

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**EXTREMAL PROPERTIES OF REAL BIAXIALLY SYMMETRIC  
POTENTIALS IN  $E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$**

PETER A. MCCOY

## EXTREMAL PROPERTIES OF REAL BIAXIALLY SYMMETRIC POTENTIALS IN $E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$

PETER A. MCCOY

The set  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of all real biaxially symmetric potentials  $U^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x^2 + y^2)^n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x^2 - y^2/x^2 + y^2)/P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)$ ,  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$  which are regular in the open unit sphere  $\Sigma$  about the origin in  $E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$ . Three problems appear regarding  $\mathcal{B}$  and subset  $\mathcal{B}_*$  whose members have the first  $m+1$  coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_m$  specified. (1) For  $U^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \mathcal{B}$ , determine  $I(U^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = \inf \{U^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in \Sigma\}$  as limit of a monotone sequence of constants  $\{\lambda_{2m}(a_0, \dots, a_m)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$  which can be computed algebraically. (2) Find  $U_0^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \mathcal{B}_*$  and the constant  $\lambda_{2m}(a_0, \dots, a_m) = \sup \{I(U^{(\alpha,\beta)}) \mid U^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \mathcal{B}_*\} = I(U_0^{(\alpha,\beta)})$ . (3) Determine necessary and sufficient conditions from the Fourier coefficients so that  $U^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is nonnegative in  $\Sigma$ . We develop solutions using operators based on Koornwinder's Laplace type integral for Jacobi polynomials, along with applications of the methods of ascent and descent to the Caratheodory-Fejer and Caratheodory-Toeplitz problems which focus on the properties of harmonic functions in  $E^2$ .

1. Introduction. Real biaxially symmetric potentials (BASP)  $U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  which are regular in some domain  $\Omega$  about the origin in  $E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$  may be expanded uniquely as a series

$$(1) \quad U^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) = \alpha_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n U_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y), \quad \alpha, \beta > -1/2$$

in terms of the complete set of biaxially symmetric harmonic polynomials

$$(2) \quad U_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x^2 - y^2/x^2 + y^2)/P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1),$$

defined from the Jacobi polynomials [1, p. 9]. These functions are necessarily even, satisfying the Cauchy data

$$(3) \quad U_x^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0, y) = U_y^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, 0) = 0$$

along the singular lines  $x = 0, y = 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Symmetry about one axis reduces  $U_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  to zonal harmonics ( $\alpha = \beta$ ), identifying  $U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  as a generalized axially symmetric potential (GASP) [1, p. 10; 5, p. 167] which corresponds to the real part of an analytic function of one complex variable when  $\alpha = \beta = -1/2$ . This simple correspondence provides characterizations of the fundamental properties of harmonic functions in  $E^2$  from their Fourier coefficients in circular harmonics as they are determined by those of the as-

sociated analytic functions; serving as a point of reference in seeking the singularities, zeros, and extremal values for other parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ .

R. P. Gilbert [5, 6] employed properties of the Jacobi polynomials to represent each (complex valued) BASP as the integral transform of a unique associated analytic function of one complex variable and conversely. Then reasoning as in the "Envelope Method" [4, 5], a generalization of the Hadamard argument in the Singularities Theorem [3, 5], he showed that the classical criterion of Hadamard and Mandelbrojt [3] for determining the location and structure of the singularities of harmonic functions in  $E^2$  from their Fourier coefficients provides analogous information for BASP in  $E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > -1/2$ .

M. Marden [11] and P. McCoy [12, 13] applied convexity arguments and conformed mapping techniques to the Bergman  $\mathcal{B}_3$  [2, 5] and Gilbert  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  [4, 5] integral representations of GASP, describing their value distribution as in the classical Cauchy [10, p. 123], Caratheodory-Toeplitz [16, p. 153] and Schur [17, p. 159] coefficient theorems for harmonic functions in  $E^2$ . T. Koornwinder's [1, 9] new Laplace type integral for Jacobi polynomials was used by P. McCoy and J. D'Archangelo [15] to extend properties developed by Marden for the zeros of axially symmetric harmonic polynomials to harmonic polynomials with biaxial symmetry.

Further applications of Koornwinder's integral by McCoy [16] produced operators mapping analytic functions of one complex variable onto (complex valued) BASP and conversely. These operators, valid for limited ranges of the parameters, permitted a partial extension of the Caratheodory-Toeplitz and Schur theorems. Moreover, a new aspect of the coefficient problem—that of the extremal properties of the real axially symmetric potentials of the Caratheodory-Fejer [8, p. 145ff] type—was introduced by operators related to  $\mathcal{B}_3$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

This article provides a unified treatment of the above mentioned theorems and properties, extending them to real BASP without restriction beyond Koornwinder's on the parameters. Taken in union with Gilbert's theory of singularities, it completes the generalization of the classical coefficient theorems pertaining to real harmonic (or analytic) functions in  $E^2$ . These may be also viewed as a means of calculating the infimum (supremum) of solutions to the biaxially symmetric potential equation [5, 9] from the Fourier coefficients which taken with the methods of ascent and descent [4] indicates similar possibilities for solutions to more general partial differential equation generated by operators whose properties are analogous to those found in

2. **Basic formulas and definitions.** Koornwinder's formula [9, p. 130] represents the biaxially symmetric harmonic polynomials as

$$(4) \quad U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \zeta^n d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(t, s), \quad \alpha > \beta > -1/2$$

$$(5) \quad \zeta = x^2 - y^2 t^2 + i2xyt \cos s$$

with nonnegative measure

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(t, s) &= \gamma_{\alpha, \beta} (1 - t^2)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} t^{2\beta + 1} (\sin s)^{2\alpha} dt ds \\ \gamma_{\alpha, \beta} &= 2\Gamma(\alpha + 1)/\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)\Gamma(\beta + 1/2) \end{aligned}$$

normalized so that

$$(7) \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(t, s) = 1.$$

The real harmonic polynomials

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} u_n(x, y) &\equiv \mathbf{u}_n(x^2 - y^2, 2xy) \\ v_n(x, y) &\equiv \mathbf{v}_n(x^2 - y^2, 2xy) \end{aligned}$$

are defined by

$$u_n(x, y) + iv_n(x, y) = (x + iy)^{2n}.$$

Expanding the vector  $\zeta^n$  in terms of these as

$$(9) \quad \zeta^n = \mathbf{u}_n(x^2 - y^2, 2xyt \cos s) + i\mathbf{v}_n(x^2 - y^2, 2xyt \cos s)$$

and transforming according to Koornwinder's formula establishes that  $v_n$ , the harmonic conjugate of  $u_n$ , is in the null space of (4). This suggests the relation

$$(10) \quad U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \mathbf{u}_n(x^2 - y^2 t^2, 2xyt \cos s) d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(t, s)$$

associating the real (even) BASP (1) and the real (even) harmonic function

$$(11) \quad u(x, y) = a_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n(x, y)$$

viz.

$$u(x^2 - y^2, 2xy) = a_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n(x^2 - y^2, 2xy)$$

by the operator

$$(12) \quad U^{(\alpha, \beta)} = A_{\alpha, \beta}(u)$$

whose definition is

$$(13) \quad U^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi u(x^2 - y^2 t^2, 2xyt \cos s) d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(t, s).$$

Evidently, if  $u$  is harmonic in the open unit disk  $D_\rho$  of radius  $\rho$  about the origin in  $E^2$ ,  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a BASP in the open unit sphere  $\Sigma_\rho$  of radius  $\rho$  about the origin in  $E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$ .

The inverse operator (related to  $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$  [see 6]) uses orthogonality of the Jacobi polynomials

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\tau) P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\tau) (1 - \tau)^\alpha (1 + \tau)^\beta d\tau = h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \delta_{nm}, \quad \alpha, \beta > -1$$

to define the measure

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} d\nu_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta, \tau) &= S_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta, \tau) (1 - \tau)^\alpha (1 + \tau)^\beta d\tau, \\ S_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta, \tau) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n(\xi, \eta) P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\tau) P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) / h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \end{aligned}$$

inverting the relation (10) as

$$u_n(x, y) = \int_{-1}^{+1} U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(r \sqrt{\frac{1 - \tau}{2}}, r \sqrt{\frac{1 + \tau}{2}}\right) d\nu_{\alpha, \beta}(xr^{-1}, yr^{-1}, \tau),$$

determining the inverse operator

$$u = A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}(U^{(\alpha, \beta)})$$

as

$$(15) \quad u(x, y) = \int_{-1}^{+1} U^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(r \sqrt{\frac{1 - \tau}{2}}, r \sqrt{\frac{1 + \tau}{2}}\right) d\nu_{\alpha, \beta}(xr^{-1}, yr^{-1}, \tau).$$

An absolutely and uniformly convergent dominant of  $S_{\alpha, \beta}$  for  $(\xi, \eta, \tau)$  on compact subsets of  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [-1, +1]$  is the Poisson kernel [1, p. 11]. By construction of the operators, it follows directly that  $A_{\alpha, \beta}$  and  $A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$  are one-one onto maps between the families

$$\mathcal{H}_\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \{U^{(\alpha, \beta)} | \text{expansion (1) regular in } \Sigma_\rho\}, \quad \alpha > \beta > -1/2$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho = \{u | \text{expansion (11) regular in } D_\rho\}$$

which share the normalization

$$A_{\alpha, \beta}(1) = A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}(1) = 1.$$

A principle interest is in the values of the functionals

$$\begin{aligned} I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) &= \inf_{\Sigma} U^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad U^{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \\ i(u) &= \inf_D u, \quad u \in \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

(subscripts  $\rho = 1$  are dropped) as they are determined by the minimal eigenvalues  $\lambda_{2k}(a_0, \dots, a_k)$  of the Toeplitz matrices

$$(16) \quad T_{2k}(a_0, \dots, a_k) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 & 0 & a_3 & \dots & a_k \\ 0 & a_0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_1 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 & \dots & a_{k-1} \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ a_k & 0 & \dots & & & & & & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

found by applying theorem (a) [8, p. 147] to the function  $F(z) = f(z^2)$ . We now turn to

3. Extremal properties. The following is an extension of theorem (a) [8, p. 147] referred to in an equivalent form [7, p. 499ff] as the Caratheodory-Fejer theorem which is how we identify it.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = a_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y)$  be a real BASP regular in the sphere  $\Sigma$  and  $\{\lambda_{2k}(a_0, \dots, a_k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be the sequence of smallest eigenvalues associated with the Toeplitz matrices  $\{T_{2k}(a_0, \dots, a_k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ . Then*

$$(17) \quad I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) = \lim_k \lambda_{2k}(a_0, \dots, a_k), \quad \alpha > \beta > -1/2.$$

*Proof.* For the nonnegativity of the measure (6) and the normalization (7), it is immediate that

$$U^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = A_{\alpha, \beta}(u) \geq i(u), \quad \alpha > \beta > -1/2$$

and

$$(18) \quad I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \geq i(u) = \lim_k \lambda_{2k}(a_0, \dots, a_k).$$

The smaller functional is evaluated by the Caratheodory-Fejer theorem [8, p. 147]. Anticipating the reverse inequality, we define the functionals

$$I_{\rho_0}(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) = \inf_{\mathcal{D}_{\rho_0}} U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$$

$$i_{\rho_0}(u) = \inf_{\mathcal{D}_{\rho_0}} u$$

with

$$\rho_0 = \sup \{ \rho \mid S_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta, \tau) > 0, \xi^2 + \eta^2 < \rho^2 < 1, \tau \in [-1, 1] \}.$$

The number  $\rho_0$  exists since  $S_{\alpha, \beta}$  is continuous in a cylinder of small enough radius with center on the  $\tau$ -axis,  $\tau \in [-1, +1]$ , and  $\rho_0$  is positive as  $S_{\alpha, \beta}(0, 0, \tau) = 1$  there.

Now, if  $U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_1, y_1)$  is a BASP which is nonnegative for  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 \leq \rho_0^2$  and the  $A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$  associate is  $u_*$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} u_*(x_1, y_1) &= A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}(U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \\ &\geq A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}(I_{\rho_0}(U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)})) = I_{\rho_0}(U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(19) \quad i_{\rho_0}(u_*) \geq I_{\rho_0}(U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

The homothetic transformations  $x_1 = \rho_0 x$ ,  $y_1 = \rho_0 y$ , and the homogeneity of the harmonic polynomials

$$u_n(x, y) = \rho_0^{-2n} u_n(x \rho_0, y \rho_0)$$

and

$$U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = \rho_0^{-2n} U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x \rho_0, y \rho_0)$$

produce harmonic functions

$$u_*(x_1, y_1) = a_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \rho_0^{-2n} u_n(x_1, y_1)$$

and

$$U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_1, y_1) = a_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \rho_0^{-2n} U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_1, y_1)$$

regular for  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 < \rho_0^2$  corresponding to the regular functions (1) and (11) in  $x^2 + y^2 < 1$ . Evidently,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rho_0}(U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}) &= I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \\ i_{\rho_0}(u_*) &= I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \end{aligned}$$

and because of inequality (19),

$$(20) \quad i(u) \geq I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Thus,

$$(21) \quad i(u) = I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)})$$

and because of (18) the theorem is proved.

We next define the set  $\mathcal{H}_*^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_0, \dots, a_m)$  as the subset of  $\mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  whose members have their first  $m + 1$  coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_m$  fixed and turn to the analogy of the second classical theorem [8, p. 151].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \mathcal{H}_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_0, \dots, a_m)$  be expanded as in (1) and  $\lambda_{2m}(a_0, \dots, a_m)$  be the smallest eigenvalue of the Toeplitz matrix  $T_{2m}(a_0, \dots, a_m)$ . Then*

$$I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \leq \lambda_{2m}, \quad \alpha > \beta > -1/2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \{I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \mid U^{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_0, \dots, a_m)\} \\ = I(U_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}) = \lambda_{2m} \end{aligned}$$

for unique  $U_0^{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(a_0, \dots, a_m)$  expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} (22) \quad U_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) &= \lambda_{2m} + \sum_{k=1}^j \sigma_k W_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y), \\ W_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) &= A_{\alpha, \beta}(w_k), \\ w_k(x, y) &= [1 - (x^2 + y^2)][g_k(x, y)]^{-1}, \\ g_k(x, y) &= 1 - 2(x^2 + y^2) \cos \{2 \arccos x / \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - \phi_k\} \\ &\quad + (x^2 + y^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for unique  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $\phi_k \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\sigma_k > 0$  provided  $c_1^2 + \dots + c_m^2 \neq 0$ , otherwise if and only if

$$(23) \quad U_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = c_0 = \lambda_{2m}.$$

*Proof.* For the subfamily  $\mathcal{H}_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  we associate the subfamily  $\mathcal{L}_* = A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}\{(U^{(\alpha, \beta)} \mid U^{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \mathcal{H}_*^{(\alpha, \beta)})\}$  whose members  $u$  satisfy the requisite inequality [8, p. 151],

$$(24) \quad i(u) \leq \lambda_{2m}(a_0, \dots, a_m).$$

The matrix  $T_{2m}(a_0, \dots, a_m)$  is identified from [8, p. 146] by  $u(x, y) = \operatorname{Re} f((x + iy)^2)$ . Because of the relation (21), we find

$$I(U^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \leq \lambda_{2m}(a_0, \dots, a_m).$$

The  $A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$  associate of the extremal function  $U_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x, y) &= \lambda_{2m} + \sum_{k=1}^j \sigma_k w_k(x, y), \\ w_k(x, y) &= 1/2[g_k(z^2) + \bar{g}_k(\bar{z}^2)], \quad z = x + iy \\ g_k(z) &= (1 + \varepsilon_k z)/(1 - \varepsilon_k z), \quad |\varepsilon_k| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (12),  $u_0$  transforms onto the required extremal function (22) as defined.

The final result is the generalization of the Caratheodory-Toeplitz theorem [8, p. 152; 17, p. 157] which classified nonnegative harmonic functions in  $D$  from their coefficients.

**THEOREM 3.** *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the expansion  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \mathcal{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  and*

$$U^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) \geq 0, \quad x^2 + y^2 < 1, \quad \alpha > \beta > -1/2$$



specify that the determinants

$$\Delta_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) = \det T_{2n}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$$

generated from the coefficients of the expansion are either

$$(i) \quad \Delta_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

or in case

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) &> 0, \quad n = 0, \dots, m, \\ \Delta_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) &= 0, \quad n = m + 1, \dots, \\ \text{where } U^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) &= U_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) - \lambda_{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* When  $u$ , the associate of  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , is nonnegative and regular in  $D$  so must  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  be nonnegative and regular in  $\Sigma$  because the measure of the transform is nonnegative. This is indeed the case [see 8, 18] if (i) or (ii), establishing the sufficiency. Conversely,

$$u_*(x_1, y_1) = A_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}(U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \geq 0$$

when

$$U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_1, y_1) \geq 0, \quad x_1^2 + y_1^2 < \rho_0^2.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn} u_*(x_1, y_1) &= \operatorname{sgn} u(x, y) \\ \operatorname{sgn} U_*^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_1, y_1) &= \operatorname{sgn} U^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  nonnegative and regular in  $\Sigma$  implies  $u$  nonnegative and regular in  $D$  which asserts (i) or (ii).

4. Generalizations. For  $\beta > \alpha$ , the symmetry relations found from [1, p. 8]

$$U_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) = (-1)^n U_n^{(\beta, \alpha)}(-x, y)$$

may be employed with the proper interpretation of the biaxially symmetric potential equation [6, 9]. The axisymmetric case  $\alpha = \beta$ , may be interpreted with  $\alpha \downarrow \beta$  in Koornwinder's formula which becomes Gegenbauer's integral for the Jacobi polynomials.

The classical theorems of Caratheodory-Fejer and Caratheodory-Toeplitz have analogous calculations for the supremum [see 8] and bounds on the maximum modulus (Caratheodory-Schur [see 17]) which generalize directly by the methods contained here in. Domains  $\Omega \subset E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$  about the origin which are not spheres are defined by their projections into  $\omega \subset E^2$  as

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) | \zeta^2 \in \omega, 0 \leq s \leq \pi, -1 \leq t \leq +1\},$$

$\omega$  being a simply connected domain about the origin. To consider extensions of theorems 1 and 2,  $\omega$  is mapped conformally onto  $D$ . The required connection coefficients between  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  a regular BASP in  $\Omega$  and the "associated" BASP regular in  $\Sigma$  may be found as in [13, 14]. The methods of ascent and descent may be utilized to extend the above properties.

## REFERENCES

1. R. Askey, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, Regional Conference Series in Applied Math., SIAM Philadelphia, 1975.
2. S. Bergman, *Integral Operators in the Theory of Linear Partial Differential Equations*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 23, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
3. P. Dienes, *The Taylor Series*, Dover Publ., New York, 1957.
4. R. P. Gilbert, *Constructive Methods for Elliptic Equations*, vol. 365, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
5. ———, *Function Theoretic Methods in Partial Differential Equations*, Math. in Science and Engr., vol. 54, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
6. ———, *Integral operator methods in biaxially symmetric potential theory*, Contrib. Differential Equations, **2** (1963), 441-456.
7. G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable*, Trans. Math. Monographs, vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1969.
8. N. Grenander and G. Szego, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, Univ. of Calif. Press, Berkeley, 1958.
9. T. Koornwinder, *Jacobi polynomials, II. An analytic proof of the product formula*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **5**, No. 1, (Feb. 1974).
10. M. Marden, *Geometry of Polynomials*, Math. Surveys, No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1966.
11. ———, *Value distribution of harmonic polynomials in several real variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **159** (Sept. 1971), 137-154.
12. P. A. McCoy, *Generalized axisymmetric potentials*, J. Approx. Theory, **15**, No. 3, (Nov. 1975), 256-266.
13. ———, *On the zeros of generalized axially symmetric potentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **61** (Nov. 1976), 54-58.
14. ———, *Extremal properties of real axially symmetric harmonic functions in  $E^3$* , to appear Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (1977).
15. P. A. McCoy and J. D'Archangelo, *Value distribution of biaxially symmetric harmonic polynomials*, Canad. J. Math., **28**, No. 4, (1976), 769-773.
16. P. A. McCoy, *Analytical Properties of Biaxially Symmetric Potentials*, to appear J. Applicable Analysis.
17. M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Maruzen Co. Ltd., Tokyo, 1958.

Received January 25, 1977. Based on a presentation to the AMS at the Annual meetings in St. Louis, January 1977.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT  
U. S. NAVAL ACADEMY  
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21402



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1978 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Aharon Atzmon, <i>Spectral synthesis in some spaces of bounded continuous functions</i> .....	277
Karl Egil Aubert and Isidor Fleischer, <i>Tensor products of ideal systems and their modules</i> .....	285
Richard F. Basener, <i>Several dimensional properties of the spectrum of a uniform algebra</i> .....	297
R. H. Bing and Michael Peter Starbird, <i>Super triangulations</i> .....	307
Andrew Carson, <i>Coherent polynomial rings over regular rings of finite index</i> .....	327
Robert M. DeVos and Frederick W. Hartmann, <i>Sequences of bounded summability domains</i> .....	333
George Grätzer and R. Padmanabhan, <i>Symmetric difference in abelian groups</i> .....	339
Robert L. Griess, Jr., <i>A remark about groups of characteristic 2-type and p-type</i> .....	349
Emil Grosswald and F. J. Schnitzer, <i>A class of modified <math>\zeta</math> and L-functions</i> .....	357
Jutta Hausen and Johnny Albert Johnson, <i>Ideals and radicals of some endomorphism rings</i> .....	365
Jean Ann Larson, <i>A solution for scattered order types of a problem of Haggendorf</i> .....	373
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Extremal properties of real biaxially symmetric potentials in <math>E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}</math></i> .....	381
Héctor Alfredo Merklen, <i>Hereditary crossed product orders</i> .....	391
Hal G. Moore and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Equational definability of addition in certain rings</i> .....	407
Robert Laurens Moore, <i>Reductivity in <math>C^*</math>-algebras and essentially reductive operators</i> .....	419
Joseph Alvin Neisendorfer, <i>Lie algebras, coalgebras and rational homotopy theory for nilpotent spaces</i> .....	429
William Raymond Nico, <i>Bounded monoids</i> .....	461
Richard Paul Osborne, <i>Simplifying spines of 3-manifolds</i> .....	473
Richard Paul Osborne, <i>The simplest closed 3-manifolds. With an appendix by Osborne and J. Yelle</i> .....	481
Clayton Collier Sherman, <i>The K-theory of an equicharacteristic discrete valuation ring injects into the K-theory of its field of quotients</i> .....	497
Mitchell Herbert Taibleson, <i>The failure of even conjugate characterizations of <math>H^1</math> on local fields</i> .....	501
Keti Tenenblat, <i>On characteristic hypersurfaces of submanifolds in Euclidean space</i> .....	507
Jeffrey L. Tollefson, <i>Involutions of Seifert fiber spaces</i> .....	519
Joel Larry Weiner, <i>An inequality involving the length, curvature, and torsions of a curve in Euclidean n-space</i> .....	531
Neyamat Zaheer, <i>On generalized polars of the product of abstract homogeneous polynomials</i> .....	535