

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE METRIZABILITY OF k_ω -SPACES

STANLEY PHILLIP FRANKLIN AND BARBARA V. SMITH THOMAS

ON THE METRIZABILITY OF k_ω -SPACES

STANLEY P. FRANKLIN AND BARBARA V. SMITH THOMAS

The metrizability of a k_ω -space can be characterized in terms of its k_ω -structure by whether or not it contains one of two canonical subspaces.

A natural generalization of countable CW -complexes, the k_ω -spaces, have recently appeared in papers on topological groups. (See, for example, [2], [5], and [6].) A decomposition of a space $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ where each X_n is a compact Hausdorff space, the X_n are increasing, and X has the weak topology of the X_n is called a k_ω -decomposition of X . Any space with a k_ω -decomposition is a k_ω -space. For example, $\mathbf{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [-n, n]$ is a k_ω -space.

One metrizability theorem for k_ω -spaces is a corollary of known results. *A k_ω -space is metrizable iff it is second countable.* (Morita has shown that each k_ω -space is (normal and) Lindelöf [4], and hence, if metrizable, is second countable. Conversely, a second countable regular space is metrizable.)

Since k_ω -spaces are composed of compact pieces, it is natural to hope that the metrizability of the pieces will yield that of the space. The example of a nonlocally finite countable CW -complex shows this to be a vain hope. We are left with the question of exactly how the process can fail, which is the subject of this note.

We will need two examples. The first, called the *sequential fan*, is the union of countably many convergent sequences with their limit points identified. The pieces (finitely many convergent sequences plus limit point) are metrizable. The sequential fan is a k_ω -space (Morita has characterized them as quotients of locally compact Lindelöf spaces [4]). However it is not first countable and hence not metrizable.

The second example will require more description. Think of S_2 , as it is called [1], as consisting of a sequence $\{s_j\}$ converging to a point s_0 , together with another sequence of isolated points $\{s_{j,i}\}$ converging to each s_j . Take the topology resulting from thinking of S_2 as a quotient of a disjoint sum of countably many convergent sequences with limits. Clearly, then S_2 is a k_ω -space. One k_ω -decomposition is given by $S_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, where

$$X_n = \{s_0\} \cup \{s_j \mid j \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{s_{j,i} \mid j \leq n, i \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Each X_n is metrizable but S_2 is not even a Fréchet space.

Are there other examples? Not any essentially different ones.

THEOREM. *A k_ω -space with metrizable "pieces" is metrizable iff it contains no copy of S_2 and no sequential fan.*

For the proof we will use a short sequence of lemmas and propositions.

LEMMA 1 (Steenrod [7]). *If $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$ is a k_ω -decomposition, then each compact subset of X is contained in some X_n .*

LEMMA 2. *Any subsequence of a k_ω -decomposition is again a k_ω -decomposition.*

In fact, one easily shows that given a k_ω -decomposition $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$ and another increasing cover $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X'_n$, the X'_n form a k_ω -decomposition iff each X_n is contained in some X'_n . The lemma follows.

LEMMA 3. *A closed subspace Y of a k_ω -space $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$ has a k_ω -decomposition $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (X_n \cap Y)$.*

The heart of the matter lies in the following.

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose $X = \bigcup X_n$ is a k_ω -decomposition with each X_n first countable and that X is not first countable. If X is Fréchet it contains a sequential fan. If X is not Fréchet, it contains a copy of S_2 .*

Proof. If a point $x_0 \in X$ has no countable neighborhood base, then each of its neighborhoods must meet cofinally many X_n . Let $T_n = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ be the n th tail of the k_ω -decomposition. If m is the least integer with $x_0 \in X_m$, then $x_0 \in \text{cl } T_n \setminus T_n$ for each $n \geq m$. If X is Fréchet, some sequence \mathcal{S}_1 in T_m must converge to x_0 . Since $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \{x_0\}$ is compact, Lemma 1 says \mathcal{S}_1 is wholly contained in some X_{n_1} with $n_1 > m$. But $x_0 \in \text{cl } T_{n_1} \setminus T_{n_1}$ so that some sequence \mathcal{S}_2 in T_{n_1} also converges to x_0 . In this way we construct a sequence of distinct sequences $\{\mathcal{S}_k\}$, each converging to x_0 , such that $\mathcal{S}_k \subseteq X_{n_k} \cap T_{n_{k-1}}$ with $m < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$. Then $F = \{x_0\} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{S}_k$ meets each X_{n_k} in a finite union of convergent sequences and is therefore closed (by Lemma 2) in X . Let $D_n = \{x_0\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{S}_k$. By Lemma 3 $F = \bigcup D_n$ is a k_ω -decomposition of F and hence F is a quotient of $\bigoplus D_n$. F then is a sequential fan.

The proof of the second assertion is more delicate. It depends on the fact that every sequential space which is not Fréchet contains a subset whose sequential coreflection is \mathcal{S}_2 ([1], Prop. 3.1). X is

certainly sequential since it is the quotient of a first countable space, namely the disjoint sum of its "pieces". If X is not Fréchet take a subset A , with sequential coreflection \mathcal{S}_2 , and write it as $A = \{x_0\} \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ with $A_1 = \{x_n \mid n \in N\}$ and $A_2 = \{x_{n,j} \mid (n, j) \in N \times N\}$ all distinct points. Sequential convergence in A is the same as in \mathcal{S}_2 . (See the description of \mathcal{S}_2 .) Thus x_0 has no countable neighborhood base since $x_0 \in \text{cl } A_2$ and no sequence in A_2 converges to it. Thus x_0 can belong to the interior of no X_n . Hence if n_0 is the least integer with $x_0 \in X_{n_0}$, then $x \in \text{bdy } X_n$ for each $n \geq n_0$. Similarly, no infinite subset of A_1 is contained in the interior of any X_n . Otherwise we would have $x_0 \in X_n - \text{cl}(A_2 \cap X_n)$ with no sequence in $A_2 \cap X_n$ converging to x_0 , contradicting the first countability of X_n . However, $\{x_0\} \cup A_1$ is compact and thus, by Lemma 1, is contained in some X_n . Let n_1 be the least such n . Then for each $n \geq n_1$, $\{x_0\} \cup A_1 \subseteq \text{bdy } X_n$. Write A_2^i for the sequence $\{x_{i,j} \mid j \in N\}$ in A_2 . A_2^i converges to x_i . For $n \geq n_1$, at most finitely many A_2^i can meet X_n infinitely many times because of the first countability of X_n . However, each A_2^i is contained in some X_n since $\{x_i\} \cup A_2^i$ is compact. Choose $m_1 \geq n_1$ with $A_2^{i_1} \subseteq X_{m_1}$. Let $B_1 = A_2^{i_1}$ and let $i_1 = 1$. Let i_2 be the least i such that $A_2^{i_2} \cap X_{m_1}$ is infinite. Choose $m_2 > m_1$ with $A_2^{i_2} \subseteq X_{m_2}$. Let $B_2 = A_2^{i_2} \setminus X_{m_1}$. In this way we recursively define a subsequence $\{X_{m_k}\}$ of $\{X_n\}$ and a sequence $\{B_k\}$ with each B_k an infinite subset of some $A_2^{i_k}$ and with $B_k \subseteq X_{m_k} \setminus X_{m_{k-1}}$. Let $B' = \{x_{i_k} \mid k \in N\}$ and $B = \{x_0\} \cup B' \cup \bigcup B_k$. By (9) $X = \bigcup X_{m_k}$ is a k_ω -decomposition of X and

$$B \cap X_{m_k} = \{x_0\} \cup B' \cup \bigcup_{p=1}^k B_p$$

is the union of finitely many compact sets and hence is closed. Thus B is closed in X . Being closed B is sequential and thus its own sequential coreflection, in this case clearly \mathcal{S}_2 . (B , containing x_0 , a subsequence of the x_n , and for each such n the corresponding $x_{n,j}$ is sequentially homeomorphic to \mathcal{S}_2 .)

To complete the proof of the theorem we need only the following analogue of a well known fact about CW -complexes.

PROPOSITION 2. *If $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is a k_ω -space with each X_n metrizable, then X is metrizable iff it is first countable.*

Sketch of proof. First countability gives local compactness (Ordman [6]) which, in turn, implies that each X_n is contained in the interior of some subsequent one (cover each point of X_n with a compact neighborhood, reduce to a finite subcover, union and apply Lemma 1). Using Lemma 2, we may assume that $X_n \subseteq \text{int } X_{n+1}$. Choose a countable base for each $\text{int } X_n$. Their union is a countable

base for X which is hence metrizable.

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Archangelskii and S. P. Franklin, *Ordinal invariants for topological spaces*, Michigan Math. J., **15** (1968), 313-320.
2. E. Katz, *Free products in the category of k_ω -groups*, Pacific J. Math., **59** (1975), 493-495.
3. J. Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles, I*, Ann. of Math., **63** (1956), 272-284.
4. K. Morita, *On decomposition spaces of locally compact spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad., **32** (1956), 544-548.
5. E. T. Ordman, *Free k -groups and free topological groups*, General Topology and Appl., **5** (1975), 205-219.
6. ———, *Free products of topological groups which are k_ω -spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **191** (1974), 61-73.
7. N. E. Steenrod, *A convenient category of topological spaces*, Michigan Math. J., **14** (1967), 133-152.

Received October 25, 1976 and in revised form March 18, 1977.

MEMPHIS STATE UNIVERSITY
MEMPHIS, TN 38152

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

George E. Andrews, <i>Plane partitions. II. The equivalence of the Bender-Knuth and MacMahon conjectures</i>	283
Lee Wilson Badger, <i>An Ehrenfeucht game for the multivariable quantifiers of Malitz and some applications</i>	293
Wayne C. Bell, <i>A decomposition of additive set functions</i>	305
Bruce Blackadar, <i>Infinite tensor products of C^*-algebras</i>	313
Arne Brøndsted, <i>The inner aperture of a convex set</i>	335
N. Burgoyne, <i>Finite groups with Chevalley-type components</i>	341
Richard Dowell Byrd, Justin Thomas Lloyd and Roberto A. Mena, <i>On the retractability of some one-relator groups</i>	351
Paul Robert Chernoff, <i>Schrödinger and Dirac operators with singular potentials and hyperbolic equations</i>	361
John J. F. Fournier, <i>Sharpness in Young's inequality for convolution</i>	383
Stanley Phillip Franklin and Barbara V. Smith Thomas, <i>On the metrizability of k_ω-spaces</i>	399
David Andrew Gay, Andrew McDaniel and William Yslas Vélez, <i>Partially normal radical extensions of the rationals</i>	403
Jean-Jacques Gervais, <i>Sufficiency of jets</i>	419
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Completions of regular rings. II</i>	423
Sarah J. Gottlieb, <i>Algebraic automorphisms of algebraic groups with stable maximal tori</i>	461
Donald Gordon James, <i>Invariant submodules of unimodular Hermitian forms</i>	471
J. Kyle, <i>$W_\delta(T)$ is convex</i>	483
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>A note on Eberlein compacts</i>	487
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>Another note on Eberlein compacts</i>	497
Thomas Bourque Muenzenberger and Raymond Earl Smithson, <i>Fixed point theorems for acyclic and dendritic spaces</i>	501
Budh Singh Nashier and A. R. Rajwade, <i>Determination of a unique solution of the quadratic partition for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$</i>	513
Frederick J. Scott, <i>New partial asymptotic stability results for nonlinear ordinary differential equations</i>	523
Frank Servedio, <i>Affine open orbits, reductive isotropy groups, and dominant gradient morphisms; a theorem of Mikio Sato</i>	537
D. Suryanarayana, <i>On the distribution of some generalized square-full integers</i>	547
Wolf von Wahl, <i>Instationary Navier-Stokes equations and parabolic systems</i>	557