Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE FAILURE OF EVEN CONJUGATE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF H^1 ON LOCAL FIELDS

MITCHELL HERBERT TAIBLESON

Vol. 74, No. 2

June 1978

THE FAILURE OF EVEN CONJUGATE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF H^1 ON LOCAL FIELDS

M. H. TAIBLESON

If K is a local field, the Hardy space $H^1(K)$ is defined as follows: If f is a distribution on K let f(x, k) (defined on $K \times Z$) be its regularization. Let $f^*(x) = \sup_k |f(x, k)|$. Then $f \in H^1$ iff the maximal function f^* is integrable. Chao has given the following conjugate function characterization of H^1 . Let π be a multiplicative character on K that is homogeneous of degree zero, ramified of degree 1, and is odd. Then $f \in L^1$ is in H^1 iff $(\pi \hat{f})^{\sim} \in L^1$. He also shows that if μ is a finite (Borel) measure then μ is absolutely continuous whenever $(\hat{\mu}\pi)^{\sim}$ is also a finite measure. In this paper proofs are given that these results fail if π is not odd.

It is shown that if π is even (but otherwise satisfies the conditions above) then there is a singular measure μ and an integrable function f. $f \notin H^1$ such that $\pi \hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}$ and $\pi \hat{f} = \hat{f}$. These results were announced earlier [Gandulfo, Garcia-Cuerva, and Taibleson, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 82 (1976), 83-85].

A basic reference for this paper is [4]; in particular, Chapters I, II, and IV. Regularizations are discussed in detail in IV §1. The results proven here are [3; Thm. 1 and Lemma 1]. The theorem of Chao can be found in [4; IV §3] or in [1]. Other characterizations of H^1 can be found in [2].

A local field is a locally compact field that is not connected and not discrete. A complete list of such fields is: the *p*-adic number fields and finite algebraic extensions of *p*-adic fields (these are of characteristic zero), and fields of formal Laurent series over a finite field, $GF(p^n)$, the so-called p^n -series fields (these are of characteristic *p*). We note that there is a "natural" ring multiplication for the dyadic group, 2^{ω} , so that the field of quotients of 2^{ω} is the 2-series field.

There is a norm, $|\cdot|$, on K that is ultrametric $(|x+y| \le \max[|x|, |y|])$ and so if $|x| \ne |y|$, $|x+y| = \max(|x|, |y|)$. If $x \in K$, $x \ne 0$, then $|x| = q^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The fractional ideals $\{\mathfrak{P}^k\}$ are the balls: $\mathfrak{P}^k = \{|x| \le q^{-k}\}$. We fix a character χ on the additive group of K such that χ is trivial (identically 1) on $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{P}^0$ (the ring of integers in K) and is nontrivial on \mathfrak{P}^{-1} . We choose \mathfrak{p} to be a generator of the prime ideal $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}^1$ (in \mathfrak{D}). $|\mathfrak{p}| = q^{-1}$, and $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{P} \cong GF(q)$ (the local class field of K) where $q = p^n$, p a prime. The measure of a set E is denoted |E|. $|\mathfrak{P}^k| = q^{-k}$, so $|\mathfrak{D}| = 1$. For $u \in K$, we set $\chi_u(x) = \chi(ux)$, $\tau_u f(x) = f(x-u)$. Φ_k denotes the characteristic function of \mathfrak{P}^k .

DEFINITION. If K is of finite characteristic let $h_k = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}^{-k}} \Phi_0$. If K is of characteristic zero let $h_k = \sum_{l=1}^{q^{k-1}} \tau_{c_l^{k-1}} (\chi_{\mathfrak{p}^{-k}} \Phi_{k-1})$ where $\{c_l^k\}$ is a complete set of coset representatives of \mathfrak{P}^k in \mathfrak{D} .

Note. (1) If K is of finite characteristic the two definitions essentially agree. (2) If q = 2, $\{h_k\}$ is the sequence of Rademacher functions.

LEMMA 1. $\{h_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables on \mathfrak{D} .

Proof. Each h_k is supported on \mathfrak{D} and we identify h_k with its restriction to \mathfrak{D} . The values of h_k are *p*th roots of unity. h_k is constant on the $q^k = p^{nk}$ cosets of \mathfrak{P}^k in \mathfrak{D} . On each of the $q = p^n$ cosets of \mathfrak{P}^{k-1} in cosets of $\mathfrak{P}^k \subset \mathfrak{D}$ it takes on each of its *p* possible values exactly p^{n-1} times. Thus, if ε is a *p*th root of unity $|\{h_k = \varepsilon\}| = p^{-1}$. We see that the h_k are identically distributed. To show independence we need to observe that if $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^t$ is a finite collection of distinct positive integers and $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ a set of *p*th roots of unity then $|\{h_{k_j} = \varepsilon_j, j = 1, \dots, t\}| = p^t$. Using the facts above we get this result by systematically counting. This completes the proof. The Fourier transform of a distribution *f* is denoted \hat{f} and for

The Fourier transform of a distribution f is denoted f and for $f \in L^1$, $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f(x)\overline{\chi_{\ell}}(x)dx$. If μ is a finite Borel measure, $\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \overline{\chi_{\ell}}(x)d\mu(x)$. We note that $\overline{\chi_u} = \chi_{-u}$, $(\chi_u f)^{\widehat{}} = \tau_u \hat{f}$, $(\tau_u f)^{\widehat{}} = \overline{\chi_u} f$, and $\tilde{\Phi}_k = q^{-k}\Phi_{-k}$.

LEMMA 2. Let $g_k = \operatorname{Re} h_k$ and

$$\mu(x, k) = egin{cases} g_1(x) \prod_{l=2}^{-k} (1 - g_l(x)) \ , & x \in \mathfrak{D}, \ k \leq -1 \ 0 \ , & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mu(x, k)$ is the regularization on K of a nontrivial, real-valued, finite Borel measure μ , that is singular, supported on \mathfrak{D} , $|\mu| < 1$, $\mu(\mathfrak{D})=0$, and $\hat{\mu}$ is supported on $C = \bigcup_{k\geq 1} \{(\mathfrak{p}^{-k}+\mathfrak{P}^{-k+1})\cup(-\mathfrak{p}^{-k}+\mathfrak{P}^{-k+1})\}$. If q=2, μ is supported on a two point set. If q>2, μ is continuous.

Proof. From Lemma 1 we see that $\{g_k\}$ is a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables on \mathfrak{D} (are i.i.d. on \mathfrak{D}). Observe that if J is a coset of \mathfrak{P}^l , l < k, then $\int_{\mathfrak{Q}_k} g_k = 0$. We

break the proof into smaller steps.

I. $\mu(x, k)$ is regular. Since g_k is constant on cosets of \mathfrak{P}^k , $\mu(x, k)$ is constant on cosets of \mathfrak{P}^{-k} . Next we see that $\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mu(x, -1) = \int g_1 = 0 = \mu(x, 0)$. Finally we need to show that if $J = y + \mathfrak{P}^{-(k+1)} \subset \mathfrak{D}$, k < -1, then $\int_J \mu(x, k) = \int_J \mu(x, k+1) = q^{k+1}\mu(y, k+1)$. But, $\mu(x, k) = \mu(x, k+1)(1-g_{-k})$, so $\int_J \mu(x, k) = \mu(y, k+1) \int_J (1-g_{-k}) = \mu(y, k+1)|J| = q^{k+1}\mu(y, k+1)$.

II. $|\mu(x, k)|$ is regular on the domain, $\mathfrak{D} \times \{k \leq -1\}$. The proof for I works since $(1 - g_l(x)) \geq 0$ for all x.

III. $\mu(x, k)$ is regularization of a nontrivial, real-valued, finite Borel measure, that is supported on \mathfrak{D} , and $\mu(\mathfrak{D}) = 0$. Using [4; IV (1.8)(e) and (1.9)(b)] we only need observe that $\mu(x, k)$ is real-valued; $\mu(x, k) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathfrak{D}$; and show that $\int \mu(x, k) dx \equiv 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; and $\int |\mu(x, k)| dx = \int |g_1| > 0$, $k \ge -1$. $\int \mu(x, k) dx \equiv 0$ follows I. For $k \ge 0$ it is trivial, for $k \le -1$, $\mu(x, k)$ is regular so

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \mu(x, k) dx = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mu(x, k) dx = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mu(x, 0) dx = 0.$$

That $\int \mu(x, k) = \int |g_1|$ follows from II. $|\mu(x, k)|$ is regular for $k \leq -1$, so if $k \leq -1$,

$$\int_{\kappa} |\mu(x, k)| \, dx = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\mu(x, k)| \, dx = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\mu(x, -1)| \, dx = \int_{\kappa} |g_1| > 0 \; .$$

IV. μ is a singular measure. To see that μ is not absolutely continuous we use [4; (1.8)(d)]. This implies that the regularization of an absolutely continuous measure is Cauchy in L^1 . We use the fact that $\{g_k\}$ is i.i.d. on \mathfrak{D} . Then for $k \geq -1$,

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} &|\, \mu(x,\,k) - \, \mu(x,\,k-1) \,| = \int_{\mathfrak{g}} &|\, g_1 \,|\, (1-g_2) \, \cdots \, (1-g_{-k}) \,|\, g_{-k+1} \,| \ &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}} &|\, g_1 \,|\, \int_{\mathfrak{g}} (1-g_2) \, \cdots \, \int_{\mathfrak{g}} (1-g_{-k}) \int_{\mathfrak{g}} &|\, g_{-k+1} \,| = \left[\int &|\, g_1 \,|\,
ight]^2 > 0 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\{(1 - g_k(x)) = 0\}| = p^{-1}$, so $|\{\mu(x, k)\} \neq 0\}| = (1 - p^{-1})^{-(k+1)}$ and so $\mu(x, k) \to 0$ a.e. From which it follows that $\mu^*(x) < \infty$ a.e. [4; V (2.3)]. Let $E_N = \{\mu^*(x) < N\}$. By the dominated convergence theorem $|\mu|(E_N) = 0$ (use II) and so $|\mu|(\bigcup_N E_N) = 0$, but $\bigcup_N E_N$ is a set of full measure, so μ is supported on a set of measure zero. Actually we can do the whole thing in one simple step if we carefully analyse the set in \mathfrak{D} on which $\mu(x, k) = 0$. That set, call it F_k , is a union of cosets of \mathfrak{P}^{-k} , $|F_k| \to 1$, $\{F_k\}$ is increasing. Thus μ is supported on the set $\sim (\bigcup_k F_k)$ which is a closed set of measure zero.

V. If $q = 2 \mu$ is a 2-point measure. If q > 2, μ is continuous. For q = 2 a little computation shows that there are decreasing sequences of cosets $\{I_k^i\}$, i = 1, 2, such that I_k^i is a coset of \mathfrak{P}^{-k} and

$$\mu(x, k) = egin{cases} 2^{-k-1}\,, & x \in I_k^1 \ -2^{-k-1}\,, & x \in I_k^1 \ 0\,\,, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $|I_k^i| = 2^k$, we see that $\mu(\cdot, k)$ converges W^* to a 2-point measure with mass 1/2 at one point and mass -1/2 at the other. More generally we note that $|\mu(x, k)| \leq 2^{-k-1}$ for all x, so that if I_k is a coset of \mathfrak{P}^{-k} , then

$$egin{aligned} &|\mu|(I_k) = \lim_{l o -\infty} \int_{I_k} |\mu(x, \, l)| \, dx \ &= \int_{I_k} |\mu(x, \, k)| \leq |I_k| \, 2^{-k-1} = (1/2) (q/2)^{-k} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \to -\infty$ if q > 2. Thus, if $\{I_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence of cosets, $|\mu|(I_k) \to 0$ and so μ has no atomic component.

VI. $\hat{\mu}$ is supported on *C*. It will suffice to show that each $\hat{\mu}(\cdot, k)$ is supported on *C*. Note also that for q = 2, this is an uninteresting statement since $C = K \sim \mathfrak{D}$. To show that $\mu(\cdot, k)$ is supported on *C* it will be sufficient to show that if $\{k_j\}$ is a finite set of distinct positive integers with $k_s = \max_j k_j$ then $(g_{k_1} \cdots g_{k_s})^{\hat{}}$ is supported on

$$\{(\mathfrak{p}^{-k_s}+\mathfrak{P}^{-k_s+1})\cup(-\mathfrak{p}^{-k_s}+\mathfrak{P}^{-k_s+1})\}$$
 .

We consider two cases. If K is of finite characteristic,

$$egin{aligned} g_{k_1} \cdots g_{k_s} &= 2^{-s} (\chi_{\mathfrak{p}^{-k_1}} + \chi_{-\mathfrak{p}^{-k_1}}) \cdots (\chi_{\mathfrak{p}^{-k_s}} + \chi_{-\mathfrak{p}^{-k_s}}) arPsi_0 \ &= 2^{-s} \sum \chi_{\pm \mathfrak{p}^{-k_1}} \cdots \chi_{\pm \mathfrak{p}^{-k_s}} arPsi_0 = 2^{-s} \sum \chi_{(\pm \mathfrak{p}^{-k_1} \pm \ldots \pm \mathfrak{p}^{-k_s})} arPsi_0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(g_{k_1}\cdots g_{k_s})^{\uparrow} = \sum \tau_{(\pm \mathfrak{p}^{-k_1\pm \cdots \pm \mathfrak{p}^{-k_s})} \Phi_0}.$$

Each term is the characteristic function of a coset of \mathfrak{D} in one or the other of $\mathfrak{p}^{-k_s} + \mathfrak{P}^{-k_s+1}$ or $-\mathfrak{p}^{-k_s} + \mathfrak{P}^{-k_s+1}$. For K of finite characteristic we proceed more carefully.

$$egin{aligned} g_{k_1} \cdots g_{k_s} &= 2^{-s} (h_{k_1} + \overline{h_{k_1}}) \cdots (h_{k_s} + \overline{h_{k_s}}) \; . \ & \widehat{h}_k &= [q^{-k+1} \sum_{l=1}^{q(k-1)} \overline{\chi}_{c_l}{}^{k-1}] au_{arphi^{-k}} arPsi_{-k+1} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_{l}^{k-1} \in \mathfrak{D}$ it follows that the term in the "square" brackets is constant on cosets of \mathfrak{D} . $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}^{-k}} \varPhi_{-k+1}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{p}^{-k} + \mathfrak{P}^{-k+1}$ so \hat{h}_{k} is a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of cosets of \mathfrak{D} contained in $\mathfrak{p}^{-k} + \mathfrak{P}^{-k+1}$. Thus h_{k} is a finite linear combination of terms of the form $\chi_{u} \varPhi_{0}$, $u \in \mathfrak{p}^{-k} + \mathfrak{P}^{-k+1}$, k > 0. Similarly, \bar{h}_{k} is a finite linear combination of such terms with $u \in$ $-\mathfrak{p}^{-k} + \mathfrak{P}^{-k+1}$. The proof now proceeds as in the finite characteristic case.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Note. μ is defined as a local field version of a Riesz product. See [5; V § 7]. It should then come as no surprise that μ is a continuous singular measure when $q \ge 3$. We also note that if q = 3, then μ (except for a trivial factor) is the Cantor-Lebesgue measure supported on the Cantor set, if one identifies \mathfrak{D} with [0, 1] in the usual way.

COROLLARY. Let π be a multiplicative character on K that is ramified of degree 1, homogeneous of degree zero, and is even. Let μ be the real-valued, singular measure defined in Lemma 2. Then $\pi\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}$.

Proof. We show that $\pi(x) \equiv 1$ on *C*. π is ramified of degree 1 so π is constant on each coset $\pm \mathfrak{p}^k + \mathfrak{P}^{k+1}$ so we only need to determine $\pi(\mathfrak{p}^k)$ and $\pi(-\mathfrak{p}^k)$. π is homogeneous of degree zero so we only need to determine $\pi(1)$ and $\pi(-1)$. π is even so $\pi(-1) = \pi(1)$. π is a multiplicative character so $\pi(1) = 1$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM. Let μ be as above, and let $\{c_k\}$ be a collection of distinct coset representatives of \mathfrak{D} in K. Then there is a sequence $\{a_k\}$ of real numbers such that if $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \tau_{c_k} \mu(x, -k)$, then $f \in L^1$, but $f \notin H^1$. Furthermore, \hat{f} is supported on C.

Proof. Let $f_k = \tau_{c_k} \mu(\cdot, -k)$. f_k is supported on $c_k + \mathfrak{D}$.

$$f_k(x, \, l) = egin{cases} \mu(x - c_k, \, l) &, \quad l > -k \ \mu(x - c_k, \, -k) \,, \quad l \leq -k \ \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus $f_k(\cdot, l)$ is supported on $(c_k + \mathfrak{D}) \times Z$. Consequently,

$$\int \lvert f
vert = \sum \lvert a_k
vert \int \lvert f_k
vert = \int \lvert g_1
vert \sum \lvert a_k
vert$$
 , and $\int f^* = \sum \lvert a_k
vert \int (f_k)^*$.

We claim that $\{\int (f_k)^*\}$ is unbounded. If this claim is valid we simply choose $\{a_k\}$ so $\sum |a_k| < \infty$ and $\sum a_k \int (f_k)^* = \infty$. To prove the claim suppose $\{\int (f_k)^*\}$ is bounded. We note that $(f_k)^*(x) =$ $\sup_{k \geq -k} |\mu(x-c_k, l)|$, so $\{(f_k)^*(x+c_k)\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence with limit μ^* . By the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem $\mu^* \in L^1$. But $\mu(x, k)$ converges a.e. so by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem $\{\mu(\cdot, k)\}$ converges in L^1 and hence is Cauchy in L^1 . But $\{\mu(\cdot, k)\}$ is not Cauchy in L^1 , a contradiction.

We need to show that \hat{f} is supported on *C*. But $\hat{f} = \sum \alpha_k \chi_{c_k} \hat{\mu}(\cdot, k)$, and $\hat{\mu}(\cdot, k)$ is supported on *C* for all *k*, so \hat{f} is also supported on *C*. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgment. Ms. Anna Gandulfo provided some heroic calculations for a variety of special cases of Lemma 2. These examples established the background for the more general results that appear in this paper.

References

1. J.-A. Chao, Maximal singular integral transforms on local fields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **50** (1975), 297-302.

2. J.-A. Chao and M. H. Taibleson, Generalized conjugate systems on local fields, to appear in Studia Math., 64.

3. A. Gandulfo, J. Garcia-Cuerva, and M. H. Taibleson, Conjugate system characterizations of H^1 : Counterexamples for the Euclidean plane and local fields, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **82** (1976), 83-85.

4. M. H. Taibleson, Fourier Analysis on Local Fields, Math. Notes No. 15, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1975.

5. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.

Received August 10, 1976. Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MPS75-02411.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY ST. LOUIS, MO 63130

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

C.C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

111 1 001110

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1978 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 74, No. 2 June, 1978

Aharon Atzmon, Spectral synthesis in some spaces of bounded continuous	
functions	277
Karl Egil Aubert and Isidor Fleischer, <i>Tensor products of ideal systems and their modules</i>	285
Richard F. Basener. Several dimensional properties of the spectrum of a uniform	
algebra	297
R. H. Bing and Michael Peter Starbird, <i>Super triangulations</i>	307
Andrew Carson, <i>Coherent polynomial rings over regular rings of finite index</i>	327
Robert M. DeVos and Frederick W. Hartmann, <i>Sequences of bounded summability</i>	
domains	333
George Grätzer and R. Padmanabhan, <i>Symmetric difference in abelian groups</i>	339
Robert L. Griess, Jr., A remark about groups of characteristic 2-type and	
<i>p-type</i>	349
Emil Grosswald and F. J. Schnitzer, A class of modified ζ and L-functions	357
Jutta Hausen and Johnny Albert Johnson, <i>Ideals and radicals of some</i>	
endomorphism rings	365
Jean Ann Larson, A solution for scattered order types of a problem of	
Hagendorf	373
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Extremal properties of real biaxially symmetric potentials in</i> $r^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}$	201
$E^{2(\alpha+p+2)}$	381
Hector Alfredo Merklen, <i>Hereditary crossed product orders</i>	391
Hal G. Moore and Adıl Mohamed Yaqub, Equational definability of addition in	407
certain rings	407
Robert Laurens Moore, Reductivity in C*-algebras and essentially reductive	410
operators	419
Joseph Alvin Neisendorfer, Lie algebras, coalgebras and rational homotopy	420
theory for nupotent spaces	429
William Raymond Nico, <i>Bounded monoids</i>	461
Richard Paul Osborne, Simplifying spines of 3-manifolds	473
Richard Paul Osborne, <i>The simplest closed 3-manifolds</i> . With an appendix by	101
Osborne and J. Yelle	481
Clayton Collier Sherman, <i>The K-theory of an equicharacteristic discrete valuation</i>	407
ring injects into the K-theory of its field of quotients	497
Mitchell Herbert Taibleson, The failure of even conjugate characterizations of H ⁺	501
on local fields	501
Keti Tenenblat, On characteristic hypersurfaces of submanifolds in Euclidean	
<i>space</i>	507
Jeffrey L. Tollefson, <i>Involutions of Seifert fiber spaces</i>	519
Joel Larry Weiner, An inequality involving the length, curvature, and torsions of a	
curve in Euclidean n-space	531
Neyamat Zaheer, On generalized polars of the product of abstract homogeneous	
polynomials	535