

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**GENERATING  $O(n)$  WITH REFLECTIONS**

MORRIS LEROY EATON AND MICHAEL DAVID PERLMAN

## GENERATING $O(n)$ WITH REFLECTIONS

MORRIS L. EATON AND MICHAEL PERLMAN

For  $r \in C_n \equiv \{x | x \in R^n, \|x\| = 1\}$ , let  $S_r = I_n - 2rr'$  where  $r$  is a column vector.  $O(n)$  denotes the orthogonal group on  $R^n$ . If  $R \subseteq C_n$ , let  $\mathcal{R} = \{S_r | r \in R\}$  and let  $G$  be the smallest closed subgroup of  $O(n)$  which contains  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $G$  is *reducible* if there is a nontrivial subspace  $M \subseteq R^n$  such that  $gM \subseteq M$  for all  $g \in G$ . Otherwise,  $G$  is *irreducible*.

**THEOREM.** If  $G$  is infinite and irreducible, then  $G = O(n)$ .

In what follows,  $R^n$  denotes Euclidean  $n$ -space with the standard inner product,  $O(n)$  is the orthogonal group of  $R^n$ , and  $C_n = \{x | x \in R^n, \|x\| = 1\}$ . If  $U$  is a subset of  $O(n)$ ,  $\langle U \rangle$  denotes the group generated algebraically by  $U$  and  $\langle \bar{U} \rangle$  denotes the closure of  $\langle U \rangle$ . Thus,  $\langle \bar{U} \rangle$  is the smallest closed subgroup of  $O(n)$  containing  $U$ . For an integer  $k, 1 \leq k < n$ ,  $M_k$  denotes a  $k$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $R^n$ . If  $r \in C_n$ , let  $S_r = I - 2rr'$  where  $r$  is a column vector. Thus  $S_r$  is a reflection through  $r$ -henceforth called a reflection.

Suppose  $R \subseteq C_n$  and let  $\mathcal{R} = \{S_r | r \in R\}$ . Set  $G = \langle \bar{\mathcal{R}} \rangle$ . The group  $G$  is *reducible* if there is an  $M_k$  such that  $gM_k \subseteq M_k$  for all  $g \in G$ ; otherwise,  $G$  is *irreducible*. The main result of this note is the following.

**THEOREM 1.** If  $G$  is infinite and irreducible, then  $G = O(n)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* First note that if  $S_r \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $g \in G$ , then  $gS_rg^{-1} = S_{gr} \in G$ . Let  $\Delta = \{gr | g \in G, r \in R\}$ . Thus,  $t \in \Delta$  implies that  $S_t \in G$ . Since  $G$  is infinite,  $\Delta$  must be infinite (see Benson and Grove (1971), Proposition 4.1.3). Since every  $\Gamma$  in  $O(n)$  is a product of a finite number of reflections, to show that  $G = O(n)$ , it suffices to show that  $G$  is transitive on  $C_n$  (if  $G$  is transitive on  $C_n$ , then  $\Delta = C_n$  so every reflection is an element of  $G$  and hence  $G = O(n)$ ).

The proof that  $G$  is transitive on  $C_n$  follows. By Lemma 1 (below), there is a subgroup  $K_2 \subseteq G$  and a subspace  $M_2 \subseteq R^n$  such that  $kx = x$  if  $x \in M_2^\perp$  and  $k \in K_2$  and  $K_2$  is transitive on  $D_2 \equiv M_2 \cap C_n$ . Since  $G$  is irreducible, there is an  $r_2 \in R$  such that  $r_2 \notin M_2$  and  $r_2 \notin M_2^\perp$ . Let  $M_3 = \text{span}\{r_2, M_2\}$  and let  $K_3 = \langle \{K_2, S_{r_2}\} \rangle \supseteq G$ . With  $D_3 \equiv M_3 \cap C_n$ , Lemma 3 (below) implies that  $kx = x$  for all  $x \in M_3^\perp$  and  $k \in K_3$ , and  $K_3$  is transitive on  $D_3$ . Again, since  $G$  is irreducible, there is an  $r_3 \in R$  such that  $r_3 \notin M_3$  and  $r_3 \notin M_3^\perp$ . With  $M_4 = \text{span}\{r_3, M_3\}$ , let  $K_4 = \langle \{K_3, S_{r_3}\} \rangle \supseteq G$  and let  $D_4 \equiv M_4 \cap C_n$ . By Lemma 3 (below)

$kx = x$  for  $x \in M_i^\perp$  and  $k \in K_i$  and  $K_i$  is transitive on  $D_i$ . Applying this argument  $(n - 2)$  times, we obtain  $K_n \subseteq G$  and  $K_n$  is transitive on  $D_n = C_n$ . Thus,  $G$  is transitive on  $C_n$  and the proof is complete.

To fill in the gaps in the above argument, it remains to prove Lemmas 1, 2, and 3. Lemma 1 provides the starting point for the stepwise argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $G$  is irreducible and infinite, there is a subspace  $M_2$  and a subgroup  $K_2 \subseteq G$  such that  $kx = x$  for  $x \in M_2^\perp$ ,  $k \in K_2$  and  $K_2$  acts transitively on  $D_2 \equiv M_2 \cap C_n$ .*

*Proof.* As noted in the proof of Theorem 1, the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{gr \mid r \in R, g \in G\}$  is infinite. Thus, there is a point  $\delta_0 \in C_n$  such that every neighborhood of  $\delta_0$  contains infinitely many points in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus we can select a sequence of pairs  $(r_i, t_i)$ ,  $r_i, t_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that  $r_i$  and  $t_i$  are linearly independent and  $1 - 1/i < r_i t_i < r_{i+1} t_{i+1} < 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

For  $0 \leq \eta < 2\pi$ , set

$$(1) \quad \Psi(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \eta & \sin \eta \\ -\sin \eta & \cos \eta \end{pmatrix} \in O(2).$$

Define  $\theta_i$  by  $\cos \theta_i = r_i t_i$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_i < \pi$  so  $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\Gamma_i \in O(n)$  have first row  $t_i$  and second row

$$(r_i - t_i r_i t_i)' / \|r_i - t_i r_i t_i\|.$$

Then an easy calculation shows that

$$(2) \quad S_{i_i} S_{r_i} = \Gamma_i' \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(2\theta_i) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $I_{n-2}$  is an  $(n - 2) \times (n - 2)$  identity matrix. Setting  $H_i = \langle \Psi(2\theta_i) \rangle \subseteq O(2)$ , it is clear that

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \Gamma_i' \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_i \mid h \in H_i \right\} \subseteq G, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

By selecting an appropriate subsequence, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \in O(n)$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $\Psi(\eta)$  is given by (1), we now claim that

$$(4) \quad \Gamma_0' \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(\eta) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \in G.$$

Since  $G$  is closed and (3) holds, to establish (4), it suffices to show

there is a subsequence  $i_j$  and  $h_{i_j} \in H_{i_j}$  such that  $h_{i_j} \rightarrow \Psi(\eta)$  as  $i_j \rightarrow \infty$ . However, the existence of such a sequence is assured since  $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus (4) holds. Hence we see that

$$(5) \quad K_2 \equiv \left\{ \Gamma'_0 \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \mid h \in H^* \right\} \subseteq G$$

where  $H^*$  is the full rotation group of  $R^2$ .

To complete the proof of Lemma 1, let  $M_2$  be the span of the first two columns of  $\Gamma'_0$ . With  $D_2 \equiv M_2 \cap C_n$ , it is easy to check that  $kx = x$  for all  $x \in M_2^\perp$ ,  $k \in K_2$  and that  $K_2$  acts transitively on  $D_2$ . This completes the proof.

The following result is used in the proof of Lemma 3.

LEMMA 2. For  $u_0 \in (0, 1]$ , define a function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$(6) \quad f(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq u_0 \\ 1 - [\sqrt{uu_0} + \sqrt{(1-u)(1-u_0)}]^2 & \text{if } u_0 \leq u \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $v_1 = f(1)$  and define  $v_i = f(v_{i-1})$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then, there exists an index  $i_0$  such that  $v_i = 0$  for  $i \geq i_0$ .

*Proof.* It is not hard to verify that  $f$  is a continuous convex function. Since  $0 \leq v_1 < 1$ ,  $v_2 = f(v_1) = f((1-v_1)0 + v_1 1) \leq v_1 f(1) = v_1^2$ . Proceeding by induction,  $v_i \leq v_i^2$  so  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_i = 0$ . Since  $f$  is 0 in the interval  $[0, u_0]$ , there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $v_i = 0$  for  $i \geq i_0$ . This completes the proof.

After establishing Lemma 1, the key to Theorem 1 is Lemma 3. Although the proof of Lemma 3 is quite long, the geometric idea behind the proof is fairly simple. Consider  $R^3$  and let  $D_2 = \{x \mid x \in R^3, x_3 = 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1\}$ . Also, let  $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid k \text{ is any rotation of } R^2 \right\}$ . Thus  $H$  acts transitively on  $D_2$ . Consider a fixed vector  $t \in R^3$  with  $\|t\| = 1$  such that  $t$  is not in the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane and  $t$  is not in the  $x_3$ -line. Let  $S_t = I - 2tt'$  be the reflection across the plane  $\{t\}^\perp$  and let  $\tilde{H}$  be the group generated by  $S_t$  and  $H$ . The claim is that  $\tilde{H}$  is transitive on  $D_3 = \{x \mid x \in R^3, \|x\| = 1\}$ . For example, suppose the angle between  $t$  and the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane is  $45^\circ$ . Geometrically, it is clear that the set  $H(S_t(D_2)) \equiv \{x \mid x = hS_t u \text{ for some } h \in H, \text{ and some } u \in D_2\}$  is just  $D_3$ —that is,  $S_t(D_2)$  is a circle passing through  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and the transitivity of  $H$  implies that  $H$  moves the set  $S_t(D_2)$  everywhere onto  $D_3$  (picture this on the surface of a basketball). Thus, given

$v_1, v_2 \in D_3$ ,  $v_i = h_i S_i u_i$ , for  $h_i \in H$  and  $u_i \in D_2$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $u_1 = h_0 u_2$  for some  $h_0 \in H$ , it follows that  $v_1 = h_1 S_1 h_0 S_1 h_2^{-1} v_2$  so  $\tilde{H}$  is transitive on  $D_3$ . For other  $t$ -vectors,  $D_3$  does not get covered by one application of  $HS_t$  to  $D_2$ , but  $D_3$  is covered by a finite number of applications of  $HS_t$  to  $D_2$ —that is,  $D_3 = (H(S_t(\dots)H)S_t)(D_2)$  for some finite string  $HS_t HS_t \dots HS_t$ . Again, this implies the transitivity of  $\tilde{H}$  on  $D_3$ . Lemma 3 and its proof make all of the above precise.

LEMMA 3. Consider a subspace  $M_m \subseteq R^n$ ,  $2 \leq m < n$ , and suppose that  $K$  is a subgroup of  $O(n)$  such that

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} kx = x \text{ for all } x \in M_m^\perp, k \in K \\ K \text{ is transitive on } D_m \equiv M_m \cap C_n. \end{cases}$$

Let  $t \in C_n$  be such that  $t \notin M_m$  and  $t \notin M_m^\perp$ . With  $M_{m+1} = \text{span}\{t, M_m\}$ , let  $D_{m+1} \equiv M_{m+1} \cap C_n$ . Then the group  $K^* \subseteq O(n)$  generated by  $K$  and  $S_t = I - 2tt'$  satisfies

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} kx = x \text{ for all } x \in M_{m+1}^\perp, k \in K^* \\ K^* \text{ is transitive on } D_{m+1}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* That  $kx = x$  for all  $x \in M_{m+1}^\perp$  and  $k \in K^*$  is not hard to verify. To establish the transitivity of  $K^*$  on  $D_{m+1}$ , define a set  $B_1$  by

$$(9) \quad B_1 = K(S_t(D_m)) = \{x \mid x = kS_t u \text{ for some } u \in D_m, \text{ some } k \in K\}$$

and then define  $B_i$  inductively by

$$(10) \quad B_i = K(S_t(B_{i-1})) = \{x \mid x = kS_t u \text{ for some } u \in B_{i-1}, \text{ some } k \in K\}$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots$ . Since  $K(S_t(D_{m+1})) \subseteq D_{m+1}$ , it follows that  $B_i \subseteq D_{m+1}$  for all  $i$ . The remainder of the proof is devoted to showing that there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $B_{i_0} = D_{m+1}$ , because this implies the transitivity of  $K^*$  on  $D_{m+1}$ .

Claim 1. If  $B_{i_0} = D_{m+1}$ , then  $K^*$  is transitive on  $D_{m+1}$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* Consider  $z_1, z_2 \in D_{m+1}$ . If  $B_{i_0} = D_{m+1}$ , then

$$\underbrace{K(S_t(K(S_t \dots (D_m))))}_{i_0\text{-terms}} = D_{m+1}.$$

Thus, there exists  $k_1, \dots, k_{i_0} \in K$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_{i_0} \in K$  such that

$$z_1 = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{i_0} (k_j S_{t_j}) \right] u_1 \equiv h_1 u_1$$

and

$$z_2 = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{i_0} (g_j s_i) \right] u_2 \equiv h_2 u_2$$

for some  $u_1, u_2 \in D_m$ . Since  $K$  is transitive on  $D_m$ , there exists a  $k_0 \in K$  such that  $k_0 u_1 = u_2$ . Thus,  $z_2 = h_2 k_0 h_1^{-1} z_1$  which shows that  $K^*$  is transitive on  $D_{m+1}$  as  $h_2 k_0 h_1^{-1} \in K^*$ . This completes the proof of Claim 1.

We now continue with the proof. Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection onto  $M_m$  and define  $Z_c, 0 \leq c \leq 1$  by

$$(11) \quad Z_c = \{x \mid x \in D_{m+1}, \|Px\|^2 \geq c\}.$$

Note that  $Z_1 = D_m$  and  $Z_0 = D_{m+1}$ .

REMARK. Geometrically,  $Z_c$  is an equatorial zone (with equator  $D_m$ ) which partially covers  $D_{m+1}$ . Smaller values of  $c$  correspond to more of  $D_{m+1}$  being covered.

Define  $\varphi$  on  $[0, 1]$  by

$$(12) \quad \varphi(c) = \inf_{x \in Z_c} \|PS_i x\|^2, \quad 0 \leq c \leq 1,$$

and let

$$(13) \quad b_1 = \inf_{x \in B_1} \|Px\|^2.$$

Since each  $k \in K$  commutes with  $P$ , we have

$$(14) \quad b_1 = \inf_{k \in K} \inf_{x \in D_m} \|PkS_i x\|^2 = \inf_{x \in D_m} \|PS_i x\|^2 = \inf_{x \in Z_1} \|PS_i x\|^2 = \varphi(1).$$

*Claim 2.*  $B_1 = Z_{b_1}$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* If  $x \in B_1, \|Px\|^2 \geq b_1$  which implies that  $x \in Z_{b_1}$ . Conversely, consider  $x \in Z_{b_1}$  and let  $Q$  denote the orthogonal projection onto the one-dimensional subspace  $M_m^\perp \cap M_{m+1}$  which is spanned by the vector  $t^* \equiv (I - P)t / \|(I - P)t\|$ . Since  $Z_c$  is compact and arcwise connected, the continuous function  $u \rightarrow \|PS_i u\|^2 (u \in Z_c)$  takes on all values between 1 and  $\varphi(c)$ . As  $x \in Z_{b_1}$ ,

$$\|Px\|^2 \geq b_1 = \varphi(1) = \inf_{u \in D_m} \|PS_i u\|^2.$$

Hence, there exists a  $u \in D_m$  such that  $\|PS_i u\|^2 = \|Px\|^2$ . Thus,  $1 = \|Px\|^2 + \|Qx\|^2 = \|PS_i u\|^2 + \|QS_i u\|^2$ , so  $\|QS_i u\|^2 = \|Qx\|^2$ . Since  $Q$  is a projection onto a one-dimensional subspace,  $u$  can be chosen (by changing to  $-u$  if necessary) such that  $Qx = QS_i u$ . The transitivity of  $K$  on  $D_m$  implies there is a  $k \in K$  such that  $kPS_i u = Px$ . Thus,

$kS_i u = kPS_i u + kQS_i u = Px + kQS_i u = Px + QS_i u = Px + Qx = x$ , so  $x = kS_i u \in B_1$ . This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Using Claim 2,  $B_2 = K(S_i(B_1)) = K(S_i(Z_{b_1}))$ . Consider

$$(15) \quad b_2 \equiv \inf_{x \in B_2} \|Px\|^2.$$

Using (15) and the fact that each  $k \in K$  commutes with  $P$ , we have

$$(16) \quad b_2 = \inf_{x \in B_2} \|Px\|^2 = \inf_{x \in Z_{b_1}} \inf_{k \in K} \|PkS_i x\|^2 = \inf_{x \in Z_{b_1}} \|PS_i x\|^2 = \varphi(b_1).$$

*Claim 3.*  $B_2 = Z_{b_2}$ .

*Proof of Claim 3.* If  $x \in B_2$ , then  $x \in D_{m+1}$  and  $\|Px\|^2 \geq b_2$ , so  $x \in Z_{b_2}$ . Conversely, consider  $x \in Z_{b_2}$ . As  $u$  varies over  $Z_{b_1}$ , the function  $u \rightarrow \|PS_i u\|^2$  takes on all values between 1 and  $b_2$ . Since  $\|Px\|^2 \geq b_2$ , there is a  $u \in Z_{b_1}$  such that  $\|PS_i u\|^2 = \|Px\|^2$ . As in the proof of Claim 2,  $1 = \|Px\|^2 + \|Qx\|^2 = \|PS_i u\|^2 + \|QS_i u\|^2$  so  $\|Qx\|^2 = \|QS_i u\|^2$ , and we can choose  $u$  such that  $Qx = QS_i u$ . The transitivity of  $K$  implies that there is a  $k \in K$  such that  $kPS_i u = Px$ . Thus,  $x = Px + Qx = kPS_i u + QS_i u = kPS_i u + kQS_i u = kS_i u \in B_2$  since  $u \in Z_{b_1} = B_1$ . The proof of Claim 3 is complete.

Arguing as in the proof of Claim 3, it is an easy matter to show that  $B_i = Z_{b_i}$  and  $b_i = \varphi(b_{i-1})$  where

$$(17) \quad b_i = \inf_{x \in B_i} \|Px\|^2, \quad i = 3, 4, \dots$$

As noted earlier, the proof of Lemma 3 will be complete if we can show there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $B_{i_0} = Z_0 = D_{m+1}$ . To establish the existence of an  $i_0$ , we will explicitly calculate the function  $\varphi$  defined in (12) and then apply Lemma 2. Define  $z_0 \in D_{m+1}$  by

$$(18) \quad z_0 = S_i t^*$$

where  $t^* = (I - P)t / \|(I - P)t\|$ . Then,

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} a &\equiv \|Pz_0\|^2 = \frac{\|PS_i(I - P)t\|^2}{\|(I - P)t\|^2} = \frac{\|P(I - 2tt')(I - P)t\|^2}{\|(I - P)t\|^2} \\ &= \frac{4\|Pt\|^2(t'(I - P)t)^2}{\|(I - P)t\|^2} = 4\|Pt\|^2(1 - \|Pt\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t \notin M_m$  and  $t \notin M_m^\perp$ ,  $0 < \|Pt\|^2 < 1$  so  $0 < a \leq 1$ .

*Claim 4.* The function  $\varphi$  is given by

$$(20) \quad \varphi(c) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq c \leq a \\ 1 - [\sqrt{ac} + \sqrt{(1-a)(1-c)}]^2 & \text{if } a \leq c \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof of Claim 4.* Since  $Q = t^*t^{**}$  (see the proof of Claim 2), for each  $x \in R^n$ ,  $\|QS_i x\|^2 = x'S_i QS_i x = x'S_i t^* t^{**} S_i x = (z'_0 x)^2$ . Thus,

$$(21) \quad \varphi(c) = \inf_{x \in Z_c} \|PS_i x\|^2 = \inf_{x \in Z_c} (1 - \|QS_i x\|^2) = 1 - \sup_{x \in Z_c} (z'_0 x)^2.$$

If  $a = 1$ , then  $z_0 \in D_m \subseteq Z_c$ , so  $\sup_{x \in Z_c} (z'_0 x)^2 = 1$  and  $\varphi(c) = 0$  for all  $c \in [0, 1]$ .

Now, consider  $a \in (0, 1)$ . For  $x \in Z_c$ , let  $\gamma = \|Px\|^2 \geq c$ . Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} z'_0 x &= z'_0 Px + z'_0 Qx = (Pz_0)'Px + (Qz_0)'Qx \\ &\leq \|Pz_0\| \|Px\| + \|Qz_0\| \|Qx\| = \sqrt{a}\sqrt{\gamma} + \sqrt{1-a}\sqrt{1-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, there is equality in the above inequality for  $x = x_0$  where

$$(23) \quad x_0 = \sqrt{\gamma/a}Pz_0 + \sqrt{(1-\gamma)/(1-a)}Qz_0.$$

Clearly,  $\|Px_0\|^2 = \gamma \geq c$  so  $x_0 \in Z_c$ . Thus,

$$(24) \quad \varphi(c) = 1 - \sup_{\gamma \in [c, 1]} [\sqrt{a}\sqrt{\gamma} + \sqrt{(1-a)(1-\gamma)}]^2.$$

If  $c \leq a$ , then  $\gamma = a \in [c, 1]$  and  $\varphi(c) = 0$ . If  $c > a$ , then the sup in (24) is achieved at  $\gamma = c$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is given by (20) and the proof of Claim 4 is complete.

Now, by Lemma 2, there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $b_{i_0} = 0$  since  $b_1 = \varphi(1)$  and  $b_i = \varphi(b_{i-1})$ . Thus,  $B_{i_0} = Z_0 = D_{m+1}$  and by Claim 1,  $K^*$  is transitive on  $D_{m+1}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G_1 = \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$  where  $\mathcal{R} = \{S_r | r \in R\}$ . If  $G_1$  is infinite and irreducible, then the closure of  $G_1$  is  $O(n)$ . Also, for each  $x \in C_n$ ,  $\{gx | g \in G_1\}$  is dense in  $C_n$ .*

**REMARK.** The assumption that  $G$  is generated by reflections cannot be removed since  $O^+(n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is infinite, closed and irreducible but  $O^+(n) \neq O(n)$ . Our interest in Theorem 1 arose in connection with results for  $G$ -monotone functions when  $G$  is generated by reflections (see Eaton and Perlman (1976)).

### REFERENCES

1. C. T. Benson and L. C. Grove, *Finite Reflection Groups*, Bogden and Quigley, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, New York, 1971.



2. M. L. Eaton and M. D. Perlman, Reflection Groups, Generalized Schur Functions and the Geometry of Majorization. To appear in the Annals of Probability, 1976.

Received February 11, 1977 and in revised form July 28, 1977. The research for the first author was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation—NSF-GP-34482.

The research for the second author was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation—NSF-MCS-72-04364-A03.

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN  
5, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN  
DK 2100 COPENHAGEN, Ø DENMARK

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS

University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

Thomas Robert Berger, <i>Hall-Higman type theorems. V</i> .....	1
Frank Peter Anthony Cass and Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Mercerian theorems via spectral theory</i> .....	63
Morris Leroy Eaton and Michael David Perlman, <i>Generating <math>O(n)</math> with reflections</i> .....	73
Frank John Forelli, Jr., <i>A necessary condition on the extreme points of a class of holomorphic functions</i> .....	81
Melvin F. Janowitz, <i>Complemented congruences on complemented lattices</i> .....	87
Maria M. Klawe, <i>Semidirect product of semigroups in relation to amenability, cancellation properties, and strong Følner conditions</i> ....	91
Theodore Willis Laetsch, <i>Normal cones, barrier cones, and the "spherical image" of convex surfaces in locally convex spaces</i> .....	107
Chao-Chu Liang, <i>Involutions fixing codimension two knots</i> .....	125
Joyce Longman, <i>On generalizations of alternative algebras</i> .....	131
Giancarlo Mauceri, <i>Square integrable representations and the Fourier algebra of a unimodular group</i> .....	143
J. Marshall Osborn, <i>Lie algebras with descending chain condition</i> .....	155
John Robert Quine, Jr., <i>Tangent winding numbers and branched mappings</i> .....	161
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr. and David Eugene Rush, <i>Notes on ideal covers and associated primes</i> .....	169
H. B. Reiter and N. Stavrakas, <i>On the compactness of the hyperspace of faces</i> .....	193
Walter Roth, <i>A general Rudin-Carlson theorem in Banach-spaces</i> .....	197
Mark Andrew Smith, <i>Products of Banach spaces that are uniformly round in every direction</i> .....	215
Roger R. Smith, <i>The <math>R</math>-Borel structure on a Choquet simplex</i> .....	221
Gerald Stoller, <i>The convergence-preserving rearrangements of real infinite series</i> .....	227
Graham H. Toomer, <i>Generalized homotopy excision theorems modulo a Serre class of nilpotent groups</i> .....	233
Norris Freeman Weaver, <i>Dehn's construction and the Poincaré conjecture</i> .....	247
Steven Howard Weintraub, <i>Topological realization of equivariant intersection forms</i> .....	257