernel of Ph^* is two because that is the dimension of $PH^*(G)$. On the other hand, the kernel of h is isomorphic to S^3 , which is of rank one. Therefore, equality does not hold in general.

In the next section, we will show that rank the of the kernel K of an endomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G$ is always less than or equal to the dimension of the kernel of Ph^* . This inequality will follow from a corresponding inequality for homomorphisms between (possibly different) Lie groups. Section 3 examines how the one-dimensional cohomology contributes to the inequality. In § 4, we state sufficient conditions for the inequality to be an equality. Finally, in §5, we study homomorphisms $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with the property that $h^*: H^*(G_2) \rightarrow H^*(G_1)$ is an isomorphism.

The results of this paper are most naturally stated and proved in the context of Lie algebras. Consequently, §§2, 3, and 4 each begin with a theorem concerning homomorphisms of Lie algebras. The corresponding results for homomorphisms of Lie groups follow as corollaries.

In order to avoid frequent repetitions of the same hypotheses, we adopt the following

conventions: (1) all Lie groups are compact and connected (2) all Lie algebras are the Lie algebras of compact Lie groups (3) all homomorphisms of Lie algebras are differentials of homomorphisms of Lie groups.

2. The main inequality. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} , let $PH^*(\mathfrak{G})$ denote the primitives in the cohomology (see [5]). The *rank* of \mathfrak{G}

(written $rk(\mathfrak{G})$) is the dimension of a Cartan subalgebra [6, p. 264]. It follows from a theorem of Hopf [4] (or see [1]), via the de Rham theorem [3], that $rk(\mathfrak{G})$ is equal to the dimension of $PH^*(\mathfrak{G})$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{G}_1 and \mathfrak{G}_2 be Lie algebras such that $rk(\mathfrak{G}_1) \leq rk(\mathfrak{G}_2)$. Let $\eta: \mathfrak{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_2$ be a homomorphism with kernel \mathfrak{K} inducing $P\eta^*: PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_2) \rightarrow PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_1)$. Then*

$$\dim \ker P\eta^* \geq rk(\mathfrak{K}) .$$

Proof. Since \mathfrak{K} is an ideal in \mathfrak{G}_1 , it follows from [6, p. 213] that \mathfrak{K} is a direct summand of \mathfrak{G}_1 . Therefore, the homomorphism $P\iota^*: PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_1) \rightarrow PH^*(\mathfrak{K})$ induced by inclusion is onto. Let $m = \dim \ker P\eta^*$ and suppose that the dimension of $PH^*(\mathfrak{K})$ were greater than m . We can choose $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1}\}$, a linearly independent set of elements of $PH^*(\mathfrak{K})$ - and then a linearly independent set $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1}\}$ of elements of $PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ such that $\iota^*(y_j) = z_j$ for all j . Let V be the vector subspace of $PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ spanned by $\{y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}\}$. Since $rk(\mathfrak{G}_1) \leq rk(\mathfrak{G}_2)$, the dimension of $PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ is no larger than the dimension of $PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_2)$. Consequently, the dimension of the intersection of V and the image of $P\eta^*$ must be at least one. So there exists $y = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} a_j y_j$ in the image of $P\eta^*$ with some a_j nonzero. Let $x \in PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_2)$ such that $\eta^*(x) = y$. Now the composition

$$\mathfrak{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{G}_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathfrak{G}_2$$

is trivial and yet

$$\iota^* \eta^*(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} a_j z_j \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction, so $rk(\mathfrak{K}) \leq m$.

The conclusion of Theorem 2.1 is false for the trivial homomorphism $\eta: \mathfrak{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_2$ if $rk(\mathfrak{G}_1) > rk(\mathfrak{G}_2)$, so we do require a restriction on the ranks.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be Lie groups such that $rk(G_1) \leq rk(G_2)$. Let $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a homomorphism with kernel K inducing $Ph^*: PH^*(G_2) \rightarrow PH^*(G_1)$. Then*

$$\dim \ker Ph^* \geq rk(K) .$$

3. One-dimensional cohomology. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} , we write $\mathfrak{G} \cong \mathfrak{Z} \oplus \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{G}$ where \mathfrak{Z} is the center of \mathfrak{G} and $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{G}$ is semisimple.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{G}_1 and \mathfrak{G}_2 be Lie algebras such that $\dim(\mathfrak{Z}_1) =$*

$\dim(\mathfrak{Z}_2)$ and let $\eta: \mathfrak{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_2$ be a homomorphism. The dimension of the kernel of the induced homomorphism $\eta^{*,1}: H^1(\mathfrak{G}_2) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ is equal to dimension of the Lie algebra $\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1$.

Proof. Define $\bar{\eta}: \mathfrak{Z}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_2$ to be the composition

$$\mathfrak{Z}_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{G}_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathfrak{G}_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{Z}_2$$

where ι is inclusion and π is projection. Since $\iota^{*,1}$ and $\pi^{*,1}$ are isomorphisms, then $\ker \eta^{*,1} \cong \ker \bar{\eta}^{*,1}$. (See [5] for the cohomology of Lie algebras.) Since \mathfrak{Z}_1 and \mathfrak{Z}_2 are abelian Lie algebras of the same dimension, it follows from the definitions that the dimension of the kernel of $\bar{\eta}^{*,1}$ is equal to the dimension of the kernel of $\bar{\eta}$. The fact that

$$\ker \bar{\eta} = \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1$$

completes the argument.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{G}_1 and \mathfrak{G}_2 be Lie algebras such that $rk(\mathfrak{G}_1) \leq rk(\mathfrak{G}_2)$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{Z}_1) = \dim(\mathfrak{Z}_2)$. Let $\eta: \mathfrak{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_2$ be a homomorphism with kernel \mathfrak{K} . If $\dim \ker P\eta^* = rk(\mathfrak{K})$, then $\eta(\mathfrak{Z}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}\eta: \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2$ denote the restriction of η . For $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}_1$ or \mathfrak{G}_2 , define

$$\hat{P}H^*(\mathfrak{G}) = \sum_{j \geq 2} PH^j(\mathfrak{G})$$

so $PH^*(\mathfrak{G}) = H^1(\mathfrak{G}) \oplus \hat{P}H^*(\mathfrak{G})$. Then η induces $\eta^{*,1}: H^1(\mathfrak{G}_2) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ and $\hat{P}\eta^*: \hat{P}H^*(\mathfrak{G}_2) \rightarrow \hat{P}H^*(\mathfrak{G}_1)$. Furthermore,

$$\dim \ker P\eta^* = \dim \ker \eta^{*,1} + \dim \ker \hat{P}\eta^* .$$

The inclusion of $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2$ into \mathfrak{G}_2 induces an isomorphism between $\hat{P}H^*(\mathfrak{G}_2)$ and $PH^*(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2)$, so since $H^1(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2) = 0$,

$$\dim \ker \hat{P}\eta^* = \dim \ker \hat{P}(\mathcal{D}\eta)^* = \dim \ker P(\mathcal{D}\eta)^* .$$

Lemma 3.1 then implies that

$$\dim \ker P\eta^* = \dim (\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1) + \dim \ker P(\mathcal{D}\eta)^* .$$

Since $\mathfrak{K} \cap \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_1 = \ker(\mathcal{D}\eta)$, Theorem 2.1 states that

$$rk(\mathfrak{K} \cap \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_1) \leq \dim \ker P(\mathcal{D}\eta)^* .$$

If $\eta(\mathfrak{Z}_1) \cap \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2 \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{K} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1 \subseteq \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \ker P\eta^* &= \dim (\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1)) + \dim \ker P(\mathcal{D}\eta)^* \\ &\geq \dim (\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1)) + rk(\mathfrak{K} \cap \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_1) \\ &> \dim (\mathfrak{K} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_1) + rk(\mathfrak{K} \cap \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{G}_1) = rk(\mathfrak{K}) . \end{aligned}$$

Denote the identity component of the center of a Lie group G by Z^0 .

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let G_1 and G_2 be Lie groups such that $rk(G_1) \leq rk(G_2)$ and $\dim Z_1^0 = \dim Z_2^0$. Let $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a homomorphism with kernel K . If $\dim \ker Ph^* = rk(K)$, then $h(Z_1^0) \subseteq Z_2^0$.*

Corollary 3.3 explains why, in the example $h: G \rightarrow G = S^1 \times S^3$ of § 1, we found that $\dim \ker Ph^* > rk(K)$. The reason is that the center S^1 of G was not mapped into itself by h . There can be other reasons why $\dim \ker Ph^* > rk(K)$, as the following examples show.

Let $j: SO(8) \rightarrow SO(9)$ be defined as follows. For A a matrix in $SO(8)$, let

$$j(A) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & A & & \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 \dots 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then j is one-to-one, but there is an element of $H^{15}(SO(9))$ in $PH^*(SO(9))$ while $H^{15}(SO(8)) = 0$. For an example where $G_1 = G_2$, let $G = SO(8) \times SO(9)$ and define $h: G \rightarrow G$ to be the composition

$$SO(8) \times SO(9) \xrightarrow{\pi} SO(8) \xrightarrow{j} SO(9) \xrightarrow{i} SO(8) \times SO(9)$$

where π is projection and i is inclusion. Now the kernel of Ph^* contains $PH^*(SO(8))$ and $H^{15}(SO(9))$ which implies that it is of dimension at least 5. But the kernel of h is isomorphic to $SO(9)$, a group of rank 4.

4. Sufficient conditions for equality. The previous section suggests that strong hypotheses will be required in order for the inequality of § 2 to be an equality. The next results employ such hypotheses.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{G}_1 and \mathfrak{G}_2 be Lie algebras such that $rk(\mathfrak{G}_1) = rk(\mathfrak{G}_2)$. Let $\eta: \mathfrak{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_2$ be a homomorphism with kernel \mathfrak{R} . If $\eta(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{G}_2 , then*

$$\dim \ker (P\eta^*) = rk(\mathfrak{R}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S} = \eta(\mathfrak{G}_1)$ then by hypothesis \mathfrak{S} is an ideal of \mathfrak{G}_2 so the kernel of the homomorphism $P\iota^*: PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_2) \rightarrow PH^*(\mathfrak{S})$ induced by inclusion is isomorphic to $PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_2/\mathfrak{S})$. Let $\bar{\eta}: \mathfrak{G}_1/\mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ be the isomorphism induced by η . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathfrak{G}_2 \\
 q \downarrow & & \uparrow \iota \\
 \mathfrak{G}_1/\mathfrak{K} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} & \mathfrak{G}
 \end{array}$$

where q is the quotient homomorphism, can be used to show that

$$\ker P\eta^* \cong PH^*(\mathfrak{G}_2/\mathfrak{G}).$$

Since $rk(\mathfrak{G}_1) = rk(\mathfrak{G}_2)$, it follows that $rk(\mathfrak{K}) = rk(\mathfrak{G}_2/\mathfrak{G})$ and that completes the proof.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be Lie groups such that $rk(G_1) = rk(G_2)$. Let $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a homomorphism with kernel K . If $h(G_1)$ is a normal subgroup of G_2 , then*

$$\dim \ker (Ph^*) = rk(K).$$

If we mimic the example at the end of § 3 with $SU(2) \times SU(3)$ in place of $SO(8) \times SO(9)$ then $h(G) = ij\pi(G)$ is not a normal subgroup of G and yet $\dim \ker (Ph^*) = rk(K) = 2$. Consequently, the sufficient conditions of this section are not necessary conditions for equality.

5. Homomorphisms that induce isomorphisms. We come now to the result promised in the introductory section.

COROLLARY 5.1. *If $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a homomorphism of Lie groups such that $h^*: H^*(G_2) \rightarrow H^*(G_1)$ is an isomorphism, then the differential of h is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.*

Proof. Let K be the kernel of h . Since h^* is an isomorphism, so also is $Ph^*: PH^*(G_2) \rightarrow PH^*(G_1)$ and, by Corollary 2.2, the rank of K is equal to zero. Let $dh: \mathfrak{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_2$ be the differential of h , then \mathfrak{K} , the kernel of dh , is trivial because it is of rank zero. We conclude that dh is an isomorphism.

A homomorphism $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ induces a homomorphism $h_\pi: \pi_1(G_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(G_2)$ of the fundamental groups.

COROLLARY 5.2. *If $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a homomorphism of Lie groups such that $h^*: H^*(G_2) \rightarrow H^*(G_1)$ is an isomorphism. Then*

- (i) $h_\pi: \pi_1(G_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(G_2)$ is one-to-one
- (ii) h is an isomorphism if and only if h_π is an isomorphism.

Proof. Corollary 5.1 implies that h is a local homeomorphism so $h_\pi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a covering space with fiber K , the kernel of h . Thus

we have the exact sequence

$$\pi_1(K) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G_1) \xrightarrow{h_\pi} \pi_1(G_2) \longrightarrow \pi_0(K) \longrightarrow \pi_0(G_1)$$

and observe that h_π is one-to-one. The homomorphism h is an isomorphism if and only if K is trivial, that is, if and only if $\pi_0(K) = 1$. By exactness, $\pi_0(K) = 1$ if and only if h_π is onto.

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let G be a semisimple Lie group and let $h: G \rightarrow G$ be an endomorphism such that $h^*: H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ is an isomorphism, then h is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since G is semisimple, $\pi_1(G)$ is finite, so the result is a consequence of Corollary 5.2.

An inner automorphism $h: G \rightarrow G$, defined by $h(x) = axa^{-1}$ for some $a \in G$, is homotopic to the identity map because G is pathwise connected, so h induces the identity isomorphism on $H^*(G)$. Our final result shows that the converse is also true.

COROLLARY 5.4. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and let $h: G \rightarrow G$ be an endomorphism such that $h^*: H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ is the identity isomorphism, then h is an inner automorphism of G .*

Proof. We write $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}^m \oplus T$ where \mathbf{Z}^m is free abelian and T is finite. By Corollary 5.2, $h_\pi: \mathbf{Z}^m \oplus T \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^m \oplus T$ is one-to-one and therefore its restriction to T must be an isomorphism of T to itself. The fact that $h^{*1}: H^1(G) \rightarrow H^1(G)$ is the identity isomorphism, together with the Universal Coefficient Theorem and the Hurewicz Homomorphism Theorem, imply that the restriction of h_π to \mathbf{Z}^m is the identity transformation from \mathbf{Z}^m to itself. Therefore, by Corollary 5.2, h is an isomorphism of G inducing the identity isomorphism on $H^*(G)$. Proposition 4 of [2] thus implies that h is an inner automorphism.

REFERENCES

1. R. Brown, *Fixed points of automorphisms of compact Lie groups*, Pacific J. Math., **63** (1976), 79-87.
2. R. Brown, *On the power map in compact groups, II*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **3** (1973), 9-10.
3. C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1948), 85-124.
4. H. Hopf, *Über den Rang geschlossener Liescher Gruppen*, Comment. Math. Helv., **13** (1940), 119-143.
5. J. Koszul, *Homologie et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **78** (1950), 65-127.

6. V. Varadarajan, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Their Representations*, Prentice-Hall, 1974.

Received October 25, 1976. This work was supported under NSF Grant No. MCS 76-05971.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 69, No. 2

June, 1977

Carol Alf and Thomas Alfonso O'Connor, <i>Unimodality of the Lévy spectral function</i>	285
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>Bicontractive projections and reordering of L_p-spaces</i>	291
Andrew J. Berner, <i>Products of compact spaces with bi-k and related spaces</i>	303
Stephen Richard Bernfeld, <i>The extendability and uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations</i>	307
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decompositions for nonclosed planar m-convex sets</i>	317
Robert F. Brown, <i>Cohomology of homomorphisms of Lie algebras and Lie groups</i>	325
Jack Douglas Bryant and Thomas Francis McCabe, <i>A note on Edelstein's iterative test and spaces of continuous functions</i>	333
Victor P. Camillo, <i>Modules whose quotients have finite Goldie dimension</i>	337
David Downing and William A. Kirk, <i>A generalization of Caristi's theorem with applications to nonlinear mapping theory</i>	339
Daniel Reuven Farkas and Robert L. Snider, <i>Noetherian fixed rings</i>	347
Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, <i>Positive definite functions which vanish at infinity</i>	355
Josip Globevnik, <i>The range of analytic extensions</i>	365
André Goldman, <i>Mesures cylindriques, mesures vectorielles et questions de concentration cylindrique</i>	385
Richard Grassl, <i>Multisectioned partitions of integers</i>	415
Haruo Kitahara and Shinsuke Yorozu, <i>A formula for the normal part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the foliated manifold</i>	425
Marvin J. Kohn, <i>Summability R_r for double series</i>	433
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Lie ideals and derivations in rings with involution</i>	449
Solomon Leader, <i>A topological characterization of Banach contractions</i>	461
Daniel Francis Xavier O'Reilly, <i>Cobordism classes of fiber bundles</i>	467
James William Pendergrass, <i>The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group</i>	477
Howard Lewis Penn, <i>Inner-outer factorization of functions whose Fourier series vanish off a semigroup</i>	501
William T. Reid, <i>Some results on the Floquet theory for disconjugate definite Hamiltonian systems</i>	505
Caroll Vernon Riecke, <i>Complementation in the lattice of convergence structures</i>	517
Louis Halle Rowen, <i>Classes of rings torsion-free over their centers</i>	527
Manda Butchi Suryanarayana, <i>A Sobolev space and a Darboux problem</i>	535
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Riesz homomorphisms and positive linear maps</i>	551
William W. Williams, <i>Semigroups with identity on Peano continua</i>	557
Yukinobu Yajima, <i>On spaces which have a closure-preserving cover by finite sets</i>	571