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This paper treats the problem of determining which unoriented cobordism classes have a representative which is the total space of a fiber bundle over a sphere. We are looking for a necessary and sufficient condition for a closed, compact, differentiable manifold to be cobordant to the total space of a fiber bundle over $S^k$.

Our results on bundles over $S^4$ and $S^8$ extend the results of P. E. Conner [3, 4], E. E. Floyd [4], R. O. Burdick [2], W. D. Neumann [6], R. L. W. Brown [1] and R. E. Stong [7].

The following definition will facilitate the discussion.

**DEFINITION 0.1.** If $\alpha$ represents an unoriented cobordism class, we say that $\alpha$ fibers over $S^k$ if $\alpha$ contains a representative which is the total space of a fiber bundle over $S^k$.

It has been shown (see [4] and [1]) that $[M^n]$ fibers over $S^1$ if and only if $\langle w_n, [M^n] \rangle$, which we will abbreviate by $w_n[M^n]$, is zero, and $[M^n]$ fibers over $S^2$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
[w_n[M^n]] &= 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\
[w_{n-2}w_2[M^n]] &= 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}.
\end{align*}
$$

The last condition is also sufficient for the cobordism class of a manifold to fiber over any particular $N^k$, since Stong has shown that if $[M^n]$ fibers over $S^k$, then it fibers over any manifold of dimension less than or equal to $k$ (see [7]).

Our main results are as follows.

**THEOREM I.** There are generators of $\mathcal{R}_k$ which fiber over $S^4$ in all dimensions greater than or equal to 8 except 11 and, of course, those of the form $2^i - 1$.

**COROLLARY I.** We can choose generators of $\mathcal{R}_k = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_i | i \neq 2^i - 1]$ so that an element of either one of the following subalgebras will fiber over $S^4$ if and only if the Stiefel-Whitney numbers associated with $w_n$ and $w_{n-2}w_2$ are both zero. The subalgebras are:

$$
I = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_i | i \neq 5, 6, 11 \text{ or } 2^i - 1]
$$

$$
J = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_i | i \neq 4, 5, 11 \text{ or } 2^i - 1].
$$

**THEOREM II.** No indecomposable 11-dimensional class fibers
over \( S^i \). Also, the cobordism classes of \( x_i x_4 \) and \( x_6^2 \) (where \( x_i = [P_i] \) and \( x_6 = [P_6] \) are classes which fiber over \( S^i \) (see [1]) and \( x_5 \) is the indecomposable 5-dimensional class) do not fiber over \( S^i \). (This result is a composite of Propositions 4.2, 5.1 and 5.2.)

Certain remarks should be made concerning these results. Theorem I leaves several open questions. For example it is not known whether classes like \( x_{11} x_2 \) or \( x_3^2 \) fiber over \( S^i \), although it is conjectured that they do not. Theorem II can be regarded as somewhat surprising, since it is known that Stiefel-Whitney numbers involving high dimensional (>6) Stiefel-Whitney classes for any indecomposable \( x_{11} \) are zero, and just such a condition is sufficient for a cobordism class to fiber over \( S^2 \) or \( S^i \). Although it is not very surprising that \( x_5^2 \) fails to fiber over \( S^i \) (since \( x_5 \) does not fiber over \( S^5 \)), it is rather surprising that \( x_4 x_6 \) fails to fiber over \( S^i \). For R. L. W. Brown has shown that the cobordism class of a product of manifolds which fiber over \( S^i \) must fiber over \( S^i \), and that if \( M \) fibers over \( S^i \), then \([M \times M]\) fibers over \( S^i \) [1]. So it would have been natural to conjecture that if \( M \) and \( N \) both fiber over \( S^i \), then \([M \times N]\) fibers over \( S^i \).

Several other results are worthy of note. In §3 we construct a 9-dimensional manifold which fibers over \( S^i \) and is cobordant to the 9-dimensional Dold generator. In §5 certain results concerning the fibering of 15-dimensional classes are proved using the results of a computer study in that dimension. Finally, generators of unoriented cobordism which fiber over \( S^i \) are given for even dimensions greater than or equal to 16 and odd dimensions greater than or equal to 25.

1. A necessary condition. As is well known, if \( M^n \) is the total space of a fiber bundle over \( S^i \) with fiber, \( F \), then we can write the tangent bundle to \( M^n \) as follows:

\[
\tau M^n = p^* \tau S \bigoplus \tau F^{n-k}
\]

where \( \tau F^{n-k} \) is a bundle over \( M^n \) such that \( i^* \tau F^{n-k} = \tau F^{n-k} \) for each fiber. But \( W(S^n) = 1 \); so by the Whitney product formula,

\[
W(M^n) = W(\tau F^{n-k})
\]

which implies \( w_i(M^n) = 0 \) if \( i > n - k \). From this we can conclude that Stiefel-Whitney numbers associated with monomials divisible by \( w_n, w_{n-1}, \ldots, w_{n-k+1} \) must be zero. R. L. W. Brown [1] has shown that if \( n \) is odd and \( M^n \) fibers over \( S^i \), then \( w_{n-2} \) also vanishes. This result can be generalized for all even dimensional spheres.
PROPOSITION 1.1. If $M^{2n+1}$ is cobordant to $N^{2n+1}$ which fibers over $S^{2k}$, then Stiefel-Whitney numbers associated with monomials divisible by $w_{2n+1-2k}$ must be zero.

The proof is almost identical to the one given by Brown for $S^2$ and will be omitted. As a consequence of 1.1, if $[M^n]$ fibers over $S^{2k}$, then Stiefel-Whitney numbers associated with monomials divisible by

$$
\begin{cases}
  w_n, w_{n-1}, \cdots, w_{n-2k+1} & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\
  w_n, w_{n-1}, \cdots, w_{n-2k} & \text{for } n \text{ odd}
\end{cases}
$$

are zero.

2. Generators for unoriented cobordism which fiber over $S^i$.

In this section we will prove the first of the two main technical results needed for Theorem I. First we recall the manifolds introduced by Conner and Floyd and R. L. W. Brown (see [4] and [1]):

$$
P_i^{m+4} = RP(H_4 \oplus (m - 3)R)
$$

and

$$
P_i(m, n) = RP(H_4 \times \tau P^n \oplus (m - 3)R),
$$

where $H_4$ is the canonical twisted quaternionic line bundle over $QP^n = S^i$, considered as a real vector bundle. Notice that $P_i(m, n)$ has dimension $m + 2n + 4$.

LEMMA 2.1 [1, Propositions 3.2 and 3.4]. If $m$ is even, then $[P_i^{m+4}]$ is indecomposable, and $[P_i(m, n)]$ is indecomposable if $n$ is even and

$$
\binom{m + n + 3}{n} \equiv 1 \mod 2.
$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. There exist generators of $R_x$ which fibers over $S^i$ in even dimensions $\geq 8$ and odd dimensions $\geq 13$.

Proof. First, it is easy to see that even dimensional generators which fiber over $S^i$ are taken care of by:

$$
\{ [P_i^{m+4}] \mid m \in 2Z \text{ and } m \leq 4 \}.
$$

Now suppose $i$ is odd and not of the form $2^j - 1$. Let

$$
i = 2^p(2q + 1) - 1,
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are integers.
where \( p, q > 0 \). The unoriented cobordism class of
\[
P_i(2^p - 5, 2^q q)
\]
is indecomposable for \( p > 2 \), and therefore gives a generator for all odd dimensions except those of the form \( 4q + 1 \) and \( 8q + 3 \).

For dimensions of the form \( 4q + 1 \), we use the manifolds,
\[
P_i(4q - 7, 2) .
\]
These manifolds clearly have the proper dimension and satisfy both conditions of Lemma 2.1. However, it is clear that \( q \) must be greater than 2, for otherwise the definition of \( P_i(4q - 7, 2) \) would be meaningless. In other words, we have “missed” dimensions 5 and 9.

For dimensions of the form \( 8q + 3 \), we use the cobordism classes of the manifolds,
\[
P_i(8q - 9, 4) .
\]
The obvious restriction this time is \( q > 1 \), so that we miss dimension 11. Altogether then, we have indecomposable generators which fiber over \( S^4 \) in all dimensions \( \geq 8 \), which are not of the form \( 2^i - 1 \), except 9 and 11. The next section is devoted to a construction of a 9-dimensional manifold over \( S^4 \) whose cobordism class is indecomposable, thus completing the proof of Theorem I.

**Proof of Corollary I.** Let \( x_4 = [P_i] \) and \( x_6 = [P_2^i] \) and thereafter choose \( x_i \) so that it fibers over \( S^4 \). We will prove the result for \( I \) only, since the proof is similar for \( J \). Now suppose \([M^n] \) is any cobordism class belonging to the subalgebra \( I \), for which \( w_n[M^n] = w_{n-1}w_4[M^n] = 0 \). Suppose furthermore that \( n = 2k \) (for if \( n \) odd, it fibers trivially). Then we can write
\[
[M^n] = [N^n] + rx^n + sx^{k-2}x_4 ,
\]
where \([N^n] \) fibers over \( S^4 \) and \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Applying \( w_n \) to both sides forces \( r = 0 \), and then applying \( w_{n-1}w_2 \) to both sides forces \( s = 0 \).

3. A 9-dimensional generator which fibers over \( S^4 \). Since bundles over \( S^4 \) are classified by \( \pi_3(G) \), where \( G \) is the structure group, we must construct a map from \( S^3 \) into \( \text{Diff}(F^5) \) where \( F^5 \), the fiber, is defined by:
\[
F = R \times CP^5/(r, z) \sim (s, \bar{z}) \text{ if } r = s + 1 ,
\]
(where, if \( z = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \), then \( \bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3) \)). If \( \alpha \in S^3 \), we let
\[
\alpha(t, z) = (t, \theta(t)(\alpha) \cdot z)
\]
define a left action on $F$, where $\theta(t) \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and its action on $z$ is defined as follows. Let $\alpha = A + Bj$ where $A$ and $B$ are complex. Then

$$
\theta_t(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \frac{\pi t}{2} & \sin \frac{\pi t}{2} \\
-\sin \frac{\pi t}{2} & \cos \frac{\pi t}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A & -B \\
B & A
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos \frac{\pi t}{2} & -\sin \frac{\pi t}{2} \\
\sin \frac{\pi t}{2} & \cos \frac{\pi t}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and $\theta_t(\alpha)(z_1, z_2, z_3) = T^{-1}(\theta_t(\alpha)(z_1'), z_2')$, where $T(z_1', z_2', z_3) = (z_1', z_2')$ defines the obvious bijection between $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ with the proper equivalence relation. It is straightforward to check that this action is well-defined. Finally we let $M^9$ be the total space of the bundle so constructed.

It now remains to determine the Stiefel-Whitney numbers of $M^9$. First, we claim that $H^*(M^9) = E(e_1) \otimes P(e_2) \otimes E(e_3) = e_2 e_4$, where $E(e_i)$ denotes an exterior algebra on a $k$-dimensional generator and $P(e_i)$ denotes a polynomial algebra on a $k$-dimensional generator. This follows from the associated Serre Spectral Sequence and the fact that $CP(H_4 \oplus \mathbb{C})$ is a subbundle. For $H^*(CP(\xi))$ is determined by

$$
\Sigma (-1)^{k+1-i} p^*(e_j) t^{k+1-i} = 0
$$

(where $\xi$ is any complex $(k+1)$-plane bundle, $t$ is the characteristic class of the canonical line bundle $\eta \rightarrow CP(\xi)$, and $e_j$ is the $j$th Chern class), and in this case

$$
e_2^2 + p^*(e_2) e_2 = 0.
$$

But $p^*(e_2) = e_4$, since $e_2$ is the generator of $H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z})$. To determine the Wu classes, it suffices to note that $Sq^2(e_4) = 0$ and $Sq^4(e_2) = e_4 e_2^2 = e_4 e_2^2 e_4$, and so $v_4 = e_4$; $Sq^2 e_2^3 = 0$ and $Sq^3 e_2 e_4 = 0$, so $v_3 = 0$; and finally, $Sq e_2 e_2^2 = e_4 e_2^2 e_4$, so $v_2 = e_2$. Since the fiber is oriented, $w_1 = v_1 = 0$. Now, according to Wu's formula, $W = Sq V$, we conclude: $w_1 = 0$, $w_2 = e_2$, $w_3 = e_1 e_2$ and $w_4 = e_4 + e_2^2$. It is clear that the only nonzero Stiefel-Whitney number of $M^9$ is $w_3 w_2 [M^9]$ which implies that $M^9$ belongs to the same cobordism class as the Dold generator, $P(1, 4)$. Thus a nine dimensional generator of $\mathcal{R}_*$ which fibers over $S^4$ has been found.

4. Non-existence of a generator over $S^4$ for dimension 11. In this section we will prove that there are no indecomposable 11-dimensional classes which fiber over $S^4$. The proof rests on the fact that, if $M^{11}$ is the total space of a fiber bundle over $S^4$, then $v_4(M^{11})$
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is the pull-back of the generator of $H^*(S^4)$. First, we need the following well-known lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** If $n = 2^i$, then $w_n$ can be expressed as $v_n + (\text{terms involving products of lower dimensional Stiefel-Whitney classes})$. In particular, it is easy to show,

$$ w_4 = v_4 + w_2w_1 + w_2^2 + w_1^4. $$

**Proposition 4.2.** There does not exist an indecomposable 11-dimensional cobordism class which fibers over $S^4$.

**Proof.** Suppose $F^n \rightarrow M^{11} \rightarrow S^4$ is a fiber bundle and that $[M^{11}]$ is indecomposable. First, we claim $v_4(M) = p^*(x)$, where $x$ is the generator of $H^*(S^4)$. For let $v_4 = r_1 p^*(x) + r_2 y$, where $r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and let $i^*(y) \in H^4(F)$. If $r_2 y \neq 0$, then $v_4(F) = r_2 i^*(y) \neq 0$, which is nonsense since $F$ is only 7-dimensional. Hence, $r_2 y = 0$. (Note that $r_1$ cannot also be zero because $v_4$ is nonzero.)

Now, since $[M^{11}]$ is assumed indecomposable, $s_{11}[M] \neq 0$, but

$$ s_{11}[M] = Sq^4 s_7[M] = v_4 s_7[M] = \langle p^*(x) \cup s_7, [M] \rangle = \langle s_7, p^*(x) \cap [M] \rangle = \langle s_7, i^*[F] \rangle = \langle i^*(s_7), [F] \rangle = s_7[F] = 0, $$

since all 7-dimensional classes are decomposable.

5. Investigations in the 10th and 15th dimension. It is in dimension 10 that some of the most appealing patterns first break down. For $4 \leq n < 10$, it is true that $[M^9]$ fibers over $S^4$ if and only if Stiefel-Whitney numbers involving monomials divisible by $w_n, w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}$ and $w_{n-3}$ are all zero. However, there is a problem when we consider $x_5^2$. It is true that Stiefel-Whitney numbers involving $w_5, w_9, w_8,$ and $w_7$ are all zero, and therefore, applying a theorem of Strong (see [7, 7.2]), one can conclude that $x_5^2$ fibers over $(S^4)^4$. But we also have the following.

**Proposition 5.1.** The cobordism class, $x_5^2$, does not fiber over $S^4$.

**Proof.** Suppose $F^n \rightarrow M^{10} \rightarrow S^4$ is a fiber bundle and that $M$ is cobordant to the square of the 5-dimensional generator. Recall that according to the well-known results of C. T. C. Wall and J. Milnor, Stiefel-Whitney numbers divisible by odd $w_i$ are zero, so $w_3w_iw_i[M] = 0$. But also, $w_3w_iw_iw_i[M] = w_3(v_i + w_3w_i + w_i^2 + w_j^4)w_i[M] = w_3w_iw_iw_i[M] = w_3w_iw_i[F]$, as in the proof to 4.1, so we conclude that $w_3w_iw_i[F] = 0$.

However, $w_3w_iw_iw_i[/math] = $ = 1, and again replacing $w_i$ by $v_i + w_i^2 + w_3w_i + w_i^4$, we obtain
\[ w_6[F] + w_6w_8^3[M] + w_6w_9^1[M] + w_6w_1^1[M] = 1. \]

The last two terms on the left must vanish, and \( w_8w_8^3[M] = w_3w_8^3[x_5] \) is also zero. Hence \( w_6[F] = 1 \). But this is absurd since

\[ w_6[F] = Sq^3v_2[F] = Sq^3 Sq^2v_3[F] = w_4(Sq^2v_3)[F] = w_1w_3[F]. \]

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** The cobordism class, \( x]\times_4 \) (where \( x = [P_3^4] \) and \( x_4 = [P_3^4] \)), does not fiber over \( S^4 \).

**Proof.** Suppose a representative, \( M \), of \( x]\times_4 \) fibers over \( S^4 \) with fiber \( F \). Direct calculation shows that \( w_6w_4[M] = 1 \), from which it follows as before that \( w_6[F] = 1 \). However \( w_5w_4[F] = w_5w_4v_4[M] = w_5w_5w_1^1[M] + w_5w_5^2w_1^1[M] + w_5w_8^3w_1^1[M] + w_5w_8^5[M] = 0 \), which is again a contradiction.

Unfortunately, there is still an unanswered question in dimension 10. It is not known whether \( x_5^3 + x_5x_6 \) fibers, although it is easy using the above techniques, to prove the following interesting result.

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** If \( x_5^3 + x_5x_6 \) admits a representative which fibers over \( S^4 \) with fiber \( F \), then \( F \) is null cobordant.

The question of which cobordism classes fiber over \( S^4 \) becomes especially complicated in the 15th dimension. In particular, it would be nice to know whether \( x_5^3, x_1^1x_5^3 \), or \( x_1^1x_5^4 \) fiber—for any choice of \( x_1^1 \) and \( x_5^4 \). It seems reasonable to conjecture that \( x_5^3 \) and \( x_5^4x_5^3 \) do not fiber over \( S^4 \), but the techniques used earlier are useless here, since \( v_4(F) \) need not be zero.

However, there is at least one method for getting some additional information. We can construct other manifolds which do fiber over \( S^4 \) and then express them in terms of a chosen set of generators. For example, \( P_4(3, 4) = RP(H_4 \times \tau P^4) \) is a 15-dimensional manifold which fibers over \( S^4 \). An IBM 360/67 was used to compute the Stiefel-Whitney numbers for \( P_4(3, 4) \) and basis of \( \mathbb{R}_{15} \). The outcome was as follows:

\[ [P_4(3, 4)] = x_5^3 + x_5x_6^3 + x_5x_6x_6^3 + x_5x_6 + x_5x_6^3 + x_6^3x_6^3 + x_6x_6^3 + x_6x_6^3, \]

where \( x_5^3 = [P_3^4] \), \( x_5 = [P_3^4] \), \( x_6 = [P_3^4] \), \( x_7 = [P_3^4] \), \( x_4 = [RP^4] \). Since \( x_5x_6 + x_5x_6^3 + x_6x_6 + x_6x_6^3 \) fibers over \( S^4 \), it follows that \( x_5^3 + x_5x_6^3 + x_5x_6x_6^3 + x_5x_6^3 + x_6x_6^3 + x_6x_6^3 \) also fibers over \( S^4 \). I see no a priori way of knowing this. An easy computation shows that \( x_5^3 + x_5x_6^3 + x_5x_6^3 + x_6x_6^3 = x_5[P_3^4][P_3^4] \), so we have,

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** The cobordism class \( x_5^3 \) fibers over \( S^4 \) if and
only if \( x_5[P_3^2][P_6^2] \) fibers over \( S^6 \).

6. Some results on bundles over \( S^6 \). It should be apparent that many of the tools we have developed here can also be useful in the study of bundles over \( S^6 \).

**Proposition 6.1.** There exist generators for \( \mathcal{R}_s \) which fiber over \( S^6 \) in even dimensions \( \geq 16 \) and odd dimensions \( \geq 25 \).

**Proof.** For even dimensions \( \geq 16 \), we use the manifolds

\[
\{ P_{m+8} = RP(H_8 + (m - 7)R) \mid m \in 2\mathbb{Z} \}
\]

where \( H_8 \) is the underlying real bundle of the canonical twisted Cayley line bundle over \( KP^0 = S^8 \).

For odd dimensions, consider manifolds of the form

\[
P_s(m, n) = RP(H_8 \times \tau P^n + (m - 7)R)
\]

of dimension \( m + 2n + 8 \). For dimensions \( i = 2^p(2q + 1) - 1 \), where \( p > 3 \), the following classes are indecomposable:

\[
[P_s(2^p - 9, 2^p q)]
\]

Since \( p > 3 \), we must still find generators which fiber for dimensions of the form \( 4q + 1, 8q + 3 \) and \( 16q + 7 \). These cases can be accounted for by using \( P_s(4q - 11, 2), P_s(8q - 13, 4) \) and \( P_s(16q - 17, 8) \), although we still miss dimensions 13, 17, 19 and 23, as in the proof to 2.2.

**Remark 6.2.** Recall that, according to [7, 2.3], the existence of generators which fiber over \( S^6, S^6 \) in \( S^7 \) in the above dimensions is also guaranteed.

**Remark 6.3.** Of course there is no 23-dimensional generator which fibers, because if \( F^{15} \rightarrow M^{23} \rightarrow S^8 \) is a bundle, then

\[
s_{23}[M] = S_9 s_{15}[M] = v_8 s_{15}[M] = \langle s_{15}, p^s(x) \cap [M] \rangle = s_{15}[F] = 0.
\]

Therefore, it is still not known whether there are generators which fiber over \( S^8 \) for dimensions 12, 13, 14, 17 and 19.

7. Conclusion. One way of describing the problem dealt with in this paper is to pose the following question. Is there a correspondence between ideals in \( \mathcal{R}_s \) defined by means of algebraic conditions and those defined geometrically. Eventually, an algebraic condition should be found which neatly characterizes the geometric ideal composed of cobordism classes which fiber over \( S^8 \), but the nonexistence results
of this paper seem to indicate that this algebraic condition will involve something other than Stiefel-Whitney numbers for large values of \( k \).
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