

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE INNER APERTURE OF A CONVEX SET

ARNE BRØNDSTED

THE INNER APERTURE OF A CONVEX SET

ARNE BRØNDSTED

It is a standard fact that the asymptotic cone $O(C)$ of a convex set C in R^n is the polar of the barrier cone $B(C)$. In the present note we show that the inner aperture $P(C)$ of C may be obtained from $B(C)$ in a similar manner. We use this result to study relations between $O(C)$ and $P(C)$, and to give a short proof of D. G. Larman's characterization of inner apertures.

1. With each nonempty convex set C in R^n one can associate certain convex cones which give information about the "nature of unboundedness" of C . Among such cones are the barrier cone

$$B(C) := \{y \in R^n \mid \sup_{x \in C} \langle x, y \rangle < +\infty\},$$

the asymptotic cone

$$O(C) := \{y \in R^n \mid \exists x \in C \forall \lambda \geq 0: x + \lambda y \in C\},$$

and the inner aperture

$$P(C) := \{y \in R^n \mid \exists x \in C: \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \text{dist}(x + \lambda y, \text{bd } C) = +\infty\}.$$

The barrier cone and the asymptotic cone are well established concepts. (See, e.g., [3]. Note that $O(C)$ in our notation is $O^+ \text{cl } C$ in the notation of [3].) The inner aperture was introduced by D. G. Larman [2], see also [1]. (Note that $\mathcal{S}(C)$ in the notation of [2] is $P(C) \cup \{o\}$ in the notation of the present note. Also, $P(C)$ in the notation of the present note may differ from $P(C)$ in the notation of [1] when $\text{aff } C \neq R^n$.) In the following we shall use that

$$(1) \quad P(C) = \{y \in R^n \mid \forall x \in R^n \exists \lambda \geq 0: x + \lambda y \in C\},$$

cf. [1]. We find this description of $P(C)$ in terms of the linear structure easier to handle.

In the present note we shall study relations between $B(C)$, $O(C)$ and $P(C)$. In § 2 we shall introduce a new polar operation $A \mapsto A^d$, closely related to the usual polar operation $A \mapsto A^\circ$, such that

$$B(C)^d = P(C).$$

Based on this fact (which we find interesting in itself) and the standard fact that

$$(2) \quad B(C)^\circ = O(C),$$

(see, e.g., [3]) we shall next examine relations between $O(C)$ and $P(C)$. Finally, in § 3 we shall use the insight obtained in § 2 to give a proof of the main result of [2], a characterization of those convex cones that are inner apertures.

By a convex cone we mean a convex set A such that $\lambda x \in A$ for all $x \in A$ and all $\lambda > 0$; note that o is not required to be in A . For any nonempty convex set C , the sets $B(C)$, $O(C)$ and $P(C)$ are in fact convex cones. One has $o \in B(C)$ and $o \in O(C)$. Also, one has $o \in P(C)$ unless $C = \mathbf{R}^n$; in that case $P(C) = \mathbf{R}^n$.

2. For any set A in \mathbf{R}^n we let

$$A := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \forall x \in A \setminus \{o\}: \langle x, y \rangle < 0\}.$$

Geometrically speaking, A^d is the intersection of all open halfspaces

$$\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \langle x, y \rangle < 0\},$$

where x runs through $A \setminus \{o\}$. In particular, it is a convex cone (not containing o unless $A \subset \{o\}$). Also, $A^d = (\text{cone } A)^d$ where $\text{cone } A$ denotes the convex cone generated by A .

Furthermore, we let

$$A^\circ := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \forall x \in A: \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

This is a standard polar operation. Note that A° is a closed convex cone, $A^\circ = (\text{cl}(\text{cone } A))^\circ$ and $A^{\circ\circ} = \text{cl}(\text{cone } A)$.

In the following, when A is a convex cone we denote by $\text{rel int } A$ the interior of A relative to the subspace $\text{span } A$ generated by A .

LEMMA. For any convex cone A in \mathbf{R}^n one has:

(a) $A^\circ = (\text{int } A)^d \cup \{o\}$.

(b) $\text{int } A^\circ = (\text{cl } A)^d$.

(c) $\text{rel int } A^\circ = ((\text{cl } A) \setminus V)'$, where V denotes the largest linear subspace contained in $\text{cl } A$.

Proof. Statement (a) is an easy consequence of the definitions.

To prove (c), note first that $V = U^\perp$ where $U := \text{span } A^\circ$. Consider $y \in \text{rel int } A^\circ$, and let $x \in (\text{cl } A) \setminus V$. Then there is $u \in U$ such that $\langle x, u \rangle > 0$, and there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $y + \lambda u \in A^\circ$. Since $A^\circ = (\text{cl } A)^\circ$ we obtain $\langle x, y \rangle < \langle x, y + \lambda u \rangle \leq 0$, showing that $y \in ((\text{cl } A) \setminus V)^d$. Conversely, consider $y \notin \text{rel int } A^\circ$. Then by a standard separation theorem there is $x \neq o$ such that $\langle x, z \rangle < 0 \leq \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $z \in \text{rel int } A^\circ$. The first inequality shows that

$$x \in (\text{rel int } A^\circ)^\circ = A^{\circ\circ} = \text{cl } A,$$

and it also shows that

$$x \notin (\text{span}(\text{rel int } A^\circ))^\perp = U^\perp = V,$$

whence $x \in (\text{cl } A) \setminus V$. But then the second inequality shows that $y \notin ((\text{cl } A) \setminus V)$.

To prove (b) note that when $\text{int } A^\circ \neq \emptyset$, then $U = \mathbf{R}^n$ and so $V = \{o\}$. Since $(\text{cl } A)^d = ((\text{cl } A) \setminus \{o\})^d$, we see that (b) follows from (c) when $\text{int } A \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, if $\text{int } A^\circ = \emptyset$, then $U \neq \mathbf{R}^n$ and so V contains a line. But then clearly $(\text{cl } A)^d = \emptyset$, and hence (b) also holds when $\text{int } A^\circ = \emptyset$.

We shall use the Lemma to obtain:

PROPOSITION. *For any convex cone A in \mathbf{R}^n one has:*

(d) $\text{int } A^\circ \subset A^d \subset A^\circ$.

(e) $A^\circ = A^d \cup \{o\}$ if and only if $A \setminus \{o\}$ is open.

(f) $\text{int } A^\circ = A^d$ if and only if every exposed face ($\neq \{o\}$) of $\text{cl } A$ contains a ray of A ; this holds in particular if A is closed.

(g) $\text{rel int } A^\circ \subset A^d$ if and only if $A^d \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The first inclusion in (d) follows from (b), the second is obvious (and is in fact contained in (a)). Statement (e) follows from (a), and (f) follows from (b). To prove the if part of (g), let $y \in A^d$. Then $\langle x, y \rangle < 0$ for all $x \in A \setminus \{o\}$, and since by (d) we have $A^d \subset A^\circ \subset V^\perp$, it follows that no point of $A \setminus \{o\}$ can be in V , i.e., $A \setminus V = A \setminus \{o\}$. But then we have $((\text{cl } A) \setminus V)^d \subset (A \setminus V)^d = (A \setminus \{o\})^d = A^d$, and therefore the desired inclusion follows from (c). The only if part of (g) is trivial.

REMARK. It follows from (d) and (e) that $A^d \cup \{o\}$ is closed if and only if $A \setminus \{o\}$ is open, and it follows from (d) and (f) that A^d is open if and only if every face of $\text{cl } A$ contains a ray of A .

Next we shall prove:

THEOREM 1. *For any nonempty convex set C in \mathbf{R}^n one has $B(C)^d = P(C)$.*

Proof. Let $y \in B(C)^d$. Suppose that there exists $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $x + \lambda y \notin C$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$. A standard separation theorem then yields the existence of $z \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{o\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\langle x + \lambda y, z \rangle \geq \alpha \geq \langle u, z \rangle$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and all $u \in C$. Now, the first inequality implies $\langle y, z \rangle \geq 0$,

whence $z \in B(C)$, whereas the second inequality shows that $z \in B(C)$. Thus we have obtained a contradiction, and (1) then shows that $y \in P(C)$.

Conversely, let $y \notin B(C)^d$. Then there exists $z \in B(C) \setminus \{o\}$ such that $\langle y, z \rangle \geq 0$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ be such that $\langle u, z \rangle < \alpha$ for all $u \in C$. Let x be any point in \mathbf{R}^n with $\langle x, z \rangle \geq \alpha$. Then $\langle x + \lambda y, z \rangle \geq \alpha$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$, whence $x + \lambda y \notin C$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$. By (1) we see that $y \notin P(C)$.

Combining now the Proposition, Theorem 1 and (2) we obtain:

COROLLARY. *For any nonempty convex set C in \mathbf{R}^n one has:*

- (h) $\text{int } O(C) \subset P(C) \subset O(C)$.
- (i) $O(C) = P(C) \cup \{o\}$ if and only if $B(C) \setminus \{o\}$ is open.
- (j) $\text{int } O(C) = P(C)$ if and only if every exposed face ($\neq \{o\}$) of $\text{cl } B(C)$ contains a ray of $B(C)$; this holds in particular if $B(C)$ is closed.
- (k) $\text{rel int } O(C) \subset P(C)$ if and only if $P(C) \neq \emptyset$.

REMARKS. Statement (h) is of course also a direct consequence of the definitions. When C is a cone, then $B(C) = C^\circ$, and therefore $O(C) = C^{\circ\circ} = \text{cl } C$ and $P(C) = \text{int } (\text{cl } C) = \text{int } C$, the latter by (j); this is also a fairly obvious consequence of the definitions.

3. Every asymptotic cone is closed, and every closed convex cone is its own asymptotic cone. D. G. Larman [2] characterized those convex cones that are inner apertures. In our terminology his theorem is essentially as follows:

THEOREM 2 (D. G. Larman). *A nonempty convex cone K in \mathbf{R}^n is the inner aperture of some convex set C if and only if there is an F_σ set A in the unit sphere S of \mathbf{R}^n such that $K = A^d$.*

For any set B we have $B^d = (\text{cone } B)^d$, and if B is a closed subset of S , then $\text{cone } B$ is closed. Hence, B^d is open by (f). Conversely, for any open convex cone D , the set $S \cap D^\circ$ is a closed subset of S with $(S \cap D^\circ)^d = D$; in fact, using (f) we have $(S \cap D^\circ)^d = (D^\circ)^d = \text{int } D^{\circ\circ} = \text{int } (\text{cl } D) = D$. In conclusion, Theorem 2 is equivalent to the following:

THEOREM 3. *A nonempty convex cone K in \mathbf{R}^n is the inner aperture of some convex set C if and only if there is a (decreasing) sequence $(D_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ of open convex cones such that $K = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbf{N}} D_i$.*

Proof. We may assume that $K \neq \mathbf{R}^n$.

First, assume that $K = P(C)$. Then $K = B(C)^d$ by Theorem 1. Let $y \in K$. Then for each $i \in N$

$$A_i := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \sup_{u \in C} \langle u, x \rangle \leq -i \langle x, y \rangle\}$$

is a closed convex cone. Also, $A_i \subset A_j$ for $i < j$, and

$$B(C) = \bigcup_{i \in N} A_i .$$

Therefore, we have

$$K = B(C)^d = \left(\bigcup_{i \in N} A_i \right)^d = \bigcap_{i \in N} A_i' ,$$

and here $(A_i^d)_{i \in N}$ is a decreasing sequence of open convex cones, cf. (f). (Actually, $B(C)$ is the effective domain of the support function σ of C ; the set A_i is the set of points x such that $\sigma(x) \leq -i \langle x, y \rangle$.)

Conversely, assume that $(D_i)_{i \in N}$ is a sequence of open convex cones such that $K = \bigcap_{i \in N} D_i$. Clearly, we may assume that $D_i \supset D_j$ for $i < j$. Since $K \neq \mathbf{R}^n$, at least one of the D_i 's is contained in an open halfspace, and therefore $K^l \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in K \cap (-\text{rel int } K^o)$; the existence of z follows from a standard separation theorem. Then $z \in -K^d$ by (g). Since each D_i is an open cone containing z , there exists an increasing sequence of positive reals λ_i such that

$$B(o, i) \subset -\lambda_i z + D_i$$

for each $i \in N$, where $B(o, i)$ denotes the closed ball centered at o with radius i . Let

$$C := \bigcap_{i \in N} (-\lambda_i z + D_i) .$$

Then C is convex, and it is clear that

$$P(C) \subset P(-\lambda_i z + D_i) = P(D_i) = D_i$$

for each $i \in N$, whence $P(C) \subset K$. Conversely, let $y \in K$, and let x be arbitrary in \mathbf{R}^n . Then for each $i \in N$ there is $\mu_i \geq 0$ such that $x + \lambda y \in -\lambda_i z + D_i$ for all $\lambda \geq \mu_i$. In order to show that $y \in P(C)$ we shall show that one may obtain $\mu_i \leq \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $i \in N$, cf. (1). Since $y \in K$ and $-z \in K^d$, it follows that $\langle y, z \rangle > 0$. Therefore there exists a unique $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\langle x + \beta y, z \rangle = 0$, and then $\langle x + \lambda y, z \rangle > 0$ for $\lambda > \beta$. Let $j \in N$ be such that $\|x + \beta y\| \leq j$. Then $x + \beta y \in -\lambda_i z + D_i$ for all $i \geq j$, and since $y \in D_i$, it follows that we actually have $x + \lambda y \in -\lambda_i z + D_i$ for all $\lambda \geq \beta$ and all $i \geq j$. But then any $\alpha \geq \max \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{j-1}, \beta\}$ will fulfil the requirement.

REFERENCES

1. Arne Brøndsted, *Intersections of translates of convex sets*, *Mathematika* (to appear).
2. D. G. Larman, *On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **55** (1974), 219-232.
3. R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

Received December 14, 1976 and in revised form April 14, 1977.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN
DENMARK

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

George E. Andrews, <i>Plane partitions. II. The equivalence of the Bender-Knuth and MacMahon conjectures</i>	283
Lee Wilson Badger, <i>An Ehrenfeucht game for the multivariable quantifiers of Malitz and some applications</i>	293
Wayne C. Bell, <i>A decomposition of additive set functions</i>	305
Bruce Blackadar, <i>Infinite tensor products of C^*-algebras</i>	313
Arne Brøndsted, <i>The inner aperture of a convex set</i>	335
N. Burgoyne, <i>Finite groups with Chevalley-type components</i>	341
Richard Dowell Byrd, Justin Thomas Lloyd and Roberto A. Mena, <i>On the retractability of some one-relator groups</i>	351
Paul Robert Chernoff, <i>Schrödinger and Dirac operators with singular potentials and hyperbolic equations</i>	361
John J. F. Fournier, <i>Sharpness in Young's inequality for convolution</i>	383
Stanley Phillip Franklin and Barbara V. Smith Thomas, <i>On the metrizable-ity of k_ω-spaces</i>	399
David Andrew Gay, Andrew McDaniel and William Yslas Vélez, <i>Partially normal radical extensions of the rationals</i>	403
Jean-Jacques Gervais, <i>Sufficiency of jets</i>	419
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Completions of regular rings. II</i>	423
Sarah J. Gottlieb, <i>Algebraic automorphisms of algebraic groups with stable maximal tori</i>	461
Donald Gordon James, <i>Invariant submodules of unimodular Hermitian forms</i>	471
J. Kyle, <i>$W_\delta(T)$ is convex</i>	483
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>A note on Eberlein compacts</i>	487
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>Another note on Eberlein compacts</i>	497
Thomas Bourque Muenzenberger and Raymond Earl Smithson, <i>Fixed point theorems for acyclic and dendritic spaces</i>	501
Budh Singh Nashier and A. R. Rajwade, <i>Determination of a unique solution of the quadratic partition for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$</i>	513
Frederick J. Scott, <i>New partial asymptotic stability results for nonlinear ordinary differential equations</i>	523
Frank Servedio, <i>Affine open orbits, reductive isotropy groups, and dominant gradient morphisms; a theorem of Mikio Sato</i>	537
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On the distribution of some generalized square-full integers</i>	547
Wolf von Wahl, <i>Instationary Navier-Stokes equations and parabolic systems</i>	557