Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SETS WITH $(d-2)$-DIMENSIONAL KERNELS

MARILYN BREEN

Vol. 77, No. 1 January 1978

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 77, No. 1, 1978

SETS WITH $(d-2)$-DIMENSIONAL KERNELS

MARILYN BREEN

This work is about the dimension of the kernel of a starshaped set, and the following result is obtained: Let S be a subset of a linear topological space, where S has dimension at least $d \geqq 2$. Assume that for every $(d+1)$-member subset T of S there corresponds a collection of $(d-2)$-dimensional convex sets $\{K_T\}$ such that every point of T sees each K_T via S, $(\text{aff } K_T) \cap S = K_T$, and distinct pairs aff K_T either are disjoint or lie in a d-flat containing T. Furthermore, assume that when T is affinely independent, then the corresponding set K_T is exactly the kernel of T relative to S. Then S is starshaped and the kernel of S is $(d-2)$-dimensional.

We begin with some preliminary definitions: Let S be a subset of a linear topological space, S having dimension at least $d \geqq 2$. For points x, y in S, we say x sees y via S if and only if the corresponding segment $[x, y]$ lies in S. Similarly, for $T \subseteq S$, we say x sees T (and T sees x) via S if and only if x sees each point of T via S. The set of points of S seen by T is called the kernel of T relative to S and is denoted $\ker_S T$. Finally, if $\ker_S S = \ker S$ is not empty, then S is said to be starshaped.

This paper continues a study in [1] concerning sets having $(d-2)$-dimensional kernels. Foland and Marr [2] have proved that a set S will have a zero-dimensional kernel provided S contains a noncollinear triple and every three noncollinear members of S see via S a unique common point. In [1], an analogue of this result is obtained for subsets S of R^d having $(d-2)$-dimensional kernels. Here it is proved that, with suitable hypothesis, these results may be extended to include subsets S of an arbitrary linear topological space.

As in [1], the following terminology will be used: Conv S, aff S, cl S, bdry S, rel int S and ker S will denote the convex hull, affine hull, closure, boundary, relative interior and kernel, respectively, of the set S. If S is convex, dim S will represent the dimension of S.

2. Proof of the theorem.

THEOREM. *Let S be a subset of a linear topological space, where S has dimension at least $d \geqq 2$. Assume that for every $(d+1)$-member subset T of S there corresponds a collection of $(d-2)$-dimen-*

sional convex sets $\{K_T\}$ *such that every point of* T *sees each* K_T *via* S, $(\text{aff } K_T) \cap S = K_T$, *and distinct pairs* $\text{aff } K_T$ *either are disjoint or lie in a d-flat containing* T. *Furthermore, assume that when* T *is affinely independent, then the corresponding set* K_T *is exactly the kernel of* T *relative to* S. *Then* S *is starshaped and the kernel of* S *is* $(d-2)$*-dimensional.*

Proof. The proof of the theorem is motivated by an argument in [2, Lemma 3], and it will be accomplished by a sequence of lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Assume that* $\text{conv}(K \cup \{x\}) \cup \text{conv}(K \cup \{y\}) \subseteqq S$, *where* K *is a convex set of dimension* $d-2$, $x \notin \text{aff } K$ *and* $y \notin \text{aff}(K \cup \{x\})$. *Then the set* $S \cap \text{aff}(K \cup \{x, y\})$ *is starshaped, and its kernel is a* $(d-2)$*-dimensional set containing* K.

Proof. The argument is identical to the proof of the main theorem in [1].

LEMMA 2. *Assume that* $\text{conv}(K \cup \{x\}) \cup \text{conv}(K \cup \{y\}) \subseteqq S$, *where* K *is a convex set of dimension* $d-2$, $x \notin \text{aff } K$ *and* $y \notin \text{aff}(K \cup \{x\})$. *Assume there exists some* $q \in S \sim \text{aff}(K \cup \{x, y\})$ *such that* q *does not see* K *via* S. *Then if* z *sees* $d-1$ *affinely independent points of* K *via* S, $z \in \text{aff}(K \cup \{x, y\})$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, the d-dimensional set $S \cap \text{aff}(K \cup \{x, y\})$ is starshaped, and its kernel K' is a $(d-2)$-dimensional set containing K. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that $K = K'$. Let $\pi = \text{aff}(K \cup \{x\})$, $\pi' = \text{aff}(K \cup \{y\})$, and let $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}$ be $d-1$ affinely independent points in K seen by z. The affinely independent points $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}, q, x$ see via S a unique $(d-2)$-dimensional convex set $A = (\text{aff } A) \cap S$, and $A \subseteqq \pi$ by [1, Corollary 1 to Lemma 1]. Similarly $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}, q, y$ see a $(d-2)$-dimensional set A', and $A' \subseteqq \pi'$. Clearly each of A, A' sees K via S. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. If K, z, and q are not in a $(d-1)$-dimensional flat, then the affinely independent points $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}, z, q$ see a unique $(d-2)$-dimentional set R, $(\text{aff } R) \cap S = R$, and R must lie in $\text{aff}(K \cup \{z\})$: Otherwise, $\{k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}, z\} \cup R$ would contain a set T of $d+1$ affinely independent points with corresponding segments in S, contradicting the fact that K_T is a convex set of dimension $d-2$. Again by Lemma 1, the d-dimensional set $S \cap \text{aff}(K \cup \{z, q\})$ is starshaped, and its kernel must be R. Thus K sees R via S, so R,

A, A' all see $K \cup \{q\}$ via S. Hence $R \cup A \cup A'$ cannot contain $d+1$ affinely independent points, and $R \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A') \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$. Since q sees R but not K via S, $R \neq K$, and $\operatorname{aff}(K \cup R)$ is $(d-1)$-dimensional. Then $\operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{z\}) = \operatorname{aff}(K \cup R)$, and $z \in \operatorname{aff}(K \cup R) \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$, the desired result.

Case 2. If K, z, and q lie in a $(d-1)$-dimensional flat, then since $q \notin \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{x\}) \cup \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{y\})$, neither x nor y is in that flat. However, K, z, q, x lie in a d-dimensional flat, and this flat is exactly $\operatorname{aff}(K \cup A \cup \{z, q\}) = \operatorname{aff}(K \cup A \cup \{q\})$. Since $\operatorname{conv}(K \cup A) \cup \operatorname{conv}(A \cup \{q\}) \subseteq S$, by Lemma 1, A is the kernel of $S \cap \operatorname{aff}(K \cup A \cup \{q\})$, and z sees A via S. Since S cannot contain $d+1$ affinely independent points with corresponding segments in S, $K \cup A \cup \{z\}$ must lie in a $(d-1)$-dimensional flat, and $z \in \operatorname{aff}(K \cup A) \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$. (In fact, $z \in K$.) This completes Case 2 and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *Assume that* $\operatorname{conv}(K \cup \{x\}) \cup \operatorname{conv}(K \cup \{y\}) \subseteq S$, *where* K *is a convex set of dimension* $d-2$, $x \notin \operatorname{aff} K$, *and* $y \notin \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{x\})$. *If* $q \in S \sim \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{x, y\})$, *then* q *sees* K *via* S.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that q does not see K via S to reach a contradiction. As in the previous lemma, we may assume that K is the kernel of $S \cap \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{x, y\})$. Let $\pi = \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{x\})$, $\pi' = \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{y\})$, and let A, A' denote the $(d-2)$-dimensional subsets of π, π' seen by $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}, q, x$ and by $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}, q, y$, respectively, where $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}$ are affinely independent points in K. Then A and A' see $K \cup \{q\}$ via S, so $A \cup A'$ cannot contain $d+1$ affinely independent points, and $A \cup A'$ lies in a $(d-1)$-dimensional flat. By hypothesis, since A and A' both correspond to $K \cup \{q\}$ and $K \cup \{q\} \cup A \cup A'$ does not lie in a d-flat, the distinct sets $\operatorname{aff} A$ and $\operatorname{aff} A'$ are disjoint, and these sets must be parallel in $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A')$. Furthermore, since K and A' lie in π', $\operatorname{aff} K \cap \operatorname{aff} A \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(K \cup A') \cap \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A') = \operatorname{aff} A'$, and $\operatorname{aff} K \cap \operatorname{aff} A \subseteq \operatorname{aff} A' \cap \operatorname{aff} A = \emptyset$. Hence $\operatorname{aff} K$ and $\operatorname{aff} A$ are parallel in π. Similarly, $\operatorname{aff} K$ and $\operatorname{aff} A'$ are parallel in π', and it is easy to see that $\operatorname{aff} K \cap \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A') = \emptyset$.

Select some point u in rel int $\operatorname{conv}(A \cup \{q\})$, and examine the d-dimensional flat $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A' \cup \{u\})$, which contains q. Clearly $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A' \cup \{u\})$ intersects $\operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$ in exactly $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A')$. Hence for any point v in rel int $\operatorname{conv}(A' \cup \{q\}) \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A' \cup \{u\})$, the line $L(u, v)$ determined by u and v does not intersect $\operatorname{aff} K$, and K, u, v affinely span a full d-dimensional set. Furthermore, for any point k in $\operatorname{aff} K$, the plane $\operatorname{aff}(k, u, v)$ intersects $\operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$ in a line containing k, and this line cannot intersect $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A')$: Otherwise k would lie in $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A' \cup \{u, v\}) \cap \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi') = \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A')$, impossible. Hence $\operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{u, v\}) \cap \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A') = \emptyset$, and the d-dimensional flats $\operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{u, v\})$ and $\operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$ intersect in a $(d-1)$-dimensional flat in $\operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$ parallel to $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A')$.

To complete the proof, we will find some nonempty subset F of S contained in $\operatorname{aff}(A \cup A') \cap \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{u, v\})$, giving the desired contradiction. Let $E \equiv (\operatorname{aff} E) \cap S$ denote the $(d-2)$-dimensional subset of S seen by $k_1, \cdots, k_{d-1}, u$, and v. By Lemma 2, each point of E lies in $\operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$, and since K is the kernel of $S \cap \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$, each point of E sees K via S. Hence $E \cup K$ cannot contain $d+1$ affinely independent points, and $\dim \operatorname{aff}(E \cup K) \leqq d-1$. Clearly $K \neq E$: Otherwise u and v would see K via S and by Lemma 2, $u, v \in \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{x, y\})$, impossible by our choice of u and v. Therefore $\operatorname{aff}(E \cup K)$ is a $(d-1)$-dimensional subset of $\operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$, and $E, K, \{q\}$ affinely span a d-flat. By selecting d affinely independent points in $E \cup K$, these points together with q see a $(d-2)$-dimensional subspace F of S, and it is easy to see that $F \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(E \cup K) \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$. Hence F sees K via S. We conclude that F, A, A' all see $K \cup \{q\}$ via S, so $F \cup A \cup A'$ cannot contain $d+1$ affinely independent points, and $F \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A')$.

Finally, we show that $F \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{u, v\})$. Observe that $u \notin \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$, so the set $K \cup E \cup \{u\}$ contains $d+1$ affinely independent points, and by Lemma 1, the kernel of $S \cap \operatorname{aff}(K \cup E \cup \{u\})$ is E. Also, there exist points in $S \sim \operatorname{aff}(K \cup E \cup \{u\})$ which do not see E via S: In particular, at least one of the sets A, A' cannot lie in the d-flat $\operatorname{aff}(K \cup E \cup \{u\})$, for otherwise $u \in \operatorname{aff}(K \cup E \cup \{u\}) = \operatorname{aff}(K \cup A \cup A') = \operatorname{aff}(\pi \cup \pi')$, impossible. If $A \not\subseteq \operatorname{aff}(K \cup E \cup \{u\})$, then A cannot see E via S (for otherwise $K \cup E \cup A$ would contain $d+1$ affinely independent points with corresponding segments in S). Similarly, if $A' \not\subseteq \operatorname{aff}(K \cup E \cup \{u\})$, then A' cannot see E via S. Thus the set $\operatorname{conv}(K \cup E) \cup \operatorname{conv}(E \cup \{u\})$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2, and we may apply that lemma to conclude that $v \in \operatorname{aff}(K \cup E \cup \{u\})$. Therefore $K \cup E \cup F \cup \{u, v\}$ lies in a d-flat, and since $K \cup \{u, v\}$ contains $d+1$ affinely independent points, this flat must be exactly $\operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{u, v\})$. Hence $F \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{u, v\})$.

We conclude that $F \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(A \cup A') \cap \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{u, v\}) = \emptyset$. This yields the desired contradiction, our opening assumption is false, and q sees K via S, finishing the proof of Lemma 3.

The rest of the proof is easy. Select a set T consisting of $d+1$ affinely independent points of S, and let $K = \ker_S T$. Since $\dim K = d-2$, we may select points x, y in T with $x \notin \operatorname{aff} K$ and $y \notin \operatorname{aff}(K \cup \{x\})$. Then K, x, y satisfy the hypotheses of Lemmas 1 and 3, and by the lemmas, $K \subseteq \ker S$. Since $\ker S \subseteq \ker_S T = K$, we conclude that $K = \ker S$. Hence S is a starshaped set whose kernel is $(d-2)$-dimensional, completing the proof of the theorem.

We conclude with the following analogue of [1, Corollary 3]:

COROLLARY. *The hypothesis of the theorem above provides a characterization of subsets S of a linear topological space, S having dimension at least $d \geqq 2$, for which $K \equiv \ker S$ has dimension $d-2$, $(\operatorname{aff} K) \cap S = K$, and the maximal convex subsets of S have dimension $d-1$.*

Proof. If S satisfies the properties above, then to each $(d+1)$-member subset T of S, the set $K \equiv \ker S$ will be a suitable K_T set. For K_1 and K_2 distinct K_T sets, we assert that T, K_1, and K_2 lie in a d-flat: At least one of the sets K_1, K_2 is not K, so without loss of generality assume that $K_1 \neq K$. Since maximal convex subsets of S have dimension $d-1$, clearly each K_i set lies in a $(d-1)$-dimensional flat containing K, $i = 1, 2$, and it is easy to see that each point of T lies in the $(d-1)$-flat $\operatorname{aff}(K_1 \cup K)$. Furthermore, if $T \nsubseteq K$, then K_2 must also lie in $\operatorname{aff}(K_1 \cup K)$, finishing the argument. In case $T \subseteq K$, then since both K_1 and K_2 lie in $(d-1)$-flats containing K, the set $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K$ lies in a d-flat, and this flat contains $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup T$, again the desired result.

The remaining steps of the proof are identical to those of [1, Corollary 3].

REFERENCES

1. Marilyn Breen, *Sets in R^d having $(d-2)$-dimensional kernels*, Pacific J. Math., **75** (1977), to appear.

2. N. E. Foland and J. M. Marr, *Sets with zero dimensional kernels*, Pacific J. Math., **19** (1966), 429-432.

Received June 20, 1977 and in revised form November 7, 1977.

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA
NORMAN, OK 73019

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 77, No. 1 January, 1978