

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**PLANE PARTITIONS. II. THE EQUIVALENCE OF THE  
BENDER-KNUTH AND MACMAHON CONJECTURES**

GEORGE E. ANDREWS

# PLANE PARTITIONS (II): THE EQUIVALENCE OF THE BENDER-KNUTH AND MACMAHON CONJECTURES

GEORGE E. ANDREWS

A plane partition of the integer  $n$  is a representation of  $n$  in the form  $n = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} n_{ij}$  where the integers  $n_{ij}$  are non-negative and  $n_{ij} \geq n_{i,j+1}$ ,  $n_{ij} \geq n_{i+1,j}$ . In 1898, MacMahon conjectured that the generating function for the number of symmetric plane partitions (i.e.,  $n_{ij} = n_{ji}$ ) with each part at most  $m$  (i.e.,  $n_{11} \leq m$ ) and at most  $s$  rows (i.e.,  $n_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > s$ ) has a simple closed form. In 1972, Bender and Knuth conjectured that a simple closed form also exists for the generating function for plane partitions having at most  $s$  rows,  $n_{11} \leq m$  and strict decrease along rows (i.e.,  $n_{ij} > n_{i,j+1}$  whenever  $n_{ij} > 0$ ). The main theorem of this paper establishes that each conjecture follows immediately from the other.

In the first paper of this series, MacMahon's conjecture was proved. Hence a corollary of the main theorem here is the truth of the Bender-Knuth conjecture; the Bender-Knuth conjecture has also been proved in a different manner by Basil Gordon.

1. Introduction. In the 1898, P. A. MacMahon [8] conjectured that the generating function  $\mu(m, s; q)$  of  $M(m, s; n)$  the number of plane partitions  $\sum_{i,j \geq 1} n_{ij}$  of  $n$  ( $n_{ij} \geq n_{i,j+1}$ ;  $n_{i,j} \geq n_{i+1,j}$ ) such that  $n_{ij} = n_{ji}$ ,  $n_{ij} = 0$  if  $i > s$ , and  $n_{11} \leq m$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(m, s; q) &\equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} M(m, s; n)q^n \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{(1 - q^{m+2i-1})}{(1 - q^{2i-1})} \prod_{h=i+1}^s \frac{(1 - q^{2(m+i+h-1)})}{(1 - q^{2(i+h-1)})} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first paper in this series [2] was devoted to the proof of (1.1).

In 1972, E. Bender and D. Knuth [3] conjectured that the generating function  $\beta(m, s; q)$  of  $B(m, s; n)$  the number of row-strict plane partitions  $\sum_{i,j \geq 1} n_{ij}$  of  $n$  ( $n_{ij} > n_{i,j+1}$  if  $n_{ij} > 0$ , i.e., strict decrease along rows;  $n_{ij} \geq n_{i+1,j}$ ) such that,  $n_{ij} = 0$  if  $i > s$  and  $n_{11} \leq m$ , satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \beta(m, s; q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} B(m, s; n)q^n = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=i}^m \frac{(1 - q^{s+i+j-1})}{(1 - q^{i+j-1})}.$$

Our object in this paper is to prove the following theorem and its corollary:

THEOREM 1.

$$(1.3) \quad \mu(s, m; q) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(1 + q^{s+2i-1})}{(1 + q^{2i-1})} = \beta(m, x; q^2) .$$

This is our asserted “equivalence” of the two conjectures. Since the MacMahon conjecture is true [2], we see immediately the following:

COROLLARY 1 (Gordon’s theorem). *The Bender-Knuth conjecture is true, i.e., equation (1.2) is valid.*

In [10; p. 265], R. Stanley mentions that B. Gordon possesses (unpublished) a proof of the Bender-Knuth conjecture; however, the implication from Stanley’s comments is that Gordon’s methods differ substantially from ours. The limiting case  $m \rightarrow \infty$  was done for  $s = 2$  by Gordon [4], and for general  $s$  by Gordon and Houten [6], [7]. Professor Gordon informs me that his proof of the Bender-Knuth conjecture will be published shortly.

In §2, we shall fill in some of the details needed to get a determinant representation for  $\beta(m, s; q)$ . Bender and Knuth [3; p. 50] state this determinant representation without supplying the intermediate steps. In §3, we present several simple recurrences for Gaussian polynomials which suffice for the proof of Theorem 1. In §4, we prove the equivalence theorem (Theorem 1) and Gordon’s theorem (Corollary 1).

2. The determinant representation. We consider the Gaussian polynomials

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_r = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 - q^{rn})(1 - q^{r(n-1)}) \cdots (1 - q^{r(n-m+1)})}{(1 - q^{rm})(1 - q^{r(m-1)}) \cdots (1 - q^r)} & 0 < m < n , \\ 1 & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ or } n \\ 0 & \text{if } m < 0 \text{ or } m > n . \end{cases}$$

Now

$$(2.2) \quad q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1$$

is the generating function for partitions with exactly  $k$  distinct parts each  $\leq n$ , [9; p. 10], and therefore

$$(2.3) \quad q^{-k} \cdot q^{k(k+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_2 = q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_2$$

is the generating function for partitions with distinct odd parts each  $\leq 2n - 1$ .

Now we make B. Gordon's observation [5; p. 158] that Sylvester's mapping of self-conjugate partitions into partitions with distinct odd parts may be directly extended to plane partitions to show that  $M(j, m; n)$  is also the number of plane partitions of  $n$  with strict decrease along rows where each part is odd and at most  $2m - 1$  and there are at most  $j$  rows.

The assertion by Bender and Knuth [3; p. 50] that

$$(2.4) \quad \mu(2n, m; q) = \det (C_{i-j} + C_{i+j-1})_{n \times n},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(2n + 1, m; q) \\ = (1 + q)(1 + q^3) \cdots (1 + q^{2m-1}) \det (C_{i-j} - C_{i+j})_{n \times n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_k = q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2m \\ m + k \end{bmatrix}_2$$

is now immediate from the above remarks, Lemma 3 of [3; p. 49] and the corollary of Theorem 4 [3; p. 49] once we remark that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_2 q^{(k+v)^2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k + v \end{bmatrix}_2 = q^{v^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2m \\ m + v \end{bmatrix}_2,$$

an identity equivalent to the  $q$ -analog of the Chu-Vandermonde summation [1; p. 469, Th. 4.2].

In exactly the same way, we find

$$(2.7) \quad \beta(m, 2n; q) = \det (C'_{i-j} + C'_{i+j-1})_{n \times n}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta(m, 2n + 1; q) \\ = (1 + q)(1 + q^3) \cdots (1 + q^m) \det (C'_{i-j} - C'_{i+j})_{n \times n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad C'_v = \sum_{k \geq 0} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 q^{(k+v)(k+v+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k + v \end{bmatrix}_1.$$

This is not a summation that has a known closed form; however, the determinants in (2.7) and (2.8) may be simplified as follows:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} C'_v + C'_{v-1} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 q^{(k+v)(k+v-1)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( q^{k+v} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k + v \end{bmatrix}_1 + \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k + v - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 q^{(k+v)(k+v-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m + 1 \\ k + v \end{bmatrix}_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= q^{v(v-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 2m + 1 \\ m + v \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
 &\equiv \gamma_v(q) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore for  $v > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 C'_{1-v} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 q^{(k+v)(k-v+2)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k + 1 - v \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
 &= \sum_{k \geq v-1} q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 q^{(k-v+1)(k-v+2)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k + 1 - v \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
 &\quad \times \left( \text{since } \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ for } r < 0 \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k > 0} q^{(k+v-1)(k+v)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k + v - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1 q^{k(k+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
 &= C'_{v-1} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the first row of the determinant in (2.7) is

$$(2.11) \quad C'_{1-j} + C'_j = C'_{j-1} + C'_j = \gamma_j(q) ,$$

and in (2.8) it is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad C'_{1-j} - C'_{j+1} &= C'_{j-1} - C'_{j+1} = C'_{j-1} + C'_j - C'_j - C'_{j+1} \\
 &= \gamma_j(q) - \gamma_{j+1}(q) \\
 &= \gamma_{1-j}(q) - \gamma_{j+1}(q) \quad (\text{by (2.10)}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally by adding the  $i$ th row of each determinant to the  $(i + 1)$ st row successively as  $i$  runs from  $n - 1$  down to 1, we find that  $i$ th row in (2.7) becomes (for  $i > 1$ )

$$(2.13) \quad C'_{k-j-1} + C'_{i+j-2} + C'_{1-j} + C'_{i+j-1} = \gamma_{i-j}(q) + \gamma_{i+j-1}(q) ,$$

while the  $i$ th row in (2.8) becomes (for  $i > 1$ )

$$(2.14) \quad C'_{i-j-1} - C'_{i+j-1} + C'_{i-j} - C'_{i+j} = \gamma_{i-j}(q) - \gamma_{i+j-1}(q) .$$

Hence by (2.11) and (2.13) we see that

$$(2.15) \quad \beta(m, 2n; q) = \det ((1 - \delta_{i1})\gamma_{i-j}(q) + \gamma_{i+j-1}(q))_{n \times n} ,$$

where  $\delta_{i1} = 1$  if  $i = 1$  and equals 0 if  $i > 1$ , and by (2.12) and (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad \beta(m, 2n + 1; q) &= (1 + q)(1 + q^2) \cdots (1 + q^m) \det (\gamma_{i-j}(q) \\
 &\quad - \gamma_{i+j}(q))_{n \times n} .
 \end{aligned}$$

These last two equations are the forms given this generating function by Bender and Knuth [3; p. 50].

3. Recurrence lemmas. Here we require four quite elementary lemmas. Each result involves the  $C_k$  (defined just after equation (2.5)) and the  $\gamma_k(q^2)$  (defined in (2.10)). To simplify matters we shall utilize the standard notation  $(q^2, q^2)_n = (1 - q^2)(1 - q^4) \cdots (1 - q^{2n})$ .

LEMMA 1.

$$C_{1-j} + C_j = \frac{(1 + q^{2j-1})q^{-j+1}}{(1 + q^{2m+1})} \gamma_j(q^2).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1-j} + C_j &= q^{(1-j)^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m + 1 - j \end{matrix} \right]_2 + q^{j^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m + j \end{matrix} \right]_2 \\ &= \frac{q^{(j-1)^2} (q^2, q^2)_{2m}}{(q^2; q^2)_{m+j} (q^2; q^2)_{m+1-j}} \{ (1 - q^{2m+2j}) + q^{2j-1} (1 - q^{2m+2-2j}) \} \\ &= \frac{q^{(j-1)^2} (q^2; q^2)_{2m} (1 + q^{2j-1}) (1 + q^{2m+1})}{(q^2; q^2)_{m+j} (q^2; q^2)_{m+1-j}} \\ &= \frac{(1 + q^{2j-1}) q^{-j+1}}{(1 + q^{2m+1})} q^{j^2-j} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m + 1 \\ m + j \end{matrix} \right]_2 \\ &= \frac{(1 + q^{2j-1}) q^{-j+1}}{(1 + q^{2m+1})} \gamma_j(q^2). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.

$$C_{1-j} - C_{1+j} = \frac{(1 + q^{2j}) q^{-j+1}}{(1 + q^{2m+2})} (\gamma_{1-j}(q^2) - \gamma_{1+j}(q^2)).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1-j} - C_{1+j} &= q^{(1-j)^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m + 1 - j \end{matrix} \right]_2 - q^{(1+j)^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m + 1 + j \end{matrix} \right]_2 \\ &= \frac{q^{(j-1)^2} (q^2; q^2)_{2m} ((1 - q^{2m+2+2j})(1 - q^{2m+2j}) - q^{4j} (1 - q^{2m+2-2j})(1 - q^{2m-2j}))}{(q^2; q^2)_{m+1+j} (q^2; q^2)_{m+1-j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{(j-1)^2} (q^2; q^2)_{2m} (1 - q^{4j}) (1 - q^{4m+2})}{(q^2; q^2)_{m+1+j} (q^2; q^2)_{m+1-j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{-j+1} (1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2})} \cdot \frac{q^{j^2-j} (q^2; q^2)_{2m+1} (1 - q^{2j}) (1 + q^{2m+2})}{(q^2; q^2)_{m+1+j} (q^2; q^2)_{m+1-j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{-j+1} (1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2})} \cdot \frac{q^{j^2-j} (q^2; q^2)_{2m+1} ((1 - q^{2m+2j+2}) - q^{2j} (1 - q^{2m+2-2j}))}{(q^2; q^2)_{m+1+j} (q^2; q^2)_{m+1-j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{-j+1} (1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2})} \left( q^{j^2-j} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m + 1 \\ m + 1 - j \end{matrix} \right]_2 - q^{j^2+j} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m + 1 \\ m + j + 1 \end{matrix} \right]_2 \right) \\ &= \frac{q^{-j+1} (1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2})} (\gamma_{1-j}(q^2) - \gamma_{1+j}(q^2)). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. *Let*

$$(3.1) \quad X_i = \frac{q^{2i-2}(1 + q^{2m-2i+3})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})}.$$

Then

$$(3.2) \quad X_i \cdot C_{i-j-1} + C_{i-j} = \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} \gamma_{i-j}(q^2).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} \gamma_{i-j}(q^2) - C_{i-j} \\ &= \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j-1})q^{(i-j)(i-j-1)} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m+1 \\ m+i-j \end{matrix} \right]_1 - q^{(i-j)^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m+i-j \end{matrix} \right]_2}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} \\ &= \frac{q^{(i-j)^2}(q^2; q^2)_{2m}((1 + q^{2j-1})(1 - q^{4m+2}) - (1 + q^{2m+2i-1})(1 - q^{2m+2-2i+2j}))}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})(q^2; q^2)_{m+i-j}(q^2; q^2)_{m+1-i+j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{(i-j)^2+2j-1}(q^2; q^2)_{2m}(1 + q^{2m-2i+3})(1 - q^{2m+2i-2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})(q^2; q^2)_{m+i-j}(q^2; q^2)_{m+1-i+j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{2i-2}(1 + q^{2m-2i+3})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} \cdot q^{(i-j-1)^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m+i-j-1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= X_i \cdot C_{i-j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. *Let*

$$(3.3) \quad Y_i = \frac{q^{2i-1}(1 + q^{2m-2i+2})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})}.$$

Then

$$(3.4) \quad Y_i \cdot C_{i-j-1} + C_{i-j} = \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})} \gamma_{i-j}(q^2).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j})}{1 + q^{2m+2i}} \gamma_{i-j}(q^2) - C_{i-j} \\ &= \frac{q^{(i-j)^2}(q^2; q^2)_{2m}((1 + q^{2j})(1 - q^{4m+2}) - (1 + q^{2m+2i})(1 - q^{2m+2-2i+2j}))}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})(q^2; q^2)_{m+i-j}(q^2; q^2)_{m-i+1+j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{(i-j)^2+2j}(q^2; q^2)_{2m}(1 - q^{2m+2i-2j})(1 + q^{2m-2i+2})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})(q^2; q^2)_{m+i-j}(q^2; q^2)_{m-i+1+j}} \\ &= \frac{q^{2i-1}(1 + q^{2m-2i+2})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})} \cdot q^{(i-j-1)^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m+i-j-1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= Y_i \cdot C_{i-j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of main results. We restate Theorem 1 for convenience:

THEOREM 1.

$$\mu(s, m; q) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(1 + q^{s+2i-1})}{(1 + q^{2i-1})} = \beta(m, s; q^2).$$

*Proof.* If  $s = 1$ , then the assertion is trivial since clearly  $\mu(1, m; q) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + q^{2i-1})$ , and  $\beta(m, 1; q) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + q^{2i})$ .

Next let  $s = 2n \geq 2$ . Then

$$\mu(2n, m; q) = \det (C_{i-j} + C_{i+j-1})_{n \times n} \quad (\text{by (2.4)}).$$

The first row of this determinant is

$$\frac{(1 + q^{2j-1})q^{1-j}}{(1 + q^{2m+1})} \gamma_j(q^2), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}),$$

and if we multiply the  $(i - 1)$ st row by  $X_i$  and add it to the  $i$ th row (letting  $i$  run from  $n$  down to  $(2)$  we find that by Lemma 3, the resulting  $i$ th row is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} \gamma_{i-j}(q^2) + \frac{q^{i+j-1}(1 + q^{-2j+1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} \gamma_{i+j-1}(q^2) \\ &= \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} (\gamma_{i-j}(q^2) + \gamma_{i+j-1}(q^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(2n, m; q) &= \det \left( \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i-1})} ((1 - \delta_{ij}) \gamma_{i-j}(q^2) + \gamma_{i+j-1}(q^2)) \right)_{n \times n} \\ &= \left\{ \prod_{h=1}^n \frac{(1 + q^{2h-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2h-1})} \right\} \det ((1 - \delta_{ij}) \gamma_{i-j}(q^2) + \gamma_{i+j-1}(q^2))_{n \times n} \\ &= \beta(m, 2n; q^2) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 + q^{2j-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2j-1})}, \\ &= \beta(m, 2n; q^2) \frac{\left( \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + q^{2j-1}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + q^{2j-1}) \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+n} (1 + q^{2j-1})} \\ &= \beta(m, 2n; q^2) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{(1 + q^{2j-1})}{(1 + q^{2n+2j-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result for  $s = 2n$ .

Finally we let  $s = 2n + 1 \geq 3$ . Then

$$\mu(2n + 1, m; q) = \left( \prod_{h=1}^m (1 + q^{2h-1}) \right) \det (C_{i-j} - C_{i+j})_{n \times n} \quad (\text{by (2.5)}).$$



The first row of this determinant is

$$\frac{(1 + q^{2j})q^{1-j}}{(1 + q^{2m+2})}(\gamma_{1-j}(q^2) - \gamma_{1+j}(q^2)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ (by Lemma 2),}$$

and if we multiply the  $(i - 1)$ st row by  $Y_i$  and add it to the  $i$ th row (letting  $i$  run from  $n$  down to  $(2)$  we find that by Lemma 4, the resulting  $i$ th row is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})}\gamma_{i-j}(q^2) - \frac{q^{i+j}(1 + q^{-2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})}\gamma_{i+j}(q^2) \\ &= \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})}(\gamma_{i-j}(q^2) - \gamma_{i+j}(q^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(2n + 1, m, q) &= \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m (1 + q^{2k-1}) \right\} \det \left( \frac{q^{i-j}(1 + q^{2j})}{(1 + q^{2m+2i})}(\gamma_{i-j}(q^2) - \gamma_{i+j}(q^2)) \right)_{n \times n} \\ &= \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m (1 + q^{2k-1}) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{h=1}^n \frac{(1 + q^{2h})}{(1 + q^{2m+2h})} \right\} \det (\gamma_{i-j}(q^2) - \gamma_{i+j}(q^2))_{n \times n} \\ &= \beta(m, 2n + 1, q^2) \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{(1 + q^{2k-1})}{(1 + q^{2k})} \right\} \frac{\left( \prod_{h=1}^n (1 + q^{2h}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + q^{2j}) \right)}{\prod_{h=1}^{m+n} (1 + q^{2h})} \\ &= \beta(m, 2n + 1; q^2) \prod_{h=1}^m \frac{(1 + q^{2h-1})}{(1 + q^{2m+2h})}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result for odd  $s = 2n + 1$ .

**COROLLARY 1** (Gordon's theorem). *The Bender-Knuth conjecture is true, i.e., equation (1.2) is valid.*

*Proof.* Equation (1.1) was proved in [2]. Hence by Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(m, s; q^2) &= \mu(s, m; q) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(1 + q^{s+2i-1})}{(1 + q^{2i-1})} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \left\{ \frac{(1 - q^{2(s+2i-1)})}{(1 - q^{2(2i-1)})} \prod_{h=i+1}^m \frac{(1 - q^{2(s+i+h-1)})}{(1 - q^{2(i+h-1)})} \right\} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{h=i}^m \frac{(1 - q^{2(s+i+h-1)})}{(1 - q^{2(i+h-1)})}, \end{aligned}$$

which is just (1.2) with  $q^2$  replacing  $q$ .

We should point out that if one starts with Gordon's forthcoming proof of the Bender-Knuth conjecture then obviously Theorem 1 implies the MacMahon conjecture.

## REFERENCES

1. G. E. Andrews, *Applications of basic hypergeometric functions*, SIAM Review, **16** (1974), 441-484.
2. ———, *Plane partitions (I): the MacMahon conjecture*, Advances in Math. (to appear).
3. E. A. Bender and D. E. Knuth, *Enumeration of plane partitions*, J. Combinatorial Theory, **13** (1972), 40-54.
4. B. Gordon, *Two new representations of the partition function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 869-873.
5. ———, *Notes on plane partitions V*, J. Combinatorial Theory, B-11 (1971), 157-168.
6. B. Gordon and L. Houten, *Notes on plane partitions I*, J. Combinatorial Theory, **4** (1968), 72-80.
7. ———, *Notes on plane partitions II*, J. Combinatorial Theory, **4** (1968), 81-99.
8. P. A. MacMahon, *Partitions of numbers whose graphs possess symmetry*, Trans. Cambridge Phil. Soc., **17** (1898-99), 149-170.
9. ———, *Combinatory Analysis*, Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1916 (Reprinted: Chelsea, New York, 1960).
10. R. P. Stanley, *Theory and applications of plane partitions II*, Studies in Appl. Math., **50** (1971), 259-279.

Received March 1, 1976. Sponsored in part by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024 and the National Science Foundation Grant MSP74-07282.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN  
MADISON, WI 53706

AND

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).  
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

George E. Andrews, <i>Plane partitions. II. The equivalence of the Bender-Knuth and MacMahon conjectures</i> .....	283
Lee Wilson Badger, <i>An Ehrenfeucht game for the multivariable quantifiers of Malitz and some applications</i> .....	293
Wayne C. Bell, <i>A decomposition of additive set functions</i> .....	305
Bruce Blackadar, <i>Infinite tensor products of <math>C^*</math>-algebras</i> .....	313
Arne Brøndsted, <i>The inner aperture of a convex set</i> .....	335
N. Burgoyne, <i>Finite groups with Chevalley-type components</i> .....	341
Richard Dowell Byrd, Justin Thomas Lloyd and Roberto A. Mena, <i>On the retractability of some one-relator groups</i> .....	351
Paul Robert Chernoff, <i>Schrödinger and Dirac operators with singular potentials and hyperbolic equations</i> .....	361
John J. F. Fournier, <i>Sharpness in Young's inequality for convolution</i> .....	383
Stanley Phillip Franklin and Barbara V. Smith Thomas, <i>On the metrizability of <math>k_\omega</math>-spaces</i> .....	399
David Andrew Gay, Andrew McDaniel and William Yslas Vélez, <i>Partially normal radical extensions of the rationals</i> .....	403
Jean-Jacques Gervais, <i>Sufficiency of jets</i> .....	419
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Completions of regular rings. II</i> .....	423
Sarah J. Gottlieb, <i>Algebraic automorphisms of algebraic groups with stable maximal tori</i> .....	461
Donald Gordon James, <i>Invariant submodules of unimodular Hermitian forms</i> .....	471
J. Kyle, <i><math>W_\delta(T)</math> is convex</i> .....	483
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>A note on Eberlein compacts</i> .....	487
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>Another note on Eberlein compacts</i> .....	497
Thomas Bourque Muenzenberger and Raymond Earl Smithson, <i>Fixed point theorems for acyclic and dendritic spaces</i> .....	501
Budh Singh Nashier and A. R. Rajwade, <i>Determination of a unique solution of the quadratic partition for primes <math>p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}</math></i> .....	513
Frederick J. Scott, <i>New partial asymptotic stability results for nonlinear ordinary differential equations</i> .....	523
Frank Servedio, <i>Affine open orbits, reductive isotropy groups, and dominant gradient morphisms; a theorem of Mikio Sato</i> .....	537
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On the distribution of some generalized square-full integers</i> .....	547
Wolf von Wahl, <i>Instationary Navier-Stokes equations and parabolic systems</i> .....	557