

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF BANACH
CONTRACTIONS**

SOLOMON LEADER

A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF BANACH CONTRACTIONS

SOLOMON LEADER

A continuous operator T on a metric space (X, ρ) is a Banach contraction with fixed point p under some metric σ topologically equivalent to ρ if, and only if, every orbit $T^n x$ converges to p and the convergence is uniform on some neighborhood of p . For σ to be bounded we demand that the convergence be uniform on X . The latter condition with T uniformly continuous characterizes the case for σ bounded and uniformly equivalent to ρ .

A Banach contraction is an operator T on a metric space (X, σ) such that for some $a < 1$

$$(1) \quad \sigma(Tx, Ty) \leq a\sigma(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } X.$$

(T is called nonexpansive if (1) holds with $a = 1$.) By induction (1) extends to

$$(2) \quad \sigma(T^n x, T^n y) \leq a^n \sigma(x, y)$$

for all n in the set N of all natural numbers. By the Banach Contraction Theorem a Banach contraction T on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point $p = Tp$. From (2) with $y = p$

$$(3) \quad \sigma(T^n x, p) \leq a^n \sigma(x, p).$$

Since a^n converges to 0 (3) implies

$$(4) \quad T^n x \longrightarrow p \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } X.$$

Moreover, taking any ball about p , we conclude from (3) that

$$(5) \quad T^n B \longrightarrow p \quad \text{for some neighborhood } B \text{ of } p.$$

That is, (4) holds uniformly for x in B . We contend that a continuous T satisfying (4) and (5) is topologically equivalent to a Banach contraction. We shall also get topological and uniform characterizations of Banach contractions on bounded spaces. Our proofs depend on two lemmas which extend constructions used by Ludvik Janos [1] to characterize Banach contractions on compact spaces.

For any metric ρ we use the notation $\rho[\]$ to denote the ρ -diameter of sets.

THEOREM. *Let T operate on a metric space (X, ρ) .*

(i) *There exists a metric σ topologically equivalent to ρ on X such that T is a Banach contraction under σ with fixed point p if, and only if, T is continuous and both (4) and (5) hold.*

(ii) *There exists a bounded metric σ topologically equivalent to ρ on X such that T is a Banach contraction under σ with fixed point p if, and only if, T is continuous and $T^n X \rightarrow p$.*

(iii) *There exists a bounded metric σ uniformly equivalent to ρ on X such that T is a Banach contraction under σ if, and only if, T is uniformly continuous and*

$$(6) \quad \rho[T^n X] \longrightarrow 0 .$$

LEMMA 1. *For T an operator on (X, ρ) conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent in their corresponding versions:*

(a) *T and its iterates are equicontinuous (equiuniformly continuous).*

(b) *T is nonexpansive under some metric $\bar{\rho}$ topologically (uniformly) equivalent to ρ . $\bar{\rho}$ may be assumed bounded.*

Proof. That (b) implies (a) is trivial. To prove the converse we may assume $\rho \leq 1$ since we can replace ρ by the uniformly equivalent metric $\text{Min}\{\rho, 1\}$. Then define

$$(7) \quad \bar{\rho}(x, y) = \sup_{n \geq 0} \rho(T^n x, T^n y) .$$

$\bar{\rho}$ is readily seen to be a metric with $\rho \leq \bar{\rho} \leq 1$. So ρ is uniformly continuous with respect to $\bar{\rho}$. By (a) and (7) $\bar{\rho}$ is (uniformly) continuous with respect to ρ . Finally, (7) implies T is nonexpansive under $\bar{\rho}$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\langle B_n \rangle$ be a sequence of subsets of (X, ρ) indexed by the set Z of all integers (positive, negative, and zero) so that the conditions (8), (9), and (10) hold:*

$$(8) \quad B_{n+1} \subseteq B_n \quad \text{for all } n \text{ in } Z ,$$

$$(9) \quad U_{n \in Z} B_n^0 = X ,$$

where the superscript denotes interior, and

$$(10) \quad \rho[B_n] \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty .$$

Given $0 < a < 1$ let σ be the largest pseudometric on X such that

$$(11) \quad \sigma \leq a^n \rho \quad \text{on } B_n \text{ for all } n \text{ in } Z .$$

Then

$$(12) \quad \rho \leq a^{-n}\sigma + \rho[B_n] \quad \text{for all } n \text{ in } Z .$$

So ρ is uniformly continuous with respect to σ . Hence σ is a metric. Moreover, σ is topologically equivalent to ρ . If $B_0 = X$ then σ is uniformly equivalent to ρ . Finally, if T is a nonexpansive operator on (X, ρ) such that

$$(13) \quad TB_n \subseteq B_{n+1} \quad \text{for all } n \text{ in } Z$$

then (1) holds.

Proof. Let $S(x, y)$ be the set of all finite sequences

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \langle x_i, n_i \rangle_{i=0,1,\dots,m} \text{ in } X \times Z \text{ such that } x_0 = x, \\ x_m = y, \text{ and both } x_{i-1} \text{ and } x_i \text{ belong to } B_{n_i} \text{ for} \\ i = 1, \dots, m . \end{cases}$$

Then the largest pseudometric σ satisfying (11) is

$$(15) \quad \sigma(x, y) = \inf_{S(x,y)} \sum_{i=1}^m a^{n_i} \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i)$$

as the reader can routinely verify. Given n in Z we contend that for any member (14) of $S(x, y)$

$$(16) \quad a^n \rho(x, y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a^{n_i} \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i) + a^n \rho[B_n] .$$

Case 1. No $x_i \in B_n$. Then $n_i < n$ by (8) and the last condition in (14). Therefore

$$(17) \quad a^n < a^{n_i}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$. By the triangle inequality and (17),

$$a^n \rho(x, y) \leq a^n \sum_{i=1}^m \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a^{n_i} \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i)$$

which gives (16) in Case 1.

Case 2. Some $x_i \in B_n$. Let x_j be the first and x_k the last such x_i . Let $J = [1, j] \cup [k + 1, m]$ in N . Then $\rho(x, y) \leq \sum_{i \in J} \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i) + \rho(x_j, x_k) \leq \sum_{i \in J} \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i) + \rho[B_n]$. Multiplying by a^n and noting that (17) holds for all i in J , we get $a^n \rho(x, y) \leq \sum_{i \in J} a^{n_i} \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i) + a^n \rho[B_n]$ which implies (16) in Case 2.

Now (15) and (16) imply $a^n \rho \leq \sigma + a^n \rho[B_n]$ which gives (12).

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ use (10) to get n such that $\rho[B_n] < \varepsilon/2$. Take $\delta = a^n(\varepsilon/2)$. Then by (12), $\sigma < \delta$ implies $\rho < \varepsilon$. So ρ is uniformly continuous with respect to σ , which implies σ is a metric. (9) implies

σ is continuous with respect to ρ since continuity holds on each B_n by (11). If $B_0 = X$ then $\sigma \leq \rho$ by (11) at $n = 0$, so σ is uniformly continuous with respect to ρ .

Finally, if T is nonexpansive and satisfies (13) consider any member (14) of $S(x, y)$. Then $\langle Tx_i, n_i + 1 \rangle$ belongs to $S(Tx, Ty)$ by (13). Therefore, since T is nonexpansive under ρ , (15) yields $\sigma(Tx, Ty) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a^{n_i+1} \rho(Tx_{i-1}, Tx_i) \leq a \sum_{i=1}^m a^{n_i} \rho(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ which gives (1) by (15).

We can now prove the theorem. The direct implications in (i), (ii), and (iii) follow from the Banach Contraction Theorem and the topological (uniform) invariance of the conclusions. So we need only prove the converses.

To prove (i) let T be continuous and satisfy (4) and (5). We contend first that the T^n are equicontinuous for all n in N . That is, given q in X and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood D of q such that

$$(18) \quad \rho[T^n D] < \varepsilon$$

for all n in N . To get such a D choose m in N large enough to ensure that for B some neighborhood of p satisfying (5)

$$(19) \quad T^m q \in B^0$$

by (4) and

$$(20) \quad \rho[T^k B] < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k > m$$

by (5). Since T , and hence each iterate of T , is continuous there exists a neighborhood D of q such that (18) holds for all $n \leq 2m$. By (19) $T^{-m}B$ is a neighborhood of q since T^m is continuous. So we may assume $D \subseteq T^{-m}B$. For $n > 2m$ take $k = n - m$ to get $k > m$ and $T^n D \subseteq T^k B$. So (20) implies (18) for $n > 2m$. Thus (18) holds for all n in N .

Hence we may assume by Lemma 1 that T is nonexpansive under ρ . Consequently each open ball about p is mapped into itself by T since $p = Tp$ by (4) and the continuity of T . Hence in (5) we may assume B is open and

$$(21) \quad TB \subseteq B.$$

Apply Lemma 2 with

$$(22) \quad B_n = T^n B \quad \text{for all } n \text{ in } Z.$$

Indeed, (21) and (22) imply (8) and (13). (10) follows from (22) and (5). Since B is open and T is continuous, B_n is open for $n < 0$ by

(22). So (9) follows from (4) and (22). Hence Lemma 2 applies and gives (i).

To prove (ii) let T be continuous and $T^n X \rightarrow p$. These conditions are just those in (i) with $B = X$. So we get σ from Lemmas 1 and 2 exactly as in the proof of (i). But now $\sigma \leq \rho$ from (11) at $n = 0$ since $B_0 = X$ under (22). Thus, since we may assume ρ is bounded, σ is bounded.

To prove (iii) let T be uniformly continuous and satisfy (6). We contend first that the T^n are equiuniformly continuous for all n in N . Given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose m by (6) so that

$$(23) \quad \rho[T^m X] < \varepsilon .$$

Since T , and hence each of its iterates, is uniformly continuous we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that every subset D of X with $\rho[D] < \delta$ must satisfy

$$(24) \quad \rho[T^n D] < \varepsilon$$

for $n = 1, \dots, m$. But (24) holds for $n > m$ by (23). So (24) holds for all n in N . Therefore, by the uniform version of Lemma 1 we may assume T is nonexpansive under a bounded ρ .

Let $B_n = T^n X$. Then (8), (9), and (13) are trivial while (10) is just (6). So Lemma 2 applies and gives (iii), which completes the proof of the theorem.

Note that (iii) is the uniform analogue of (ii). However, we have no uniform analogue of (i).

For X a compact metric space each of our results (i), (ii), and (iii) reduces to the theorem of Ludvik Janos [1]: An operator T on a compact metric space (X, ρ) is a Banach contraction under some metric σ topologically equivalent to ρ if, and only if, T is continuous and the $T^n X$ intersect at only one point.

To get this theorem from (i), (ii), or (iii) one can use the following remarks about a continuous T operating on a compact (X, ρ) :

- (a) Continuity of T is equivalent to uniform continuity,
- (b) Every continuous metric σ on X is bounded,
- (c) σ is topologically equivalent to ρ if, and only if, σ is uniformly equivalent to ρ ,
- (d) The intersection I of the compact nested after-images $T^n X$ is nonempty and $\rho[T^n X] \rightarrow \rho[I]$. (See Lemma A in [2].)

REFERENCES

1. Ludvik Janos, *A converse of Banach's contraction theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 287-289.

2. S. Leader and S. L. Hoyle, *Contractive fixed points*, Fund. Math., **87** (1975), 93-108.

Received December 3, 1974.

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08903

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 69, No. 2

June, 1977

Carol Alf and Thomas Alfonso O'Connor, <i>Unimodality of the Lévy spectral function</i>	285
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>Bicontractive projections and reordering of L_p-spaces</i>	291
Andrew J. Berner, <i>Products of compact spaces with bi-k and related spaces</i>	303
Stephen Richard Bernfeld, <i>The extendability and uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations</i>	307
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decompositions for nonclosed planar m-convex sets</i>	317
Robert F. Brown, <i>Cohomology of homomorphisms of Lie algebras and Lie groups</i>	325
Jack Douglas Bryant and Thomas Francis McCabe, <i>A note on Edelstein's iterative test and spaces of continuous functions</i>	333
Victor P. Camillo, <i>Modules whose quotients have finite Goldie dimension</i>	337
David Downing and William A. Kirk, <i>A generalization of Caristi's theorem with applications to nonlinear mapping theory</i>	339
Daniel Reuven Farkas and Robert L. Snider, <i>Noetherian fixed rings</i>	347
Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, <i>Positive definite functions which vanish at infinity</i>	355
Josip Globevnik, <i>The range of analytic extensions</i>	365
André Goldman, <i>Mesures cylindriques, mesures vectorielles et questions de concentration cylindrique</i>	385
Richard Grassl, <i>Multisectioned partitions of integers</i>	415
Haruo Kitahara and Shinsuke Yorozu, <i>A formula for the normal part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the foliated manifold</i>	425
Marvin J. Kohn, <i>Summability R_r for double series</i>	433
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Lie ideals and derivations in rings with involution</i>	449
Solomon Leader, <i>A topological characterization of Banach contractions</i>	461
Daniel Francis Xavier O'Reilly, <i>Cobordism classes of fiber bundles</i>	467
James William Pendergrass, <i>The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group</i>	477
Howard Lewis Penn, <i>Inner-outer factorization of functions whose Fourier series vanish off a semigroup</i>	501
William T. Reid, <i>Some results on the Floquet theory for disconjugate definite Hamiltonian systems</i>	505
Caroll Vernon Riecke, <i>Complementation in the lattice of convergence structures</i>	517
Louis Halle Rowen, <i>Classes of rings torsion-free over their centers</i>	527
Manda Butchi Suryanarayana, <i>A Sobolev space and a Darboux problem</i>	535
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Riesz homomorphisms and positive linear maps</i>	551
William W. Williams, <i>Semigroups with identity on Peano continua</i>	557
Yukinobu Yajima, <i>On spaces which have a closure-preserving cover by finite sets</i>	571