

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

WILLIAM F. MOSS AND JOHN PIEPENBRINK

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

WILLIAM F. MOSS AND JOHN PIEPENBRINK

1. Introduction. Let Ω be a domain (open, connected, possibly unbounded) in \mathbf{R}^n and, as usual, let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denote a point in \mathbf{R}^n with norm $|x| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$. Using the summation convention, let L denote the partial differential operator defined by

$$(1.1) \quad Lu = -a_{ij}(x)u_{x_i x_j} + b_i(x)u_{x_i} + c(x)u.$$

The coefficients of L are assumed to be real functions defined on Ω and $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$.

If the a_{ij} are continuously differentiable in Ω , L may be written in the form

$$(1.2) \quad Lu = -(a_{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + \tilde{b}_i(x)u_{x_i} + c(x)u,$$

where $\tilde{b}_i = b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial a_{ij} / \partial x_j$. In this form L is symmetric (formally self-adjoint) if $\tilde{b}_i(x) \equiv 0, i = 1, \dots, n$.

In a recent paper [1] Allegretto established the following result. Here $[r]$ denotes the integer part of the number r .

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that*

(A) *L is symmetric and the a_{ij} are in class $C^{m+1}(\bar{\Omega})$ while c is in $C^m(\bar{\Omega})$, where*

$$m = 3 \left[[3 + n/2]/2 \right],$$

(B) *L is elliptic in $\bar{\Omega}$, that is, $(a_{ij}(x))$ is positive definite for each $x \in \bar{\Omega}$,*

(C) *there is a number $R > 0$ such that $\Omega \cap \{|x| > R\}$ is connected,*

and

(D) *for every bounded domain D with $\bar{D} \subseteq \Omega \cap \{|x| > R\}$*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\inf (L\phi, \phi) > 0, \\ &\phi \in C_0^\infty(D) \quad (\phi, \phi) \\ &\phi \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $(\phi, \psi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi \psi dx$.

Then there exists a positive solution of $Lu = 0$ in $\Omega \cap \{|x| > R\}$.

If L is viewed as an operator on $L_2(D)$ with domain $C_0^\infty(D)$, then hypothesis (D) states that the smallest generalized eigenvalue of L is

greater than zero, or equivalently, that the smallest eigenvalue of the Friedrichs extension of L is greater than zero. If the left hand side of (1.3) equals zero, D is called a nodal domain for L by I. Glazman, W. Allegretto and others.

Theorem 1.1 is interesting for two reasons. First, as Allegretto points out, it represents an extension of a property that is clearly valid for the corresponding ordinary differential operator, and at the same time clarifies the oscillation theory of symmetric, second order, elliptic operators. Second, it answers a question posed by one of the authors in [11]. There it was shown that a result such as Theorem 1.1 would imply that the finiteness of the number of negative eigenvalues of a self-adjoint realization of L in $L^2(\Omega)$, here $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$, is invariant under perturbation of the coefficients by smooth functions with compact support. Diagrammatically we have the implications finite negative spectrum \Rightarrow hypothesis (D) holds for R sufficiently large, and $Lu = 0$ has a positive solution in $\{|x| > R\} \Rightarrow$ finite negative spectrum. The first is found in Glazman's book [5], while the second is proved in [11]. Theorem 1.1 supplies the link that makes for a closed chain of implications. It should be mentioned that the second implication was employed in [12] to unify and extend some older criteria on the potential $c(x)$ that ensured finite negative spectrum for $L = -\Delta + c(x)$.

The following result extends Theorem 1.1 to the general nonsymmetric case and at the same time weakens the smoothness required on the coefficients of L .

THEOREM 1.2. *Let L be defined by (1.1) and let the coefficients of L be defined in a domain $G \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$. Assume that*

- (a) L is elliptic in G ,
- (b) the coefficients of L are locally Hölder continuous in G ,
- (c) for every bounded domain D with $\bar{D} \subseteq G$ the only solution in class $C^2(D) \cap C^0(\bar{D})$ to $Lu = 0$ in D , $u = 0$ on \dot{D} (the boundary of D) is $u \equiv 0$.

Then there is a positive solution v of the equation $Lv = 0$ defined on G .

Allegretto's proof of Theorem 1.1 leaned heavily on the theory of symmetric quadratic forms in Hilbert space. As such it required that L be symmetric. Our proof of Theorem 1.2 seems simpler and more direct. The method is a fairly straight forward application of Serrin's version of the Harnack inequality for positive solutions of second order, elliptic equations (see [13]). We also note that the smoothness required in Theorem 1.2 is mild and consonant with modern existence theories for elliptic boundary value problems. Thus it represents a distinct sharpening of Theorem 1.1 even in the symmetric case.

In Theorem 1.1 ∞ is the only possible point at which L may degenerate or the coefficients of L become unbounded. In Theorem 1.2 any boundary point may have this property. There is no significant difference here. Assuming L is symmetric and that (a) and (b) hold, (c) is equivalent to (D) with $\Omega \cap \{|x| > R\}$ replaced by G . This will be discussed further in §3. Hypothesis (D) is more easily used in the Hilbert space context, while (c) is best adapted for our proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminary lemmas. The proof of Theorem 1.2 uses the maximum principle, the Harnack inequality, and the Schauder existence theory. The first two of these are stated in the following lemmas. For the Schauder existence theory the reader is referred to Miranda [10]. In Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 L is given by (1.1) and the coefficients of L are defined on a domain D in \mathbb{R}^n .

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that*

- (i) *there is a positive constant μ such that $a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \mu$, $x \in D$, $|\xi| = 1$,*
- (ii) *a_{ii} and b_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are bounded in D and $c \geq 0$ in D . If $u \in C^2(D)$, $Lu \geq 0$ in D , and there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $\inf_G u = u(x_0) \leq 0$, then $u \equiv \text{constant}$.*

COROLLARY. *If $u \in C^2(G)$, $Lu \geq 0$ in G , $u \geq 0$ in G and $u \neq 0$, then $u > 0$ in G .*

With $u(x_0) \leq 0$ replaced by $u(x_0) < 0$, this is a well known result due to E. Hopf [6]. In case $u(x_0) = 0$, the boundary point principle of G. Giraud [4] can be applied (see also [10], pp. 6–7 and [2], pp. 150–152).

The following extension of the classical Harnack inequality for positive harmonic functions is due to Serrin [13].

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose there exist positive constants μ and M and a continuous, nondecreasing function ϕ with*

$$\int_{0^+}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(s)}{s} ds < +\infty$$

such that for $x, y \in D$

- (i) $\mu \leq a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \mu^{-1}$, $|\xi| = 1$,
- (ii) $(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2(x))^{1/2} \leq M$,
- (iii) $0 \leq c(x) \leq M$,
- (iv) $|a_{ij}(x) - a_{ij}(y)| \leq \phi(|x - y|)$.

Then for any bounded domain D_0 , $\bar{D}_0 \subset D$, there is a constant $K = K(\mu, M, \phi, D_0, D)$ so that for each positive solution u of $Lu = 0$ in D ,

$$(2.1) \quad K^{-1}u(y) \leq u(x) \leq Ku(y), \quad x, y \in D_0.$$

It is interesting to note that Serrin's proof uses only the maximum principle and a suitable parametrix, and that Serrin showed in case $n = 2$ that hypothesis (iv) is unnecessary. We shall not be concerned with this refinement. What will be important here is the following.

COROLLARY. *Replace (iii) by*

(iii)' *There is a v in $C^2(\bar{D})$ so that $v > 0$ and $Lv \geq 0$ on \bar{D} .*

Then (2.1) still holds, where K may depend on v as well as the other parameters.

For the proof set $\hat{u} = u/v$ and apply Serrin's theorem with L and u replaced by \hat{L} and \hat{u} . \hat{L} is defined by

$$\hat{L}\hat{u} = -a_{ij}\hat{u}_{x_i x_j} + (b_i - 2a_{ij}v_{x_i}/v)\hat{u}_{x_i} + (Lv/v)\hat{u}.$$

Since $Lu = 0$, it follows that $\hat{L}\hat{u} = 0$ since $\hat{L}\hat{u} = Lu/v$. Thus there is a constant \hat{K} such that

$$\hat{K}^{-1}\hat{u}(y) \leq \hat{u}(x) \leq \hat{K}\hat{u}(y).$$

From the definition of \hat{u} we can easily see that (2.1) holds if we set

$$K = \frac{\max_{D_0} v}{\min_{D_0} v} \hat{K}.$$

We now apply the Schauder existence theory to prove

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then for every bounded domain F with $\bar{F} \subseteq G$ and \bar{F} sufficiently smooth, there is a solution $v \in C^2(\bar{F})$ to $Lu = 0$ in F which is positive on \bar{F} .*

Proof. According to hypothesis (b) the coefficients of L are Hölder continuous in \bar{F} . Let $\alpha = \alpha(F)$ denote the minimum of the Hölder exponents in \bar{F} of the coefficients of L . We assume that \bar{F} is sufficiently smooth so that $\hat{F} \in C^{2+\alpha}$. Then the Schauder existence theory shows that there exists a function

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} v &\in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{F}) \\ Lv &= 0 \text{ in } F \\ v &= 1 \text{ on } \hat{F}. \end{aligned}$$

More specifically, a result of Boboc and Mustata [3] shows that there is a positive constant $\gamma = \gamma(F)$ such that if $u \in C^2(F) \cap C^0(\bar{F})$, then

$$\|u\|_0^F \leq \gamma [\|Lu\|_0^F + \|u\|_0^F].$$

This estimate can be used in conjunction with the classical Schauder estimate up to the boundary to prove the existence of solutions to Dirichlet problems such as (2.2) (see, for example, [10], p. 166, 36, II).

Now we claim that v is positive. First, $v \geq 0$ on F for otherwise let O denote some connected component of $\{x \in F: v(x) < 0\}$. Then $v < 0$ in O , $Lv = 0$ in O , $v = 0$ on \bar{O} , a contradiction to (c). But since $v \geq 0$ on F ,

$$(2.3) \quad -a_{ij}v_{x_i x_j} + b_i v_{x_i} + c^+ v = c^- v \geq 0 \text{ on } F,$$

where $c^+(x) = \max\{c(x), 0\}$ and $c^-(x) \equiv c^+(x) - c(x)$, so that the corollary to Lemma 2.1 implies that $v > 0$ on F .

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2. There exists a sequence of bounded domains in \mathbf{R}^n with analytic boundaries such that $\bar{G}_k \subseteq G_{k+1} \subseteq \bar{G}_{k+1} \subseteq G$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $G = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty G_k$ (see, for example [7], pp. 317–319). Let α_k denote the minimum of the Hölder exponents in \bar{G}_k of the coefficients of L . Furthermore, let u_k denote the positive solution to

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\in C^{2+\alpha_k}(\bar{G}_k) \\ Lu_k &= 0 \text{ in } G_k \\ u_k &= 1 \text{ on } \dot{G}_k \end{aligned}$$

which exists according to Lemma 2.3.

Now choose $x_0 \in G_1$ and let $v_k = u_k/u_k(x_0)$. Next, apply the corollary to Lemma 2.2 with $D_0 = G_k$, $D = \bar{G}_{k+1}$, $v = v_{k+1}$ and $u = v_l$, $l \geq k + 1$. Then there are positive constants m_k and M_k so that

$$m_k \leq v_l(x) \leq M_k, \quad x \in \bar{G}_k, \quad l \geq k + 1.$$

According to the Schauder estimates plus Ascoli's theorem, $\{v_l\}_{l \geq k+1}$ has a subsequence which converges in $C^{2+\alpha_k}(\bar{G}_k)$. By a diagonalization process a subsequence of $\{v_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is obtained which converges uniformly on every compact subset of G to a function $v \in C^{2+\alpha_k}(\bar{G}_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, with $Lv = 0$ in G . Also $m_k \leq v \leq M_k$ on \bar{G}_k and $v(x_0) = 1$. Thus the desired positive solution exists.

In §1 we stated that assuming L is symmetric and (a) and (b) hold, (c) is equivalent to (D) with $\Omega \cap \{|x| > R\}$ replaced by G . To see that (c) implies (D) let D be a domain as in (D) and let F be a bounded, smooth domain with $\bar{D} \subseteq F \subseteq \bar{F} \subseteq G$. By Lemma 2.3 there is a function $v \in C^2(\bar{F})$, $v > 0$ on \bar{F} and $Lv = 0$ in F . Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D)$ and set $\tilde{\phi} =$

ϕ/v . Then $\tilde{\phi} \in C_0^2(D)$. Applying Green's theorem several times we obtain

$$\frac{(L\phi, \phi)}{(\phi, \phi)} = \frac{\int_D v^2 a_{ij} \tilde{\phi}_x \tilde{\phi}_x dx}{\int_D \tilde{\phi}^2 v^2 dx}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{(L\phi, \phi)}{(\phi, \phi)} \geq M \frac{\int_D \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\phi}_x^2 dx}{\int_D \tilde{\phi}^2 dx} \geq MC,$$

where

$$M = \frac{\min_D v^2}{\max_D v^2} \min_{\bar{D}_x \{|\xi|=1\}} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j,$$

and C is the constant in Friedrichs' inequality which depends only on D and not on $\tilde{\phi}$ (see, for example, [9], p. 290).

To see that (D) \Rightarrow (c), again let D and F be domains as above. Consider L as an operator on $L_2(F)$ with domain $C_0^\infty(F)$, and choose a nonnegative function $f \not\equiv 0$ in $C_0^\infty(F)$. Then the equation $Lu = f$ has a unique generalized solution v in $H_0^1(F)$. Following Allegretto and others, it can be shown that $f \geq 0$ implies $v \geq 0$ a.e. in F . Since for smooth F ,

$$\{u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{F}) : u = 0 \text{ on } \dot{F}\} \subseteq H_0^1(F) \text{ } (\alpha \text{ may depend on } F),$$

it follows that the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{F}) \\ & Lu = f \text{ in } F \\ & u = 0 \text{ on } \dot{F} \end{aligned}$$

has at most one solution. But this implies existence according to the general Schauder theory (see [10], p. 166, 36, II), so that v can be identified with the solution to (3.1). Since v satisfies (2.3), the corollary to Lemma 2.1 implies that $v > 0$ on F . But then the generalized maximum principle (see [10], p. 163, 35, IX) implies that the problem

$Lu = 0$ in D , $u = 0$ on \bar{D} has at most one solution in class $C^2(D) \cap C^0(\bar{D})$.

4. Conclusion. An example in Allegretto's paper ([1], p. 324) has led us to formulate the following corollary of Theorem 1.2.

COROLLARY. Consider the operator L defined by

$$-L = \Delta + p$$

where p is nonnegative and continuous on \mathbf{R}^n . If $p \not\equiv 0$, then L has a nodal domain in \mathbf{R}^2 .

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that p is locally Hölder continuous. Otherwise, choose such a \tilde{p} with $0 \leq \tilde{p} \leq p$ and $\tilde{p} \not\equiv 0$. Then by comparison of quadratic forms, the existence of a nodal domain for $-\Delta - \tilde{p}$ implies the same for L .

Now by Theorem 1.2 if no nodal domain existed, we could find a positive $v \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $Lv = 0$. But then $\Delta v = -pv \leq 0$ and $v > 0$ would imply by Liouville's theorem that $v \equiv \text{constant}$. But then $p \equiv 0$ would necessarily follow.

There is a direct computational proof of this corollary. By translation of coordinates, we may assume that $p(x) \geq p_0$ for $|x| \leq r_0$, where p_0 and r_0 are positive constants. It suffices to produce a nodal domain for the equation

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta u + \tilde{p}(r)u = 0, \quad r = |x|,$$

where $\tilde{p}(r)$ is continuous and $0 \leq \tilde{p}(|x|) \leq p(x)$ for all x and $\tilde{p}(r) = p_0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_0$. If $\phi(r)$ is the solution to the initial value problem

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi''(r) + \frac{1}{r}\phi'(r) + \tilde{p}(r)\phi(r) &= 0, \quad r > 0 \\ \phi(0) &= 1, \quad \phi'(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

then $v(x) = \phi(|x|)$ is a regular solution of (4.1). We prove that there is an $R > 0$ such that $\phi(R) = 0$. Thus $v(x) = \phi(|x|)$ satisfies (4.1) and $\{|x| < R\}$ is a nodal domain for (4.1). There will then be a nodal domain for L contained in $\{|x| < R\}$.

Since $r = 0$ is a regular singular point for (4.2), we can compute the series

$$\phi(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}p_0r^2 + \dots$$

which is valid at least for $0 \leq r < r_0$. Clearly, we can find an $r_1, 0 < r_1 < r_0$ so that $\phi'(r_1) < 0$. Now if $\phi(r) > 0$ for all $r > 0$, ϕ would satisfy the inequality

$$(r\phi'(r))' \leq 0, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Integrating, we have

$$r\phi'(r) \leq r_1\phi'(r_1) \equiv -\alpha < 0, \quad r \geq r_1.$$

Thus

$$0 < \phi(r) \leq \phi(r_1) + \alpha \log \frac{r_1}{r}, \quad r \geq r_1.$$

A contradiction arises for sufficiently large r .

REFERENCES

1. W. Allegretto, *On the equivalence of two types of oscillation for elliptic operators*, Pacific J. Math., **55** (1974), 319–328.
2. L. Bers, F. John and M. Schechter, *Partial Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I., 1964.
3. Boboc and Mustata, *Sur un probleme concernant les domaines d'unicité pour le probleme de Dirichlet associé à un operateur elliptique*, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, **42** (1967).
4. G. Giraud, *Generalisation des problemes sur les operations du type elliptique*, Bull. Sci. Math., **56** (1932), 316–352.
5. I. M. Glazman, *Direct Methods of Qualitative Spectral Analysis of Singular Differential Operators*, Daniel Davey and Co., Inc., New York, 1965.
6. E. Hopf, *Elementare Betrachtungen über die Lösungen partieller Differentialgleichungen Zweiter Ordnung vom elliptischen Typus*, Sitzungsbericht preuss. Akad. Wiss., **19** (1927), 147–152.
7. O. D. Kellogg, *Foundations of Potential Theory*, Dover, New York, 1953.
8. S. G. Mikhlin, *The Problem of The Minimum of A Quadratic Functional*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1965.
9. ———, *Mathematical Physics, An Advanced Course*, North-Holland, London, 1970.
10. C. Miranda, *Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type*, 2nd. ed., Springer, New York, 1970.
11. John Piepenbrink, *Nonoscillatory elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, **15** (1974), 541–550.
12. ———, *Finiteness of the lower spectrum of Schrödinger operators*, Math. Z., **140** (1974), 29–40.
13. James Serrin, *On the Harnack inequality for linear elliptic equations*, J. Analyse Math., **4** (1955/56), 297–308.

Received February 2, 1977 and in revised form June 23, 1977. Supported in part by the Georgia Tech. Foundation.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

R. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
SAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typewritten or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Review index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Bartlett, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1978 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Mieczyslaw Altman, <i>General solvability theorems</i>	1
Denise Amar and Eric Amar, <i>Sur les suites d'interpolation en plusieurs variables</i>	15
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr. and Gerald Norman Hile, <i>Algebras which satisfy a second order linear partial differential equation</i>	21
Marilyn Breen, <i>Sets in R^d having $(d - 2)$-dimensional kernels</i>	37
Gavin Brown and William Moran, <i>Analytic discs in the maximal ideal space of $M(G)$</i>	45
Ronald P. Brown, <i>Quadratic forms with prescribed Stiefel-Whitney invariants</i>	59
Gulbank D. Chakerian and H. Groemer, <i>On coverings of Euclidean space by convex sets</i>	77
S. Feigelstock and Z. Schlusel, <i>Principal ideal and Noetherian groups</i>	87
Ralph S. Freese and James Bryant Nation, <i>Projective lattices</i>	93
Harry Gingold, <i>Uniqueness of linear boundary value problems for differential systems</i>	107
John R. Hedstrom and Evan Green Houston, Jr., <i>Pseudo-valuation domains</i>	137
William Josephson, <i>Coallocation between lattices with applications to measure extensions</i>	149
M. Koskela, <i>A characterization of non-negative matrix operators on l^p to l^q with $\infty > p \geq q > 1$</i>	165
Kurt Kreith and Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Conjugate points for nonlinear differential equations</i>	171
Shoji Kyuno, <i>On prime gamma rings</i>	185
Alois Andreas Lechicki, <i>On bounded and subcontinuous multifunctions</i>	191
Roberto Longo, <i>A simple proof of the existence of modular automorphisms in approximately finite-dimensional von Neumann algebras</i>	199
Kenneth Millett, <i>Obstructions to pseudoisotopy implying isotopy for embeddings</i>	207
William F. Moss and John Piepenbrink, <i>Positive solutions of elliptic equations</i>	219
Mitsuru Nakai and Leo Sario, <i>Duffin's function and Hadamard's conjecture</i>	227
Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Word equations in some geometric semigroups</i>	243
Walter Rudin, <i>Peak-interpolation sets of class C^1</i>	267
Elias Saab, <i>On the Radon-Nikodým property in a class of locally convex spaces</i>	281
Stuart Sui Sheng Wang, <i>Splitting ring of a monic separable polynomial</i>	293