# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# FREE SEMIGROUPS OF 2 × 2 MATRICES

J. L. BRENNER AND ALLEN KENNETH CHARNOW

Vol. 77, No. 1

January 1978

# FREE SEMIGROUPS OF $2 \times 2$ MATRICES

## J. L. BRENNER AND A. CHARNOW

Let A = [1, m; 0, 1], B = [1, 0; m, 1]. The semigroup  $S_m = sgp\langle A, B \rangle$  (including identity) generated by A, B is nonfree if two formally different words (with positive exponents) are equal; free otherwise. Theorem.  $S_m$  is free if  $-\pi/4 \leq \arg m \leq \pi/4$ ,  $|m| \geq 1$ .

Thus  $S_m$  can be free when  $G_m = gp\langle A, B \rangle$  is nonfree.

THEOREM. Values of m for which  $S_m$  is nonfree are dense on the line segment joining -2i to 2i; there are nonfree values of m arbitrarily close to m = 1.

The group  $G_m = gp\langle A, B \rangle$  generated by  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is free if m is transcendental [6], if m = 2 [13] if  $|m| \ge 2$  [2], and if m satisfies none of the three inequalities  $|m|^2 < 2$ ,  $|m^2 - 2| < 2$ ,  $|m^2 + 2| < 2$  [5]. Further results appear in [1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. A diagonal similarity transformation carries A to C = [1, 2; 0, 1] and B to  $D = [1, 0; \lambda, 1], \lambda = m^2/2$ . Most of the known results are summarized in the diagram given in [8, p. 1392], which is drawn in the  $\lambda$  plane. A value of  $\lambda$  is "free" if  $gp\langle C, D \rangle$  is free. The nonfree values of  $\lambda$  are dense in  $|\lambda| < 1/2$  [5]. The semigroup  $S_m = sgp\langle A, B \rangle$  (including identity) generated by A, B is nonfree if two formally different words  $W_1, W_2$  (with positive exponents) are equal, or if  $W_1 = I$ ; free otherwise. In conversation, S. Stein and D. Hickerson asked whether  $S_m$  can be free when  $G_m$  is nonfree. Theorems 2.4-2.6 give an affirmative answer to this question (take m = 1). For orientation, two trivial lemmas are worth stating.

1.1. LEMMA. If  $S_m$  is nonfree, then  $G_m$  is nonfree.

1.2. LEMMA. If  $G_m$  is free then  $S_m$  is free.

Let  $H_{\lambda}$   $(K_{\lambda})$  be the group (semigroup) generated by C and D. Then we have:

1.3. LEMMA.  $H_{\lambda}$  ( $K_{\lambda}$ ) is free if and only if  $G_m$  ( $S_m$ ) is free.

As noted in [8, p. 1391] we also have:

1.4. LEMMA.  $H_{\lambda}$  is free if and only if  $H_{-\lambda}$  is free.

However it will be seen that it is possible for  $K_{\lambda}$  to be free while  $K_{-\lambda}$  is not free.

1.5. PROBLEM. Let  $|\lambda| < 1/2$ . Is it true that  $K_{\lambda}$  is free whenever  $K_{-\lambda}$  is free?

1.6. PROBLEM. If  $G_m$  is not free, is it generated by elements E and F such that  $sgp\langle E, F \rangle$  is not free?

1.7. LEMMA. Let  $\lambda = m^2/2$ . Then  $K_{-\lambda}$  is free if and only if  $sgp \langle [1, m; 0, 1], [1, 0; -m, 1] \rangle$  is free.

Proof. Conjugate by [2, 0; 0, m].

In §2 it is shown that if Re  $\lambda \ge 1/2$ ,  $K_{\lambda}$  is free. This is a best possible result in the sense that (as shown in §3)  $\lambda = 1/2$  is a limit of nonfree values.

In §4 it is shown that nonfree values of  $\lambda$  are dense on [-2, 0]. Probably they are also dense on [0, 1/2]; some results to support this conjecture are given. It is also shown that there exists a value of  $\lambda$  in [-2, 0] for which  $K_{\lambda}$  is not free, but is torsion free.

Section 5 applies the methods of the preceding sections to the group  $H_{\lambda}$ . It is shown that, in some respects, the methods are more powerful than those previously used. The extensive machine calculations in [3] are simplified.

In §6 it is shown that  $S_m$  is almost always free if m is a root of unity.

2. Free regions. In this section R(z) and I(z) denote the real and imaginary parts of the complex number z in the extended complex plane. Also, if U = [a, b; c, d], det U = 1, then we denote by U(z)the complex number  $(az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}$ . Clearly if V is another such matrix then (UV)(z) = U(V(z)). As usual a word in  $sgp\langle A, B \rangle$ means either the identity or  $A^{x_1}B^{x_2}\cdots$  or  $B^{x_2}A^{x_3}\cdots$  where all exponents are positive.

2.1. LEMMA. (a) If R(z) > 2 then  $|z^{-1} - 1/4| < 1/4$ . (b) If |z - 1/4| > 1/4 and R(z) > 0 then  $0 < R(z^{-1}) < 2$ .

*Proof.* (a) The map  $T(z) = z^{-1}$  carries the line R(z) = 2 onto the circle |w - 1/4| = 1/4. Since T(4) = 1/4, T must carry the region R(z) > 2 onto the interior of the circle |w - 1/4| = 1/4.

(b) The map  $T(z) = z^{-1}$  carries the circle |z - 1/4| = 1/4 onto the line R(w) = 2. Since T(1) = 1, T must map the exterior of the circle onto the region R(w) < 2. Clearly R(z) > 0 implies R(T(z)) > 0.

2.2. LEMMA. Let  $|\lambda| \ge 1/2$ ,  $R(\lambda) \ge 0$ , R(z) > 2,  $C = [1, 0; \lambda, 1]$ . Then  $0 < R(C^n(z)) < 2$  for every positive integer n.

*Proof.* Let  $z' = z^{-1} + n\lambda$ . Then  $C^n(z) = 1/z'$ . By 2.1a we have  $|z^{-1} - 1/4| < 1/4$ . Hence

$$ig| egin{array}{ll} \left| egin{array}{ll} \left| egin{array}{ll} \left| egin{array}{ll} \left| egin{array}{ll} \lambda 
ight| & - \left| egin{array}{ll} 1 - egin{array} 1 - egin{array}{ll} 1$$

Now  $R(z') \ge R(z^{-1}) > 0$ . Hence by 2.1b

$$0 < R(1/z') < 2$$
 .

2.3. LEMMA. Let

$$R(u) = 1$$
,  $\sum = \{w \, | \, R(wu) > 2\}$ ,  $arDelta = \{w \, | \, 0 < R(wu) < 2\}$  .

Let  $|\lambda| \ge 1/2$ ,  $R(\lambda) \ge 0$ , A = [1, 2; 0, 1],  $B = [1, 0; \lambda u, 1]$ . Let n and m be any positive integers. Then:

- (a)  $w \in \Sigma$  implies  $B^n(w) \in \Delta$
- (b)  $w \in \varDelta$  implies  $A^n(w) \in \Sigma$
- (c)  $A^n B^m(1) \in \Sigma$
- (d)  $B^n A^m(1) \in \Delta$ .

*Proof.* Let U = [u, 0; 0, 1],  $C = [1, 0; \lambda, 1]$ . Then  $B = U^{-1}CU$ . (a) Let  $w \in \Sigma$ , z = wu. Now  $B^n(w) = U^{-1}C^nU(w) = u^{-1}C^n(z)$ . Hence

$$R(uB^n(w)) = R(C^n(z))$$
.

But by 2.2 we have  $0 < R(C^n(z)) < 2$ . Thus  $B^n(w) \in \Delta$ .

(b) Let  $w \in A$ . Then 0 < R(wu) < 2.

Now

$$R(uA^n(w)) = R(u(w+2n)) = R(uw) + 2n > 2n \ge 2$$
 .

# Thus $A^n(w) \in \Sigma$ .

(c) We have  $uA^{n}B^{m}(1) = (\lambda m + u^{-1})^{-1} + 2nu$ . Now  $R(2nu) = 2n \ge 2$ . Also  $R(\lambda m + u^{-1}) = R(\lambda m) + R(u^{-1}) > 0$ , since  $R(\lambda m) \ge 0$  and  $R(u^{-1}) > 0$ . Thus  $R(uA^{n}B^{m}(1)) > 2$  and  $A^{n}B^{m}(1) \in \Sigma$ .

(d)  $R(uA^m(1)) = R(u + 2mu) = 1 + 2m > 2$ . Thus  $A^m(1) \in \Sigma$ . Hence by (a) we have  $B^nA^m(1) \in \Delta$ .

2.4. THEOREM. Let  $R(\lambda) \ge 0$ ,  $|\lambda| \ge 1/2$ , R(u) = 1, A = [1, 2; 0, 1],  $B = [1, 0; \lambda u, 1]$ . Then the semigroup  $K_{\lambda u}$  generated by A and B is free.

*Proof.* Suppose  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are different words in  $K_{\lambda u}$  with  $W_1 = W_2$ . Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Delta$  be as in 2.3.

Case 1. One of the words, say  $W_1$  is the identity *I*. Clearly  $A^n = I$  or  $B^n = I$  is impossible for any positive *n*. Also  $A^n B^m = I$  or  $B^m A^n = I$  is impossible since  $A^n \neq B^{-m}$  for positive *n* and *m*. Thus  $W_2$  has length  $\geq 3$ . Since the relation  $W_2 = I$  implies the relation  $W_2^* = I$ , where  $W_2^*$  is any cyclic permutation of  $W_2$ , we may assume that  $W_2$  starts with *A* and ends with *B*. Let  $W_2 = A^{*n}B^{y_n} \cdots A^{*1}B^{y_1}$ ,  $x_i > 0, y_i > 0$ . It follows from 2.3 that  $W_2(1) \in \Sigma$ . But  $W_2(1) = 1 \in A$ , a contradiction.

Case 2. Neither word is the identity but one of them (say  $W_1$ ) has length 1. Let  $P = [0, 1; \lambda u/2, 0]$ . Then the map  $X \to PXP^{-1}$  is an automorphism of  $K_{\lambda u}$  sending  $A \to B$  and  $B \to A$ . Because of this we may assume that  $W_1 = A^{x_1}$ . Clearly  $W_2 \neq B^{y_1}$  since  $A^{x_1} \neq B^{y_1}$ and  $W_2 \neq A^{y_1}$  since  $A^{x_1} = A^{y_1}$  implies  $x_1 = y_1$ . Thus  $W_2$  is of length  $\geq 2$ . We may assume that  $W_2$  starts and ends with B, for otherwise we could cancel and either return to Case 1 or obtain the desired condition. Let  $W_2 = B^{s_n}A^{t_n} \cdots B^{s_1}A^{t_1}B^{s_0}$ . It follows from 2.3 that  $W_2(1) \in \Delta$ . But  $R(uW_1(1)) = R(u(1+2x_1)) = 1+2x_1 > 2$ , hence  $W_1(1) \in \Sigma$ , a contradiction.

Case 3. Each word is of length  $\geq 2$ . We may assume that  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  do not start with the same letter or end with the same letter, for otherwise we could cancel it. We consider two cases.

3.1. One word (say  $W_1$ ) starts with B and ends with A. Then  $W_1 = B^{x_n}A^{y_n}\cdots B^{x_1}A^{y_1}$  and  $W_2 = A^{r_n}B^{s_n}\cdots A^{r_1}B^{s_1}$ . From 2.3 we conclude that  $W_1(1) \in A$  and  $W_2(1) \in \Sigma$ , a contradiction.

3.2. One word (say  $W_1$ ) starts with B and ends with B. Then  $W_1 = B^{x_n} A^{y_n} \cdots B^{x_1} A^{y_1} B^{x_0}$  and  $W_2 = A^{r_n} B^{s_n} \cdots A^{r_1} B^{s_1} A^{r_0}$ . From 2.3 we conclude that  $W_1(1) \in A$ ,  $W_2(1) \in \Sigma$ , a contradiction.

2.5. THEOREM. If  $R(\lambda) < 0$  and  $|I(\lambda)| \ge 1/2$  then  $K_{\lambda}$  is free.

Analytic proof. Clearly one of the tangent lines drawn from  $\lambda = x + yi$  to the circle |z| = 1/2 intersects the circle in a point (c, d) with  $c \ge 0$ . Set  $\lambda' = c + di$ . First assume  $c \ne 0$ . Let  $b = (y - d)c^{-1}$ , u = 1 + bi. The condition on the tangent line yields  $(y - d)(x - c)^{-1}dc^{-1} = -1$ . Hence

Thus  $u\lambda' = c - bd + (bc + d)i = x + yi = \lambda$ . By 2.4 we have  $K_{\lambda} = K_{u\lambda'}$  is free. If c = 0 then  $d = \pm 1/\sqrt{2}$ , y = d. Let  $u = 1 - xd^{-1}i$ . Then  $\lambda = u\lambda'$  and  $K_{\lambda} = K_{u\lambda'}$  is free by 2.4.

CES

Geometric proof. Let  $\lambda'$  lie on the semicircumference  $|\lambda'| = 1/2$ ,  $R(\lambda') \ge 0$ . If R(u) = 1, the locus  $\lambda = u\lambda'$  is the line through  $\lambda'$  and perpendicular to the radius drawn from 0 to  $\lambda$ . As  $\lambda'$  varies,  $\lambda$  sweeps out all of the region  $\{\lambda | R(\lambda) < 0, I(\lambda) \ge 1/2\}$  (and more).

2.6. THEOREM. Let

$$P = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3} - 4), \frac{1}{2}\right), Q = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3} - 4), -\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Then  $K_{\lambda}$  is free if  $\lambda$  is in the (closed) exterior of the bullet-shaped region illustrated.



*Proof.* By 2.4 we have  $R(\lambda) \ge 0$ ,  $|\lambda| \ge 1/2$  implies that  $K_{\lambda}$  is free and by 2.5 we have  $R(\lambda) < 0$ ,  $|I(\lambda)| \ge 1/2$  implies  $K_{\lambda}$  is free. By [8, Theorem 3, p. 1390], the group  $H_{\lambda}$  (and hence the semigroup  $K_{\lambda}$ ) is free if  $\lambda$  is not in the interior of the convex hull of  $\{z \mid |z| = 1\} \cup$  $\{2, -2\}$ . But the tangent lines drawn from (-2, 0) to |z| = 1 intersect y = 1/2 and y = -1/2 in P and Q respectively.

3. Some nonfree semigroups. In this and all remaining sections let A, B, C, D be as in §1.

It is known [3, 8] that there are some values of m for which  $gp\langle A, B \rangle$  is not free; the value m = 1 has been known for long time. To obtain values of m for which  $S_m = sgp\langle A, B \rangle$  is not free requires methods attuned to this special problem.

3.01. DEFINITION. A relation  $w_1(A, B) = w_2(A, B)$  between 2 words in  $S_m$  is reduced if no cancellation is possible. The degree of a reduced relation is the greater of the lengths of the words  $w_1, w_2$ . (The degree of a reducible relation is defined by first reducing it to an equivalent reduced relation.) Thus

$$AB^2A=B^3A^5B^4 \ ABAB^2AB^2=AB^3A^5B^4$$

both have degree 3.

The following assertions have transparent proofs.

3.02. LEMMA. If  $m \neq 0$ , there is no relation of degree 1 or 2 in  $S_m$ .

3.03. LEMMA. If a relation has degree 3, it can be written  $A^x B^y A^z = B^r A^s B^t$ ,

with x, y, z, r, s, t all positive.

The next theorem gives a complete account of the values of  $m \neq 0$  for which  $S_m$  admits a relation of degree 3.

3.04. THEOREM. Let  $S_m$  admit a relation of degree 3:

$$A^x B^y A^z = B^r A^s B^t$$
 .

Then

$$(3.05) mtextbf{m}^2 = x^{-1}(r^{-1} - y^{-1}) - t(rxy)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore if r, x, y, t are arbitrary positive integers such that  $s = xyt^{-1}$  and  $z = xrt^{-1}$  are integers, then for  $m^2$  given by (3.05) the stated relation of degree 3 holds.

Note that both positive and negative values of  $m^2$  arise, and that  $-2 < m^2 < 1$ . These bounds are exact. In fact, if t = x = r = 1, and  $y \to \infty$  then  $m^2 \to 1$ . Also, if x = y = 1,  $t = r \to \infty$ ,  $\lim m^2 = -2$ .

Proof of 3.04. Calculation shows that the relation

$$A^x B^y A^z = B^r A^s B^t$$

holds if and only if (3.06)-(3.09) all hold.

$$(3.06) rs = yz ,$$

$$(3.07) st = xy,$$

$$(3.08) s = x + z + m^2 x y z ,$$

$$(3.09) y = r + t + m^2 rst.$$

From (3.06)-(3.07) follows rx = tz. From (3.06)-(3.08) it follows that

 $st = xt + rx + m^2 strx$ ; this is (3.09) which is therefore redundant. It is now apparent that the solutions of (3.06)-(3.09) can be parametrized by taking r, x, y arbitrary positive integers, subject to t | xy, t | rx, setting s = xy/t, z = rx/t and solving (3.08) for  $m^2$ . But (3.05) is a paraphrase of (3.08).

3.10. COROLLARY. The values  $\lambda = 1/2$ ,  $\lambda = -1$  are limits of non-free values.

The relations of degree 4 are described in the next theorem.

3.11. THEOREM. Any relation of degree 4 in  $S_m$  must have the form

(3.12) B<sup>u</sup>A<sup>x</sup>B<sup>y</sup>A<sup>z</sup> = A<sup>q</sup>B<sup>r</sup>A<sup>s</sup>B<sup>t</sup>,

with u, x, y, z, q, r, s, t all positive.

*Proof.* A priori, the relation  $B^u A^z B^u A^z = A^q B^r$  would be conceivable. Detailed examination of this possibility shows, however, that such a relation is not possible unless q = 0. Similarly, the relation  $B^u A^x = A^q B^r A^s B^t$  does not arise.

There are many values of m that satisfy (3.12), but do not satisfy (3.05).

Other nonfree values of m are given in §5.

4. Semigroups with torsion. There are values of m such that  $S_m$  contains elements of finite order. It may be conjectured that every value of m with this property is a pure imaginary unmber. In fact, the pure imaginary numbers m with this property are denes on the line segment joining -2i and 2i.

4.1. THEOREM. The nonfree values of  $\lambda$  are dense on [-2, 0].

Recall that  $\lambda = m^2/2$ .

*Proof.* Note  $CD = [1 + 2\lambda, 2; \lambda, 1]$ . This matrix has finite order if (and only if) its trace is  $2 \cos k\pi/l$  for some integers k, l. But this is easily arranged:  $\lambda = -2 \sin^2 k\pi/(2l)$ .

4.2. THEOREM. Let w = w(C, D) have length 2 or 3, and have finite order. Then  $\lambda$  is real and negative.

The proof is straightforward, so is omitted.

4.3. THEOREM. Let w = w(C, D) have length 4, and have finite order. Then  $\lambda$  is real and negative.

Proof. Calculation shows that

 $\mathrm{tr} \, D^u C^x D^y C^z = 2 + 2\lambda (xy + yz + xu + zu) + 4xyzu\lambda^2$  .

The condition that this is equal to  $2\cos k\pi/l$  leads to a quadratic in  $\lambda$ . It must be proved that the discriminant of this quadratic is nonnegative. This fact is seen to follow from the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality applied to the four numbers xy, yz, xu, zu.

4.4. THEOREM. Let n be a nonzero integer. Then  $S_m$  has torsion for the following values of m:

(1) m = i/n (2)  $m = \sqrt{2} i/n$  (3)  $m = \sqrt{3} i/n$ .

Proof. (1) Let  $U = A^3 B^{nn} = [-2, 3m; mn^2, 1]$ . Then U has order 3. (2) Let  $U = AB^{nn} = [-1, m; mn^2, 1]$ . Then U has order 4. (3) Let  $U = A^{nn}B = [-2, mn^2; m, 1]$ . Then U has order 3.

4.5. THEOREM. If m is real then  $S_m$  is torsion free.

*Proof.* We may assume m > 0. If a nontrivial word W in  $S_m$  has finite order, the proper values of W are roots of unity and are reciprocals (since det W = 1). Hence trace  $W = z + \overline{z} < 2$ , since z is a root of unity. An easy inductive argument shows, however, that every entry of W is nonnegative, and that each diagonal entry is at least 1. Thus trace  $W \ge 2$ , a contradiction.

In [4, p. 747] it is shown that if m is rational and not the reciprocal of an integer then  $G_m$  (and hence  $S_m$ ) is torsion free. In the same vein we have:

4.6. THEOREM. If m = pi/q, p and q integers,  $p \neq 0$ ,  $q \neq 0$ ,  $p \neq \pm 1$ , (p, q) = 1, then  $G_m$  (and hence  $S_m$ ) is torsion free.

*Proof.* Assume  $G_m$  has a nontrivial element of finite order. Then it has an element U of prime order  $\pi$ . If  $\pi = 2$ , then U = -I; if  $\pi > 2$ , U has trace  $\omega + \omega^{\pi-1}$  where  $\omega$  is a primitive  $\pi$ th root of unity. It is easily seen by induction that U is of the form:

$$U = egin{pmatrix} {f 1} + f_1(m^2) & mf_2(m^2) \ mf_3(m^2) & {f 1} + f_4(m^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the  $f_i$  are polynomials with integer coefficients and  $f_1$  and  $f_4$  are without constant term. Thus U has trace  $2 + f_1(m^2) + f_4(m^2) = 2 + h(m^2)$  where h is a polynomial with integer coefficients and without constant term.

Case 1.  $\pi = 2$ . Then U = -I, whence  $1 + f_1(m^2) = -1$ , that is  $f_1(m^2) + 2 = 0$ . This implies that  $p^2|2$ , a contradiction.

Case 2.  $\pi = 3$ . Then U has trace  $\omega + \omega^2 = -1 = 2 + h(m^2)$ , that is  $h(m^2) + 3 = 0$ . This implies that  $p^2|3$ , a contradiction.

Case 3.  $\pi > 3$ . Since U has trace  $\omega + \omega^{\pi^{-1}} = 2 + h(m^2)$ ,  $\omega + \omega^{\pi^{-1}}$  must be rational. But this contradicts the fact that the minimal polynomial of  $\omega$  over the rationals is  $1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{\pi^{-1}}$ .

It is possible for  $S_m$  to be torsion free but not free. When m = 2i/3,  $S_m$  is torsion free by 4.6 but is not free (see 5.1e).

5. More nonfree values of m. We now examine certain relations of degree 4 in  $S_m$ . A computation shows that  $A^x B^y A^z B^w = B^w A^z B^y A^z$ if and only if the following condition holds:

$$(5.1) yz = wx + xy + wz + m^2xyzw.$$

Thus for a given m we seek solutions of (5.1) in positive integers x, y, z, w.

5.2. THEOREM. Let n be an integer. Then  $S_m$  is not free for the following values of m:

( <b>a</b> )	m = 1/n,	n  > 1,
(b)	m=2/n,	n  > 2,
(c)	m=4/n,	n  > 4,
(d)	m = i/n,	$ n  \ge 1$ ,
(e)	m=2i/n,	$ n  \ge 2$ ,
$(\mathbf{f})$	m=4i/n,	$ n  \ge 4.$

*Proof.* Since  $S_m$  is free if and only if  $S_{-m}$  is free, we may assume that n is positive.

(a) If n > 2 then x = 1, z = n,  $w = n^2 - 2n$ , y = (n + 1)w is a solution of (5.1). If n = 2 then x = 1, y = 6, z = 2, w = 1, is a solution of (5.1).

(b) We may assume n is odd.

Case 1.  $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ . Then n = 1 + 4u and u > 0. If u = 1 then n = 5 and x = 1, y = 50, z = 11, w = 5 is a solution of (5.1). If

u > 1 then x = u - 1, y = nu, z = n, w = 2 + 3u is a solution of (5.1).

Case 2.  $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . Then n = 3 + 4u. If u = 0 then n = 3and x = 1, y = 3, z = 6, w = 1 is a solution of (5.1). If  $u \neq 0$  then u > 0 and x = u,  $y = n^2$ , z = 2u(1 + u), w = n is a solution of (5.1).

(c) We may assume n is odd. It follows that either  $n^2 \equiv 1 \mod 16$  or  $n^2 \equiv 9 \mod 16$ .

Case 1.  $n^2 \equiv 1 \mod 16$ . Then  $x = (n^2 - 1)/16$ ,  $y = 2n^2$ ,  $z = x(1 + 2n^2)$ , w = 1 is a solution of (5.1).

Case 2.  $n^2 \equiv 9 \mod 16$ . Then x = 1,  $w = (n^2 - 9)/16$ ,  $y = n^2(1 + w)$ , z = 2w + 1 is a solution of (5.1).

(d) x = 1, y = 1 + n, z = n, w = n is a solution of (5.1).

(e) We may assume n > 2.

Case 1.  $n \equiv 1 \mod 3$ . Then x = (n-1)/3, y = n, z = n, w = n(n-x) is a solution of (5.1).

Case 2.  $n \equiv 2 \mod 3$ . Then x = (n-2)/3, y = n, z = n, w = n(1+x) is a solution of (5.1).

Case 3.  $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$ . Then x = n, y = n, z = 2n/3, w = n/3 is a solution of (5.1).

(f) We may assume n is odd.

Case 1.  $n^2 \equiv 1 \mod 16$ . Then  $w = (n^2 - 1)/16$ , x = 8w,  $y = n^2 w$ , z = 1 is a solution of (5.1).

Case 2.  $n^2 \equiv 9 \mod 16$ . Let  $u = (n^2 - 9)/16$ . Then  $x = un^2$ , y = 2u + 1, z = u + 1, w = 1 is a solution of (5.1) and the theorem is proved.

5.2. COROLLARY. [3, Theorem 3.1, p. 243]. If b is any integer > 2, the group  $G_m = gp < [1, m; 0, 1], [1, 0; m, 1] > is$  not free whenever m = 4/b.

*Proof.* Note that  $G_m$  is not free if m = 4/3 [8]; then apply 5.2(c).

(This proof supersedes an extensive computer calculation in [3].) Finally we remark that we have not been able to prove that  $S_{3/n}$  is not free (|n| > 3), although we presume that this is the case.

5.3. THEOREM. In every neighborhood N of 1 there exists a real number r and a sequence  $r_n$  of reals such that  $S_{r_n}$  is not free and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = r$ .

*Proof.* Choose an integer y such that y > 3,  $y \in N$ . Set  $r = \sqrt{1-y^{-1}}$ . Now if x = 1 and w = 1, (5.1) becomes:

$$(5.4) mtextbf{m}^2 = 1 - (yz)^{-1} - z^{-1} - y^{-1}$$

Hence if *m* satisfies (5.4) then  $S_m$  is not free (for any *z*). For each integer n > 3 set  $r_n = \sqrt{1 - (ny)^{-1} - n^{-1} - y^{-1}}$ . Then  $S_{r_n}$  is not free and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = r$ .

6. Roots of unity. In [11, p. 69] it is conjectured that  $G_m$  is not free if m is a primitive qth root of 1. The situation for semigroups is quite different.

THEOREM 6.1. If m is a primitive qth root of 1 and  $q \neq 3, 4$  or 6 then  $S_m$  is free.

*Proof.* Since any two primitive qth roots of 1 are conjugate, it suffices to prove the theorem for any particular primitive qth root of 1.

Case 1. Suppose  $q \ge 8$ . Let  $m = \cos(2\pi/q) + i \sin(2\pi/q)$ . Then  $\lambda = m^2/2 = (1/2)[\cos(4\pi/q) + i \sin 4\pi/q]$ . Then  $|\lambda| = 1/2$  and  $R(\lambda) = (1/2)\cos(4\pi/q) \ge 0$  (since  $q \ge 8$ ). Hence by 2.4  $K_{\lambda}$  (and hence  $S_m$ ) is free.

Case 2. q < 8. If q = 1 or 2 then  $\lambda = m^2/2 = 1/2$  and again by 2.4,  $K_{\lambda}$  (and hence  $S_m$ ) is free. Now suppose q = 5. Let  $\omega = \cos(2\pi/5) + i \sin(2\pi/5)$ . Let  $m = \omega^3$ . Then m is a primitive 5th root of 1. Let  $\lambda = m^2/2 = \omega/2$ . Then  $|\lambda| = 1/2$ ,  $R(\lambda) = (1/2) \cos(2\pi/5) \ge 0$ . Hence by 2.4,  $K_{\lambda}$  and (hence  $S_m$ ) is free. Now assume q = 7. Let  $\omega = \cos(2\pi/7) + i \sin(2\pi/7)$ . Let  $m = \omega^4$ . Then m is a primitive 7th root of 1. Let  $\lambda = m^2/2 = \omega/2$ . Then  $|\lambda| = 1/2$ , and

$$R(\lambda)=(1/2)\cos{(2\pi/7)}\geqq 0$$
 .

Hence  $K_{\lambda}$  is free and the proof is complete.

We note that if q = 4, m = i, so that  $S_m$  is not free by 5.2(d). If q = 3,  $m = \cos(2\pi/3) + i \sin(2\pi/3)$ ,  $\lambda = m^2/2 = (-1/4)(1 + \sqrt{3}i)$  while if q = 6,  $m' = \cos(2\pi/6) + i \sin(2\pi/6)$ ,  $\lambda' = m^2/2 = (-1/4)(1 - \sqrt{3}i)$ . The two values of  $\lambda$  are conjugate; hence  $K_{\lambda} \cong K_{\lambda'}$  and  $S_m \cong S_{m'}$ . Thus it suffices to treat the case q = 3. We have not been able to prove that  $S_m$  is not free when m is a primitive cube root of 1. However, we do have:

6.2. THEOREM. Let  $\omega = \cos(2\pi/3) + i \sin(2\pi/3)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $z_n$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = \omega$  and  $S_{z_n}$  is not free.

Proof. A computation shows that

 $A^{x}B^{y}A^{u}B^{v}A^{z}B^{w} = B^{w}A^{z}B^{v}A^{u}B^{y}A^{x}$ 

if and only if  $am^4 + bm^2 + c = 0$  where

If we let x = y = z = w = 1, u = v the above condition becomes

(6.3) 
$$u^2m^4 + (u+1)^2m^2 + u^2 + 2 = 0$$
.

Thus if *m* is solution of (6.3) (for any positive integer *u*), then  $S_m$  is not free. Let *n* be an integer, n > 1. It is easily seen that  $4n^2(2+n^2) > (n+1)^4$ . Let  $r_n = \sqrt{4n^2(2+n^2) - (n+1)^4}$ . Let  $\Delta_n = r_n i$ . Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} [\Delta_n/(2n^2)] = (\sqrt{3}/2)i$ . Choose  $z_n$  so that  $0 \le \arg z_n < \pi$  and  $z_n^2 = [-(n+1)^2 - \Delta_n]/(2n^2)$ . Then  $n^2 z_n^4 + (n+1)^2 z_n^2 + n^2 + 2 = 0$  and hence  $S_{z_n}$  is not free. Moreover  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n^2 = -(1+\sqrt{3}i)/2 = \omega^2$ . Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = \omega$ .

We thank the referee, R. C. Lyndon, for a careful reading of the manuscript, and for useful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Bachmuth and H. Mochizuki, Triples of  $2 \times 2$  matrices which generate free groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **59** (1976), 25-28.

2. J. L. Brenner, *Quelques groupes libres de matrices*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, **241** (1955), 1689–1691.

3. J. L. Brenner, R. A. MacLeod, and D. D. Olesky, Some nonfree groups of  $2 \times 2$  matrices, Canad. J. Math. **27** (1975), 237-245.

4. A. Charnow, A note on torsion free groups generated by pairs of matrices, Canad. Math. Bull., 17 (5), (1975), 747-748.

5. B. Chang, S. A. Jennings, and R. Ree, On certain matrices which generate free groups, Canad. J. Math., 10 (1958), 279-284.

6. D. I. Fouxe-Rabinowitch, On a certain representation of a free group, Leningrad State Univ. Annals (Uchenye Zapiski). Math. Ser., **10** (1940), 154–157.

7. K. Goldberg and M. Newman, Pairs of matrices of order 2 which generate free groups, Illinois J. Math., 1 (1957), 446-448.

8. R. C. Lyndon and J. L. Ullman, Groups generated by two parabolic linear fractional transformations, Canad. J. Math., **21** (1969), 1388-1403.

9. R. C. Lyndon and J. L. Ullman, Pairs of real 2-by-2 matrices that generate free products, Michigan Math. J., 15 (1968), 161-166.

10. M. Newman, Pairs of matrices generating discrete free groups and free products, Michigan Math. J., 15 (1968), 155-160.

11. \_\_\_\_\_, A conjecture on a matrix group with two generators, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stds., USA, Vol. **78B**, No. 2, April-June (1974).

12. R. Ree, On certain pairs of matrices which do not generate a free group, Canad. Math. Bull., 4 (1961), 49-52.

13. I. N. Sanov, Une propriété d'une représentation d'un groupe libre, Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR (N. S.), 57 (1957), 647-649.

14. W. Specht, Freie Untergruppen der binären unimodularen Gruppe, Math. Z., 72 (1959/60), 319-331.

Added in proof. Additional references have come to our attention.

The paper of Evans answers the conjecture of Newman [11] affirmatively. The papers of Merzljakov and Scharlemann improve on Bachmuth and Mochizuki's [1] results; Scharlemann answers a question in [1] negatively.

R. J. Evans, Non-free groups generated by two parabolic matrices. Manuscript.

Ju. I. Merzljakov, Matrix representations of free groups, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (1978) no. 3, 527-530.

M. Scharlemann, Certain free subgroups of SL(2, R); a geometric view, Linear and Multilinear Algebra (to appear).

Received August 15, 1977 and in revised form December 12, 1977.

10 Phillips Rd. Palo Alto, CA 94303 and California State University at Hayward Hayward, CA 94542

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

C.C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

B. H. NEUMANN

E. F. BECKENBACH

F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 77, No. 1 January, 1978

Dan Amir, Chebyshev centers and uniform convexity	1	
Lawrence Wasson Baggett, <i>Representations of the Mautner group. I</i>	7	
George Benke, <i>Trigonometric approximation theory in compact totally</i> <i>disconnected groups</i>	23	
M. Bianchini, O. W. Paques and M. C. Zaine, <i>On the strong compact-ported</i>		
topology for spaces of holomorphic mappings	33	
Marilyn Breen, Sets with $(d-2)$ -dimensional kernels	51	
J. L. Brenner and Allen Kenneth Charnow, <i>Free semigroups of</i> 2 × 2 <i>matrices</i>	57	
David Bressoud, A new family of partition identities	71	
David Fleming Dawson, Summability of matrix transforms of stretchings and subsequences	75	
Harold George Diamond and Paul Erdős, <i>A measure of the nonmonotonicity</i>		
Gary Doyle Faulkner and Ronald Wesley Shonkwiler, <i>Kernel dilation in</i>	05	
reproducing kernel Hilbert space and its application to moment problems	103	
Jan Maksymilian Gronski, <i>Classification of closed sets of attainability in the plane</i>	117	
H. B. Hamilton and T. E. Nordahl, <i>Semigroups whose lattice of congruences</i>		
is Boolean	131	
Harvey Bayard Keynes and D. Newton, <i>Minimal</i> $(G, \tau)$ - <i>extensions</i>	145	
Anthony To-Ming Lau, <i>The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a topological</i>		
semigroup with involution	165	
B. C. Oltikar and Luis Ribes, <i>On prosupersolvable groups</i>	183	
Brian Lee Peterson, <i>Extensions of pro-affine algebraic groups</i>	189	
Thomas M. Phillips, <i>Primitive extensions of Aronszajn spaces</i>	233	
Mehdi Radjabalipour, <i>Equivalence of decomposable and</i> 2-decomposable		
operators	243	
M. Satyanarayana, <i>Naturally totally ordered semigroups</i>	249	
Fred Rex Sinal, A homeomorphism classification of wildly imbedded	255	
two-spheres in S <sup>2</sup>	255	
Hugh C. Williams, Some properties of a special set of recurring	272	
sequences	273	