

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE COMPACTNESS OF THE HYPERSPACE OF FACES

H. B. REITER AND N. STAVRAKAS

ON THE COMPACTNESS OF THE HYPERSPACE OF FACES

H. B. REITER AND N. M. STAVRAKAS

Let $X \subset R^d$, $d > 2$, be compact and convex. It is shown that the space of proper faces (poonems) of X is compact if and only if the space of k -exposed (extreme) points of X is compact, $0 \leq k \leq d - 2$.

By a *flat* we mean a translate of a subspace of R^d and by a *hyperplane*, a flat of dimension $d - 1$. If X is a compact convex subset of R^d the symbols $\dim(X)$, $\text{relint}(X)$, and $\text{relbd}(X)$ denote respectively, the dimension of the flat generated by X , the interior, and the boundary of X with respect to the flat X generates. A hyperplane H is called a *supporting hyperplane* of X if $H \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap \text{relint}(X) = \emptyset$. A set A is called a *face* of X if $A = X$, $A = \emptyset$ or if there exists a supporting hyperplane H of X such that $A = H \cap X$. The set of proper faces (those not X or \emptyset) is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(X)$. A set B is called a *poonem* of X if there exists sets B_0, B_1, \dots, B_m such that $B_m = X$ and $B_{i-1} \in \mathcal{F}(B_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. The set of poonems of X is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(X)$. A point x in X is called a k -exposed [k -extreme] point if for some $j \leq k$, x belongs to a j -dimensional face [j -dimensional poonem] of X . The symbols $\text{exp}_k(X)$ and $\text{ext}_k(X)$ denote the set of k -exposed and k -extreme points of X respectively. A point x of X is called an *exposed point* of X if $\{x\} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and x is called an *extreme point* if whenever $x \in [a, b] \subset X$, we have $x = a$ or $x = b$, where $[a, b]$ denotes the closed line segment from a to b . The symbols $\text{ext}(X)$ and $\text{exp}(X)$ denote the extreme points and exposed points of X , respectively. Note that $\text{exp}(X) = \text{exp}_0(X)$ and $\text{ext}(X) = \text{ext}_0(X)$. Also, let q denote the Hausdorff metric. Finally, if $D \subset R^d$, the symbols $\text{cl}(D)$ and $\text{conv}(D)$ denote the closure of D and the convex hull of D respectively.

We require the following results

PROPOSITION. *Let X, A and B be nonempty compact convex subsets of R^d , $d \geq 2$, with $A \subset X$ and $B \subset X$.*

- (a) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ($\mathcal{P}(X)$) and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ($\mathcal{P}(X)$).*
- (b) *If $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ($\mathcal{P}(X)$) with $\text{relint}(B) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ then $B \subset A$.*
- (c) *If $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ($\mathcal{P}(X)$) and $A \subseteq B \subset X$ then $A \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ ($\mathcal{P}(B)$).*
- (d) $\text{ext}_k(X) \subset \text{cl}(\text{exp}_k(X))$.
- (e) *If $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ then $\text{ext}_k(A) \subset \text{ext}_k(X)$.*

Propositions (b), (c) and (e) follow quickly from definitions while (a) is proved in Grunbaum [2]. Proposition (d) is due to Asplund [1]. The following theorem is the main communication of this note.

THEOREM. *Let $X \subset R^d$, $d > 2$, be compact and convex. Then*

(i) *The metric space $(P(X), q)$ is compact if and only if $\text{ext}_k(X)$ is compact, $0 \leq k \leq d - 2$.*

(ii) *The metric space $(F(X), q)$ is compact if and only if $\text{exp}_k(X)$ is compact, $0 \leq k \leq d - 2$.*

Proof. We consider part (i). The only if part is obvious. Suppose $\{A_n\} \rightarrow A$ with $A_n \in P(X)$ for all n . Clearly if $\dim(A) = 0$, A is a limit point of $\text{ext}(X)$ and we are done. We proceed now by induction on the dimension of A . Let $\dim(A) = k + 1$. We may suppose $k + 1 \leq d - 2$ since if $k + 1 = d - 1$ the sequence $\{A_n\}$ is eventually constant and we are done. We consider cases:

Case 1. For infinitely many n , $\dim(A_n) = k + 1$. Without loss of generality we may suppose $\dim(A_n) = k + 1$ (otherwise we pass to an appropriate subsequence). Let $x \in \text{relint}(A)$. Since x is a limit point of the set $\text{ext}_{k+1}(X)$ and $k + 1 \leq d - 2$ our hypotheses imply that there exists $Q \in P(X)$ with $\dim(Q) = k + 1$ and $x \in Q$. By Proposition (b) $A \subset Q$. We claim $A = Q$. Suppose not. Then there exists $y \in \text{rel bd}(A) \cap \text{relint}(Q)$ and there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$ with $y_n \in \text{rel bd}(A_n)$ for all n . Let $F_n \in P(A_n)$ with $y_n \in F_n$ and $F_n \neq A_n$ for all n . Without loss of generality we may suppose $\{F_n\} \rightarrow F$ for some F . Since $\dim(F_n) \leq k$ for all n we have $\dim(F) \leq k$. Since we have that $F_n \in P(X)$ for all n and since $\dim(F) \leq k$ the induction hypothesis implies $F \in P(X)$. Since $F \cap \text{relint}(Q) \neq \emptyset$, Proposition (b) implies $Q \subset F$, a contradiction since $\dim(F) < \dim(Q)$. Thus $A = Q$ and we are done.

Case 2. There is no subsequence of $\{A_n\}$ each element of which has dimension $k + 1$. Then there exists $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ with $x_n \in \text{rel bd}(A_n)$ for all n . Let $F_n \in P(A_n)$, $F_n \neq A_n$, with $x_n \in F_n$ for all n . Without loss of generality we may suppose $\{F_n\} \rightarrow F$ for some F . Note $F_n \in P(X)$ and $\dim(F_n) < \dim(A_n)$ for all n . If there is a subsequence of $\{F_n\}$ each element of which has dimension $k + 1$ then x is a limit point of $\text{ext}_{k+1}(X)$ and we have returned to the argument of the first case. If not, we repeat the latter procedure as many times as needed to return.

We turn now to the proof of part (ii). The only if part is trivial and is omitted. In view of (i), we need only show "a face of a face is a face." Let $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $\dim(A) \geq 1$ and let $B \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and sup-

pose $\dim(B) = k$. We may suppose B is a maximal proper face of A with respect to set inclusion. By definition $B \subset \text{ext}_k(X)$ and by hypothesis and Propositions (e) and (d) $B \subset \text{exp}_k(X)$. Let $x \in \text{rel int}(B)$ and let $Q \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $x \in Q$ and $\dim(Q) = j \leq k$. Since $\text{rel int}(B) \cup (A \cap Q) \neq \emptyset$, by Propositions (a) and (b), $B \subset A \cap Q$. Since $\dim(A \cap Q) \leq \dim(Q) \leq j \leq k < \dim(A)$, we have $A \cap Q \neq A$. Since we have that $A \cap Q \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ Proposition (c) implies that $A \cap Q \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. We must now have $B = A \cap Q$ since B is a maximal proper face of A . This completes the proof of (ii).

If one defines $f: \text{rel bd}(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ where $f(x)$ is the smallest poonem of X containing x , then one has an example of the face function introduced by V. Klee and M. Martin [3]. Part (i) provides a characterization of those compact convex sets X in R^d for which f is continuous at each point of $\text{rel bd}(X)$.

We wish to consider some examples from R^3 and R^4 . Let D be a compact convex subset of R^3 with nonempty interior such that $\text{exp}(D)$ is compact but $(\mathcal{F}(D), q)$ is not compact. It is not difficult to show that there is a 1-poonem B of D which is not a face of D . On the basis of the latter example, one might conjecture that for a compact subset X of R^3 $(\mathcal{F}(X), q)$ is compact if and only if $\text{exp}(X)$ is compact and for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $\mathcal{F}(A) \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ i.e., "a face of a face is a face." While this is true in R^3 it is not in R^4 as the following example shows.

Let $C = \{(x, y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1, x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$ and let $E = \text{conv}\{(1, 0), (-1, 0), (0, 1), (0, -1)\}$. Let l be the closed line segment from $(0, -1)$ to $(0, 1)$ in E . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of distinct points in C convergent to $(1, 0)$. Regarding R^4 as $R^2 \times R^2$ let $F_i = \{x_i\} \times l$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $F_0 = \{(1, 0)\} \times E$. Define X as $X = \text{conv}(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i)$. One may check that $\text{exp}(X)$ is compact and that "a face of a face is a face." Note also for each i , $F_i \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\{F_i\} \rightarrow F$ where $F = \{(1, 0)\} \times l$. However, $F \notin \mathcal{F}(X)$ so that $(\mathcal{F}(X), q)$ is not compact. (This particular example was pointed out by V. Klee; the authors had a more cumbersome one.) Returning to the set D in R^3 mentioned earlier, perhaps the more important observation about D (as far as higher dimensions are concerned) is not that we have $B \in \mathcal{P}(D)$ and $B \notin \mathcal{F}(D)$ but rather that $\text{exp}_1(D)$ is not compact since the theorem in R^3 that generalizes is that $(\mathcal{F}(X), q)$ is compact if and only if $\text{exp}(X)$ and $\text{exp}_1(X)$ are compact.

REFERENCES

1. E. Asplund, *A k -extreme point is the limit of k exposed points*, Israel J. Math., **1** (1963), 161-162.
2. B. Grunbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1967.

3. V. Klee and M. Martin, Semicontinuity of the face function of a convex set, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, **46** (1971), 1-12.

Received March 1, 1976 and in revised form April 20, 1977.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE, NC 28223

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

Thomas Robert Berger, <i>Hall-Higman type theorems. V</i>	1
Frank Peter Anthony Cass and Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Mercerian theorems via spectral theory</i>	63
Morris Leroy Eaton and Michael David Perlman, <i>Generating $O(n)$ with reflections</i>	73
Frank John Forelli, Jr., <i>A necessary condition on the extreme points of a class of holomorphic functions</i>	81
Melvin F. Janowitz, <i>Complemented congruences on complemented lattices</i>	87
Maria M. Klawe, <i>Semidirect product of semigroups in relation to amenability, cancellation properties, and strong Følner conditions</i>	91
Theodore Willis Laetsch, <i>Normal cones, barrier cones, and the "spherical image" of convex surfaces in locally convex spaces</i>	107
Chao-Chu Liang, <i>Involutions fixing codimension two knots</i>	125
Joyce Longman, <i>On generalizations of alternative algebras</i>	131
Giancarlo Mauceri, <i>Square integrable representations and the Fourier algebra of a unimodular group</i>	143
J. Marshall Osborn, <i>Lie algebras with descending chain condition</i>	155
John Robert Quine, Jr., <i>Tangent winding numbers and branched mappings</i>	161
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr. and David Eugene Rush, <i>Notes on ideal covers and associated primes</i>	169
H. B. Reiter and N. Stavrakas, <i>On the compactness of the hyperspace of faces</i>	193
Walter Roth, <i>A general Rudin-Carlson theorem in Banach-spaces</i>	197
Mark Andrew Smith, <i>Products of Banach spaces that are uniformly round in every direction</i>	215
Roger R. Smith, <i>The R-Borel structure on a Choquet simplex</i>	221
Gerald Stoller, <i>The convergence-preserving rearrangements of real infinite series</i>	227
Graham H. Toomer, <i>Generalized homotopy excision theorems modulo a Serre class of nilpotent groups</i>	233
Norris Freeman Weaver, <i>Dehn's construction and the Poincaré conjecture</i>	247
Steven Howard Weintraub, <i>Topological realization of equivariant intersection forms</i>	257