

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONVOLUTION AND SEPARATE CONTINUITY

JAMES CHIN-SZE WONG

CONVOLUTION AND SEPARATE CONTINUITY

JAMES C. S. WONG

In this paper, we extend the convolution formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int f d\mu * \nu &= \int f \circ \tau d\mu \times \nu = \iint f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \iint f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$, $\mu, \nu \in M(S)$ and $\tau(x, y) = xy$, $x, y \in S$ for a locally compact group S to locally compact semigroups with *separately* continuous multiplication. More precisely, we show that for such semigroups, the same convolution formula is valid if the measure $\mu \times \nu$ is replaced by a suitable measure on $S \times S$ (closely related to $\mu \times \nu$), thus improving a result of I. Glickberg and complementing results of B. E. Johnson. Some important consequences of this convolution formula in abstract harmonic analysis on *separately* continuous semigroups are discussed.

1. Introduction. Let G be a locally compact group and $C_0(G)$ the continuous functions on G which vanish at infinity. Let I, J be nonnegative linear functionals in $C_0(G)^*$ and μ, ν the measures in $M(G)$ which correspond to I, J respectively. The convolutions $I * J$ and $\mu * \nu$ are defined, using Riesz Representation Theorem and Fubini's Theorem by

$$I * J(f) = \int f d\mu * \nu = \iint f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \iint f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x),$$

for $f \in C_0(G)$. Moreover, if $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \int f d\mu * \nu &= \int f \circ \tau d\mu \times \nu = \iint f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \iint f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau: G \times G \rightarrow G$ is defined by $\tau(x, y) = xy$. (See Hewitt and Ross [4, §19.10] for details.)

If G is only a locally compact semigroup with *separately* continuous multiplication, Glicksberg showed in [3] that the convolutions $I * J$ and $\mu * \nu$ can still be defined (without appealing to Fubini's Theorem) by the formula

$$I * J(f) = \int f d\mu * \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x),$$

if $f \in C_0(G)$.

In fact, it was shown that if $f \in C_0(G)$, then the function $y \rightarrow \int f(xy) d\mu(x)$ is bounded continuous (though not necessarily in $C_0(G)$) and the two iterated integrals always coincide (irrespective of Fubini's Theorem). However, the convolution formula (*) may or may not be valid in general.

Later, Johnson in [7] proved that if f is bounded continuous (and τ separately continuous), then $f \circ \tau$ is measurable with respect to any measure in $M(G \times G)$ and therefore by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int f \circ \tau d\mu \times \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x)$$

for such f . In particular, the convolution formula (*) is valid for any $f \in C_0(G)$.

In this paper, we shall prove that if $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$, then

$$(**) \quad \int f d\mu * \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x),$$

and as a consequence, the convolution formula (*) is true for any bounded continuous functions f on G . The method is of course, to bypass the Fubini's Theorem and work with iterated integrals, because $f \circ \tau$ need not be measurable with respect to $\mu \times \nu$ if $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$.

It should be remarked that if multiplication in the semigroup G is jointly continuous, then $f \circ \tau$ is in $L_1(\mu \times \nu)$ if f is in $L_1(\mu * \nu)$ and so formula (*) is true for such f . The proof is actually the same as in the group case (see Hewitt and Ross [4, Theorem 19.10 p. 267], where no use is made of the continuity of inversion).

The formula (**) is of fundamental importance in abstract harmonic analysis. It allows us to define the convolutions $\mu \odot f$ and $f \odot \mu$ of a measure $\mu \in M(G)$ and any bounded Borel function f . The results are *generalised* functions with the property that

$$(\mu \odot f, \nu) = (f, \mu * \nu) = (f \odot \nu, \mu)$$

where (f, μ) denotes the integral $\int f_\mu d\mu$ which always exists (see Wong [14] and §4 below for details).

Many results which depend on formula (**) but not on joint continuity of semigroup multiplication can now be carried over to separately continuous semigroups. For example, results in [10], [11],

[12], [13] and [14] etc. This is particularly interesting since many semigroups are notoriously only separately continuous.

2. Notations and terminologies. For notations and terminologies in integration on locally compact spaces, we shall follow Hewitt and Ross [4]. Let S be a locally compact semigroup with separately continuous multiplication and $M(S)$ the measure algebra with total variation norm and convolution $\mu * \nu$ as multiplication defined by

$$\int f d\mu * \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x)$$

for $f \in C_0(S)$, the space of continuous functions on S which vanish at infinity. (See Glicksberg [3] for details.) As in [4], we shall use the same symbol μ to denote both the outer measure and its induced measure. Note that measures in $M(S)$ are complete.

Let $\tau: S \times S \rightarrow S$ be the mapping defined by $\tau(x, y) = xy$, $x, y \in S$ and for each $y \in S$, define $\tau_y: S \rightarrow S$ and $\tau^y: S \rightarrow S$ by $\tau_y(x) = xy$ and $\tau^y(x) = yx$, $x \in S$. The maps τ_y, τ^y , $y \in S$ are all continuous but τ need not be so.

3. Main results. We first prove a special case of the convolution formula (**) when $f = \xi_A$ is the characteristic function of a $\mu * \nu$ -measurable set A :

$$\mu * \nu(A) = \int \mu(Ay^{-1}) d\nu(y) = \int \nu(x^{-1}A) d\mu(x),$$

with the usual interpretation of the integrals, namely, the function $y \rightarrow \mu(Ay^{-1})$ is $|\nu| - a.e.$ defined and $|\nu| - a.e.$ equal to a function in $L_1(\nu)$, etc. Here $Ay^{-1} = \{x \in S: xy \in A\}$ and similarly for $x^{-1}A$.

The proof is broken up into a series of lemmas. (See Stromberg [8] and also methods used in Hewitt and Stromberg [6, §12.45])

LEMMA 3.1. *Let U be any open set in S and $\mu, \nu \in M^+(S)$, then*

$$\mu * \nu(U) = \int \mu(Uy^{-1}) d\nu(y) = \int \nu(x^{-1}U) d\mu(x).$$

The functions $y \rightarrow \mu(Uy^{-1})$ and $x \rightarrow \nu(x^{-1}U)$ are in fact defined everywhere and are bounded lower semicontinuous.

Proof. If U is open in S , then ξ_U is lower semi-continuous and hence

$$\xi_U = \sup\{f: f \in C_{00}^+(S), f \leq \xi_U\}$$

by [4, §11.10] where $C_{00}(S)$ are the continuous functions on S with compact supports. Now for each $y \in S$, $\xi_U \circ \tau_y$ is also lower semi-continuous. In fact

$$\xi_U \circ \tau_y = \sup\{f \circ \tau_y : f \in C_{00}^+(S), f \leq \xi_U\}.$$

Hence by [4, §11.13], for each $y \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \xi_U(xy) d\mu(x) &= \int \xi_U \circ \tau_y(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sup\left\{ \int f \circ \tau_y(x) d\mu(x) : f \in C_{00}^+(S), f \leq \xi_U \right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{ \int f(xy) d\mu(x) : f \in C_{00}^+(S), f \leq \xi_U \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the function $y \rightarrow \int f(xy) d\mu(x)$ is continuous if $f \in C_{00}^+(S)$ by Glicksberg's result [3, §1.2]. Therefore the function $y \rightarrow \int \xi_U(xy) d\mu(x) = \mu(Uy^{-1})$ is defined everywhere and lower semi-continuous (and obviously bounded). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \nu(U) &= \int \xi_U d\mu * \nu \\ &= \sup\left\{ \int f d\mu * \nu : f \in C_{00}^+(S), f \leq \xi_U \right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{ \int \left(\int f(xy) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y) : f \in C_{00}^+(S), f \leq \xi_U \right\} \\ &= \int \sup\left\{ \int f(xy) d\mu(x) : f \in C_{00}^+(S), f \leq \xi_U \right\} d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \int \xi_U(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \end{aligned}$$

by applying [4, §11.13] again. The other half of the Lemma can be proven by considering the map τ^y .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let F be σ -compact and $\mu, \nu \in M^+(S)$, then*

$$\mu * \nu(F) = \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x).$$

The function $y \rightarrow \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) \left(x \rightarrow \int \xi_F(xy) d\nu(y) \right)$ is defined everywhere and is ν -measurable (μ -measurable).

Proof. Let F be compact, then $U = F'$ is open and $\xi_F = 1 - \xi_U$ is then bounded measurable with respect to any measure in $M(S)$. So is $\xi_F \circ \tau_y = 1 - \xi_U \circ \tau_y$ for each $y \in S$. Hence

$$y \rightarrow \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) = \mu(X) - \int \xi_U(xy) d\mu(x)$$

is defined everywhere and ν -measurable by Lemma 3.1. (in fact upper semi-continuous). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \nu(F) &= \mu * \nu(X) - \mu * \nu(U) \\ &= \mu(X)\nu(X) - \int \int \xi_U(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \left\{ \mu(X) - \int \xi_U(xy) d\mu(x) \right\} d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\mu * \nu(F) = \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x)$.

If F is σ -compact, choose a sequence of compact sets F_n such that $F_n \uparrow F$. Then for each $y \in S, F_n y^{-1} \uparrow Fy^{-1}$ and by Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\int \xi_{F_n}(xy) d\mu(x) \uparrow \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x).$$

Therefore $y \rightarrow \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x)$ is defined everywhere and ν -measurable. Another application of Monotone Convergence Theorem gives

$$\mu * \nu(F) \uparrow \mu * \nu(F_n) = \int \int \xi_{F_n}(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \uparrow \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

Hence, $\mu * \nu(F) = \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y)$. Similarly $\mu * \nu(F) = \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x)$.

LEMMA 3.3. Let B be any subset of $S, \mu, \nu \in M^+(S)$, then $\mu * \nu(B) \cong \bar{J}_y \bar{I}_x \xi_B(xy)$ and $\mu * \nu(B) \cong \bar{I}_x \bar{J}_y \xi_B(xy)$ where I, J are the non-

negative linear functionals in $C_0(S)^*$ corresponding to μ, ν and \bar{I}, \bar{J} extensions of I, J to nonnegative functions on S ([4, §11.16]). If $\mu * \nu(B) = 0$, then $\mu(By^{-1}) = 0$ for ν -almost all $y \in S$ and By^{-1} is μ -measurable for ν -almost all $y \in S$. Similarly for $x^{-1}B$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \nu(B) &= \inf\{\mu * \nu(U) : U \text{ open, } U \supset B\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\int \int \xi_U(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) : U \text{ open, } U \supset B\right\} \\ &= \inf\{\bar{J}_y \bar{I}_x \xi_U(xy) : U \text{ open, } U \supset B\} \text{ (using [4, §11.36] twice)} \\ &\geq \bar{J}_y \bar{I}_x \xi_B(xy) \text{ since } \xi_U \geq \xi_B \end{aligned}$$

and $\xi_{Uy^{-1}} \geq \xi_{By^{-1}}$ for all $y \in S$. Similarly

$$\mu * \nu(B) \geq \bar{I}_x \bar{J}_y \xi_B(xy).$$

Now if $\mu * \nu(B) = 0$, then $\bar{J}_y \bar{I}_x \xi_B(xy) = 0$ and by [4, Theorem 11.27], the set $\{y : \mu(By^{-1}) > 0\}$ is ν -null. Hence By^{-1} is μ -null and μ -measurable for ν -almost all y . Similarly, $x^{-1}B$ is ν -null and ν -measurable for μ -almost all x . (Note that the measures in $M(S)$ are complete.)

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $\mu, \nu \in M^+(S)$ and A be $\mu * \nu$ -measurable, then Ay^{-1} is μ -measurable for ν -almost all y and $x^{-1}A$ is ν -measurable for μ -almost all x . Moreover, the function $y \rightarrow \int \xi_A(xy) d\mu(x) = \mu(Ay^{-1})$ is defined ν -a.e. and equal ν -a.e. to a function in $L_1(\nu)$. Similarly for the function $x \rightarrow \int \xi_A(xy) d\nu(y) = \nu(x^{-1}A)$. Moreover*

$$\mu * \nu(A) = \int \int \xi_A(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int \xi_A(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Proof. Let $A \subset S$ be $\mu * \nu$ -measurable. Write $A = B \cup F$ where F is σ -compact and B is $\mu * \nu$ -null, $B \cap F = \emptyset$. For each $y, Ay^{-1} = By^{-1} \cup Fy^{-1}$ and $\xi_{Ay^{-1}} = \xi_{By^{-1}} + \xi_{Fy^{-1}}$. Now $\xi_{Fy^{-1}}$ is μ -measurable and by Lemma 3.3, By^{-1} is μ -measurable and μ -null for ν -almost all y . Hence Ay^{-1} is μ -measurable and $\mu(Ay^{-1}) = \mu(Fy^{-1})$ for ν -almost all y . Consequently, the function $y \rightarrow \int \xi_A(xy) d\mu(x) = \mu(Ay^{-1})$ is defined ν -a.e. and is ν -a.e. equal to $\int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) = \mu(Fy^{-1})$ which is in $L_1(\nu)$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \nu(A) &= \mu * \nu(F) \\ &= \int \int \xi_F(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \int \xi_A(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument completes the proof of the Lemma.

THEOREM 3.5. *If $\mu, \nu \in M^+(S)$, $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$, then*

$$\int f d\mu * \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x)$$

with the usual interpretation of iterated integrals.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 using standard convergence arguments.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$ and suppose that f is also measurable with respect to the σ -ring \mathcal{B}_1 generated by the compact G_s 's in S . Then $f \circ \tau$ is $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable and*

$$\begin{aligned} \int f d\mu * \nu &= \int f \circ \tau d\mu \times \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $f \circ \tau$ is $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable. The corollary then follows from Theorem 3.5 and Fubini's Theorem. Since the map $\tau: S \times S \rightarrow S$ is separately continuous, by a result of Johnson [7, Theorem 2.2], for each $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $\tau^{-1}(B)$ is measurable with respect to any measure in $M(S \times S)$. But f is \mathcal{B}_1 -measurable. Hence $f \circ \tau$ is $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable.

REMARKS. The sets in \mathcal{B}_1 are sometimes called the Baire sets (e.g. in [1]). However, we follow [4] or [6] and define the Baire sets as the σ -ring \mathcal{B}_0 generated by the sets of the form $G = \{x: f(x) > 0\}$ or equivalently sets of the form $Z = \{x: f(x) = 0\}$ where f is continuous. \mathcal{B}_0 contains all compact G_s 's and hence \mathcal{B}_1 . It is well-known that if S is compact, each Z is a compact G_s and $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{B}_1$. If S is compact metric, then $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}$ the Borel sets.

Consequently, if S is compact and $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$ is also Baire measurable, then $f \circ \tau$ is $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable and the convolution formula (*) holds for such f . (See also [2, §1.2].)

It should be pointed out that there are plenty of compact separately continuous semigroups. For example, the weakly almost periodic compactification of any separately continuous semigroup (not necessarily locally compact).

It is also interesting to observe that although $f \circ \tau$ need not be $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable if $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$ and τ only separately continuous, there is always a measure $\mu \otimes \nu$ on $S \times S$ such that $f \circ \tau$ is $\mu \otimes \nu$ measurable when $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$. Moreover $f \circ \tau \in L_1(\mu \otimes \nu)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (***) \quad \int f d\mu * \nu &= \int f \circ \tau d\mu \otimes \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\
 &= \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

The measure $\mu \otimes \nu$ is defined as follows: Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\tau^{-1}(A) : A \text{ is } \mu * \nu \text{ measurable}\}$. Then \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra. We define $\mu \otimes \nu$ on \mathcal{S} by putting

$$\mu \otimes \nu(\tau^{-1}(A)) = \mu * \nu(A)$$

where A is $\mu * \nu$ measurable. This is well defined. For if $\tau^{-1}(A) = \tau^{-1}(B)$ with A, B $\mu * \nu$ measurable, then $xy \in A$ iff $xy \in B$ for any $x, y \in S$. (If S has identity, then $A = B$ and there is nothing to prove.) Therefore, $Ay^{-1} = By^{-1}$ for all $y \in S$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\mu(Ay^{-1}) = \mu(By^{-1})$$

for ν -almost all y and

$$\mu * \nu(A) = \int \mu(Ay^{-1}) d\nu(y) = \int \mu(By^{-1}) d\nu(y) = \mu * \nu(B).$$

Now it is straightforward to show that $\mu \otimes \nu$ is a finite measure on \mathcal{S} (though not necessarily in $M(S \times S)$). The very definition of $\mu \otimes \nu$ gives the convolution formula (***) when f is the characteristic function and a standard (e.g. monotone convergence) argument establishes the same formula for $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$.

The $\mu * \nu$ measurable sets and the sets in \mathcal{S} in general may not be the same but they both contain the σ -ring $\tau^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_1)$. Consequently, if $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$ is also \mathcal{B}_1 measurable, then $f \circ \tau$ is both $\mu \times \nu$ and $\mu \otimes \nu$ measurable and

$$\int f \circ \tau d\mu \times \nu = \int f \circ \tau d\mu \otimes \nu.$$

In particular, the same equality obtains for $f \in C_{00}(S)$ (see also [1, §56.1]). Of course if τ is jointly continuous, then each set in \mathcal{S} is $\mu \times \nu$ measurable and $\mu \times \nu$ agrees with $\mu \otimes \nu$ on \mathcal{S} .

4. Comments. The convolution formula

$$\int f d\mu * \nu = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x),$$

$f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$, $\mu, \nu \in M(S)$ has some far reaching consequences in abstract harmonic analysis for separately continuous semigroups.

First, it can be used to show that the probability measures on S form a semigroup (algebraically) under convolution. Moreover, their supports or carriers satisfy the condition

$$\text{carrier } \mu * \nu = [\text{carrier } \mu \cdot \text{carrier } \nu]^{-}, \mu, \nu \in M_0(S).$$

These are extensions of results in Glicksberg [3, §4] for *compact* separately continuous semigroups (in which case the probability measures on S form even a *compact* separately continuous semigroup under convolution and weak* topology of $M(S) = C_0(S)^*$). Since the constant functions are in $L_1(\mu * \nu)$, it follows from the convolution formula above that the convolution of two probability measures is again a probability measure. To prove the assertion about their carriers, we argue as follows: Let $A = \text{carrier } \mu$ and $B = \text{carrier } \nu$. Then $C = (AB)^{-}$ is closed, hence $\mu * \nu$ measurable. If we apply the convolution formula to the function $f = \xi_C$, the characteristic function of C , noting that $\xi_A(x)\xi_B(y) \cong \xi_C(xy)$ for all $x, y \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cong \mu * \nu(C) &= \int \xi_C d\mu * \nu = \int \int \xi_C(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &\cong \int \int \xi_A(x)\xi_B(y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \mu(A)\nu(B) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

To show that any open set W which intersects C has $\mu * \nu(W) > 0$, the arguments used in the proof in Glicksberg [3, Lemma 4.1] can be repeated here because S is locally compact (Hausdorff) hence completely regular.

Second, many results which depend on this convolution formula but not on joint continuity of semigroup multiplication can now be established for locally compact separately continuous semigroups. For examples, results in Wong [10], [11], [12], [13] and [14]. Each time the Fubini's Theorem is called for the equality of the integrals $\int f d\mu * \nu$,

$\int \int f(xy) d\mu(y) d\nu(y)$ and $\int \int f(xy) d\nu(y) d\mu(x)$ in the jointly continuous case, it is now ensured by the convolution formula in the separately continuous situation as long as $f \in L_1(\mu * \nu)$. As a case in point, we can now define the convolution $\mu \odot f$ (and $f \odot \mu$) of a measure $\mu \in M(S)$ and a generalised function $f \in GL(S)$ where $f = (f_\mu)_{\mu \in M(S)} \in \Pi\{L_\infty(|\mu|): \mu \in M(S)\}$ is called a generalised function if $f_\mu = f_\nu |\mu| - a.e.$ whenever $\mu \ll \nu$ and $\|f\| = \sup\{\|f_\mu\|_{\mu, \infty}: \|\mu\| \leq 1\} < \infty$. It is known that the Banach space $GL(S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $M(S)^*$ and that $GL(S)$ contains all bounded (Borel) measurable functions (see Wong [14] for more details and results in this direction).

If $f \in GL(S)$, $\mu \in M(S)$, $\mu \odot f$ is defined by

$$(\mu \odot f)_\nu = \mu \odot f_{\mu * \nu}, \nu \in M(S),$$

where $\mu \odot f_{\mu * \nu}(y) = \int f_{\mu * \nu}(xy) d\mu(x)$ for $|\nu|$ -almost all y in S . $\mu \odot f_{\mu * \nu}$ determines unambiguously an equivalence class in $L_\infty(|\nu|)$. Moreover, $\mu \odot f$ is again a generalised function and we have the identity

$$(\mu \odot f, \nu) = (f, \mu * \nu) = (f \odot \nu, \mu).$$

Here $f \odot \nu$ is defined similarly and $(f, \mu) = \int f_\mu d\mu$ if $f \in GL(S)$ and $\mu \in M(S)$.

All these follow from the Fubini's Theorem (and measurability of $f \circ \tau$) in the jointly continuous case but are now obtainable from our convolution formula in the separately continuous situation.

It is interesting to observe that if S is a locally compact group and $f \in L_\infty(S)$ is also Borel measurable, then $\mu \odot f$ is just $\mu^- * f$ where $\mu^- \in M(S)$ is defined by $\mu^-(E) = \mu(E^{-1})$ and of course we identify a bounded Borel measurable function f with the generalised function $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in M(S)}$ such that $f_\mu = f$ for all $\mu \in M(S)$. For $f \odot \nu$, the result is less elegant (because of the distinction between left and right Haar measures) under this identification. In fact $f \odot \nu$ corresponds to $\Delta((1/\Delta)f * \nu^-)$ where Δ is the modular function and the above identity becomes

$$(\mu^- * f, \nu) = (f, \mu * \nu) = \left(\Delta \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} f * \nu^- \right), \mu \right),$$

where $(f, \mu) = \int f d\mu$. For unimodular groups, this is

$$(\mu^- * f, \nu) = (f, \mu * \nu) = (f * \nu^-, \mu).$$

In general, if f is bounded Borel measurable, $\mu, \nu \in M_\alpha(S)$, the absolutely continuous measures in $M(S)$ (with respect to a fixed left Haar measure λ), the identity then reads

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\phi^- * f, \psi\right) = (f, \phi * \psi) = (f * \psi^-, \phi),$$

where ϕ, ψ are the functions in $L_1(S) = M_\alpha(S)$ corresponding to μ and ν , $(f, \phi) = \int f\phi d\lambda$ and $\phi^-(x) = \phi(x^{-1})$, $x \in S$. (See Hewitt and Ross [4, §20.12, §20.13 and §20.14] and Hewitt and Ross [5, Addendum to Volume I, p. 726].)

REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Measure and integration*, MacMillan, New York, 1965.
2. I. Glicksberg, *Convolution semigroups of measures*, Pacific J. Math., **9** (1959), 51–67.
3. ———, *Weak Compactness and separate continuity*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 205–214.
4. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
5. ———, *Abstract harmonic analysis II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
6. E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and abstract analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
7. B. E. Johnson, *Separate continuity and measurability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **20** (1969), 420–422.
8. K. Stromberg, *A note on the convolution of regular measures*, Math. Scand., **7** (1959), 347–352.
9. J. Williamson, *Harmonic analysis on semigroup*, J. London Math. Soc., **42** (1967), 1–41.
10. J. C. S. Wong, *Invariant means on locally compact semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **31** (1972), 39–45.
11. ———, *An ergodic property of locally compact amenable semigroups*, Pacific J. Math., **48** (1973), 615–619.
12. ———, *Absolute continuous measures on locally compact semigroups*, Canad. Math. Bull., **18** (1975), 127–131.
13. ———, *A characterisation of topological left thick subsets in locally compact left amenable semigroups*, Pacific J. Math., **62** (1976), 295–303.
14. ———, *Abstract harmonic analysis of generalised functions on locally compact semigroups with application to invariant means*, to appear in Australian J. Math.

Received May 16, 1977. Research supported by the National Research Council of Canada Grant No. A8227.

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
CALGARY, CANADA T2N 1N4

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1978 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Susan Jane Zimmerman Andima and W. J. Thron, <i>Order-induced topological properties</i>	297
Gregory Wade Bell, <i>Cohomology of degree 1 and 2 of the Suzuki groups</i> ...	319
Richard Body and Roy Rene Douglas, <i>Rational homotopy and unique factorization</i>	331
Frank Lewis Capobianco, <i>Fixed sets of involutions</i>	339
L. Carlitz, <i>Some theorems on generalized Dedekind-Rademacher sums</i>	347
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Alan Leslie Lambert, <i>The structure of a special class of weighted translation semigroups</i>	359
Steve Ferry, <i>Strongly regular mappings with compact ANR fibers are Hurewicz fiberings</i>	373
Ivan Filippenko and Marvin David Marcus, <i>On the unitary invariance of the numerical radius</i>	383
H. Groemer, <i>On the extension of additive functionals on classes of convex sets</i>	397
Rita Hall, <i>On the cohomology of Kuga's fiber variety</i>	411
H. B. Hamilton, <i>Congruences on N-semigroups</i>	423
Manfred Herrmann and Rolf Schmidt, <i>Regular sequences and lifting property</i>	449
James Edgar Keesling, <i>Decompositions of the Stone-Ćech compactification which are shape equivalences</i>	455
Michael Jay Klass and Lawrence Edward Myers, <i>On stopping rules and the expected supremum of S_n/T_n</i>	467
Ronald Charles Linton, <i>λ-large subgroups of C_λ-groups</i>	477
William Owen Murray, IV and L. Bruce Treybig, <i>Triangulations with the free cell property</i>	487
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Polynomial rings and H_1-local rings</i>	497
Michael Rich, <i>On alternate rings and their attached Jordan rings</i>	511
Gary Sampson and H. Tuy, <i>Fourier transforms and their Lipschitz classes</i>	519
Helga Schirmer, <i>Effluent and noneffluent fixed points on dendrites</i>	539
Daniel Byron Shapiro, <i>Intersections of the space of skew-symmetric maps with its translates</i>	553
Edwin Spanier, <i>Tautness for Alexander-Spanier cohomology</i>	561
Alan Stein and Ivan Ernest Stux, <i>A mean value theorem for binary digits</i> ...	565
Franklin D. Tall, <i>Normal subspaces of the density topology</i>	579
William Yslas V�lez, <i>Prime ideal decomposition in $F(\mu^{1/p})$</i>	589
James Chin-Sze Wong, <i>Convolution and separate continuity</i>	601