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It is shown that the solutions to the abstract differential equation \( u' = -(A + B)u, u(0) = x \in X \), where \( X \) is a Banach space, \( -A \) is a linear analytic semigroup generator, and \( B \) is Lipschitz continuous from the domain of a fractional power of \( A \) to \( X \), have the exponential representation \( u(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (I + t/n (A + B))^{-n}x \).

1. Introduction. Let \( X \) be a Banach space with norm \( \| \| \). We are concerned with the abstract semi-linear differential equation in \( X \)

\[
(1.1) \quad du(t)/dt = -(A + B)u(t), \quad t > 0, \quad u(0) = x \in X,
\]

where \( -A \) is the generator of an analytic semigroup of linear operators in \( X \) and \( B \) is Lipschitz continuous from the domain of a fractional power of \( A \) to \( X \). The objective of this paper is to obtain the exponential representation of the solutions to (1.1) in the form

\[
(1.2) \quad u(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (I + t/n (A + B))^{-n}x.
\]

Exponential representations of the form (1.2) are very well known for the case that \( A \) and \( B \) satisfy accretive type conditions (see, e.g., [1] and [8]). In the accretive case the nonlinear resolvent \( (I + t/n (A + B))^{-1} \) is Lipschitz continuous with

\[
|(I + t/n (A + B))^{-1}|_{\text{Lip}} \leq (1 - t\gamma/n)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad n \text{ sufficiently large},
\]

where \( \gamma \) is some real constant. In our case the main difficulty in establishing (1.2) is that the nonlinear resolvent satisfies a more general condition of the form

\[
|(I + t/n (A + B))^{-n}|_{\text{Lip}} \leq M(1 - t\gamma/n)^{-n}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad n \text{ sufficiently large},
\]

where \( M \) and \( \gamma \) are real constants and \( M > 1 \).

We make the following assumption on \( A \):

\[
\]
(1.3) there exists $\omega < 0$ such that $A = A_0 - \omega I$, where $A_0$ is a closed densely defined linear operator from $X$ to $X$, the resolvent set of $A_0$ contains the sector $S_{\Phi} = \{ \lambda : \lambda \neq 0, \pi/2 - \Phi < \arg \lambda < 3\pi/2 + \Phi \}$, $\Phi$ some constant in $(0, \pi/2)$, and $| (\lambda I - A_0)^{-1} | \leq M | \lambda |$ for $\lambda \in S_{\Phi}$, where $M$ is a given constant $\geq 1$.

As a consequence of (1.3) we have (see [3]):

(1.4) $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of linear operators $T(t), t \geq 0$ in $X$, $| T(t) | \leq M e^{\omega t}$ for $t \geq 0$, and $| (I + t/nA)^{-n} | \leq M (1 - \omega t/n)^{-n}$ for $t \geq 0$ and $n$ a positive integer;

(1.5) if $0 < \alpha < 1$ then the fractional power $A^{-\alpha}$ is defined as a bounded linear operator in $X$ by $A^{-\alpha} = (1/\Gamma(\alpha)) \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} s^{\alpha-1} ds$, and $D(A^{\alpha})$ is a Banach space with norm $\| x \|_\alpha = \| A^{\alpha} x \|$ for $x \in D(A^{\alpha})$;

(1.6) if $0 < \alpha < 1$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that $| A^{\alpha} T(t) | \leq C e^{\omega t} t^{-\alpha}$ for $t > 0$.

We make the following assumption on $B$:

(1.7) there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $B$ is an everywhere defined operator from $D(A^{\alpha})$ to $X$ and there exists $L > 0$ such that $\| Bx - By \| \leq L \| x - y \|_\alpha$ for $x, y \in D(A^{\alpha})$.

Under the assumptions (1.3) and (1.7) and the assumption that $x \in D(A^{\alpha})$ the equation (1.1) can be integrated to yield the equivalent integral equation

(1.8) $u(t) = T(t) A^{\alpha} x - \int_0^t A^{\alpha} T(t - s) B A^{-\alpha} u(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0$.

(see, e.g., [5], Chapter 3). The equation (1.8) is a singular Volterra integral equation and has been subject of extensive study. The case in which $B : D(A^{\alpha}) \to X$ is locally Lipschitz continuous is treated in [5], the case in which $A^{-1}$ is compact and $B : D(A^{\alpha}) \to X$ is Holder continuous is treated in [3], and the case in which $B$ is accretive and $B : D(A^{\alpha}) \to X$ is locally continuous is treated in [7]. In our treatment of (1.8) the following lemma (which is similar to Gromwall's lemma) will play a fundamental role (see also [3], Theorem 7.1.1):

**Lemma 1.1.** Let $w : [0, t_0] \to [0, \infty)$ be continuous, let $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$,
ω ∈ R, 0 < α < 1, and let

\[(1.9) \quad w(t) \leq ae^{\omega t} + b \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)(t-s)}w(s)ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0.\]

Then, for all real γ such that γ > ω and \(b\Gamma(1 - \alpha)(\gamma - \omega)^{\alpha - 1} < 1\), we have that

\[(1.10) \quad w(t) \leq a(1 - b\Gamma(1 - \alpha)(\gamma - \omega)^{\alpha - 1})e^{\gamma t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0.\]

**Proof.** We will use the gamma function formula

\[(1.11) \quad \Gamma(z) = \beta \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta s} s^{z-1} ds \quad \text{for} \quad z > 0, \quad \beta > 0\]

(see [9], p. 265). Let γ be as above, and let \(S = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} e^{-\gamma t}w(t)\). For \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\) (1.9) implies

\[e^{-\gamma t}w(t) \leq ae^{(\omega - \gamma)t} + b \int_0^t e^{(\omega - \gamma)(t-s)}(t-s)^{-\alpha}e^{-\gamma s}w(s)ds\]

\[\leq a + b\Gamma(1 - \alpha)(\gamma - \omega)^{-1}.\]

Thus, \(S \leq a + b\Gamma(1 - \alpha)(\gamma - \omega)^{-1}\), which implies (1.10).

2. **Existence of solutions.** It is well known that under the assumptions (1.3) and (1.7) there exists a solution to (1.8) for each \(x \in D(A^\alpha)\) (see, e.g., [5], Theorem 3.3.3). We will prove this fact below, however, since our proof will be instructive for the techniques we use to prove (1.2).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let (1.3) and (1.7) hold and let \(x \in D(A^\alpha)\). There exists a unique continuous function \(u: [0, \infty) \rightarrow D(A^\alpha)\) satisfying

\[(2.1) \quad u(t) = T(t)x - \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0.\]

**Proof.** Let γ be real such that

\[(2.2) \quad \gamma > \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(1 - \alpha)(\gamma - \omega)^{-1} < 1\]

and let \(t_0 > 0\). Let \(Y\) be the Banach space of all continuous functions \(u\) from \([0, t_0]\) to \(X\) with norm \(\|u\|_Y = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} e^{-\gamma t} \|u(t)\|\). Define the mapping \(F: Y \rightarrow Y\) by
\[(Fu)(t) = T(t)A^\alpha x - \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t-s)BA^{-\alpha}u(s)\,ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0.\]

We will use (1.11) to show that \(F\) maps \(Y\) into \(Y\) and \(F\) is a contraction on \(Y\).

First, we see that \((Fu)(t)\) is continuous in \(t\) for each \(u \in Y\), since for \(0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_0\)

\[
\|(Fu)(t_1) - (Fu)(t_2)\| \leq \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} A^\alpha T(s)BA^{-\alpha}u(t_2-s)\,ds \right\| + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} A^\alpha T(s)(BA^{-\alpha}u(t_1-s) - BA^{-\alpha}u(t_2-s))\,ds \right\| + \|(T(t_1) - T(t_2))A^\alpha x\|.
\]

Next, we see that \(F\) is a contraction, since for \(u, v \in Y, 0 \leq t \leq t_0\)

\[
e^{-\gamma \tau}\|(Fu)(t) - (Fv)(t)\| \leq CE\int_0^t e^{(\omega-\gamma)(t-s)}(t-s)^{-\alpha}e^{-\gamma s}\|u(s) - v(s)\|\,ds + \|(T(t_1) - T(t_2))A^\alpha x\|.
\]

By the contraction mapping theorem there exists a unique \(u_0 \in Y\) such that \(Fu_0 = u_0\). Define \(u(t) = A^{-\alpha}u_0(t)\) for \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\) and obviously \(u(t)\) satisfies (2.1) uniquely. Furthermore, \(u(t) \in D(A^\alpha)\) for \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\) and \(u(t)\) is continuous from \([0, t_0]\) to \(D(A^\alpha)\) (here we have used the continuity of \(A^{-\alpha}\)). Since \(t_0\) is arbitrarily large, the proof is finished.

**Definition 2.1.** Define the family of operators \(U(t), t \geq 0\) in \(D(A^\alpha)\) by \(U(t)x = u(t)\), where \(u\) is the unique solution of (2.1) for a given \(x \in D(A^\alpha)\). Then, \(U(t), t \geq 0\) is a strongly continuous semigroup of nonlinear operators in \(D(A^\alpha)\). In fact, we have the following:

**Proposition 2.2.** Let (1.3) and (1.7) hold and for each \(t \geq 0\) let \(U(t): D(A^\alpha) \to D(A^\alpha)\) be defined as above. Then, \(U(t), t \geq 0\) satisfies the following:

(2.3) \(U(0) = I\) and \(U(t)X: [0, \infty) \to D(A^\alpha)\) is continuous in \(t\) for each fixed \(x \in D(A^\alpha)\);
(2.4) \( U(t_1 + t_2)x = U(t_1)U(t_2)x \) for \( t_1, t_2 \geq 0, \ x \in D(A^\alpha) \);

(2.5) \[ \| U(t)x - U(t)y \|_\alpha \leq M(1 - C_\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha)(\gamma - \omega)^{\alpha - 1})^{-1} e^{\gamma t} \| x - y \|_\alpha \]
for \( t \geq 0, \ x, y \in D(A^\alpha) \), and \( \gamma \) satisfying (2.2).

**Proof.** (2.3) follows immediately from Proposition 2.1. (2.4) follows from the uniqueness of solutions to (2.1) (see [7], Proposition 3.6). (2.5) follows immediately from Lemma 1.1, since

\[
e^{-\gamma t} \| U(t)x - U(t)y \|_\alpha \leq Me^{\omega t}e^{-\gamma t} \| x - y \|_\alpha + \int_0^t Ce^{\omega(t-s)}(t-s)^{-\alpha}Le^{-\gamma s} \| U(s)x - U(s)y \|_\alpha ds.
\]

**Corollary 2.1.** If \( L < ( - \omega)^{-1/\alpha}/C_\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \), then there exists a unique \( x_0 \in D(A^\alpha) \) such that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \| U(t)x - x_0 \|_\alpha = 0 \) for all \( x \in D(A^\alpha) \).

**Proof.** Choose \( \gamma < 0 \) satisfying (2.2) and by (2.5) we have that \( U(t) \) is a strict contradiction for \( t \) sufficiently large, say \( t \geq t_1 \). Let \( x_0 \) be the unique fixed point of \( U(t) \) for each \( t \geq t_1 \). If \( s, t \geq t_1 \), then \( U(t)x_s = U(t)U(s)x_s = U(t+s)x_s = U(s)U(t)x_s \), which implies \( x_s = U(t)x_s \), and which in turn implies \( x_s = x_0 \). The conclusion follows immediately using (2.5).

**Definition 2.2.** Define the infinitesimal generator of \( U(t), t \geq 0 \) to be the nonlinear operator \( F: D(A^\alpha) \to D(A^\alpha) \) given by

\[
D(F) = \left\{ x \in D(A^\alpha) : \lim_{t \to 0} (U(t)x - x)/t \text{ exists in } D(A^\alpha) \right\}
\]

\[ Fx = \lim_{t \to 0} (U(t)x - x)/t \text{ (where the limit is taken in } D(A^\alpha)). \]

**Proposition 2.3.** \( D(F) = \{ x \in D(A) : (A + B)x \in D(A^\alpha) \} \) and \( Fx = -(A + B)x \) for all \( x \in D(F) \).

**Proof.** First, let \( x \in D(F) \). Then, \( X - \lim_{t \to 0} (U(t)x - x)/t = Fx \), since the \( \alpha \)-norm dominates the \( X \)-norm. Since \( X - \lim_{t \to 0} \int_0^t T(t-s)BA^{-\alpha}A^\alpha U(s)xds/t = Bx \) (for any \( x \in D(A^\alpha) \)) and since \( (T(t)x - x)/t = (U(t)x - x)/t + \int_0^t T(t-s)BU(s)xds/t \), we see that \( x \in D(A) \) and \( X - \lim_{t \to 0} (U(t)x - x)/t = -(A + B)x \). But then \( \alpha - \lim_{t \to 0} (U(t)x - x)/t = -(A + B)x \) as well, and therefore \( (A + B)x \in D(A^\alpha) \) and \( Fx = -(A + B)x \).
Now let \( x \in D(A) \) and let \( (A + B)x \in D(A^\alpha) \). Since 
\[(A^\alpha + A^{-1}A^\alpha B)x = A^{-1}A^\alpha(A + B)x,\]
we have that
\[(2.6) \quad (A^\alpha + A^{-1}A^\alpha B)x \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A(A^\alpha + A^{-1}A^\alpha B)x = A^\alpha(A + B)x.\]

Since \( (T(t) - I)A^{-1}Bx = -\int_0^t T(s)Bx ds \) (see p. 481, [6]), we have that
\[(2.7) \quad (T(t) - I)A^{-1}Bx = A^\alpha(T(t) - I)A^{-1}Bx = -\int_0^t A^\alpha T(s)Bx ds.\]

Next, we show
\[(2.8) \quad \text{there is a constant } C_x \text{ depending only on } x \text{ such that} \]
\[\|U(t)x - x\|_\alpha \leq tC_x \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.\]

To prove (2.8) let \( 0 < t_0 \leq 1 \) and define \( w : [0, t_0] \to [0, \infty) \) by
\[w(t) = \|U(t)x - x\|_\alpha, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0.\]

Using (2.6) and (2.7) we have for \( 0 \leq t \leq t_0 \)
\[w(t) = \|(T(t) - I)(A^\alpha + A^{-1}A^\alpha B)x - (T(t) - I)A^\alpha Bx\]
\[-\int_0^t A^\alpha T(t - s)BU(s)x ds\| \]
\[= \left\| \int_0^t T(s)A(A^\alpha + A^{-1}A^\alpha B)x + \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t - s)[Bx - BU(s)x] ds \right\| \]
\[\leq Mt_0 e^{\omega|t|} \|A^\alpha(A + B)x\| + \int_0^t C e^{\omega(t - s)}(t - s)^{-\alpha} Lw(s) ds.\]

Then, (2.8) follows from Lemma 1.1 with \( a = Mt_0 \|A + Bx\|_\alpha, \quad b = CL, \) and \( \omega = |\omega| \). To complete the proof it remains to show that
\[(2.9) \quad \lim_{t \to 0} \|(U(t)x - x)/t + (A + B)x\|_\alpha = 0.\]

For \( 0 < t \leq 1 \)
\[\left\| \frac{(T(t)x - x) - \int_0^t T(t - s)BU(s)x ds}{t} + (A + B)x \right\|_\alpha \]
\[= \left\| \left(\frac{T(t) - I}{t}\right)(A^\alpha + A^{-1}A^\alpha B)x + A^\alpha(A + B)x + \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t - s)[Bx - BU(s)x] ds \right\|_\alpha.\]

Then, (2.9) follows using (2.6), (2.8), and the estimate
3. **Exponential representation of solutions.** Before proving (1.2) we require the following lemmas:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. There exists a constant $K$ such that if $\beta > 0$, $t > 0$, and $n$ is a positive integer, then

\[
(t/n)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma(k - \alpha) e^{-\beta k/n} / \Gamma(k) < K \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \beta^{-1}.
\]

**Proof.** We will use the fact that there exists a constant $K$ such that

\[
\Gamma(k - \alpha)/\Gamma(k) < Kk^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

which follows immediately from the fact that $\lim_{k \to \alpha} \Gamma(k + 1 - \alpha)/\Gamma(k) k^{-\alpha} = 1$ (see [4], p. 195). Using (3.2) we have that

\[
(t/n)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma(k - \alpha) e^{-\beta k/n} / \Gamma(k) \leq t^{1-\alpha} K \sum_{k=1}^{n} (e^{-\beta k/n} (k/n)^{-\alpha})(1/n).
\]

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (e^{-\beta k/n} (k/n)^{-\alpha})(1/n)$ is a lower approximating sum to the integral $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\beta x} x^{-\alpha} dx$, (3.1) follows immediately using (1.11).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let (1.3) hold and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $x \in X$, $t \geq 0$, and $n$ is a positive integer,

\[
\| (I + t/nA)^{-k} x \|_\alpha \leq (n/t)\alpha C \Gamma(k - \alpha) (1 - \omega t/n)^{\alpha-k} \| x \| / \Gamma(k)
\]

for $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

**Proof.** We will use the formula

\[
(\lambda I + A)^{-k} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} s^{k-1} T(s) x ds / (k-1)!
\]
for \( \lambda > \omega, k = 1, 2, \cdots \) (see [2], p. 623). From (3.4) we obtain

\[
\| (I + t/nA)^{-k} \|_a \\
= \left\| (n/t)^k \int_0^{\infty} e^{-n\omega t} s^{k-1} A^\alpha T(s)x ds / (k-1)! \right\| \\
\leq (n/t)^k \int_0^{\infty} C e^{(\omega - n/t)s} s^{k-1 - \alpha} \| x \| ds / \Gamma(k)
\]

and (3.3) follows immediately using (1.11).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let (1.3) and (1.7) hold. If \( t > 0 \) and \( n \) is a positive integer sufficiently large, then

(3.5) \( (I + t/nF)^{-1} \) exists as an everywhere defined mapping from \( (D(A^\alpha)) \) into \( D(A^\alpha) \);

(3.6) for \( x \in D(A^\alpha) \) and \( j = 1, 2, \cdots, n, (I + t/n) F^{-1} x = (I + t/nA)^{-1} x - (t/n) \sum_{k=1}^{j} (I + t/nA)^{-k} B (I + t/nF)^{-j-k+1} x. \)

**Proof.** Let \( x \in D(A^\alpha) \), let \( t > 0 \), let \( n \) be a positive integer, and define \( G: D(A^\alpha) \) to \( D(A^\alpha) \) by

\[
G(y) = (I + t/nA)^{-1} (x - t/nBy), \quad y \in D(A^\alpha)
\]

(note that \( G \) maps into \( D(A) \subset D(A^\alpha) \), see [3], p. 159). From (3.3) and (1.11) we obtain for \( y_1, y_2 \in D(A^\alpha) \)

\[
\| G(y_1) - G(y_2) \|_a \leq C \Gamma(1 - \alpha) (1 - \omega t/n)^{-i - (t/n)^{1 - \alpha}} L \| y_1 - y_2 \|_a.
\]

For \( n \) sufficiently large \( G \) is a contraction from \( D(A^\alpha) \) to \( D(A^\alpha) \) and has a unique fixed point \( y \) which satisfies \( (I + t/n(A + B)) y = x \). (3.5) follows immediately and a simple induction argument proves (3.6).

**Remark 3.1.** By virtue of (3.3), (3.6), and Corollary 2, p. 241 of [9] we have that \( D(F) \) is dense in \( D(A^\alpha) \), since

\[
\|(I + t/nF)^{-1} x - x \|_a \leq \|(I + t/nA)^{-1} A^\alpha x - A^\alpha x \|
+ (t/n) \left( \| A^\alpha (I + t/nA)^{-1} B (I + t/nF)^{-j-k+1} x - Bx) \right)
+ \| A^\alpha (I + t/nA)^{-1} Bx \|.
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** Let (1.3) and (1.7) hold, let \( x \in D(A^\alpha) \), \( t_0 > 0 \), and \( \epsilon > 0 \). There exists a positive integer \( N \) such that if \( n \geq N, 1 \leq j \leq n, \) and \( 0 \leq t _j \leq t_0 \), then
\[
\left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} (I + t/nA)^{-k}BU(t(j - k + 1)/n)x} \right\|_a - \int_0^{n/t} T(s)BU((tj/n) - s)xds \right\|_a < \epsilon.
\]

**Proof.** Let \{\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\} be a finite set in \(D(A^\alpha)\) such that if \(0 \leq s \leq t_0\), then there exists some integer \(i \in [1, m]\) such that \(\|BU(s)x - y_i\| < \epsilon\) (here we use the fact that \(D(A^\alpha)\) is dense in \(X\) and \(BA^{-\alpha}A^\alpha U(s)x\) is continuous in \(s\) from \([0, t_0]\) to \(X\) and hence has compact range). Choose \(N\) such that if \(n \geq N\), then both of the following conditions hold:

\[
(3.8) \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} ((I + t/nA)^{-k} - T(tk/n))y_i \right\|_a < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, t_0], \ j = 1, \cdots, n, \text{ and } i = 1, \cdots, m \ (\text{here we use the fact that for } z \in X, \\
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|(I + s/nA)^{-n}z - T(s)z\| = 0 \text{ uniformly for } 0 \leq s \leq t_0, \text{ see [6], p. 481});
\]

\[
(3.9) \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} T(tk/n)z_{k,j,n} - \int_0^{n/t} T(s)BU((tj/n) - s)xds \right\|_a < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, t_0] \text{ and } j = 1, \cdots, n, \text{ where } z_{k,j,n} = BU(t(j - k + 1)/n)x.
\]

Using Lemma 3.1 we can find a constant \(K_1\) such that for all \(n = 1, 2, \cdots, \) and \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\)

\[
(t/n)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} CT(k - \alpha)(1 - \omega t/n)^{\alpha - k}/\Gamma(k) \leq K_1.
\]

Also, there exists a constant \(K_2\) such that for all \(n = 1, 2, \cdots, \) and \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\)

\[
(t/n)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} Ce^{\omega k/n}(tk/n)^{-\alpha} < K_2.
\]

Now let \(n \geq N\), let \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\), let \(1 \leq j \leq n\), and for each \(k = 1, \cdots, j\) choose an integer \(i(k) \in [1, m]\) such that \(\|z_{k,j,n} - y_{i(k)}\| < \epsilon\). Then, using Lemma 3.2 and (3.8) we have

\[
(3.10) \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} ((I + t/nA)^{-k} - T(tk/n))z_{k,j,n} \right\|_a \leq (t/n)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (CT(k - \alpha)(1 - \omega t/n)^{\alpha - k}/\Gamma(k))\|z_{k,j,n} - y_{i(k)}\| \right\|_a + \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} ((I + t/nA)^{-k} - T(tk/n))y_{i(k)} \right\|_a \right\|_a + (t/n)\sum_{k=1}^{n} Ce^{\omega k/n}(tk/n)^{-\alpha}\|y_{i(k)} - z_{k,j,n}\| < K_1\epsilon + \epsilon + K_2\epsilon.
\]
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\[\text{In)} \sum (I + t/nA)^{-k}BU(t(j - k + l)/n)x \]

\[\text{Proof.}\] Let \{\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\} be a finite set in \(D(A^\alpha)\) such that if \(0 \leq s \leq t_0\), then there exists some integer \(i \in [1, m]\) such that \(\|BU(s)x - y_i\| < \epsilon\) (here we use the fact that \(D(A^\alpha)\) is dense in \(X\) and \(BA^{-\alpha}A^\alpha U(s)x\) is continuous in \(s\) from \([0, t_0]\) to \(X\) and hence has compact range). Choose \(N\) such that if \(n \geq N\), then both of the following conditions hold:

\[
(3.8) \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} ((I + t/nA)^{-k} - T(tk/n))y_i \right\|_a < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, t_0], \ j = 1, \cdots, n, \text{ and } i = 1, \cdots, m \ (\text{here we use the fact that for } z \in X, \\
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|(I + s/nA)^{-n}z - T(s)z\| = 0 \text{ uniformly for } 0 \leq s \leq t_0, \text{ see [6], p. 481});
\]

\[
(3.9) \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} T(tk/n)z_{k,j,n} - \int_0^{n/t} T(s)BU((tj/n) - s)xds \right\|_a < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, t_0] \text{ and } j = 1, \cdots, n, \text{ where } z_{k,j,n} = BU(t(j - k + 1)/n)x.
\]

Using Lemma 3.1 we can find a constant \(K_1\) such that for all \(n = 1, 2, \cdots, \) and \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\)

\[
(t/n)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} CT(k - \alpha)(1 - \omega t/n)^{\alpha - k}/\Gamma(k) \leq K_1.
\]

Also, there exists a constant \(K_2\) such that for all \(n = 1, 2, \cdots, \) and \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\)

\[
(t/n)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} Ce^{\omega k/n}(tk/n)^{-\alpha} < K_2.
\]

Now let \(n \geq N\), let \(0 \leq t \leq t_0\), let \(1 \leq j \leq n\), and for each \(k = 1, \cdots, j\) choose an integer \(i(k) \in [1, m]\) such that \(\|z_{k,j,n} - y_{i(k)}\| < \epsilon\). Then, using Lemma 3.2 and (3.8) we have

\[
(3.10) \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} ((I + t/nA)^{-k} - T(tk/n))z_{k,j,n} \right\|_a \leq (t/n)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (CT(k - \alpha)(1 - \omega t/n)^{\alpha - k}/\Gamma(k))\|z_{k,j,n} - y_{i(k)}\| \right\|_a + \left\| \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} ((I + t/nA)^{-k} - T(tk/n))y_{i(k)} \right\|_a \right\|_a + (t/n)\sum_{k=1}^{n} Ce^{\omega k/n}(tk/n)^{-\alpha}\|y_{i(k)} - z_{k,j,n}\| < K_1\epsilon + \epsilon + K_2\epsilon.
\]
Then, (3.7) follows immediately from (3.9) and (3.10).

**Theorem.** Let (1.3) and (1.7) hold and let \( x \in D(A^a) \). Then, uniformly in bounded intervals of \( t \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| (I + t/nF)^{-n}x - U(t)x \|_a = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( t_0 > 0 \). Let \( K \) be a constant as in Lemma 3.1 and observe that

\[
(1 - \omega t/n)^{a-k} \leq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0.
\]

Let \( \beta > 0 \) such that \( C L K T (1 - \alpha) \beta^{a-1} < 1/4 \). Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) and choose \( N \) such that if \( n \geq N \) then (3.7) holds, as well as the following:

\[
\| (I + t/nA)^{-i}x - T(tj/n)x \|_a < \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0;
\]

\[
e^{\beta t/n} < 2 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0.
\]

Now fix \( n \geq N, \quad 0 < t \leq t_0 \), and define

\[
w_j = \| (I + t/nF)^{-j}x - U(tj/n)x \|_a, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad S_n(t) = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} e^{-\beta j/n} w_j.
\]

Using (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 we have for \( j = 1, \ldots, n \)

\[
w_j = \| (I + t/nA)^{-j}x + (t/n) \sum_{k=1}^{j} (I + t/nA)^{-k}B(I + t/nF)^{-j-k+1}x
\]

\[- T(tj/n)x - \int_0^{tj/n} T(s)BU(tj/n-s)xds \|_a
\]

\[\leq \| (I + t/nA)^{-j}x - T(tj/n)x \|_a
\]

\[+ \| (t/n) \sum_{k=1}^{j} (I + t/nA)^{-k}B(I + t/nF)^{-j-k+1}x
\]

\[- BU(t(j-k+1)/n)x \|_a
\]

\[+ \| (t/n) \sum_{k=1}^{j} (I + t/nA)^{-k}BU(t(j-k+1)/n)x
\]

\[- \int_0^{tj/n} T(s)BU((tj/n)-s)xds \|_a
\]

\[< \epsilon + (t/n)^{1-a} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (C \Gamma(k - \alpha)/\Gamma(k)) Lw_{j-k+1} + \epsilon.
\]

From (3.15) we obtain for \( j = 1, \ldots, n \)
\[
e^{-t\beta/n}w_j
< 2\epsilon + \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (CL \Gamma(k - \alpha)e^{-t\beta k/n/\Gamma(k)})(e^{t\beta/n})(e^{t\beta(j-k+1)/n}w_{j-k+1})
\]
(3.16)
\[
< 2\epsilon + 2CLK\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta^{\alpha-1}S_n(t)
\]
\[
< 2\epsilon + S_n(t)/2.
\]

Then, (3.16) implies \(S_n(t)<2\epsilon+S_n(t)/2\) or, equivalently, \(S_n(t)<4\epsilon\). (3.11) follows immediately and the proof is complete.

In conclusion we remark that our methods can be used to treat the existence and exponential representation of local solutions to (1.1) in the case that \(B\) satisfies a local Lipschitz continuity condition from \(D(A^\alpha)\) to \(X\). Also, our methods can be used in the numerical study of (1.1), a program which we will carry out elsewhere.
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