

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SEQUENCES OF BOUNDED SUMMABILITY DOMAINS**

ROBERT M. DEVOS AND FREDERICK W. HARTMANN

## SEQUENCES OF BOUNDED SUMMABILITY DOMAINS

R. M. DEVOS AND F. W. HARTMANN

**C. Goffman and G. N. Wollan conjectured that the bounded summability field of a regular matrix  $A$  is so thin that the union of countably many such sets is not dense in  $m$ . G. M. Petersen proved this conjecture. This result is strengthened by showing if  $A$  is a noncoercive matrix whose summability field contains all the finite sequences then its bounded summability field is so thin that the union of countably many such sets is not dense in  $m$ . An example is given to show that the condition of containing the finite sequences is necessary.**

**Preliminaries.** Let  $m$  and  $c$  be respectively the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences,  $x = \{x_n\}$ , of complex numbers with norm  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_n |x_n|$ ,  $B(x, r) = \{z \in m: \|x + z\|_\infty < r\}$ . Denote the  $n$ th section of  $x$  by  $P_n(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ . For each infinite matrix  $A$  the set of  $x$  transformed by  $A$  to convergent sequences is called the summability field of  $A$  and denoted by  $c_A$ . The set of bounded sequences in  $c_A$  is called the bounded summability field of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $A$  is called conservative if and only if  $c_A \supset c$ , regular if and only if  $A$  is conservative and limits are preserved, coercive if and only if  $c_A \supset m$ . If  $A = (a_{nk})$ , then the  $A$  transform of  $x$  is designated by  $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\} = \{\sum_k a_{nk}x_k\}$ .  $A$  is conservative if and only if  $\|A\|_\infty = \sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty$ ,  $a_k = \lim_n a_{nk}$  exists for each  $k$  and  $\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk}$  exists [5, p. 165].  $A$  is coercive if and only if  $\sum_k |a_{nk}|$  converges uniformly in  $n$  and  $a_k$  exists for each  $k$  [5, p. 169]. Define the essential norm of  $A$  by  $\|A\|_e = \limsup_n \sum_k |a_{nk} - a_k|$  whenever  $a_k$  exists for each  $k$ . (Note  $\|\cdot\|_e$  is not a true norm, since  $\|\cdot\|_e$  may be infinite.)

Let  $E^\infty$  be the set of all finite sequences and  $N_0$  the set of all sequences of 0's and 1's. Using binary expansions there is a natural injective mapping of  $(0, 1)$  onto all but a countable subset of  $N_0$ .

**MAIN RESULTS.** C. Goffman and G. N. Wollan conjectured [4] that the bounded summability field of regular  $A$  is so thin that the union of countably many such sets is not dense in  $m$ . G. M. Petersen proved this conjecture [6]. We strengthen that result and show that in a certain sense our result is best possible.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\{A_i\}$  be a countable collection of noncoercive matrices with  $\mathcal{A}_i \supset E^\infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i$  is not dense in  $m$ .*

We prove the theorem through a series of lemmas. Since we

want  $E^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$ , we shall assume all  $A$  in the sequel have convergent columns.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\|A\|_\infty < \infty$  then  $\|A\|_c = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is coercive.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is coercive. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $k_0$

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \varepsilon/3$$

for all  $n$ . Since  $\{a_k\} \in \ell^1$ , there is a  $k_1$  such that  $k > k_1$  implies

$$\sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let  $k_2 = \max(k_1, k_0)$ . There exists  $n_0 = n_0(k_2)$  such that  $n > n_0$  implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let  $n > n_0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_k| &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} |a_{nk} - a_k| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| + \sum_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &< \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely assume  $A$  is noncoercive. There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{n(p)\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k}| > \varepsilon$ . There exists  $k_0$  such that  $\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \varepsilon/2$ . Pick  $p$  with  $p > k_0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k} - a_k| &\geq \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k} - a_k| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(p),k}| - \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &\geq \varepsilon - \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|A\|_c > 0$ .

Let  $\Gamma(c, c)$  be the Banach algebra of conservative matrices and  $\mathcal{K}$  be the ideal of compact operators. It is well known [8] that  $A \in \mathcal{K}$  if and only if  $A$  is coercive.  $\Gamma(c, c)/\mathcal{K}$  is a Banach algebra and is called a Calkin algebra [2]. It is easily seen that  $\|\cdot\|_c$  is the norm in the Calkin algebra.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\|A\|_c < \infty$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be cluster points of  $Ax$ ,*

$x \in m$ , then  $|a - b| \leq 2 \|A\|_c \|x\|_\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be cluster points of  $Ax$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exist increasing sequences of positive integers  $\{n(i)\}$ ,  $\{m(j)\}$  and  $N_0$  such that for  $n(i), m(j) > N_0$

$$\left| \sum_k a_{n(i),k} x_k - a \right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left| \sum_k a_{m(j),k} x_k - b \right| < \varepsilon.$$

There exists  $N_1$  such that  $n > N_1$  implies

$$\sum_k |a_{nk} - a_k| < \|A\|_c + \varepsilon.$$

Let  $n(i), m(j) > \max(N_0, N_1)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |a - b| &\leq \left| \sum_k a_{n(i),k} x_k - \sum_k a_{m(j),k} x_k \right| + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_k |a_{n(i),k} - a_{m(j),k}| |x_k| + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \|x\|_\infty \sum_k |(a_{n(i),k} - a_k) - (a_{m(j),k} - a_k)| + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \|x\|_\infty (\|A\|_c + \varepsilon + \|A\|_c + \varepsilon) + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary the conclusion follows.

The next lemma is due to Bennett and Kalton and appears as Lemma 7 of [1, p. 577].

LEMMA 3. (Bennett and Kalton). If  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  is any finite collection of complex numbers then there exists a subset  $J(n)$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in J(n)} z_j \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|.$$

LEMMA 4. If  $\|A\| = \infty$ , then there exists  $E(A)$  with  $E(A) \subset N_0$ ,  $N_0 \setminus E(A)$  of first category and if  $u \in E(A)$  then  $B(u, 1/32) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Case 1. Assume all the rows of  $A$  are in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Let  $\|A\| = \infty$ . Pick sequences  $n(k)$  and  $q(k)$  inductively such that  $n(1) = 1$  and

- (i)  $\sum_{i=q(k)+1}^\infty |a_{n(k),i}| < 2^{-k}$
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=q(k-1)+1}^{q(k)} |a_{n(k),i}| > (65/7) \sup_j \{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(k-1)} |a_{ji}| \}$ .

By Lemma 3 select  $J(k) \subset \{q(k-1) + 1, \dots, q(k)\}$  with

$$\left| \sum_{i \in J(k)} a_{n(k), i} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=q(k-1)+1}^{q(k)} |a_{n(k), i}|.$$

For each natural number  $k$  define the sequence  $u^k$  by  $u_i^k = 1$  if  $i \in J(k)$ ,  $u_i^k = 0$  if  $i \notin J(k)$ . Let

$$O_k = \{u \in N_0 : (P_{q(k)} - P_{q(k-1)})(u - u^k) = 0\}.$$

If  $E(A) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} O_k}$ , then  $E(A)$  is of second category. [ $\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} O_k$  is open and dense, hence by the Baire theorem  $E(A)$  is of second category.] Let  $u \in E(A)$  and  $\|z\|_{\infty} < 1/32$ .  $u$  is in an infinite number of the  $O_k$ . Let  $u \in O_r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(A(u+z))_{n(r)}| &\geq |(Au)_{n(r)}| - |(Az)_{n(r)}| \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} a_{n(r), i} u_i \right| - \left| \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} a_{n(r), i} u_i \right| - \left| \sum_{i=q(r)+1}^{\infty} a_{n(r), i} u_i \right| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{n(r), i}| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{33}{32} \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{n(r), i}| \\ &\quad - \frac{33}{32} \sum_{i=q(r)+1}^{\infty} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} |a_{n(r), i}| \\ &\geq \frac{7}{32} \sum_{i=q(r-1)+1}^{q(r)} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{33}{32} \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{n(r), i}| - \frac{33}{32} 2^{-r} \\ &\geq \frac{7}{32} \frac{65}{7} \sup_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{ji}| \right\} - \frac{33}{32} \sup_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{ji}| \right\} - 2^{1-r} \\ &\geq \sup_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q(r-1)} |a_{ji}| \right\} - 2^{1-r} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the  $A$  transform of  $u+z$  is unbounded.

*Case 2.* Let  $A$  have one row,  $x$ , not in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Let  $B = (b_{nk})$  where  $b_{nk} = P_n(x)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $B$  satisfies the hypothesis of Case 1. Let  $E(A) = E(B)$  then  $E(A) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$  and  $E(A)$  satisfies the other conditions of the lemma's conclusion.

**LEMMA 5.** *If  $\|A\| < \infty$ , and  $A$  is noncoercive then there is  $E(A)$  with  $E(A) \subseteq N_0$ ,  $N_0 \setminus E(A)$  is of first category and if  $u \in E(A)$ , then  $B(u, 1/32) \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof. Case 1.* Assume  $a_k = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $\alpha^n$  be the  $n$ th row of  $A$ . Using an argument similar to that of Petersen and Baker [6] (see also the construction of Lemma 4) it can be shown that without loss of generality one may assume that the rows and columns of  $A$  are in  $E^{\infty}$  and moving to the right, (if  $P_j \alpha^n = 0$  then

$P_j \alpha^m = 0$  for  $m \geq n$ ). By Lemma 1  $\|A\|_c > 0$ . Hence there exists increasing sequences  $n(j)$  and  $r(j)$  of positive integers such that

- (i)  $\sum_{k=r(j-1)+1}^{r(j)} |a_{n(j),k}| > \|A\|_c/2$
- (ii)  $(P_{r(j)} - P_{r(j-1)})\alpha^{n(j)} = \alpha^{n(j)}$ .

Let  $J(2j)$  be a subset of  $r(2j - 1)$  to  $r(2j) - 1$  with

$$\left| \sum_{k \in J(2j)} a_{n(j),k} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=r(2j-1)+1}^{r(2j)} |a_{n(j),k}| \geq \frac{\|A\|_c}{8}$$

(see Lemma 3). Define  $O_j = \{u \in N_0: u_k = 1 \text{ if } k \in J(2j), u_k = 0 \text{ if } r(2j - 2) + 1 \leq k \leq r(2j), k \notin J(2j)\}$ . Since only a finite number of coordinates are specified for elements of  $O_j$ ,  $O_j$  is open. For each  $k$ ,  $\bigcup_{j=k}^\infty O_j$  is open and dense, hence by the Baire category theorem.  $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{j=k}^\infty O_j$  is of second category. Let  $E(A) = \{u \in N_0: Au \text{ has cluster points } a, b, \text{ with } |a - b| \geq \|A\|_c/8\}$ . By construction each element of  $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{j=k}^\infty O_j$  has 0 and  $a$  ( $|a| > \|A\|_c/8$ ) as cluster points thus  $E(A)$  is of second category. Let  $u \in E(A)$  and  $\|z\|_\infty < 1/32$  and consider  $A(u + z)$ .  $Au$  has two cluster points separated in distance by at least  $\|A\|_c/8$ , and  $A(z)$  has cluster points separated by at most  $2(1/32)\|A\|_c$  (Lemma 2). Therefore  $A(u + z)$  has at least two cluster points; hence  $u + z \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

*Case 2.* Let  $a_k \neq 0$  for some  $k$ . Define  $B = (b_{nk})$  where  $b_{nk} = a_k, n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ .  $B$  transforms every bounded sequence to a constant sequence, thus the cluster points of  $(A - B)u, u \in m$ , are a shift of those of  $Au$ , and  $A - B$  satisfies the hypothesis of Case 1. Thus the conclusion follows in a manner similar to Case 1.

*Proof of Theorem.* Let  $A_i$  be a countable collection of non-coercive matrices with  $\mathcal{A}_i \supset E^\infty, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . By Lemmas 4 and 5 for each  $i$  there exists  $E(A_i) \subseteq N_0, E(A_i)$  of second category, and if  $u \in E(A_i), B(u, 1/32) \cap \mathcal{A}_i = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty E(A_i) \neq \emptyset$  and if  $u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty E(A_i)$ , then  $B(u, 1/32) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i$  is not dense in  $m$ .

Goffman and Wollan in [4] gave an example of a countable family of  $FK$  spaces contained in  $m$  whose union is dense in  $m$ . They can be realized as summability domains in the following manner. Let  $\{r_i\}$  be a denumeration of the nonzero rationals. Define  $A_i = (a_{nk}^{(i)})$  by

- (i)  $a_{n1}^{(i)} = r_i, a_{n2}^{(i)} = -1, n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$
- (ii)  $a_{n1}^{(i)} = -1, a_{n2}^{(i)} = r_i^{-1}, n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$   
 $a_{nk} = 0, k \geq 3, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then  $\mathcal{A}_i = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty: x_1 = x, x_2 = r_i x, x_k \text{ arbitrary for } k \geq 3 \text{ and } x \text{ complex}\} \cap m$ . Each  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is nowhere dense in  $m$ , but  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_i$  is dense. Note, however, that  $\mathcal{A}_i \not\supset E^\infty$ . Hence the hypothesis that each

$\mathcal{A}_i \supseteq E^\infty$  cannot be removed and our result is in some sense best possible.

Although we have proved our result only for  $\mathcal{A}_i$ , we conjecture that the following more general result holds:

Conjecture. If  $\{F_i\}$  is a countable collection of *FK*-spaces each containing  $E^\infty$  but not  $m$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$  is not dense in  $m$ . (See [8] for definitions and basic results.)

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Bennett and N. J. Kalton, *FK-spaces containing  $c_0$* , Duke Math. J., **39** (1972), 561-582.
2. S. R. Caradus, W. E. Pfaffenberger and Bertram Yood, *Calkin Algebras and Algebras of Operators on Banach Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
3. R. DeVos, *Category of sequences of 0's and 1's in some FK spaces*, to appear in the Glasgow Math. J.
4. C. Goffman and G. N. Wollan, *Sequences of regular summability matrices*, Monats. für Math., **76** (1972), 118-120.
5. I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.
6. G. M. Petersen, *Summability fields which span the bounded sequences densely*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **5** (1973), 187-191.
7. ———, Addendum: *Summability fields which span the bounded sequences densely*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **7** (1975), 105.
8. A. Wilansky, *Functional Analysis*, Blaisdell, New York, 1964.

Received April 4, 1977 and in revised form August 8, 1977.

VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY  
VILLANOVA, PA 19085

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1978 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan



Aharon Atzmon, <i>Spectral synthesis in some spaces of bounded continuous functions</i> .....	277
Karl Egil Aubert and Isidor Fleischer, <i>Tensor products of ideal systems and their modules</i> .....	285
Richard F. Basener, <i>Several dimensional properties of the spectrum of a uniform algebra</i> .....	297
R. H. Bing and Michael Peter Starbird, <i>Super triangulations</i> .....	307
Andrew Carson, <i>Coherent polynomial rings over regular rings of finite index</i> .....	327
Robert M. DeVos and Frederick W. Hartmann, <i>Sequences of bounded summability domains</i> .....	333
George Grätzer and R. Padmanabhan, <i>Symmetric difference in abelian groups</i> .....	339
Robert L. Griess, Jr., <i>A remark about groups of characteristic 2-type and p-type</i> .....	349
Emil Grosswald and F. J. Schnitzer, <i>A class of modified <math>\zeta</math> and L-functions</i> .....	357
Jutta Hausen and Johnny Albert Johnson, <i>Ideals and radicals of some endomorphism rings</i> .....	365
Jean Ann Larson, <i>A solution for scattered order types of a problem of Haggendorf</i> .....	373
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Extremal properties of real biaxially symmetric potentials in <math>E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}</math></i> .....	381
Héctor Alfredo Merklen, <i>Hereditary crossed product orders</i> .....	391
Hal G. Moore and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Equational definability of addition in certain rings</i> .....	407
Robert Laurens Moore, <i>Reductivity in <math>C^*</math>-algebras and essentially reductive operators</i> .....	419
Joseph Alvin Neisendorfer, <i>Lie algebras, coalgebras and rational homotopy theory for nilpotent spaces</i> .....	429
William Raymond Nico, <i>Bounded monoids</i> .....	461
Richard Paul Osborne, <i>Simplifying spines of 3-manifolds</i> .....	473
Richard Paul Osborne, <i>The simplest closed 3-manifolds. With an appendix by Osborne and J. Yelle</i> .....	481
Clayton Collier Sherman, <i>The K-theory of an equicharacteristic discrete valuation ring injects into the K-theory of its field of quotients</i> .....	497
Mitchell Herbert Taibleson, <i>The failure of even conjugate characterizations of <math>H^1</math> on local fields</i> .....	501
Keti Tenenblat, <i>On characteristic hypersurfaces of submanifolds in Euclidean space</i> .....	507
Jeffrey L. Tollefson, <i>Involutions of Seifert fiber spaces</i> .....	519
Joel Larry Weiner, <i>An inequality involving the length, curvature, and torsions of a curve in Euclidean n-space</i> .....	531
Neyamat Zaheer, <i>On generalized polars of the product of abstract homogeneous polynomials</i> .....	535